Occasional viewpoint

What has happened to the cathartic colon?

‘The prolonged and habitual use of laxatives is unhealthy’ 11 Confusion! The terms cathartic colon, laxative abuse, and use of laxatives clearly describe three different conditions. Use and abuse of laxatives could only be equated if every prolonged or habitual use, or both, were abuse. But this is not so. There is a considerable lobby against laxatives, which contrasts with the frequency by which they are taken as self medication. One of the bugbears warned against is ‘the cathartic colon’. This condition is cited in most medical textbooks. Yet, despite seeing many patients who have taken laxatives for many years, the author has never seen a case of cathartic colon. The same holds true for colleagues from other European countries. Therefore an analysis of the published cases of cathartic colon seemed interesting. The results shall be presented against the background of what is known about damage by laxatives to the colon and about laxative misuse.

Evidence that currently used laxatives damage the colon

Probably, the most frequently cited experimental study was done in mice with oral and intraperitoneal application of a senna syrup. 2 Unfortunately, details of the methodology (composition of the syrup, dose, duration of treatment) as well as data are lacking. A time dependent ‘degeneration’ of nerve fibres is quoted, which could be seen after silver staining. In contrast, no changes were found in mice and rats treated with sennosides orally using the electron microscope or immunohistochemical staining. 3–5 It has been speculated that the senna syrup mentioned above might have contained free (that is, not glycosylated) anthraquinones. These are absorbed in the small intestine and might be systemically toxic.

Axonal damage was found in constipated patients who had taken a variety of laxatives. 6,7 In patients with slow colonic transit abnormalities of the nerve plexus and a reduced or totally lacking reaction of the enteric nerves with a neurofilament specific antibody was observed. 8–9 When we treated rats with different doses of sennosides and sodium picosulphate a decrease in colonic tissue concentrations of vasoactive intestinal peptide and somatostatin was found after high doses of sennosides whereas concentrations of substance P were unchanged. 10 In contrast, patients with slow colonic transit had decreased rectal mucosal substance P concentrations, but vasoactive intestinal peptide and somatostatin were normal. 11 Hence, the changes in patients were probably not the result of prolonged laxative intake. Another study compared the morphology of the autonomous nervous system of constipated patients taking anthraquinones (aloe) with that of an appropriate control group of constipated patients without laxative intake. These authors did not find any relevant differences with respect to the electron microscopic appearance. 12

In summary, morphological damage of the autonomous nervous system of the colon by sennosides in glycosidic binding or by bisacodyl and related compounds in clinically relevant dosage has not been shown either in experimental animals or in humans. The abnormalities seen in patients are probably not a consequence of laxative intake, rather they represent pre-existent changes of unknown aetiology, which lead to slow colonic transit, which in turn caused the patient to take a laxative.

Laxative abuse

Besides diuretics, laxatives are among the drugs with a comparatively high rate of abuse, particularly in patients with anorexia nervosa, bulimia, and Münchhausen syndrome. The frequency of laxative induced diarrhoea among 200 patients with diarrhoea was 3·5%. 13 In another study in 27 patients with diarrhoea in whom a previous diagnostic procedure had not revealed a cause, laxative intake was eventually uncovered in a quarter. 14

The literature on case reports of hidden laxative use comprises more than 70 publications with 240 cases. 15 With few exceptions, patients were hospitalised for diarrhoea of unknown origin, weight loss, abdominal pain, or muscular weakness (due to hypokalaemia). Laxative intake was usually only admitted after confrontation with a positive finding at a locker search or with a positive assay in urine. The reported doses are therefore to be interpreted with caution. They are scattered over a wide range which goes up to 200 doses per day. The preferred drugs are phenolphthalein, plant extracts containing anthraquinones, and bisacodyl. More than 95% of the patients were female. No case of cathartic colon was reported among them.

