Increased interleukin 8 expression in the colon mucosa of patients with inflammatory bowel disease
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Abstract
To test whether there is a difference in the expression of interleukin 8 (IL8) between Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis and to determine the main site of its synthesis this study analysed IL8 in mucosal biopsy specimens of patients with Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis by enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) and by in situ hybridisation. IL8 was measured by ELISA in 38 normal control patients, eight inflammatory control patients, 55 Crohn’s disease biopsy specimens (26 patients), and 67 ulcerative colitis biopsy specimens (35 patients). IL8 mRNA was determined in samples by in situ hybridisation using a specific IL8 RNA probe. IL8 protein was significantly increased in macroscopically inflamed specimens of Crohn’s disease (median 118 pg/specimen, \(p<0.0001\)), ulcerative colitis (median 140 pg/specimen, \(p<0.001\)), and inflammatory controls (median 30 pg/specimen, \(p=0.010\)) compared with normal controls (median 4 pg/specimen). IL8 was also increased in uninfamed specimens of Crohn’s disease (median 46 pg/specimen, \(p<0.001\)) but not of ulcerative colitis patients (median 9 pg/specimen, \(p=0.3\)). IL8 protein in the mucosa correlated significantly with macroscopic inflammation in Crohn’s disease (\(r=0.47, p<0.001\)) and in ulcerative colitis (\(r=0.60, p<0.001\)). IL8 mRNA was detected by in situ hybridisation in 31 of 55 biopsy specimens (56%) of Crohn’s disease patients, in 38 of 67 specimens of ulcerative colitis patients (57%), in five of eight inflammatory controls (63%) and in five of 38 normal controls (13%). Mucosal IL8 mRNA expression correlated with mucosal IL8 protein (\(r=0.46, p<0.001\)). IL8 mRNA was only detected in inflammatory cells of the interstitium but not in mucosal epithelial cells. IL8 is produced mainly in the lamina propria of the colon in inflammatory bowel disease and correlates with mucosal inflammation.

Methods

Patients
The study was approved by the ethical committee of the University of Regensburg, Germany. Fifty five endoscopic biopsy specimens were collected from the colon of 26 patients with Crohn’s disease (eight patients with one specimen, 15 patients with two specimens, one patient with two specimens each from two different time points, one patient with two specimens from each of three colonoscopies, and one patient with one biopsy and with two specimens each from three additional colonoscopies). From 35 patients with...
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ulcerative colitis 67 biopsy specimens were obtained (12 patients with one specimen, 16 patients with two specimens, one patient with two specimens from two different colonoscopies, two patients with two specimens each from different time points, one patient with four specimens, and three patients with one specimen and two specimens from an additional colonoscopy). If multiple specimens were taken from one patient, they were taken from areas of different degrees of inflammation, if possible from inflamed and uninfamed areas (15 patients with ulcerative colitis, 12 patients with Crohn’s disease). For comparison, normal control biopsy specimens (n=38) were taken from 38 patients undergoing colonoscopy for various reasons (for example, cancer screening, polypectomy). Inflammatory control specimens (n=8) were taken from eight patients with diverticulitis or infectious colitis. The mean age of Crohn’s disease patients (10 male, 16 female) was 30 years (range 17–43), 20 were taking corticosteroids, nine 5-ASA, seven sulphasalazine, two azathioprine (drug combinations possible). The mean age of ulcerative colitis patients (22 male, 13 female) was 35 years (range 16–70), 22 were taking corticosteroids, 20 5-ASA, four sulphasalazine, one azathioprine (drug combinations possible).

Biopsy specimens

The degree of inflammation at the biopsy site was assessed macroscopically: 0=normal mucosa, 1=low degree of inflammation (increased granularity and friability of mucosa in ulcerative colitis, single small aphthous lesions in Crohn’s disease), 2=moderate inflammation (mucous membranes, spontaneous bleeding and small ulcers in ulcerative colitis, multiple aphthous lesions, and small ulcers in Crohn’s disease), 3=severe inflammation (large ulcers in ulcerative colitis, large ulcerous lesions in Crohn’s disease). In Crohn’s disease, the macroscopic scores were distributed as follows: 0 (n=17), 1 (n=13), 2 (n=7), 3 (n=20). Specimens for histological assessments (0=normal, 1=low degree of inflammation, 2=moderate inflammation, 3=severe inflammation), for the determination of IL8 protein by ELISA and for the determination of IL8 mRNA by in situ hybridisation were taken from essentially the same regions. Histological assessment of the degree of inflammation correlated well with the macroscopic assessment in Crohn’s disease (r=0.66, p<0.001) and in ulcerative colitis (r=0.70, p<0.001).

