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Abstract
The mechanism by which Helicobacter pylori undermines host defence mechanisms is unclear. Several in vitro studies using soluble mucins have suggested that H pylori may compromise mucous functions. Gastric mucus gel was obtained from 13 H pylori infected patients; six untreated subjects and seven after eradication of the infection. Gastric mucus is a non-Newtonian substance in that its viscosity changes with changing rates of shear, requiring mucous viscosity to be measured in a rotational cone-plate viscometer. Viscosity was measured at shear rates varying from 1·15 s⁻¹ to 46 s⁻¹. The gastric mucus viscosity was significantly higher in patients infected with H pylori compared with mucus gel obtained after eradication of the infection. The results of our study suggest that the previous studies using in vitro methods involving soluble mucins or its components may have led to erroneous conclusions about the in vivo interactions of H pylori and gastric mucus gel. The present findings argue against the hypothesis that degradation of gastric mucus by H pylori is important in the pathogenesis of peptic ulcer.
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It is well established that Helicobacter pylori, a Gram negative spiral bacillus, is present in nearly all patients with duodenal ulcer and in over 75% of patients with gastric ulcer. H pylori is not an innocent bystander but plays a role in the pathogenesis of peptic ulcer as cure of the infection cures ulcer disease. The mechanism by which H pylori contributes to the development of peptic ulcer, however, is unclear – one possibility is that H pylori undermines the host defence mechanisms.

A number of studies have suggested that H pylori can damage the protective mucus coat lining the gastric mucosa. Damage to the mucus gel might allow back-diffusion of noxious luminal contents such as acid and pepsin and result in tissue injury and ulcer formation. One supposition has been that the effectiveness of the mucus gel might be compromised if there were degradation of one of its constituents. These studies, however, suffered from a number of drawbacks that limit their interpretation in the in vivo situation. For example, the mucin used was often commercially obtained from animal sources and incubations with various fractions of H pylori or media in which H pylori was grown were performed. In addition, enrichment of the enzyme-containing fraction was often necessary to demonstrate a measurable effect.

Natural mucus is a suspension of macromolecules (for example, mucoid substances, enzymes, shed cellular elements, etc) in a fluid matrix and should be studied fresh. Since neither the mucin (for example, soluble components) nor the experimental conditions used in previous reports even remotely reproduced the events taking place in natural intact human gastric mucus, we designed a study to assess the effects of H pylori on gastric mucus gel viscosity. The study was patterned after a previous study of human gastric mucus by Curt and Pringle.

Methods

PATIENTS
Nineteen patients with endoscopically documented peptic ulcer disease participated in this study. Informed consent was obtained and the study was approved by the Institutional Review Board. The patients consisted of 18 men and one woman. Helicobacter pylori status was confirmed by the urea breath test as described previously. Infected patients were treated with a three drug regimen consisting of tetracycline (500 mg four times daily), metronidazole (250 mg three times daily), and tripotassium dicitrato-bismuthate (two tablets four times daily) given for two weeks. The infection was considered cured if the urea breath test was negative four or more weeks after completing the treatment.

Gastric secretions were collected in the morning after an overnight fast. A 18 Fr gastric sump nasogastric tube (Medovations Inc, Milwaukee, WI, USA) was introduced through the nose or mouth and positioned in the stomach. The position was checked by recovery of infused saline. The tube was connected to a low pressure, intermittent suction
pump and the gastric secretions were collected in containers kept on ice. Four, 15 minute collections of basal secretions were obtained and pentagastrin (6 μg/kg) was then administered subcutaneously. Four additional 15 minute gastric secretions were obtained.

The samples were kept on ice during transfer to the laboratory and viscosity studies were carried out the same day as preliminary studies showed that freezing drying and storing the sample lead to falsely high mucus viscosity results (unpublished observation).

VISCOSITY ANALYSIS

The gastric juice specimens were centrifuged at 10 000 rpm for 15 minutes in a Sorvall SS-34 centrifuge. The supernatant was discarded and the insoluble mucus gel was used for the estimation of viscosity.10 Samples visually contaminated with food, bile, or blood were discarded. Briefly, the viscosity was measured in a rotational cone/plate microviscometer using a CP-52 spindle (Brookfield Engineering Laboratories Inc., Stoughton, MA, USA). The mucus gel (0-5 ml) was placed in a sample cup and allowed to reach the thermostatically controlled temperature of 37°C. The samples were exposed to 10 spindle rotations at each shear rate, varying from 1·15 s−1 to 46·0 s−1 and the viscosity (recorded as centipoise units) was measured at each shear rate.

