Twenty years of childhood coeliac disease in The Netherlands: a rapidly increasing incidence?
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Abstract

Background—The incidence of coeliac disease varies internationally.

Aims—To assess the incidence of childhood coeliac disease in The Netherlands and to study the clinical features and the presence of associated disorders.

Subjects—Identified cases of childhood coeliac disease in The Netherlands in 1993–4 by means of the Dutch Paediatric Surveillance Unit.

Methods—Inclusion criteria were born in The Netherlands, diagnosed with at least one biopsy of the small bowel in 1993–4 and age at diagnosis 0–14 years. The data were cross-checked by the Dutch Network and National Database of Pathology and compared with data from a previous study on childhood coeliac disease, 1975–90.

Results—A total of 193 coeliac patients were identified by means of the Surveillance Unit, another 20 through the National Database of Pathology. The mean crude incidence rate of diagnosed childhood coeliac disease was 0·54/1000 live births, which is in the range of rates found in other western European countries and significantly higher than the mean crude incidence rate of 0·18/1000 live births found in The Netherlands in 1975–90. The clinical presentation was classic: chronic diarrhoea, abdominal distension, and growth failure. Associated disorders were present in 11·7% of the cases.

Conclusions—The incidence of diagnosed childhood coeliac disease in The Netherlands seems to have increased significantly during the past few years. In a period of 20 years no significant changes could be found in the clinical picture at presentation of coeliac disease in Dutch childhood.
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The variations and changes in the incidence of childhood coeliac disease throughout Europe have been described extensively.1–8 Several hypotheses relating to differences in feeding practices between countries,9 a changing feeding pattern within the same country,10 11 or a change in the clinical picture of coeliac disease,12 13 have been proposed to explain these variations. In a previous study we retrospectively investigated the epidemiology of childhood coeliac disease in a defined area of The Netherlands in 1975–90.14 Two conclusions were drawn from that study. Firstly, the crude incidence of childhood coeliac disease, 0·18/1000 live births, was low in comparison with other European countries. Secondly, there was a significant increase in incidence rate from 1976 onward.

The aim of the present study was to assess the incidence of childhood coeliac disease prospectively on a national level and to study the clinical features of the presence of associated disorders in Dutch coeliac children over a period of 20 years. We also wanted to investigate whether the increasing trend of the incidence would continue, and, if so, whether we could explain this phenomenon. Therefore coeliac disease was included in the Dutch Paediatric Surveillance Unit.15

Methods

THE DUTCH PAEDIATRIC SURVEILLANCE UNIT

This unit was founded in 1992 under the auspices of the Dutch Society for Paediatrics, following the example of the British Paediatric Surveillance Unit.16 The aims of the unit are to promote scientific research by involving all Dutch paediatricians in the surveillance of several disorders, to supply information on the healthcare system, and to improve the quality of health care on a primary, secondary, or tertiary level to acquire insight in background, prognosis, treatment, and prevention of the diseases concerned.

DATA COLLECTION

New and suspected cases of childhood coeliac disease throughout The Netherlands were registered prospectively during 1993 and 1994. All paediatricians working in general hospitals were requested each month to report to the Dutch Paediatric Surveillance Unit the initials, sex, and date of birth of the children in whom they had diagnosed or suspected coeliac disease. In the eight university hospitals a specific contact person was nominated to report. Private paediatric clinics do not exist in The Netherlands. When a suspected or diagnosed case of coeliac disease was reported, the unit contacted one of us (EKG). Then we sent a questionnaire to the paediatrician involved. He or she was asked to provide us with the data concerning sex, place of birth, pattern of breastfeeding, age at gluten introduction, onset of symptoms, symptoms at presentation, age at diagnosis, number of and date of the first biopsy of the small intestine, associated diseases, and family history of coeliac disease. If the paediatrician did not return the
questionnaire, contact was made again by letter or telephone. The privacy of the patients was guaranteed throughout the study, as was the case in our retrospective study in which informed consent was obtained to study the medical files of the coeliac patients.