The mean (SD) weight of samples was 7.9 (2.3) mg with no difference between samples taken from control patients (8.1 (2.2) mg), Crohn’s disease patients (7.6 (2.4) mg) or ulcerative colitis patients (8.1 (2.1) mg). Specimens for IL8 protein determination were washed in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and then immediately frozen at −20°C. Biopsy specimens for IL8 mRNA detection were taken up in freshly prepared 4% paraformaldehyde. After prefreezing overnight they were taken up in OCT-compound (Tissue-Tek; Miles, Elkhart, IN, USA) and frozen at −20°C.

Determination of IL8 protein

Specimens were homogenised by two cycles of freezing and thawing in 200 μl PBS, pH 7.2 in the presence of proteinase inhibitors (leupeptin 10 μg/ml, pepstatin 10 μg/ml, aprotinin 1 μg/ml, EDTA 0.5 mg/ml). Insoluble material was spun down at 18 000 g for five minutes. An aliquot of the soluble supernatant was used for the IL8 determination by ELISA (R & D Systems, Minneapolis, MN), and another aliquot for the determination of total protein by BCA protein assay (Sigma, Deisenhofen, Germany).

Hybridisation probes

The IL8 probe consisted of a 244 base pair fragment subcloned into the EcoRI/PstI site of the polylinker of the transcription vector pBS(+). The subcloning was confirmed by dideoxysequencing using T7-DNA polymerase (USB, Cleveland, Ohio, USA). For the in situ hybridisation experiments 35S-UTP labelled antisense or sense riboprobes were prepared by in vitro transcription using T7 or T3-RNA polymerase (Promega, Madison, WI, USA), respectively.

In situ hybridisation

Freeze sections were performed using a Jung Frigocut 2800E Cryotom. Five μm slices were mounted on p-L-lysine coated slides. The sections were refixed in freshly prepared 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS (pH 7.2) for 15 minutes at 4°C and then washed by dipping in DEPC treated water. Hybridisation was performed as described. In brief, the sections were rehydrated in 2×SSC, acetylated in 0.1 M triethanolamine with 0.25% acetic anhydride and incubated in glycine buffer (0.1 M glycine, 0.2 M TRIS-HCl, pH 7.4). They were then covered with prehybridisation

![Figure 1: IL8 protein in colonic mucosa. IL8 protein was determined in 38 normal control specimens (n Co), in eight inflammatory controls (i Co), in 55 Crohn’s disease specimens (CD), and in 67 ulcerative disease specimens (UC). Inflammation was assessed macroscopically (0=normal mucosa, 1=low degree of inflammation, 2=moderate inflammation, 3=severe inflammation). IL8 protein was determined by ELISA in the supernatants from homogenates of specimens after freezing and thawing the specimens twice. The median is shown by bars. The thin line represented the detection limit at 0.6 pg IL8/specimen.](http://gut.bmj.com/)
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solution consisting of 50% formamide and 3×SSC. Freshly prepared riboprobe was heated to 80°C for one minute and diluted with hybridisation solution (50% formamide, 3×SSC, 500 μg/ml yeast tRNA, 1 mg/ml single stranded calf thymus DNA, 1% PEG 8000, 2 mg/ml bovine serum albumin, 120 mM EDTA (5×10⁶ cpmp/μl) for 30 minutes. The prehybridisation solution was drained off the slides and replaced by hybridisation mixture. The slices were covered immediately with Gel Bond film (FMC, Rockland, ME, USA) and sealed with Pattex (Henkel, Düsseldorf, Germany) to avoid dehydration. Hybridisation was carried out overnight in 50°C. After hybridisation the slides were washed twice in 2×SSC with 50% formamide at 50°C for 15 minutes and then incubated with RNAase A solution (300 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 20 mM TRIS-HCl, pH 7.5, 50 μg/ml RNAase A) for 30 minutes. This treatment was followed by two more 15 minute washes in 2×SSC at 55°C and three more 15 minute washes with 2×SSC at room temperature. The cells were dehydrated in ethanol, air dried, and dipped into Kodak NTB nuclear track emulsion for autoradiography. After exposure for 10 days at 4°C the slides were developed in Kodak D19 developer and fixed in Kodak fixer. They were washed and counterstained with Giemsa stain.

