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Abstract

Background/Aims—To investigate the effect of the new Helicobacter pylori eradication regimen, ranitidine bismuth citrate (RBC) and clarithromycin (CLAR) dual therapy, on duodenal ulcer healing and absence of ulcer recurrence during 24 weeks follow up (overall success).

Methods—Two hundred and thirty two H pylori positive patients with active duodenal ulcer received four weeks treatment with RBC 400 mg twice daily alone (RBC400) (n=82), or RBC 400 or 800 mg twice daily co-prescribed with clarithromycin 250 mg four times daily for 14 days, followed by 14 days of RBC 400 mg twice daily alone (RBC400+CLAR and RBC 800+CLAR, respectively, n=75 for each).

Results—The co-prescription regimens gave high H pylori eradication rates determined using two tests (CLOtest™ and 13C-urea breath test) for the presence of the organism. These rates were 92% and 81% for RBC400+CLAR (n=62) and RBC800+CLAR (n=63) respectively, compared with 2% for RBC400 alone (p<0.001). With respect to overall success as estimated by life table analysis, RBC400+CLAR (89%) and RBC800+CLAR (87%) were significantly more effective than RBC400 alone (51%) (p<0.001). All regimens were safe and well tolerated. Trough plasma bismuth concentrations at week 4 were low (treatment medians less than 6.6 ng bismuth/ml).

Conclusions—Ranitidine bismuth citrate is a well tolerated and efficacious ulcer healing drug which, when co-prescribed with clarithromycin, affords effective H pylori eradication therapy and prevents ulcer relapse in most patients with duodenal ulcer.

(Gut 1997; 41: 181–186)
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Ranitidine bismuth citrate (GR12231X, Pylorid™, Tritec®) is a novel salt of ranitidine (cation) with bismuth and citrate (anion). It possesses both the antisecretory activity of ranitidine and the mucosal protective and anti-Helicobacter pylori effects of certain bismuth salts.1 The drug is as effective as ranitidine hydrochloride in healing duodenal ulcer2 and more effective in healing gastric ulcer.3 When evaluated in a pilot study in co-prescription with the macrolide antibiotic clarithromycin, ranitidine bismuth citrate greatly enhanced the H pylori eradication rate found previously with clarithromycin monotherapy.4 5 Furthermore, synergy between ranitidine bismuth citrate and clarithromycin for inhibitory and bactericidal activity against H pylori has been shown in vitro in checkerboard and time/kill experiments and in vivo in mice.6

In view of these promising results, we have conducted a controlled clinical trial using this dual therapy at different doses of ranitidine bismuth citrate in a larger population to determine the efficacy and safety of the regimen in the treatment of duodenal ulcer.

Methods

This multinational clinical trial was conducted in Belgium, Canada, Denmark, Germany, Iceland, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, and the UK. It was approved by the appropriate local ethics committees and, where required, the national regulatory authorities.

Patients

Patients aged 18–80 years with endoscopically proven active duodenal ulcer (5–20 mm) and H pylori infection detected initially by a positive CLOtest™ (CLOtest is a registered trademark of Delta West Ltd, Perth, Australia) on either two gastric antral or two gastric corpus biopsy specimens (one pair of biopsy specimens per slide), and who gave informed written consent, were included. If H pylori infection was subsequently not confirmed by a positive 13C-urea breath test (UBT) (positive result = excess delta 13CO2 >5 per mil) the patient was to be withdrawn from the trial. The following patients were excluded: those who had concurrent erosive oesophagitis, pyloric ulcers, gastric ulcers, or previous gastric surgery; those with a bleeding ulcer; those who had in the previous 30 days taken drugs which affect H pylori; and those likely to need treatment with ulcerogenic drugs. Other exclusions were for safety reasons.

Design

In a randomised, double blind, double dummy, parallel group study, patients received for the first 14 days one of three treatments for the eradication of H pylori infection: ranitidine bismuth citrate (RBC) 400 mg twice daily (RBC400) plus placebo clarithromycin (CLAR); RBC 400 mg twice daily co-prescribed with clarithromycin 250 mg four times daily (RBC400+CLAR); or RBC 800 mg twice daily co-prescribed with clarithromycin 250 mg four times daily (RBC800+CLAR). Doses of ranitidine bis-
Bismuth citrate were taken on an empty stomach; the clarithromycin was taken after food. All patients then received a further 14 days of ranitidine bismuth citrate 400 mg twice daily for ulcer healing and symptom relief (ranitidine bismuth citrate 400 mg and ranitidine hydrochloride 150 mg contain equivalent amounts of ranitidine base).

