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Abstract

Background—Reduced bone mineral density in patients with inflammatory bowel disease is thought to be due to disturbances in calcium homeostasis or the effects of corticosteroid treatment.

Aims—To assess the prevalence and mechanism of reduced bone mineral density in 79 patients with inflammatory bowel disease (44 with Crohn’s disease, 35 with ulcerative colitis) who did not have significant risk factors for low bone densities.

Methods—Dual x ray absorptiometry was used to measure bone mineral density and serum and urinary markers of osteoblast (alkaline phosphatase, procollagen I carboxy terminal peptide and osteocalcin) and osteoclast (pyridinoline, deoxypyridinoline, and type 1 collagen carboxy terminal peptide) activities to assess bone turnover.

Results—There was a high prevalence of low bone mineral density (prevalence of T scores < -1.0 from 51%—77%; T scores < -2.5 (osteoporosis) from 17%—28%) with hips being more often affected than vertebrae (p<0.001). Reduced bone mineral density did not relate to concurrent or past corticosteroid intake, or type, site, or severity of disease. Whereas calcium homeostasis was normal, bone markers showed increased bone resorption without a compensatory increase in bone formation.

Conclusions—The greater prevalence of reduced hip bone mineral density, as opposed to vertebral, mineral density and the pattern of a selective increase in bone resorption contrasts with that found in other known causes of metabolic bone disease.

(Gut 1997; 40: 228–233)
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Patients with inflammatory bowel disease (ulcerative colitis and Crohn’s disease) have an increased prevalence of reduced bone mineral density compared with healthy controls.1–3 The bone disease can be documented by various techniques (transilial bone biopsy, radiography, CT, and single or dual photon or x ray absorptiometry) which differ in their sensitivity and specificity. Current consensus is that osteoporosis is found in 30%–45% of patients with Crohn’s disease and somewhat less often in patients with ulcerative colitis.4 The higher prevalence of bone disease in patients with Crohn’s disease is thought to relate to ileal and small intestinal involvement of disease or surgical resections causing vitamin D or calcium malabsorption, oestrogen deficiency, malnutrition, or treatment with cholestyramine.2+8 In ulcerative colitis and Crohn’s colitis osteoporosis is usually ascribed to corticosteroid treatment. Collectively it is suggested that the pathogenesis of bone disease in patients with inflammatory bowel disease is multifactorial. At the same time the patient groups studied have been heterogeneous in relation to the various confounding variables affecting bone metabolism.

Recently it has become possible to measure markers in urine and serum which reflect osteoblast and osteoclast function and hence bone turnover. Osteoblast markers, reflecting the rate of bone formation, include serum bone specific alkaline phosphatase, osteocalcin, and procollagen I carboxy terminal peptide (PICP). The osteoclast markers, reflecting the rate of bone resorption, are degradation products of type 1 collagen fibril cross links released in response to osteoclast activity, and include urine pyridinoline, deoxypyridinoline, and serum type 1 collagen carboxy terminal teleopeptide (ICTP).

We assessed the prevalence and possible mechanism of metabolic bone disease by measuring bone density with dual x ray absorptiometry (DXA) and by measurement of calcium homeostasis and markers of osteoblast and osteoclast activities in a group of patients with ulcerative colitis and Crohn’s disease who were not thought to be at significant risk of reduced bone mineral density and who had a low lifetime intake of corticosteroids.

Subjects and methods

White patients with classic inflammatory bowel disease (ulcerative colitis or Crohn’s disease, diagnosed by a combination of radiology, endoscopy, and biopsy) aged 20–80 years and attending a gastrointestinal outpatient clinic were invited to participate in this study. Patients thought to be at risk for metabolic bone disease were specifically excluded from this study – namely, those having undergone intestinal resection, patients requiring treatment for cardiopulmonary, hepatic, or renal disease, and those who had established chronic inflammatory joint disease (extraintestinal manifestations of inflammatory bowel disease, ankylosing spondylitis, rheumatoid arthritis, etc) or who were already receiving treatment for osteoporosis, patients who clearly had malnutrition (body mass index < 20), and patients receiving more than 10 mg prednisolone/day in the preceding six weeks or a
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Investigations