**Figure 2: Comparison between uninfamed and inflamed areas (0 or 2 or 3) between areas of low degree of inflammation and higher degree of inflammation (1 or 2 or 3).**

**Measurement and statistics**

The number of IL8 expressing cells in the lamina propria and the amount of grains per positive cell were counted in three randomly selected microscopic fields at a final magnification of 400× (67-5 mm²). All the in situ hybridisation experiments were performed with a sense probe as control and evaluated in a blinded fashion. IL8 expression was classified as: 0=no expression; 1=low expression (<5 positive cells/field with maximal 15 grains per cell); 2=moderate expression (6-14 positive cells/field with maximal 30 grains per cell); 3=strong expression (≥15 positive cells/field with more than 30 grains per cell).

The statistical analyses were performed by Student’s t test for parametric data and by the Kruskal-Wallis H test, the Mann-Whitney, and the Spearman rank test as appropriate for non-parametric data. Differences were considered significant with p<0.05.

**Results**

**Determination of IL8 protein**

IL8 protein was determined in 38 normal control biopsy specimens and in eight inflammatory control specimens, in 55 specimens from Crohn’s disease patients and in 67 specimens from ulcerative colitis patients. Before single groups were compared multiple group comparisons (Kruskal-Wallis H test, because the data were not normally distributed) was done to test for significant differences between different groups (p<0.0001 for IL8/specimen or IL8/mg protein). In normal control samples only low amounts of IL8 protein could be detected (median 4 pg/specimen, interquartile range 1-2-11.0 pg/specimen). The IL8 protein concentration increased in the case of inflammatory controls (median 30 pg/specimen, interquartile range 10-3-901). IL8 protein expression in uninfamed mucosa of patients with ulcerative colitis was comparable to that of uninfamed control mucosa (median 9 pg/specimen, interquartile range 1-4-79.2 pg/specimen). Significantly increased (p<0.001) amounts of IL8 protein were, however, detected in macroscopically inflamed specimens of patients with ulcerative colitis (median 140 pg/specimen, interquartile range 59-7-496 pg/specimen). In patients with Crohn’s disease increased concentrations of IL8 protein were found in inflamed (118 pg/specimen, interquartile range 61-1-432 pg/specimen, p<0.001) as well as in uninflamed specimens (median 46 pg/specimen, interquartile range 6-0-99.3 pg/specimen).

### Protein content of mucosal biopsy specimens and ratios between IL8 and total protein

**Disease and macroscopic inflammation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease and macroscopic inflammation</th>
<th>Total protein (mg)/specimen median (95% confidence intervals)</th>
<th>p Value (v control)</th>
<th>IL8 protein (pg)/specimen median (interquartile range)</th>
<th>p Value (v control)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Uninflamed control (n=31)</td>
<td>0.088 (0.072 to 0.103)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>79-7 (14-115)</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inflamed control (n=7)</td>
<td>0.144 (0.073 to 0.216)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>229 (106-4670)</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inactive CD (n=11)</td>
<td>0.110 (0.086 to 0.154)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>495 (64-3630)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active CD (n=38)</td>
<td>0.150 (0.114 to 0.187)</td>
<td>0.018</td>
<td>820 (383-2820)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inactive UC (n=15)</td>
<td>0.100 (0.078 to 0.122)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>248 (17-841)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active UC (n=37)</td>
<td>0.113 (0.092 to 0.133)</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>1540 (672-4400)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NS=no significance, CD=Crohn’s disease, UC=ulcerative colitis.
Figure 3: Semiquantitative determination of IL8 mRNA expression in colonic mucosa by in situ hybridisation. IL8 mRNA was localised by in situ hybridisation using 35S-UTP labelled IL8 antisense RNA as described in methods. Thirty eight normal control specimens (n Co), eight inflammatory control specimens (i Co), 55 Crohn’s disease specimens (CD), and 67 ulcerative colitis specimens (UC) were analysed. Inflammation was assessed macroscopically (0=normal mucosa, 1=low degree of inflammation, 2=moderate inflammation, 3=severe inflammation). The figure shows the percentage of specimens in each group expressing IL8.

Figure 4: Correlation between IL8 mRNA expression and IL8 protein in colonic biopsy specimens. IL8 mRNA was detected by in situ hybridisation and IL8 protein was determined by ELISA. The detection limit of IL8 protein measurement is shown by a thin line at 0.6 pg IL8/specimen. The medians are shown by bars.

p=0.001. Figure 1 shows the individual data.

