Endoscopic sphincterotomy in the young patient: is there cause for concern?

Laparoscopic cholecystectomy has become the preferred method for gall bladder removal with a significant impact on the management of common bile duct stones. In the recent past, patients undergoing open cholecystectomy would have undergone routine or selective intraoperative cholangiography, and if choledocholithiasis was found, this would have usually been managed by common bile duct exploration. Although there is an expanding array of laparoscopic procedures for removing common bile duct stones during laparoscopic cholecystectomy, they are technically challenging and not yet practised widely. The desire to avoid open surgery has increased the demand for management of bile duct stones by endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP). Endoscopic sphincterotomy is commonly performed before the extraction of common bile duct stones before or after laparoscopic cholecystectomy, although other techniques of stone removal without sphincterotomy are available for selected cases.

In the 1970s, endoscopic sphincterotomy was considered justifiable only in elderly postcholecystectomy patients who were at high risk of serious complications from open surgical common bile duct exploration. As a result, long term complications of sphincterotomy were not a major concern because of the more advanced age of this group of patients. However, with improved efficacy, demand and training in therapeutic biliary endoscopic techniques, there has been an acceptable expansion of indications for endoscopic sphincterotomy and common bile duct stone removal, even in the average risk or younger patient with choledocholithiasis before or after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. The potential increase in the number of young patients undergoing sphincterotomy raises concerns about its complications in the short and long term in this group, together with possible higher risks of sphincterotomy in the young patient with non-dilated ducts, especially when it is performed for sphincter of Oddi dysfunction.

Early complications of endoscopic sphincterotomy in young patients

There have been few studies examining the incidence of sphincterotomy complications in young patients. Unlike surgery, where the risk increases with age and co-morbidity, the early complication rate of endoscopic sphincterotomy encountered in 8-10% of patients may not be related to age. The major complications include haemorrhage in 2-3%, acute pancreatitis in 1-6%, cholangitis in 1-3%, and retroduodenal perforation in less than 1%. Mortality from the procedure is reported as 0-5-1%. with a 30 day mortality of 3-16% that is a reflection of the severity of underlying illness at the time of procedure. These figures compare favourably with open surgery, in which the incidence of complications ranges from 10 to 46% with a mortality of 1-8% in patients under 50 years of age, increasing to 5-28% in patients over 60.

At present, endoscopic sphincterotomy is performed with increasingly frequency in patients with small bile ducts (less than 8 mm in diameter). There has been debate concerning a reported increased complication rate of 38% in patients with small diameter bile ducts, although the population reported was dominated by young patients with suspected sphincter of Oddi dysfunction. A large, multicentre, prospective study in the United States found that small bile duct diameter was a risk factor for pancreatitis only when endoscopic sphincterotomy was performed for sphincter of Oddi dysfunction, but not for other indications; haemorrhage was not influenced by age or small bile duct diameter. Another large, multicentre, prospective study, again in the United States, reported that the risk of sphincterotomy for stones in young patients with non-dilated ducts was very low (2-2%) in expert centres, and in young patients with dilated and non-dilated ducts, the overall early complication rate was only 6%. Another series found an incidence of complications of 9-5% with no mortality. One group has presented a high complication rate of 14-27% with a mortality of 0-8% in young patients, although they were in relatively poor general medical condition and further details are not available.

Thus, the limited data to date suggest that the short term risks of endoscopic sphincterotomy in the young, average risk patient are probably not significantly increased, even in those with non-dilated ducts, and may even be less.

Theoretical long term risks of endoscopic sphincterotomy

After endoscopic sphincterotomy, biliary reflux of duodenal chyme occurs in most patients, aerobilia is seen in half, and bacterobilia in all. Most patients with bacterobilia do not develop symptomatic cholangitis, but five (20%) of 25 patients followed for a mean of 36 months experienced bouts of upper abdominal pain and associated increases in serum γ-glutamyltranspeptidase activities. In one study after endoscopic sphincterotomy 65% of patients had reflux from the duodenum into the biliary tree, detected by barium studies, although no clinical symptoms were observed in these patients in the absence of recurrent or retained stones. Another study found that 17% of patients with surgical transduodenal sphincterotomy had raised serum bilirubin and hepatic enzyme activities, possibly owing to reflux of chemical and bacterial 'irritants' into the biliary tree.

Refux of duodenal contents into the biliary tree after sphincterotomy is the consequence of reduction or abolition of sphincter of Oddi activity, as documented by manometry even when performed 15 years following the sphincterotomy. Cetta found that sphincterotomy...
Ikeda et al.\(^\text{45}\) found that of the 11 (4\%) patients who had complications, four had died from recurrent biliary sepsis and seven of the survivors had biliary symptoms, of whom three had stones at endoscopy. Clark et al.\(^\text{45}\) found that four (17\%) patients had recurrent or retained bile duct stones. In Cetta's study\(^\text{44}\) seven (12.7\%) patients had major symptoms. Two had no evidence of stones, whereas five had brown recurrent common duct stones. The other studies published to date have had shorter follow up periods of up to seven years.\(^\text{35}\) - \(^\text{48}\) Recurrent biliary problems were reported in 5–13\% of patients in these studies.

Only two studies to date have followed young patients after endoscopic sphincterotomy (Table). Bergman et al.\(^\text{49}\) found that after a median of 15 years 22 (24\%) patients developed biliary complications, with recurrent bile duct stones being the most common problem. Of these, ERCP was performed in 20 patients and demonstrated bile duct stones in 13 combined with stenosis of the sphincterotomy in nine, which were managed endoscopically or conservatively, or both. One patient underwent surgery after failed endoscopic treatment and one patient died of cholangitis before she could undergo ERCP. One other patient had pancreatitis. Tham et al.\(^\text{46}\) included patients who had both stones and sphincter of Oddi dysfunction followed up for a mean of eight years. They found that three (10\%) had further problems which included a pancreatic stricture from chronic pancreatitis, sphincter re-stenosis and cholangitis.

