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Abstract

Background—In outpatients and the community, an association between abuse (particularly sexual abuse) and irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) has been observed, but whether there is a causal link continues to be disputed.

Aims—To test the hypothesis that psychological factors explain the apparent association between abuse and IBS.

Methods—A sample of residents of Penrith (a Sydney suburb sociodemographically similar to the Australian population) selected randomly from the electoral rolls (that by law include the entire population of age 18 years and above) was mailed a validated self report questionnaire. Measured were gastrointestinal (GI) symptoms including the Rome criteria for IBS, abuse (including the standardised Drossman questions), neuroticism (Eysenck Personality Questionnaire), and psychological morbidity (General Health Questionnaire).

Results—The response rate was 64% (n=730); 12% fulfilled the Rome criteria for IBS. Overall abuse in childhood (odds ratio (OR)=2.02, 95% confidence interval (CI) 1.29 to 3.15) but not adulthood (OR=1.39, 95% CI 0.88 to 2.19) was associated with IBS univariately. Neuroticism and psychological morbidity were also univariately associated with abuse in childhood, abuse in adulthood, and IBS, respectively. However, by logistic regression, abuse in childhood was not associated with IBS after controlling for age, gender, and psychological factors (OR=1.34, 95% CI 0.83 to 2.17). The results were not altered by interactions between abuse and psychological variables.

Conclusion—There is an association between abuse and IBS in the community, but this may be explained in part by other psychological factors. Based on a path analysis, we postulate that abuse may induce the expression of neuroticism that in turn leads to IBS.

(Gut 1998;42:47–53)
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Irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) is a common and costly condition. Management of IBS remains unsatisfactory because the aetiology is obscure. Psychological factors and psychiatric disorders have been implicated in the pathogenesis but this remains controversial. Most studies have focused only on those who have consulted; two separate volunteer studies reported that psychological factors were associated with health care seeking by IBS sufferers rather than IBS symptoms per se, suggesting that psychological distress is not causally related to IBS. However, careful population based studies that have applied strict criteria for the identification of IBS have not investigated the association between psychological factors and IBS.

Since Drossman reported in female outpatients that a past history of sexual and physical abuse was associated with IBS, there has been increasing interest in this relationship. We have shown that a history of sexual abuse is linked to IBS symptoms in the general population. We and others have also confirmed that, in outpatients, a history of abuse is associated with IBS and may contribute to a poor outcome. However, it remains unclear whether the association of abuse with IBS reflects a causal relationship or whether other factors such as personality traits or psychiatric comorbidity explain the observations.

We have previously hypothesised that some individuals in the population may be “positive reporters” who are disposed to interpret normal somatic sensations as pathological. If this is true, then the association of abuse with IBS may be explained by underlying personality traits. We wished to test this hypothesis in a population based study. Our goal was to assess the association between IBS, abuse (particularly sexual abuse), the personality trait neuroticism, and current psychological morbidity.

Methods

The Bowel Symptom Questionnaire (BSQ) has been previously validated in Australia. The BSQ is a modified version of the previously validated and widely used Bowel Disease Questionnaire. It incorporates questions which identify IBS using the new standard, the Rome criteria. We included in the BSQ two validated psychological questionnaires. The General Health Questionnaire (GHQ) was developed for population surveys, specifically to detect...
Table 1  Questions used to measure sexual, physical, and emotional abuse

1  Sexual abuse (separate categories for childhood <14 years and adulthood ≥14 years)
   Has anyone ever exposed the sex organs of their body to you when you did not want it?
   Has anyone ever threatened to have sex with you when you did not want it?
   Has anyone ever touched the sex organs of your body when you did not want this?
   Have you ever had any other unwanted sexual experiences not mentioned above?

2  Physical abuse (categories never, seldom, occasionally, often)
   Original Drossman question
   When you were a child (13 years or younger), did an older person do the following:
      a) hit, kick or beat you?
      b) seriously threaten your life?
   New version
   When you were a child (13 years or younger):
      a) Did any adult or care giver hit, kick or beat you?
      b) Did any adult or care giver seriously threaten your life?
   Now that you are an adult (14 years and older) has any other adult done the following:
      a) hit, kick or beat you?
      b) seriously threaten your life?

3  Emotional/verbal abuse (categories never, seldom, occasionally, often)
   Original Drossman question
   When you were a child (13 years or younger):
      a) were you verbally abused, severely criticised, or insulted by any adult or care giver?
      b) were you bullied, threatened, or deliberately humiliated by any adult or care giver?
   New version
   Since you have been an adult (14 years and older):
      a) are you verbally abused, severely criticised, or insulted by any significant other person in your life?
      b) are you bullied, threatened, or deliberately humiliated by any significant other person in your life?