When mucosal IL8 concentrations were compared intra-individually between sites with different degrees of inflammation (Fig 2) higher IL8 concentrations were found at sites with a higher degree of inflammation (p=0.001) for ulcerative colitis, p=0.0006 for Crohn’s disease, using the paired Wilcoxon test.

To exclude the possibility that the observed differences result from the different protein content of the specimens caused by different numbers of infiltrating inflammatory cells, we determined the protein content of seven inflamed and 31 normal control specimens, 38 inflamed and 11 uninfamed specimens of patients with Crohn’s disease, and of 37 inflamed and 15 uninfamed specimens of patients with ulcerative colitis and calculated the ratio between IL8 protein and total protein. As the Table shows, the differences remained similar for the data normalised to the protein content of the specimens, although the total protein content was higher in the inflamed samples.

There was a significant positive correlation between the amount of IL8 protein in the mucosa and the endoscopic inflammation score in patients with Crohn’s disease (Spearman rank: r=0.47, p<0.001), and in ulcerative colitis (r=0.58, p<0.001). IL8 concentrations were not significantly higher in the inflamed mucosa of ulcerative colitis patients than in the inflamed mucosa of patients with Crohn’s disease.

To assess the effect of treatment, we compared IL8 protein values of patients with active Crohn’s disease and active ulcerative colitis receiving treatment with patients not receiving treatment. Patients with active Crohn’s disease receiving treatment (n=19) had similar IL8 protein values (median: 134 pg/specimen, interquartile range: 27.7–503) as patients not receiving treatment (n=5) (median: 348 pg/specimen, 127–587, p=0.32). Also patients with active ulcerative colitis receiving treatment (n=28) had similar IL8 protein values (median: 333 pg/specimen, interquartile range: 28–6–40) as patients without treatment (n=6) (median 262 pg/specimen, 29–6–754, p=0.66).

In situ hybridisation

Cells expressing IL8 could be detected by in situ hybridisation using a specific 35S-UTP labelled IL8 antisense RNA probe in five of 38 normal controls (13%) and five of eight inflammatory controls (63%), in 31 of 55 specimens of Crohn’s disease patients (56%), and in 36 of 67 specimens of ulcerative colitis patients (57%) (Fig 3). When IL8 mRNA expression was determined semiquantitatively the IL8 mRNA expression in normal biopsy specimens was mainly low (5%) or moderate (8%). Also IL8 expression in inflammatory controls was mainly low (6%). In Crohn’s disease, however, 32% showed a low, 20% a moderate, and 4% a strong expression of IL8 mRNA. The corresponding values in ulcerative colitis specimens were 29% for low expression, 12% for moderate expression, and 16% for strong expression.

When mucosal IL8 mRNA expression was correlated with mucosal IL8 protein concentrations a statistically significant correlation was found (r=0.46, p<0.001) (Fig 4). There was also a statistically significant correlation between the macroscopic score of inflammation and the amount of IL8 mRNA detected by in situ hybridisation (r=0.51, p<0.001).

The analysis of the cell types expressing IL8 mRNA showed that in none of the 168 specimens IL8 mRNA was expressed in detectable amounts in mucosal epithelial cells. Cells positive for IL8 mRNA were always located in the mucosa, presumably representing infiltrating inflammatory cells. Figure 5 shows examples for the detection of IL8 mRNA in a normal control patient as well as in a patient with Crohn’s disease with strong expression of IL8 mRNA, and in a patient with ulcerative colitis, also showing strong expression of IL8 mRNA. In the case of the two inflammatory bowel disease patients IL8 sense RNA probes were used as specificity controls.

Discussion

The aetiology of Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis is still unknown. It is, however,
generally assumed that disturbances of the intestinal immune system are at least a prerequisite for the development of these diseases. Among the mediators orchestrating the immune system cytokines play an essential part. Recent data suggest that an imbalance of the intestinal immune system with a shift towards proinflammatory mediators is a characteristic feature of inflammatory bowel diseases. Among the proinflammatory cytokines IL8 together with IL1 and tumour necrosis factor play an important part. IL8 belongs to the chemokine family and is an effective neutrophil activating peptide. Our results show that the synthesis of IL8 is upregulated in the inflamed colonic mucosa of patients with Crohn’s disease as well as in patients with ulcerative colitis.