No concurrent therapy with antulcer treatments, antacids (except for low doses for up to one week during the follow up phase), antibiotics or antibacterials, gold, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, and other potentially ulcerogenic drugs or bismuth containing compounds was permitted. During treatment patients were assessed at two weeks for adverse events and compliance, and at four weeks by endoscopy, CLO tests on two antral and two corpus biopsies, and UBT to assess ulcer healing and H pylori status. Patients with unhealed ulcers were withdrawn, treated with other drugs at the physician’s discretion and reviewed 14–21 days later by safety assessment and UBT.

At prestudy and each visit during the treatment phase the investigator questioned the patient and noted the presence and severity of ulcer related symptoms (including ulcer pain) over the preceding two days. Severity of symptoms was graded as none, mild, moderate, or severe in relation to extent of interference with daily activities.

Patients with healed ulcers (defined as complete re-epithelisation of the ulcer and continuity of the duodenal mucosa) at four weeks were followed up untreated for a further 24 weeks. Patients underwent endoscopy and UBT at four, 12, and 24 weeks after cessation of treatment, or underwent endoscopy earlier if persistent symptoms developed. Breath test method was as for the variant of the European Standard Protocol,8 which uses a single sample collected by straw 30 minutes post-ingestion of 13C-urea. Patients with ulcer recurrence were withdrawn from the study and treated at the physician’s discretion.

SAFETY ASSESSMENTS

At each visit patients were questioned about adverse events which were recorded and their relationship to study medication was assessed by the investigator. Blood samples were taken from all patients prestudy, at week 2, and at the end of treatment (median 11.5 hours after the last treatment dose) to determine trough plasma bismuth concentrations. Bismuth was determined by inductively coupled plasma mass spectroscopy at the Elemental Research Institute, Canada (limit of detection 0.2 ng/ml).

STATISTICAL METHODS

The study was designed to have 80% power to detect simultaneously a 30% difference in overall success between RBC 400 mg twice daily alone and both of the co-prescription therapies (assuming that two sided tests at the 5% significance level were used). A total of 56 patients in each treatment group was needed; this was increased by 20% to compensate for drop outs during the 24 week follow up period.

Reported analyses relating to ulcer status include all available data from all patients with duodenal ulcer who were randomised to receive treatment. Analyses relating to H pylori status exclude only those patients with unconfirmed H pylori status prestudy, or for whom data were unavailable at a given predefined time interval.

Primary efficacy parameter

This was the percentage of patients who were healed at end of treatment and who then remained ulcer free during 24 weeks of follow up (overall success)—that is, a combination of the two clinical outcomes required for successful duodenal ulcer disease management. Cumulative overall success rates were determined by Kaplan-Meier life table analysis (using the observed ulcer healing rate and the proportion of patients who were ulcer free at each subsequent visit) and treatments were compared using log rank tests.10

Secondary efficacy parameters

These were ulcer healing, ulcer relapse, and H pylori eradication rates.

Intent to treat and observed ulcer healing rates were calculated by inclusion of all randomised patients (those not assessed were assumed to have unhealed ulcers), and only those who underwent endoscopy after four weeks of treatment, respectively. The healing rates were compared using Mantel-Haenzsel χ² statistical tests.

Ulcer relapse rates for all patients with healed ulcers (who were not withdrawn at the end of treatment) were determined from the number of observed relapses by week 24 of follow up (best case rate) and by life table analysis (worst case).

Assessment of H pylori status

Only those patients who met the strict criteria of having both a positive CLO test and UBT at entry were eligible for analysis of H pylori eradication. Collection of data on H pylori status was scheduled at a further four visits. Calculation of eradication rates was primarily based on data from the visit 28 days post-treatment, but in the absence of evaluable data at that time, an earlier positive result or later negative results were then utilised.