We included the sexual abuse questions validated by Drossman et al that we have applied in a US study of abuse and IBS. The Drossman physical abuse questions were included in addition, with some minor modifications (table 1). Minor changes in grammar and format were made to ensure comprehension by the local population. The referent terms used to identify the perpetrator in the questions relating to childhood abuse were changed to eliminate positive responses to minor events such as fights at school or bullying. While it is conceded that a child could experience some long term consequences from such incidents if they were repetitive, frequent, or severe, these events are usually qualitatively distinct from child abuse as it is generally understood. In the case of older siblings, it was our decision that for the purposes of this study, any violent behaviours by older siblings would be considered “abuse” only if they were in the position of being a care giver for the child. This decision was taken because in such a brief, self report format it is not possible to discover the minority of cases where violence from older siblings or school friends occurred in circumstances sufficient to classify as abuse. Similarly, the change of wording for adult physical abuse was made to eliminate positive responses on the basis of one off events (for example, mugging) or occasional violence from strangers or acquaintances (for example, crime related or job related), since these events are outside the realm of abuse as conceptualised by our research definition. Additionally, we incorporated four questions to assess emotional/verbal abuse based on our previous population based study. These questions have not yet been formally validated. However, they have excellent face validity, and represent a dimension of abuse which has been little studied in relation to gastrointestinal disorders.

Response options for the emotional/verbal abuse questions were identical to those used in the Drossman physical abuse questions (never, seldom, occasionally, or often). Subjects endorsing physical or emotional/verbal abuse occasionally or often were considered to have suffered abuse to increase the sensitivity of the survey, but the primary analysis considered the often categories alone.

SUBJECTS
A total of 1500 subjects was randomly selected from the Electorate of Lindsay list of voters. This area, which includes Penrith, Australia, and surrounding suburbs, has a population (in 1993) of 73393 (3.6% of the Sydney population). This population is demographically very similar to the Australian population according to 1991 census data, except that it is slightly younger and has a slightly higher socioeconomic status. The list of selected subjects was divided into three batches of 500 subjects, and mailings of the BSQ to them were begun at intervals approximately two months apart, for ease of administration.

Subjects were offered a $2.00 lottery ticket in return for completing the questionnaire. Subjects were given the option of refusing. Reminder letters were sent at two week intervals. At week 6 this included another questionnaire. At week 10, we attempted to telephone subjects who had not yet responded. Finally, the survey was closed at 12 weeks.

Of the 1500 people in the general community to whom we sent the questionnaire, 323 (22%) had moved, and were excluded on that basis. Another 42 (3%) were excluded due to serious illness, death, or language difficulties, leaving us with a valid sample receiving the questionnaire of 1135 subjects. By the close of the survey at the beginning of November 1995, a completed questionnaire was returned by 730 subjects, giving a response rate of 64%.

DEFINITION OF IBS
The Rome criteria were applied to identify IBS subjects. IBS was defined as abdominal pain...
that kept recurring over a period of three months or more in the previous year, in combination with:

1. One or more of the following symptoms: pain relieved by defaecation, often (more than 25% of the time); more and/or less bowel movements with pain, often; looser and/or harder stools with pain, often; and
2. Two or more of the following: more than three bowel movements a day and/or less than three bowel movements a week, often; stools very lumpy or hard and/or stools very loose or watery, often; incomplete rectal evacuation and/or urgency and/or straining, often; abdominal bloating or distension, often; and/or mucus in stools.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The survey design aimed to be able to estimate the prevalence of any gastrointestinal symptom to within five percentage points with 95% confidence. It was anticipated that all symptoms of interest would have a prevalence of less than 30%. To have no more than a five percentage point error around a prevalence estimate of 30% requires a little under 300 subjects. Since it was further desired to be able to estimate such rates with the same precision in males and females, a total sample of 300 x 2 = 600 was sought. The sample actually mailed ensured that at least this number was available for analysis.

The prevalence of abuse was estimated using the observed age and gender specific proportions of affected subjects in our sample. Age adjusted and overall age and gender adjusted prevalence rates were obtained by directly adjusting the observed proportions to the demographic characteristics of the 1991 Australian population aged 18 and over. Ninety five per cent confidence intervals (CI) were calculated based on the binomial distribution. Logistic regression analyses were used to assess the association between patient characteristics and IBS or abuse, after controlling for potential confounders.