Routine haematological (haemoglobin, packed cell volume, white cell differential, and erythrocyte sedimentation rate) and biochemical variables (electrolytes, glucose, renal and liver profiles) were measured. Additionally, calcium homeostasis was assessed by measurement of fasting serum and urine calcium and serum phosphate (measured on a Technicon DAX 48 multichannel analyser (Bayer Diagnostics, Basingstoke, UK)) by standard methods. The normal ranges for routine haematology and biochemistry variables had been established at King’s College Hospital in cohorts of 100-300 normal subjects. We used the manufacturer’s recommendation for the normal range of parathyroid hormone concentrations (immunoassay, Nichols Institute, Newport, Essex, UK), which is based on a white north European population.

Bone density measurement

Bone mineral density was measured by a single operator with subjects in the supine position. The interassay coefficient of variation for spine bone density measurements using a Norland phantom was 1.2% and for hip 1.7%, by DXA (Norland XR-26 Bone Densitometer, Norland Corporation, Fort Atkinson, USA) at 2, 3, and 4 lumbar vertebrae. An average of the three sites was taken for expressing spine bone mineral density. At the proximal femur three sites (femoral neck, trochanter, and Ward’s triangle) were measured; results for hips were given as the first site only. To determine the prevalence of low bone mass in this group of patients, results for bone mineral density were expressed as the number of standard deviations above or below the age and sex adjusted reference values of young healthy adults (T score).

Reference values for men and women were supplied by Norland using measurements from a normal white north European population. This database had previously been compared with that obtained from 124 normal, local, white postmenopausal women (mean age 57 (SD) (range 51-62) years) with good agreement. Measurements showed a mean bone mineral density for spine of 0.93 g/cm² compared with Norland’s reference value of 0.96 g/cm² (p<0.5) whereas bone mineral density of the femur (0.79 g/cm²), trochanter (0.79 g/cm²), and Ward’s triangle (0.69 g/cm²) were equal to the Norland normal data base. A T score <-1.0 was chosen as denoting reduced bone mineral density (osteopenia between -1.0 and -2.5) and a value <-2.5 as severely reduced bone mineral density (osteoporosis: T scores <-2.5).

Osteoblast activity estimations

All blood samples were obtained in the morning after an overnight fast. Serum was stored at -70°C until analysis (within three months). The first urine sample after the overnight fast was discarded and a 30 ml aliquot of the next urine passed was rapidly frozen and stored at -70°C until analysed (within two months).

Serum total alkaline phosphatase was measured on an automated analyser (DAX) using a colorimetric assay with n-nitrophenolphosphate in diethanolamine buffer (pH 9.7-9.8 at 37°C); 150 normal white subjects defined the normal ranges.

Measurement of P1CP was carried out in fasting serum samples using a radioimmunoassay kit (Orion Diagnostica, Oulu, Finland). The method uses a purified and well characterised type 1 procollagen derived from human skin fibroblasts as a standard preparation and a 125I labelled tracer. The assays were performed with polyclonal rabbit antibodies as specified in the manufacturer’s instructions. The radioisotope was counted at appropriate channel settings in an LKB Wallac 1260 gamma counter (Kabi-Pharmacia, Milton Keynes, UK) for 200 seconds. Results were calculated by the LKB CALC programme using a spline smoothed curve fitting algorithm. The intra-assay and interassay coefficients of variation were 3.2% and 6.5% respectively.

Serum osteocalcin (bone gla-protein) was measured using a solid phase sandwich enzyme linked immunosorbent assay. The method uses micotitre plates coated with a monoclonal antibody directed toward bovine osteocalcin, a human bone derived osteocalcin standard
preparation, and a polyclonal antihuman osteocalcin antibody in the sandwich assay. The intra-assay and interassay coefficients of variation in the range of values 1.5-31.0 µg/l were less than 8% and 15% respectively.