H pylori eradication

The criteria used for successful eradication (two negative results) were more exacting than for failed treatment (one positive result). H pylori eradication was deemed successful if the patient was simultaneously found to be negative by both CLO test and UBT at least 28 days after the end of treatment and with no prior positive post-treatment test. Patients were classified into two categories on the basis of their test data. Eligible patients were those with either two evaluable negative tests simultaneously conducted at least 28 days after the end of treatment, or with one positive evaluable test between the end of treatment and the 12 week
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**Ranitidine bismuth citrate with clarithromycin**

183

183

Male/female (%) 78/22 57/43 76/24

Age (mean (SD) years) 49(13) 49(14) 52(12)

Prestudy positive for

Ulcer pain at entry (%) 94 95 93

Previous ulcer history (%) 77 69 67

Drinkers (%) 69 57 57

Number of patients 82 75 75

Treatment RBC400 RBC400 + CLAR RBC800 + CLAR

Smokers (%) 42 45 48

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Therapy</th>
<th>Follow up (weeks)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RBC400 + CLAR</td>
<td>75 70 61 57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBC800 + CLAR</td>
<td>75 72 65 59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBC400</td>
<td>82 76 60 32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: Cumulative overall success rates—life table analysis. Numbers at the bottom of the graph are of patients assessed at each time point in the three different treatment groups. Overall success, the primary endpoint, combines in a single value the proportions of patients whose ulcer healed and remained so at the end of 24 weeks’ follow up.

post-treatment visit, thus including patients with a positive UBT who were withdrawn early or had an unhealed ulcer. (The CLO test was considered evaluable if there was at least one positive biopsy result, or if there were four biopsy specimens taken and all were negative. A combination of negative and missing results was classified as an unevaluable CLO test.) Ineligible patients were those whose results might be falsely negative (for example, tests conducted less than 28 days post-treatment, or a combination of the failure of one test with a second negative test). Two H pylori eradication rates have been calculated:

**Intention to treat eradication rate**

All randomised patients are included in the denominator and ineligible patients are thereby assumed to be treatment failures. This is the “worst case” and eradication might be underestimated

**Observed eradication rate**

In contrast to the above this may give a more realistic assessment as only eligible patients (for example, one positive or two negative test results) are included in the denominator. The effects of treatment on observed H pylori eradication were compared using stratified Mantel-Haenszel \( \chi^2 \) tests.

**RECRUDESCENCE OF H PYLORI**

This was assessed from the later development of a positive CLO test or UBT when both tests had previously been negative at least 28 days post-treatment.

Results

**PATIENTS**

A total of 232 patients with duodenal ulcer were randomised to treatment. The groups of patients receiving each treatment were well matched with regard to baseline measures (other than sex) (table 1). The presence of H pylori infection at prestudy was not confirmed by UBT for 17 patients, and 11 were withdrawn from the study; all were excluded from all analyses involving eradication of H pylori. Eighty nine patients withdrew before completion of the 28 week study period: 52 from the RBC400 group, 18 from the RBC400+CLAR group, and 19 from the RBC800+CLAR group.

**OVERALL SUCCESS RATE**

The proportion of patients whose ulcers were healed and who were still in remission 24 weeks after the end of treatment was estimated by life table analysis to be as follows: RBC400, 51%; RBC400+CLAR, 89%; and RBC800+CLAR, 87% (fig 1). The cumulative overall success rates were clinically and statistically significantly higher with RBC400+CLAR and RBC800+CLAR than with RBC400 (p<0.001). The results were not altered if those patients who were not confirmed to be H pylori positive prestudy were excluded from the analysis. In the second co-prescription regimen the higher 800 mg dose of ranitidine bismuth citrate did not increase the benefit of the 400 mg dose.

**HEALING**

The intention to treat healing rates after four weeks of treatment were high for all three regimens with a statistically significant difference between RBC400 and RBC800+CLAR (p=0.041) (table 2). Observed healing rates were 90%, 96%, and 97%, respectively, for RBC400, RBC400+CLAR, and RBC800+CLAR; none of these differences were statistically significant.

**RELAPSE ON FOLLOW UP**

Informal comparisons of cumulative ulcer relapse rates at the end of 24 weeks showed those for RBC400+CLAR (7%) and RBC800+CLAR (10%) to be significantly lower than that for RBC400 alone (44%) (p<0.001 for both comparisons) (table 2).