Results

Twenty experiments were carried out on 19 patients; one patient had studies performed before and after cure of H pylori infection. Gastric secretions obtained in seven experiments were contaminated with food debris, blood, or bile and were discarded. The remaining 13 were suitable for analysis and were included in the study: six from patients with active H pylori infection and seven after eradication of the infection.

At any single shear rate the viscosity of the samples was similar and at least one uncontaminated sample from each patient was available for analysis. There was no appreciable difference in the mucus viscosity of samples obtained under basal conditions and after pentagastrin stimulation (Fig 1). The results of basal and stimulated values were therefore combined. Viscosity measurements could be obtained from all patients at shear rates of 5·75 s−1 or less. Gastric mucus is a non-Newtonian substance in that its viscosity changes with changing rates of shear and at higher shear rates some samples had a viscosity that the viscometer could not measure. The gastric mucus viscosity was significantly higher in samples from patients infected with H pylori than in mucus gel obtained after eradication of the infection (Fig 2).

Discussion

How H pylori actually causes ulcers is poorly understood. One suggestion has been that H pylori somehow weakens host protective factors, in particular gastric mucus.6 12 Mucus is a heterogeneous mixture of proteins, glycoproteins, and lipids.13 The complex structure of mucus glycoprotein polymer and the strong negative charge of the sulphated glycoproteins provides the mucus layer with visco-elastic, hydrophobic, and permselective properties. Mucus is an important lubricant and may also assist the mucosa in resisting the effects of gastric acid and pepsin. H pylori lies both within and beneath the gastric mucus.14 It has been suggested that occupying the niche between the mucus layer and the mucosal cells allows the organism to damage both.12 Gastric mucus is a non-Newtonian substance in that its viscosity changes with changing rates of shear. By contrast, Newtonian substances such as water retain their viscosity irrespective of the shear rate. Mucus has been described as a 'pseudoplastic material' since its viscosity decreases with increasing rates of
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shear. Viscosity is a measure of resistance to flow and is determined by the ratio of shear stress to shear rate. Therefore, the viscosity of non-Newtonian fluids must be measured by instruments such as the rotational cone-plate viscometer which measure both the shear stress and shear rate. We found that the insoluble mucus gel obtained from the stomachs of H pylori infected individuals was more viscous than that obtained after H pylori eradication.

In theory, H pylori can damage the gastric mucus in a number of ways. For example, H pylori proteases have been described that degrade mucus glycoproteins, and H pylori produce at least two lipolytic enzymes, lipase and phospholipase A2. The lipolytic enzymes could degrade mucus lipids and result in a reduction in surface hydrophobicity as well as in formation of lysophospholipids. Lysophospholipids such as lysolecithins have potent lytic activity and could not only damage the mucus layer but also the cell membranes of the gastric epithelial cells.

Although there is evidence that H pylori can damage gastric mucus in vitro, these studies suffer from several potential limitations. The experiments were performed under in vitro conditions which did not attempt to duplicate the conditions in the human stomach. For example, the biochemical studies typically used purified, lyophilised, soluble porcine gastric mucin or purified components from soluble mucus. It is well established that the molecular structure and composition of mucus is very heterogeneous and important differences have been identified depending upon the species (pig, rat, human), site (stomach, small bowel, colon) and age (newborn v adult) of the individual. Previous histological studies have shown gastric ‘mucus depletion’ in H pylori infection. The surface cells in gastritis have an increased turnover and are therefore younger and probably biologically and biochemically different to the cells lining the stomach of an uninfected person. Whether the apparent mucus depletion represents less mucus being made or increased release is not known with certainty. The results reported here may simply reflect the fact that, on average, younger gastric mucus cells produce a mucus that is biochemically different to that produced by the older cells from uninfected individuals.

To obviate the disadvantages of the in vitro studies, we examined directly the viscosity of fresh insoluble gastric mucus gel obtained from patients with and without H pylori infection. Our experiments showed that the gastric mucus viscosity was higher in patients with H pylori infection compared with that in the treated group. In a previous study, the gastric mucus viscosity of patients with duodenal ulcer disease was found to be higher than that in control subjects. The explanation of the difference between results remains unclear.

The only obvious difference is that in the previous study, the H pylori status of the patients was unknown. Perhaps there is a difference between gastric mucus gel viscosity between H pylori gastritis and H pylori duodenal ulcer.

The results of our study suggest that the previous studies using in vitro methods involving soluble mucus or its components may have lead to erroneous conclusions about the in vivo interactions of H pylori and gastric mucus gel. The present findings argue against the hypothesis that degradation of gastric mucus by H pylori is important in the pathogenesis of peptic ulcer.
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