Osteoclast activity estimations
Pyridinoline and deoxypyridinoline were measured in urine. Urine (1 ml) was hydrolysed in an equal volume of concentrated hydrochloric acid at 116°C for 16 hours followed by extraction with a CF1 column before freeze drying and reconstituting in 0.05 M hydrochloric acid. Separation was achieved by high pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC) with a Hypersil ODS (5 µm) column (15 cm × 4.6 mm, Jones Chromatography (Hengoed, Wales) and a mobile phase of 25 mM sodium formate, 5 mM 1-octanesulphonic acid, 1 mM EDTA, pH 3.25, and methanol (17.5% by volume) with a flow rate of 1.5 ml/min.

The native fluorescence of pyridinoline and deoxypyridinoline was measured using a RF 353 fluorescence HPLC monitor (Shimadzu, Dyson Instruments, Hetton, Tyne and Wear, UK) with excitation and emission wavelengths of 290 and 400 nm respectively. The peak areas were integrated with an SP 4400 integrator (Thermo Separation Products, Stone, Cheshire, UK). The concentrations of pyridinoline and deoxypyridinoline were expressed as nmol/mmol creatinine. The intra-assay and interassay coefficients of variation for these measurements were <7% and 15% respectively.

Measurement of ICTP was by radioimmunoassay using a commercial kit (Orion Diagnostica), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The standard and antigen were prepared from human bone and were thoroughly characterised. The intra-assay coefficients of variation of serum samples containing 1.9 and 6.3 ng/ml ICTP were 7.3 and 3.3% and interassay coefficients of variation were 7.8% and 12.6%.

Normal serum and urine osteoblast and osteoclast marker activities were obtained from a group of apparently healthy controls, varying in number from 40 to 124, who had a similar age and sex distribution to the disease study population. The data did not differ significantly from the manufacturer’s quoted data base, which is based on a large number of apparently healthy white north European subjects. There was no significant difference in the normal ranges from the various markers with age in men (age 20–80 years) or between men and women in the age range 20 years to menopause.

Statistics
Students t test was used to assess statistical differences between normal variables and those obtained in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. The differences between subgroups of inflammatory bowel disease were assessed by Wilcoxon’s rank sum test. Pearson’s correlation coefficient was used for correlation.

Results
Bone mineral density measurement
The mean (SD) bone densities for lumbar spine (L2–4) and hip neck from patients with inflammatory bowel disease were 0.994 (0.177) g/cm² and 0.815 (0.137) g/cm² respectively. Figure 1 shows the vertebral and hip T scores. Table I shows that the prevalence of low bone mineral density was significantly greater (p<0.001) in the hips than vertebral. The number of patients with severely reduced bone mineral density of vertebrae (osteoporosis: T scores below -2.5) was significantly less than hips (p<0.05). There was no significant sex

![Figure 1: Vertebral and hip bone densities in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. The shaded area represents the reference range for the young adult mean (SD), values of -1.0 to -2.5 being indicative of osteopenia and values <2.5 osteoporosis.](http://gut.bmj.com/)
difference (p>0.2) in prevalence or severity of low T scores. Vertebral and hip T scores did not correlate significantly with body mass index or duration of disease (r=0.22 to -0.23, p>0.05). However, patients diagnosed within two years (n=32) had significantly (p<0.04) higher vertebral T scores (r=0.47 (1.62) vs -1.23 (1.60)) than those with disease duration of over two years, but hip T scores did not differ significantly (r=2.04 (1.33) vs -1.99 (1.30)). There were no significant (p>0.1) differences in T scores for vertebrae or hips between patients with Crohn’s disease and those with ulcerative colitis or within the patient subgroups according to disease location (small intestinal + ileal + ileocaecal + ileocolonic vs colonic Crohn’s disease or ulcerative proctosigmoiditis vs extensive ulcerative colitis).

**Possible effect of corticosteroids**

The possible effect of steroids was assessed, firstly, by comparing the prevalence and severity of reduced bone mineral density in patients who were taking corticosteroids at the time of study and those who were not. There was no significant difference (p>0.5) between the vertebral or hip T scores between the two groups.