Intergroup comparisons of discrete characteristics used the Pearson $\chi^2$ test while comparisons of numeric characteristics, such as the neuroticism score, used the non-parametric Wilcoxon rank sum test. Correlation analysis was similarly non-parametric, using the Spearman rank correlation.

The exploration among multiple abuse variables used backward stepwise selection. The estimated odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence intervals were computed via logistic regression. The possibility that the effect of abuse on IBS was modified by other psychological variables was examined through interaction terms involving abuse and the variables in logistic regression models. To assess the extent to which postulated causal pathways were consistent with the data, structural equations were fitted. Results are reported as standardised coefficients ($\beta$) and p values. All p values calculated were two tailed; the alpha level of significance was set at 0.05. All numeric variables in the structural equation analysis were standardised. The coefficients ($\beta$) represent a standardised measure (unitless) of effect of the specified independent variable on the specified dependent variable.

Table 2  Prevalence of abuse among Sydney adult residents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abuse</th>
<th>Women (n=390)</th>
<th>Men (n=336)</th>
<th>Overall (n=726)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Childhood sexual abuse</td>
<td>26.5 (22.1 to 30.8)</td>
<td>13.5 (9.8 to 17.1)</td>
<td>20.1 (17.2 to 23.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical abuse*</td>
<td>18.5 (14.7 to 22.4)</td>
<td>17.2 (13.2 to 21.2)</td>
<td>17.9 (15.1 to 20.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Often</td>
<td>6.8 (4.3 to 9.2)</td>
<td>3.0 (1.2 to 4.9)</td>
<td>4.9 (3.4 to 6.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional/verbal abuse*</td>
<td>11.0 (7.9 to 14.1)</td>
<td>5.5 (3.0 to 7.9)</td>
<td>8.3 (6.3 to 10.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Occasionally or often.

Table 3  Sexual abuse in subjects with and without irritable bowel syndrome (IBS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abuse</th>
<th>No IBS % (n)</th>
<th>IBS % (n)</th>
<th>Odds ratio (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Childhood exposure sex organ</td>
<td>14.9 (612)</td>
<td>18.2 (85)</td>
<td>1.33 (0.74 to 2.39)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Childhood threat of sex</td>
<td>4.9 (614)</td>
<td>6.1 (82)</td>
<td>1.26 (0.48 to 3.36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Childhood sex organ touched</td>
<td>10.9 (614)</td>
<td>14.3 (84)</td>
<td>1.36 (0.70 to 2.64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Childhood touch others</td>
<td>6.4 (614)</td>
<td>6.0 (83)</td>
<td>0.95 (0.36 to 2.47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Childhood rape</td>
<td>3.1 (614)</td>
<td>2.4 (83)</td>
<td>0.77 (0.18 to 3.38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Childhood other sex experience</td>
<td>2.6 (610)</td>
<td>11.8 (85)</td>
<td>4.95* (2.17 to 11.30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any childhood sexual abuse</td>
<td>20.4 (616)</td>
<td>27.1 (85)</td>
<td>1.44 (0.80 to 2.42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adulthood exposure sex organ</td>
<td>9.1 (628)</td>
<td>15.1 (86)</td>
<td>1.78 (0.93 to 3.42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adulthood threatened with sex</td>
<td>7.6 (631)</td>
<td>16.3 (86)</td>
<td>2.36* (1.24 to 4.50)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adulthood sex organ touched</td>
<td>8.0 (629)</td>
<td>14.9 (87)</td>
<td>2.03* (1.06 to 3.72)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adulthood touch others</td>
<td>3.8 (631)</td>
<td>12.6 (87)</td>
<td>3.66* (1.72 to 7.77)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adulthood rape</td>
<td>6.2 (631)</td>
<td>14.9 (87)</td>
<td>2.67* (1.36 to 5.22)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adulthood other sex experience</td>
<td>2.9 (629)</td>
<td>7.0 (86)</td>
<td>2.58* (0.98 to 6.60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any adulthood sexual abuse</td>
<td>14.1 (631)</td>
<td>23.0 (87)</td>
<td>1.82* (1.05 to 3.14)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI, confidence interval; n, number responding to question.

*p < 0.05.
**Results**

The mean age of the 730 respondents was 45.2 (SD 14.6), range 20–89 years. The present sample was composed of 54% young adults (20–44 years), 29% middle aged (45–59 years), and 17% elderly (60 years and over) subjects. This compared closely with data from the 1991 Australian census, which showed 56%, 22%, and 22%, respectively, in these age groups. Similarly, the sample was composed of 54% females compared with 50.4% in the Australian population. In terms of educational attainment, the sample was composed of 15% with tertiary qualifications, 37% who had completed secondary education (or higher), and 48% who had not completed secondary education. This is slightly lower than the Australian population where the corresponding findings were 16%, 53%, and 31%, respectively. The present sample was composed of 71% married subjects, 17% separated/divorced/widowed subjects, and 12% who had never been married. There was a slightly higher trend towards being married than the Australian population, where the corresponding figures were 56%, 15%, and 29%, respectively.