**HELICOBACTER PYLORI**

At least 90% of patients in all groups were H pylori positive on both CLO test and UBT before treatment (table 1).

In the analysis of all patients evaluable for assessment of observed H pylori eradication, both RBC400+CLAR and RBC800+CLAR were significantly more effective than RBC400 in the eradication of H pylori (92%, 81%, and 2%, respectively) and by intention to treat analysis (83%, 71%, and 1%, respectively). Of patients who were H pylori positive prestudy, eight, seven, and nine patients in the RBC400, RBC400+CLAR, and RBC800+CLAR groups, respectively, were excluded...
from the observed eradication analysis due to failure to return or lack of data for another reason (see table 3).

Failure of co-prescription treatment to eradicate H pylori could not be explained in most of the 17 cases; one of these patients took less than 80% of the study medication, but the remainder had plasma bismuth concentrations indicative that medication had been taken. (Conversely three “non-compliant” patients had successful eradication.) Pretreatment macrolide susceptibility was not examined.

**TABLE 3 Reasons for exclusion from observed eradication analysis four to 24 weeks post-treatment**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RBC400</th>
<th>RBC400+CLAR</th>
<th>RBC800+CLAR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(n)</td>
<td>(n)</td>
<td>(n)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Patients without a positive pretest</strong></td>
<td><strong>Patients without a positive pretest</strong></td>
<td><strong>Patients without a positive pretest</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UBT</td>
<td>UBT</td>
<td>UBT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients withdrawn due to adverse event prior to eradication assessment</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients withdrawn due to unhealed ulcer</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients failed to return/opted to discontinue</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients with only one evaluable negative test or two negative tests but earlier than day 28</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients evaluable for eradication at week 4 to week 24</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN H PYLORI STATUS AND ULCER RELAPSE**

Within each treatment group ulcer relapse occurred in proportionally less patients in whom H pylori was eradicated than in those in whom it was not (table 2). Thirty one patients (of the 180 who were healed, entered the follow up phase, and were assessable for H pylori eradication) had an ulcer relapse in this study. Only two of these were H pylori negative at least 28 days after the end of treatment (table 2). Ulcer relapse data are also presented in table 2 for patients in whom either H pylori status pre-treatment or at the eradication assessment was unconfirmed, but who failed to be withdrawn.

Forty one per cent (29/71) of patients who had unsuccessful H pylori eradication experienced an ulcer relapse, and at the time of relapse 31 of the 33 patients who relapsed (including two who were unconfirmed at the eradication assessment) were H pylori positive (table 2). Numbers are small, but ulcer relapse appears to occur later in the co-prescription groups than in the RBC400 group, perhaps suggesting a more prolonged suppression of H pylori.

Of the 180 patients assessable for H pylori eradication, 109 were deemed to have successful H pylori eradication; of these one subsequently had an ulcer relapse with recrudes-
**TABLE 4 Patients experiencing the most common adverse events during the treatment phase**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>RBC400</th>
<th>RBC400+CLAR</th>
<th>RBC800+CLAR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Safety population denominator</strong></td>
<td>82</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Patients with any adverse event</strong></td>
<td>29</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Patients with drug related events</strong></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Patients withdrawn due to an adverse event during treatment</strong></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Discordance**:

One hundred and five of the successfully treated patients had a later visit at which recurrence (or re-infection—these were indistinguishable as no strain typing was performed) could be assessed. Eight per cent of patients appeared to experience recurrence, though seven of these eight patients remained ulcer free during the follow up period and the possibility of a single false positive test could not be excluded for five of these patients. None of the four patients who were unevaluable for recurrence had an ulcer relapse.

**PAIN RELIEF**

The proportions of patients with ulcer pain at entry were similar for the three treatment groups: 94% for RBC400, 95% for RBC400+CLAR, and 93% for RBC800+CLAR. Severity of pain was distributed similarly for each treatment group. All three regimens gave good symptom relief after four weeks of treatment: 79% of patients were pain free following RBC400 (n=77), 84% after RBC400+CLAR (n=70), and 92% after RBC800+CLAR (n=72); the differences were not statistically significant.