To include a patient in the study the following criteria were used: (1) born in The Netherlands; (2) diagnosis of coeliac disease based on at least one biopsy of the small intestine, showing (sub)total villous atrophy; (3) age at diagnosis (first diagnostic biopsy of the small intestine) between 0–14 years; (4) coeliac disease diagnosed between 1 January 1993 and 1 January 1995. These inclusion criteria were comparable with the criteria used in our retrospective study, with the difference that the diagnosis had been made in the period 1975–90. The diagnostic criteria we used did not fully meet the requirements of the original or revised criteria for the diagnosis of coeliac disease established by the European Society of Paediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition, which require histological or clinical follow up. This is because only new or suspected cases of coeliac disease were reported to the Dutch Paediatric Surveillance Unit. Demographic and epidemiological data regarding the general population were provided by the Netherlands Central Bureau of Statistics. The emigration and immigration rates per 1000 inhabitants in the general Dutch population have remained stable over the past 10 years: in 1985 the immigration rate was 5.6%, in 1994 6.5%; in 1985 the emigration rate was 3.8%, in 1993 4.0%.

ASCERTAINMENT
The data obtained via the Dutch Paediatric Surveillance Unit were cross checked by means of the information provided by the Dutch Network and National Database of Pathology, as were the data from our retrospective study. In this database all pathological specimens taken in The Netherlands are registered anonymously (sex, age, and date of biopsy) and it comprises 100% of the Dutch pathological laboratories. The data thus obtained were taken into account in the calculations of the crude incidence of childhood coeliac disease.

DATA REPORT AND STATISTICAL ANALYSIS
The crude incidence rate of suspected childhood coeliac disease was calculated as a ratio using the number of children aged 0–14 years who developed villous atrophy suggestive of coeliac disease in 1993 and 1994 in our retrospective study 1975 through 1990 as the numerator, and the number of live births in these years as the denominator, expressed per 1000. A $\chi^2$ test for contingency tables, including comparison of figures obtained in different years in The Netherlands, was used. A possible linear effect between the clinical symptoms over a period of 20 years was tested with the Mantel-Haenszel test for linear association; $p<0.05$ was accepted as being significant. Results are expressed as mean values (SD).

Results
RESPONSE
Of the approximately 400 Dutch paediatricians who were contacted by the Dutch Paediatric Surveillance Unit, 91% returned the monthly report card in 1993 and 93% in 1994. The non-response was mostly incidental: in 1993 six and in 1994 two paediatricians did not respond at all. In 1993 and 1994 all paediatricians who had diagnosed or suspected coeliac disease and who were subsequently contacted by us, returned the questionnaire, resulting in a 100% response.

INCIDENCE RATE
In 1993 195 742 children were born alive in The Netherlands and 88 children were diagnosed as coeliac patients according to the inclusion criteria, resulting in a crude incidence rate of 0.45/1000. In 1994 the total number of live births was 195 616 and a total of 105 children were diagnosed as coeliac patients, resulting in a crude incidence rate of 0.54/1000 live births. Compared with our previous findings the increase was highly significant ($p<0.001$; Fig 1).

The incidence rates of childhood coeliac disease in the 12 Dutch provinces were mostly consistent with the overall incidence rate, varying between 0.30 and 0.50/100 live births over the period 1993–4. For instance, in the province of Noord-Holland (Amsterdam) and Zuid-Holland (Leiden, Rotterdam) the incidence rates were 0.40 and 0.43/1000 live births respectively. One of the highest incidence rates was found in the province of Utrecht (0.70/1000), the lowest in Zeeland (0.22/1000).