Case reports of cathartic colon

The diagnosis of cathartic colon is based on a barium enema. The original publication of Heilbrun mentions the following characteristics: loss of haustration, pseudo-strictures (that is, variable sandglass formed spasms), dilated lumen, dilated terminal ileum, and gaping of the ileocaecal valve. If only part of the colon is affected, there is a predilection of the right hemicolon. 16 Other case reports were identified by a Medline search and by checking the reference lists of the literature regarding laxative intake available to the author. A total of 41 alleged cases of cathartic colon could be identified from 18 papers. 16–33 On the published x ray films the presence or absence of the characteristics of the cathartic colon was noted. In a few cases, detailed verbal description of the findings was also accepted if the barium enema was not reproduced or if the (usually photocopied) photograph was technically insufficient. Three of nine cases of Heilbrun were also accepted though not confirmed by a published x ray or a detailed description because of the particular awareness and experience of this author and the impeccable presentation of the other cases of his series. The presence or absence of a dilated terminal ileum and gaping of the ileocaecal valve could not be evaluated in
some cases because the terminal ileum was not filled with contrast. The minimal requirements to accept the diagnosis of cathartic colon was the loss of haustration in the right hemicolon or entire colon. In 24 of 39 cases pseudostrictures were seen, in 22 a dilated terminal ileum, and in 16 gapping of the ileocecal valve. Two of the published cases did not meet the minimal requirement of loss of colonic haustration and were excluded. 

Loss of haustration as well as changes of the ileum are not specific for the cathartic colon as they also occur in longstanding ulcerative colitis. In contrast, the variable sandglass formed spasms, called pseudostrictures, are not known with any other condition. Hence, it would seem logical that only those 24 cases with reported pseudostrictures were considered as confirmed cases of cathartic colon. On the other hand, an x ray without pseudostrictures does not prove their absence because they are inconsistent and may wax and wane. In addition, ulcerative colitis would have been unlikely to be associated with constipation and to lead to laxative ingestion. Finally, the profile of laxatives consumed is similar in patients with and without pseudostrictures, respectively (see later). Hence, the conclusions are similar whether the cases without pseudostrictures are excluded or not.

**Characteristics of the patients with cathartic colon**

All patients were female with an age range of 28 to 85 years (mean 55). The start of laxative intake was given in 35 cases and ranged between 1910 to ‘before 1960’. The period of intake ranged from more than 10 to 70 years (mean >26). Most of the cases were published in the sixties whereas most laxative abuses were published later (Fig 1). The reason for intake was stated in two thirds of cases. Usually, the amount taken was in the recommended range, and the patients mentioned the laxative intake spontaneously.

**Laxatives used by patients with cathartic colon**

No case of cathartic colon has been published in which laxative intake started around 1960 or later. This favours an out of fashion laxative as the cause of cathartic colon. In 27 cases when information about the types of laxatives was available, the most striking finding is that podophyllin was used by 22 of the patients (Fig 2), which makes it the most promising candidate. In view of the usually very long periods of laxative intake, namely several decades, it is easily possible that also the rest of the patients had taken podophyllin for some time without recalling or mentioning it.

**Podophyllin**

Podophyllin resin is obtained from the May apple (*Podophyllum peltatum*) and contains several chemicals among which podophyllotoxin is probably most relevant. It acts as a metaphase poison and resembles colchicine and vinblastine with respect to both structure and effect. Podophyllotoxin is still used in dermatology to treat genital warts. When given systemically it is a potent neurotoxin. The acute intoxication lasts for several days. It is characterised by a comatose state, which may be accompanied by paralytic ileus and bone marrow depression. Subsequently, a sensimotor neuropathy develops over several days and persists for at least months to years. Intoxication with podophyllin occurred when the solution for topical application was used intravaginally or was ingested accidentally. Other cases were reported from Hong Kong where podophyllin containing broths are used in traditional Chinese medicine. Finally, podophyllin as part of a laxative caused intoxication in a young women with laxative abuse for intended weight reduction (such a laxative was still marketed 10 years ago in Australia). Unfortunately, no light or electron microscopic studies of the enteric nervous system in cathartic colon are available. It seems attractive, however, that longterm intake of podophyllin containing laxatives in ‘therapeutic doses’ could have induced a neuropathy of the (ileo)coloncic enteric nervous system. No information could be obtained as to how well podophyllin is absorbed from the gastrointestinal tract and whether its laxative action requires systemic absorption or is local via the colonic mucosa.

**Conclusion**

The cathartic colon is obviously a historical entity unlikely to be observed nowadays. It was probably caused by podophyllin but is not induced by the currently available laxatives, even if they are used over long periods or in excessive doses. Cathartic colon must not be confused with laxative abuse and should probably not be mentioned any more in non-specialist textbooks.
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