Overall, the sample appears to be demographically similar to the general Australian population and shows no clear bias towards local or atypical features.

**IRRITABLE BOWEL SYNDROME**

A total of 90 subjects (12%, 95% CI 10 to 15) fulfilled the Rome criteria for IBS, and this syndrome was more common in women (17%, 95% CI 13 to 21) than in men (7%, 95% CI 5 to 11; p<0.001). There was no significant association between age and IBS. IBS was associated with lower socioeconomic status based on educational levels (p<0.01).

**PREVALENCE OF ABUSE**

Sexual, physical, and emotional/verbal abuse were common in the community. Table 2 documents the prevalence of the different types of abuse. Tables 3 and 4 present the frequency of sexual and other forms of abuse in subjects with and without IBS. All types of abuse (sexual, physical, and emotional/verbal abuse) were reported more often by women than men (all p<0.05), and did not differ among subjects with different educational levels (all p>0.1). Sexual abuse was more likely to be reported by younger subjects (p<0.001). All types of abuse were reported less commonly among currently married subjects and more commonly among separated subjects, after adjusting for age and gender (all p<0.05). Figure 1 shows the overlap of abuse categories.

**CORRELATION OF ABUSE VARIABLES**

The separate items within the sexual, physical, and emotional/verbal abuse categories were generally moderately or strongly intercorrelated with each other based on Spearman rank correlations. Among the sexual abuse items, all correlations were significant among the childhood and adulthood abuse items, ranging from 0.10 to 0.62. Similarly, in childhood and adulthood the physical and emotional/verbal item correlations were all significant and ranged from 0.24 to 0.61.

**ABUSE AND PSYCHOLOGICAL FACTORS**

Overall, abuse in childhood (yes/no) was associated with neuroticism (OR=1.14 per point on the 10 point neuroticism scale, 95% CI 1.08 to 1.20) and separately with psychological morbidity (OR=1.10 per point on the 12 point GHQ scale, 95% CI 1.07 to 1.14). Similarly, abuse in adulthood was associated with neuroticism (1.20, 95% CI 1.13 to 1.26) and also with psychological morbidity (OR=1.19, 95% CI 1.13 to 1.25).

All except two of the sexual abuse items (threat of sex in childhood and other unwanted sexual experiences in adulthood) were individually associated with neuroticism. Similarly, all of the sexual abuse items were individually associated with psychological morbidity. The physical and emotional/verbal items were also associated with neuroticism (except for childhood physical abuse) and psychological morbidity (all items) (all p<0.05).

**INDIVIDUAL ABUSE ITEMS AND IRRITABLE BOWEL SYNDROME**

The individual childhood sexual abuse measures univariately were not associated with IBS except for the variable labelled “other unwanted sexual experiences” (OR=4.95, table 3). In a multivariate analysis, after controlling for other unwanted sexual experiences as well as age, gender, neuroticism, and psychological morbidity (all items) (all p<0.05).
Table 5  Distribution of age and psychological factors in subjects with and without irritable bowel syndrome (IBS)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>No IBS (n=664)</th>
<th></th>
<th>IBS (n=89)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean 25% 75%</td>
<td>Mean 25% 75%</td>
<td>Mean 25% 75%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td>43 35 54</td>
<td>45 34 55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuroticism (score)</td>
<td>3.0 1.0 5.0</td>
<td>5.0 4.0 8.0</td>
<td>3.0 1.0 5.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological morbidity (score)</td>
<td>0.0 0.0 2.0</td>
<td>2.0 1.0 6.0</td>
<td>0.0 0.0 2.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (% female)</td>
<td>51.1</td>
<td>72.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

25th and 75th percentiles of the distribution.

Psychological factors and IBS

We define sexual abuse as any childhood or any adult sexual forms—childhood and adult rape, and threat to life. In none of these forms of abuse was a statistically significant effect found after controlling for age, gender, neuroticism, and psychological morbidity. The possibility that sexual abuse increases the prevalence of IBS within a subset of the population was examined through an analysis of effect modification. Possible effect modifying variables for abuse were gender and other psychological measures. Sexual abuse was defined as any childhood or any adult sexual abuse as well as childhood rape or adult rape (the most severe form). There was, however, no evidence that the effect of the abuse on the prevalence of IBS was modified by any of the variables analysed.