**SAFETY**

All patients who were randomised to treatment took at least one dose of medication and have been included in the assessment of safety. The proportions of patients who experienced any events, whether judged treatment related or not by the investigator, were similar in all patient groups, as was the incidence of individual adverse events. Withdrawals due to adverse events during treatment were few and similar in the different groups (table 4). Observed darkening of stools during treatment and less frequently, black tongue, were noted in all treatment groups.

One patient reported a serious adverse event during treatment and was later withdrawn. The patient, who received RBC400+CLAR, had a urinary tract infection and renal colic which occurred on the twenty fourth day of treatment. This was not considered to be drug related.

The medians (and 95th centiles) for plasma bismuth concentrations at approximately 11.5 hours after the last dose of the four weeks of treatment were: 2.0 (7.7), 5.3 (21.1), and 6.5 (36.5) ng/ml after RBC400, RBC400+CLAR, and RBC800+CLAR, respectively.

**Discussion**

This blinded, controlled study showed that dual therapy with the new compound ranitidine bismuth citrate plus clarithromycin was both a well tolerated and very effective treatment, as judged by high overall success in the management of duodenal ulcer, which in turn was related to the high rates of *H pylori* eradication achieved. Our results are supported by five other multicentre clinical trials which also found this dual therapy to be highly effective in the eradication of *H pylori*.

Traditional bismuth based dual therapy is less effective than bismuth based triple therapy which gives eradication rates of 73–94%, but at the price of frequent side effects. Ranitidine based triple therapy is also very effective, giving 89% eradication. However, by using ranitidine bismuth citrate plus clarithromycin dual therapy we have achieved similar high levels of efficacy (92% eradication) with minor side effects.

As expected with an *H pylori* eradication rate of 92%, a high proportion (89%) of the patients who were randomised to RBC400+CLAR had their ulcers healed and remained ulcer free for 24 weeks. Eradication after RBC400 alone was poor (2%) and only 51% of patients receiving this treatment had both ulcers healed and remained ulcer free at six months. This is in contrast to earlier claims that bismuth monotherapy results in significantly lower duodenal ulcer relapse than does *H* receptor antagonist therapy.

Efficacy is expressed in terms of the clinical end point overall success, providing a single integrated measure that combines both ulcer healing and ulcer free remission for 24 weeks. This end point was selected in preference to ulcer relapse as patients were not re-randomised after healing, and thus a treatment effect could introduce bias after the initial randomisation process. Cumulative (worst case) relapse rates (life table analysis) can be calculated and the rate of 7% at 24 weeks after completion of the dual therapy treatment suggests that relapse rates are at the lower end of the range of those observed after triple therapies including bismuth (0–21% after 12 months) and after antisecretory triple therapies (9–41%).

The observed eradication rate reported here includes all evaluable test data from the 28 day to 24 week post-treatment period. A further 30 patients are included in the analysis compared with a previous analysis presented in abstract form, as the latter focused on data from the 28 day post-treatment visit only and excluded patients with less than two evaluable tests (although they may have had a single positive post-treatment test); such patients are included here.

Historical concerns about the potential toxicity of certain bismuth salts (subgallate and subnitrate) led us to pay particular attention to the determination of trough bismuth concentrations at two and four weeks of treatment.
Plasma bismuth concentrations after dual therapy with RBC-400 + CLAR were higher than after RBC400 alone, but nevertheless in absolute terms were low and without clinical significance.

Dual therapy for the management of duodenal ulcer needs to be simple, consistently effective, and safe. Omeprazole plus amoxycillin gives widely varying H. pylori eradication results: from 0 to 92%, the mean being 50–60%.21 Omeprazole plus clarithromycin is more effective (56–83% eradication)23 than the combination with amoxycillin, but to date only ranitidine bismuth citrate plus clarithromycin meets all these requirements. It is concluded that two weeks of dual therapy with ranitidine bismuth citrate 400 mg twice daily and clarithromycin 250 mg four times daily, followed by a further two weeks of ranitidine bismuth citrate 400 mg twice daily alone, provides ulcer healing and sustained remission from ulcer relapse together with corresponding high rates of H. pylori eradication.
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