In a study of a slightly older group of patients under 70 years of age with a mean age of 55, Prat et al.\(^\text{50}\) found that 16 (10\%) of 154 patients experienced biliary symptoms after a mean follow up of 9-6 years. Of these, nine (5-8\%) developed potentially sphincterotomy related biliary symptoms such as papillary stenosis, recurrent common bile duct stones, hepatic abscesses, and cholangitis.

In summary, follow up studies of up to 18 years following endoscopic sphincterotomy have documented a 5–24\% incidence of subsequent biliary complications such as recurrent stones, sphincter stenosis and cholangitis.

### Long term results of surgery

Endoscopic results have to be compared with those of surgical exploration or re-exploration of the duct. The risk of long term complications with this procedure alone is substantial. From several studies, over a five to 10 year period, 14–36\% of patients developed complications.\(^\text{51}\) - \(^\text{56}\) However, if a drainage procedure was also performed, such as a choledochoduodenostomy or sphincteroplasty, the long term complications were less. After choledochoduodenostomy, over a three to 10 year period, the incidence of long term complications was described as 3–7\%\(^\text{51}\) - \(^\text{54}\) and after sphincteroplasty over a four year period was 2–9\%.\(^\text{54}\) - \(^\text{57}\) These series, however, are not strictly comparable with each other nor with endoscopic series as the spectrum of patients, definition of complications, methods, and completeness of follow up vary considerably. In addition, most surgical series report as complications only those patients who require another operation, which is most unusual after endoscopic sphincterotomy. From a theoretical perspective, a surgical drainage procedure may result in the same long term risks as endoscopic sphincterotomy. The long term results of endoscopic sphincterotomy therefore seem comparable with open surgery.

### Methods of removing bile duct stones without a sphincterotomy

In an attempt to preserve the sphincter of Oddi, other methods of removing bile duct stones have been described...
to avoid a sphincterotomy. Medical methods have been tried to relax the sphincter of Oddi using nitrates. In 21 patients, Staritz et al.58 were able to remove small bile duct stones of 6–12 mm following dilation of the sphincter by glyceryl trinitrate administered sublingually with no complications. Follow up manometric examinations showed the papillary function to be well preserved. A Japanese group used intravenous infusion of isosorbide dinitrate and were able to extract small common bile duct stones (most <10 mm, maximum 16 mm in diameter) in 15 (83%) of 18 patients. Pancreatitis occurred in one (6%) patient who had unsuccessful stone extraction.

In addition, attempts have also been made to remove small bile duct stones without having to perform any dilation of the sphincter, as reported in 15 patients with stones of a median diameter of 3 mm.7 One (7%) patient had mild pancreatitis after the procedure. Perhaps combination with other techniques – for example, mechanical lithotripsy to reduce the size of stones, may allow a wider range of patients to be managed without a sphincterotomy in the future, but such manipulations through the intact papilla are difficult.

Endoscopic balloon dilation of the sphincter before stone extraction has also been investigated. Staritz et al. used balloon dilation of the papilla in 15 patients, 11 for common bile duct stones and four for papillary stenosis. They extracted all the stones with diameters of 6–12 mm with no complications. More recently, an Irish group9 attempted extraction of small stones with a mean size of 8 mm using this method in 28 patients, with success in 22 (79%). Pancreatitis occurred in one (4%) patient. In their recent update of 100 patients, they were successful in duct clearance in 82%. Sphincterotomy was required to clear the duct in 7%, and 6% of patients who were elderly with large stones had stents inserted plus ursodeoxycholic acid because of failure to clear the duct. Pancreatitis occurred in 5%. Over a median 16 month follow up, 2% had recurrent symptomatic bile duct stones considered to have been unrecongised following the initial ERCP which were removed after repeat balloon dilation.6 In a prospective, randomised trial comparing endoscopic sphincterotomy with endoscopic balloon dilation, a group from Amsterdam reported that common bile duct stones with a median diameter of 10 mm could be extracted following balloon dilation in 90 (89%) of 101 patients, with an incidence of complications in 17 (19%) (one died from retropertioneal perforation), which was comparable with endoscopic sphincterotomy, whereas mechanical lithotripsy was required more often in the balloon dilation group (31% v 14%).9 Cotton60 successfully extracted small stones in seven young patients with normal sized ducts using balloon dilation of the sphincter, but it was not possible to calculate the incidence of complications from this report. Thus, balloon sphincter dilation seems to be a promising alternative to sphincterotomy in young patients with small stones in a normal sized duct. However, the question of long term sequelae remains unanswered. This method is currently being compared with sphincterotomy in ongoing randomised studies in the United States and Europe.

Conclusions

Endoscopic sphincterotomy seems to be a safe procedure for extracting common bile duct stones in young patients. The long term complications up to 18 years compare favourably with surgery, with up to 20% developing recurrent biliary problems which can be managed endoscopically. However, the very long term effects remain unknown and further studies in this area are required. Currently, this procedure continues to be justified in young fit patients with bile duct stones who do not wish to undergo open surgery. In the future, methods of removing bile duct stones while preserving the sphincter, such as balloon dilation, may avoid some of the long term effects of sphincter ablation, but these might be replaced by other sequences. The increasing use of laparoscopic methods of exploring the common bile duct during cholecystectomy will have the advantage of a one stage procedure for the patient but, in view of experience in the 'open' era, it seems unlikely that all surgeons will become expert in such techniques.
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