ASCERTAINMENT
All new cases of coeliac disease reported in 1993–4 could be traced back to the Dutch Network and National Database of Pathology, with the exception of three. In one case it was found out later that the patient was erroneously booked out under ‘colonic biopsy’, in another case, after contacting the paediatrician again, it seemed that after all no biopsy of the small intestine had in fact been performed. In one case the reason remained unclear. On the other hand, in the Database for Pathology we found 39 cases under the age of 15 with (sub)total

Figure 1: Incidence of childhood coeliac disease in The Netherlands during the period 1975–94.
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Discussion

INCIDENCE OF CHILDHOOD COELIAC DISEASE
THE NETHERLANDS

The most accurate measure to calculate the incidence of a disease is the cumulative incidence rate, in which a (birth) cohort is followed up for a period of time and the new cases of the disease of interest are registered. In other words, the newly diagnosed cases derive from the cohort that is being followed up. A study period of two years, as in this study is, however, too short for follow up of one birth cohort, even though in the case of coeliac disease most children in both our studies 60% are diagnosed before the age of 2. Therefore we computed the ‘crude’ incidence rate, using the number of live births in the study period as denominator. This implies that the numerator – the newly diagnosed cases – does not derive from the denominator and that it is in fact a ratio rather than a rate. Another drawback of the calculation of the crude incidence rate is that calculation of the 95% confidence intervals would provide a false accuracy: the crude incidence rate is already an estimate, as the numerator is no part of the denominator. However, using the crude incidence rate it is possible to compare the results of this study with those from other European countries and with our earlier study concerning the epidemiology of childhood coeliac disease in 1975–90. In this previous study we found a mean crude incidence rate for diagnosed childhood disease in 1975–90 of 0·18/1000 live births, which was significantly lower than the incidence rates found in most other European countries for that period, although in line with data from Denmark. A significant increase in reported incidence was found from 1976 to 1990; the incidence rose from 0·10/1000 to 0·32/1000 live births. This increase seems to continue, as we found a crude incidence rate of 0·54/1000 in 1993–4 (Fig 1), which is now in the range of other west European countries. Cases were included after their first diagnostic biopsy of the small bowel, which may mean that the diagnosis of coeliac disease was rejected at histological or clinical follow up of the patient. Because we did not contact the reporting paediatricians again after a certain period of time, we cannot provide data on clinical follow up. However, by means of the Dutch National Database for Pathology, we have been able to obtain information on histological follow up of 60·2% of the children diagnosed in 1993 and of 29·5% of the children diagnosed in 1994. This follow up showed that a total or nearly total histological improvement was found in 94% of the performed biopsies, suggesting that only a minority of the children will not have coeliac disease later on.

Although in most Dutch provinces an incidence rate of childhood coeliac disease was found during 1993–4 in the range of 0·30 to 0·50/1000 live births, some provinces showed differences in the incidence rate – for instance, 0·70/1000 live births in Utrecht and 0·22/1000 in Zeeland. The high incidence in Utrecht might in part be historically explained, as it was at the University Hospital, Utrecht that Dicke discovered that wheat was the component in the normal diet toxic to persons with coeliac disease and traditionally there has been special interest in coeliac disease at this centre since. The low incidence in Zeeland might be explained by the fact that it is a small province with few paediatric beds, no university hospital, and that the nearest referral center, the University Hospital, Rotterdam, is relatively far away.

The geographical variations in incidence rates in The Netherlands could also be because of differences in the evaluation of the biopsy specimens by different pathologists throughout the country. In our previous study we found similar geographical variations in incidence rates, although at significantly lower incidence levels.

The steady rise in the mean incidence rate of childhood coeliac disease in The Netherlands could be explained by several mechanisms. One possible explanation is that the rising incidence of coeliac disease is apparent and not real – for example, because there has been underdiagnosis of the disease in the past. For the period 1985–90 we have found a significant positive correlation between the yearly incidence of diagnosed childhood coeliac disease and the number of biopsies of the small intestine taken, suggesting an increase in diagnosis rather than an increase in incidence. It is possible that the wide use of endoscopy and endoscopy guided small biopsy capsules to take biopsies of the small intestine in children, which is less time consuming than using a Crosby capsule, has contributed to the rising number of diagnoses. Another possibility to explain the apparent rise in incidence rate in childhood coeliac disease in 1993–4 compared with 1975–90, could be that the data collection in the retrospective study was incomplete. However, the risk was minimised.
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During 1985–90 2310 biopsies were taken in children in the same age group from six Dutch provinces, 238 of whom showed villous atrophy, resulting in a ratio of 1:9.7. On the other hand, this could also signify a better selection of patients for biopsy of the small bowel by the paediatricians over the past 10 years, parallel to the increasing use of the serological screening tests for coeliac disease.