Secondly, vertebral and hip T scores did not correlate significantly (r=-0.18 and -0.11 respectively, p>0.05) with lifetime intake of corticosteroids.

Thirdly, we compared the prevalence and severity of low bone mineral densities in patients who had never received corticosteroids (n=23) (including untreated, newly diagnosed patients) with those of patients who had received corticosteroids. Figure 2 shows that there was no significant difference (Wilcoxon) for mean hip T scores between patients who had not received corticosteroids and those who had received a cumulative prednisolone intake <1 g, 1–10 g, and >10 g. However, of the patients with hip T scores <-2.5, two of 23 (10%), six of 20 (30%), 11 of 25 (44%), and five of 11 (45%) had a cumulative prednisolone intake of 0, <1 g, 1–10 g, and >10 g respectively.

When newly diagnosed patients were analysed separately, patients with ulcerative colitis (five out of six) had normal T scores for vertebrae and hips whereas six out of eight patients with Crohn’s disease had T scores less than -1.

**Calcium homeostasis**

Serum calcium (2.4 (SD 0.1) mmol/l, normal 2.2–2.6 mmol/l), phosphate (1.2 (SD 0.2 mmol/l, normal 0.8–1.4 mmol/l), and parathyroid hormone (30 (21) ng/l, normal <90 ng/l) concentrations were normal in all patients. The mean urinary calcium (0.27 (SD 0.24) mmol/mmol creatinine, normal 0.30 (SD 0.25) mmol/mmol creatinine) was slightly below the normal but did not differ significantly from controls.

**Osteoblast marker activities**

Alkaline phosphatase (74 (SD 22) IU/l, normal 30–120 IU/l) was within normal limits in all the patients and P1CP (129 (SD 58) µg/l) and osteocalcin (6.2 (SD 3.0) µg/l) concentrations (n=52) did not differ significantly from controls (130 (SD 42) µg/l and 8.7 (SD 4.4) µg/l respectively).

There were no significant differences (p>0.25) in P1CP or osteocalcin concentrations between patients with Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis or patients (n=19) receiving (10 mg prednisolone/day) or not receiving corticosteroids. The markers did not correlate significantly (p>0.3) with cumulative prednisolone intake and there was no significant difference between smokers and non-smokers.

**Osteoclast marker activities**

Figure 3 shows that pyridinoline (46.1 (SD 26.9) nmol/mmol creatinine (normal 22 (SD 10) nmol/mmol/creatinine)) and deoxypyridinoline (11.1 (SD 6.7) nmol/mmol creatinine, normal 5.2 (SD 2.8) nmol/mmol creatinine))
cross links were significantly (p<0.001) increased in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. Concentrations of 1CTP, however, did not differ significantly between patients (n=52; 3.2 (SD 2.3) µg/l) and controls (3.4 (SD 1.1) µg/l).

There were no significant differences (p>0.3) in pyridinoline, deoxypyridinoline cross links, or 1CTP concentrations between patients (or within patient groups in respect of disease location) with Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis or patients receiving or not receiving corticosteroids. Furthermore, there was no significant (p>0.3) difference in osteoclast marker activities in patients with newly diagnosed (within two years) or longstanding (more than two years) disease. The markers did not correlate significantly (p>0.3) with cumulative or concurrent prednisolone intake and there was no significant difference between smokers and non-smokers.

Relation between bone mineral densities and biochemical markers of bone turnover

Vertebral and hip bone density T scores did not correlate significantly with any of the osteoblast or osteoclast markers (r=between 0.22 and 0.28; p>0.1). Table 1 shows that there was no significant difference between bone resorption and formation markers from patients with T scores within the reference range and those with osteopenia or osteoporosis, although there is a trend for patients with osteopenia to have higher and those with osteoporosis to have lower resorption marker values than those that are within the reference range. P1CP values, but not osteocalcin, tended to increase proportional to the degree of reduced bone mineral density, but this did not reach significance.