It has previously been described that IL8 is mainly increased in active ulcerative colitis but not in Crohn’s disease. The different regulation of IL8 in Crohn’s disease and in ulcerative colitis would have been of great pathophysiological interest, as both diseases show some differences in their histopathological appearance. While infiltration with neutrophils and the formation of crypt abscesses is a hallmark of ulcerative colitis, Crohn’s disease is characterised by granulomas. In the case of IL6 it has been shown that there is a difference between Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis. Its circulating concentrations were more increased in patients with Crohn’s disease than in patients with ulcerative colitis suggesting that there is a persisting stimulation of IL6 producing cell lines.
cells in Crohn’s disease but not in ulcerative colitis.25

We found that IL8 concentrations in the inflamed mucosa are similar for Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis. IL8 expression is increased independent of the cause of the inflammation including also the inflammatory controls. The apparent discrepancy between our findings and those of Mahida3 might be explained by the fact that Crohn’s disease is a discontinuous disease and IL8 concentrations might vary between inflamed and uninfamed areas that are close together. Although uninfamed mucosa of patients with Crohn’s disease contains increased amounts of IL8 when compared with normal mucosa of control persons, the IL8 content is further increased in moderately or severely inflamed mucosa of patients with either Crohn’s disease or ulcerative colitis. Our data are, on the other hand, in accordance with the findings of Izzo et al14 who also detected substantially increased mucosal IL8 concentrations in the colon of patients with Crohn’s disease. Thus, mucosal IL8 expression does not permit differentiation between Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis. Two recent publications30 31 support this view. There is, however, one important difference between Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis – that is, IL8 concentrations are also increased in uninfamed mucosa of patients with Crohn’s disease but not in uninfamed mucosa of patients with ulcerative colitis. This may show that there is a continuous stimulation of the intestinal immune system in Crohn’s disease while this stimulation is restricted to grossly inflamed areas in ulcerative colitis. This upregulation of the intestinal immune system might be a general feature of Crohn’s disease. On a systemic level it may be reflected by increased IL6 concentrations in patients with Crohn’s disease even when they are clinically in remission.25 This hypothesis is further supported by data showing increased IL1 concentrations in macroscopically uninfamed mucosa of patients with Crohn’s disease (Andus et al, unpublished results). The fact that drugs had no influence on IL8 protein may be caused by the fact, that if they inhibit IL8 they also inhibit inflammation, which in turn leads to a downgrading of the specimens from inflamed to uninfamed. This shows that the IL8 content of the specimens is closely related to the extent of inflammation.

Thus far, the site of synthesis of IL8 in inflammatory bowel diseases is still uncertain. As various colonic epithelial cell lines as well as isolated intestinal epithelial cells have been shown to produce IL8,10-12 the hypothesis had to be tested whether colonic epithelial cells contribute to the synthesis of IL8. Another open question was whether the sites of IL8 synthesis are different in Crohn’s disease and in ulcerative colitis. Our study showed that IL8 positive cells are only found in the intestine but never in the epithelial layer of the colonic mucosa. The distribution of IL8 producing cells resembled that of IL1 or tumour necrosis factor producing cells as shown by Capello et al.32 Obviously, infiltrating inflammatory cells are the main producers of the proinflammatory cytokines. Although in situ hybridisation is a very sensitive technique, we cannot totally exclude that small amounts of IL8 may be produced by colonic epithelial cells. This has recently been claimed by Izutani et al33 who showed IL8 mRNA in isolated intestinal epithelial cells from inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) using a quantitative polymerase chain reaction assay. When interpreting these data33 two important points have to be considered: (a) only a few contaminating macrophages may contribute considerable amounts of IL8 mRNA, (b) the isolation procedure may induce IL8 mRNA in epithelial cells, which otherwise would not express it. As a result of our experiments we assume that during chronic inflammatory conditions such as Crohn’s disease or ulcerative colitis colonic epithelial cells do not produce considerable amounts of IL8 mRNA.

In conclusion, it was found that IL8 is produced in the colonic lamina propria of patients with inflammatory bowel disease.

There is no difference in IL8 protein concentrations between inflamed mucosa of patients with Crohn’s disease or ulcerative colitis. IL8 does thus not permit the differentiation between these two diseases entities. Mucosal IL8 protein and IL8 mRNA concentrations are correlated with the degree of inflammation. IL8 mRNA is strongly expressed by intestinal inflammatory cells but not by intestinal epithelial cells suggesting that virtually all IL8 is produced by interstitial inflammatory cells.
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