CLINICAL PICTURE OF CHILDHOOD COELIAC DISEASE

The overall clinical picture of childhood coeliac disease at presentation in The Netherlands is that of classic coeliac disease with the triad growth failure, chronic diarrhoea, and abdominal distension as predominant symptoms. This is further supported by the fact that over the past 20 years 60% of the children have been diagnosed under the age of 2, which is the typical age for coeliac disease to manifest itself. Since 1975 the mean age at diagnosis has been 3 years and this does not show a significant trend in time either. In Sweden, the European country with the highest incidence rate of childhood coeliac disease, most of the children, like the Dutch, present with the typical complaints of chronic diarrhoea and malabsorption before the age of 2. It could be assumed, therefore, that the increase in incidence of coeliac disease in The Netherlands depends on the increase of classic coeliac disease. However, to see whether symptomatic coeliac disease is really increasing as in Sweden a longer period of prospective follow up is needed.

A significant linear trend was found among the classic symptoms: abdominal distension was seen less, as was growth failure in both height and weight, whereas weight loss by itself was seen more often. This could on the one hand mean that a change is occurring in the clinical picture, but even 20 years of follow up is clearly too short to see in which direction, if any, this change is going. On the other hand, it could also mean that children with weight loss alone are now diagnosed at an earlier stage than before, or that previously these children were not diagnosed at all. In this study only a minority of the children presented with atypical coeliac disease or monosymptomatic disease (7%). Constipation and anaemia, often considered as atypical manifestations of coeliac disease, were hardly ever present as a sole symptom, but were combined with other symptoms. Asymptomatic coeliac disease was found in two children. However, these children had Down’s syndrome and as such were screened in a study on the identification of subclinical coeliac disease in children at risk for gluten sensitive enteropathy. Due to this study six children with Down’s syndrome were found to have coeliac disease in 1994. These children were analysed in the calculations of the incidence rate, but were left out in the associated disorders, which would otherwise have led to an overrepresentation with regard to 1993 and our previous study of 1975–90.

Information on diseases associated with coeliac disease was available in 93% of the
children diagnosed in 1993–4, and in 65% of these diagnosed in 1975–90: no significant differences were found between the two periods (Table II). Cows’ milk allergy, diagnosed by means of improvement of the symptoms after elimination of the offending antigen from the diet as is mostly the case in The Netherlands, was reported to be present in 6–1% of the coeliac children. This is higher than the 2–8% found in the general population of Dutch children. However, a high prevalence of cows’ milk allergy has been reported before among coeliac children. An association between 1–5% was found with insulin dependent diabetes mellitus in 1975–94. This is consistent with the reported 1–4%,29 30

A positive family history in first degree relatives for coeliac disease was found in 5–7% of the children diagnosed in 1975–94. This percentage is also consistent with the data on the literature, which report a positive family history of between 2% and 11%.31 32

Conclusions

The incidence of childhood coeliac disease in The Netherlands seems to increase whereas its clinical picture does not show significant variations. Many questions concerning the incidence of childhood coeliac disease remain unanswered and we can only postulate theories about why the incidence seems to increase rapidly in our country. Insufficient attention paid by paediatricians to atypical or asymptomatic forms of coeliac disease may result in an underestimate of the real incidence of the disease and active screening of these forms may increase the incidence rate further and implicate a changing clinical presentation. One possible measure to gain more insight into the pattern of coeliac disease is to study its true prevalence, including the silent and latent forms, by systemic screening (and taking biopsies from) large parts of the population.

Coeliac disease is a potentially premalignant disorder in adults33 and in children.34 Adhering to a gluten free diet protects the coeliac patient against the development of a malignancy35 and should be advised in all cases. The Paediatric Surveillance Units offer a unique opportunity to study the incidence of diseases in childhood at a national level and to make physicians aware of diseases that may lead to complications that can possibly be prevented by early treatment.
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