A similar trend was found in respect of vertebral bone mineral density but again this did not achieve significance.

Discussion

We have shown a high prevalence of reduced bone mineral density in patients with inflammatory bowel disease which is independent of site or type of disease (Crohn’s disease or ulcerative colitis) and which is not simply due to corticosteroids. However, patients with very low T scores at the hip (<−2.5: osteoporosis) are more likely to have received relatively large doses of steroids. The mechanism of reduced bone density seemed to be due to increased bone resorption without a compensatory increase in bone formation, which differs from that found in other metabolic bone diseases.

The results need to be examined in the context of previous studies, but such comparisons are complicated by variability in patient selection, the sites studied (radial, vertebral, or hip bone densities), the methods of measurement, and expression of results which differ in their sensitivity and specificity. Nevertheless, it had been suggested that patients with inflammatory bowel disease at risk for osteoporosis were those with longstanding small bowel disease, patients that had undergone intestinal resection, patients with amenorrhoea (hypo-oestrogenism), or those on high dose corticosteroid treatment. Postmenopausal women, who are independently at risk from osteoporosis, were often included in these studies, further complicating the issue. We aimed for a rather more homogeneous group of patients who did not have the known risk factors for reduced bone mineral density apart from some corticosteroid treatment and tobacco use.

In keeping with previous studies using DXA the prevalence of reduced bone mineral density did not differ significantly between patients with longstanding Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis. There was, however, a noticeable difference in the significantly higher prevalence of reduced bone mineral density in the hips (cortical bone) than in vertebrae ( trabecular bone). This is a different pattern from that of postmenopausal women, where it is believed that steroid and tobacco induced bone disease, which affect trabecular bone to a greater extent than cortical bone.
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Pigot et al.16 and Ghosh et al.18 reported comparable severity of reduced bone mineral density in vertebra and hip and vertebrae and forearm. However, any preferential effect on hips in these studies, and others, may have been obscured by the heterogeneity of patients many of whom were at risk for reduced bone mineral densities for other reasons.23 The selective increase in two of three osteoclast marker activities further suggests that the mechanism of reduced bone density in patients with inflammatory bowel disease differs from that of postmenopausal women (in whom P1CP and osteocalcin are significantly increased)22 or that associated with tobacco use (no changes in osteocalcin, alkaline phosphatase, hydroxyproline, or pyridinoline).21 The failure to show a significant effect of corticosteroids or tobacco smoking on bone markers in our study may be due to the few patients studied or that any such effect is overshadowed by changes associated with inflammatory bowel disease.

We found that newly diagnosed patients with Crohn’s disease and patients that had never received corticosteroids have reduced bone mineral density, in keeping with the findings of Ghosh et al.18 who studied 30 newly diagnosed patients and additionally found no significant contribution of corticosteroids to the reduced bone loss on follow up. These findings raise the possibility that demineralisation occurs in response to the intestinal inflammation itself. One possibility is that spil over of cytokines from the inflamed intestine into blood,24-26 such as interleukin 1 or tumour necrosis factor (stimulate osteoblasts to release an osteoclast activating factor), interleukin 6, macrophage, or granulocyte stimulating factors (which increase the number of osteoclast progenitor cells) – lead to the low bone mineral densities.28 If correct, this would explain the lack of correlation between the severity of reduced bone mineral density and the bone formation and resorption markers as the first is the cumulative effect over years whereas the bone markers would be subject to short term control. Accordingly the average of three years from the onset of symptoms to diagnosis19 of Crohn’s disease would explain the higher prevalence of low bone mineral densities than that found in patients with ulcerative colitis in whom the diagnosis is made earlier. However, other pathogenic factors such as reduced physical activity and altered body composition may also be important and are not ruled out by our study.

Collectively we have shown a high prevalence of low mineral densities in patients with inflammatory bowel disease which is unrelated to surgery, type and site of disease, or treatment with corticosteroids. The greater frequency of low mineral density in hips than vertebrac and the pattern of osteoblast and osteoclast marker activities suggest a different mechanism of bone disease from other known causes of osteoporosis.