POTENTIAL CAUSAL PATHWAYS

One of the most difficult issues to resolve from the results is how to interpret the abuse, neuroticism, IBS triangle. The relationship between abuse and IBS was not orthogonal to the relationship between neuroticism and IBS. Does this, however, represent a confounding relationship or an intervening variable, and if the latter, which comes first? A plausible causal model postulates that childhood and adulthood sexual abuse lead to neuroticism as measured at the time of study and that this psychological disturbance is related to the development of IBS (fig 2). We have therefore assessed the extent to which the data support this purported causal pathway using structural equations (path analysis). These showed that any childhood sexual abuse (β=0.10, p=0.01) and adult sexual abuse (β=0.51, p<0.0001) affected levels of neuroticism and psychological morbidity (β=0.20, p<0.0001 and β=0.62, p=0.0005, respectively) but not IBS. Similarly, neuroticism (β=0.14, p=0.0002) and gender (β=0.06, p=0.045) but not the other psychological factors explained the association of abuse and psychological disturbance in IBS.
Discussion

The relationship between IBS and abuse remains contentious, although a causal link has been postulated.19 We have explored this issue in a community study for the first time. To establish a causal path between sexual abuse, psychological disturbance, and IBS would require a prospective cohort study of thousands of individuals with a several decade long follow up period. In such a study the temporal ordering of events could be established and cause and effect inferred with confidence. In the absence of longitudinal studies, cross sectional studies, such as the current investigation, attempt to approximate longitudinal measurements with cross sectional measurements which ask about timing of past events. Two main causal models of abuse appear to be in contention (fig 2).

Our results suggest that while abuse is associated with IBS, the link with abuse seems to be largely explained by psychological factors. Neuroticism is a personality trait characterised by exaggerated sensitivity to physiological changes.21 It has been found to be a vulnerability factor for non-psychotic psychiatric disorders and it may manifest as physical symptoms in the absence of structural disease. There is also evidence that neuroticism is largely genetically determined.21 We found that abuse was associated with neuroticism and psychological morbidity, which is consistent with other data.19 On the other hand, IBS was strongly associated with neuroticism and psychological morbidity as well as a number of sexual and emotional abuse items. However, after we controlled for neuroticism and psychological morbidity, there was no significant association between childhood abuse overall and IBS. Similarly, sexual abuse in adulthood was no longer associated with IBS after allowing for these other factors. No subgroup effects were identified by examining interactions between abuse and gender, or between abuse and the psychological variables. Moreover, restricting the analysis to more severe forms of abuse did not alter the conclusions.

In our previous population based study which showed an association between abuse and IBS, we did not measure and control for personality factors or psychopathology, although we did adjust for social support and for state anxiety and depression using the Brief Symptom Inventory.9 We propose that neuroticism predisposes to the reporting or development of IBS symptoms by a subset of subjects. Although there is a genetic link to neuroticism it is conceivable that abuse is a cofactor leading to the expression of neuroticism (fig 2). This model is supported by our path analysis, a mode of analysis that tests the appropriateness of the direction of alternative causal relationships, so less probable causal pathways can be eliminated. This support should, however, be viewed as tentative pending further theoretical development and focused empirical studies as other causal paths could presumably be postulated. The results cannot definitively distinguish among all the possible inter-relationships between abuse and neuroticism.

Psychometric studies of outpatients have consistently reported that anxiety and depression are higher in patients with IBS.22 Chaudhary and Truelove first reported that “obsessional worrying about many trivial problems” appeared to be linked to both the onset and exacerbation of IBS symptoms.22 Latimer et al found that patients with IBS had neuroticism levels that were similar to those found in psychiatric patients.22 Nevertheless, it has been suggested that the apparent link between psychological factors and IBS is explained by selection bias, as more psychologically disturbed persons tend to present for care.5 The present population based study should have avoided this problem but we cannot exclude selection bias. For example, more neurotic or abused subjects may have been more likely to respond to the survey. We believe, however, that this bias is unlikely to have affected the present population based study as we had an acceptable response rate and subjects were unaware of the specific study objectives. Moreover, the prevalence of childhood sexual abuse identified in this study is consistent with the prevalence identified in two recent Australian studies.25,26 Fleming reported that among 710 women randomly selected from the electoral rolls, 20% reported childhood sexual abuse and 2% rape, compared with 26.5% and 3%, respectively in the present study. Mazza et al in a cross sectional survey of patients attending general practitioners reported that 28% had a history of childhood sexual abuse and over one quarter adulthood physical or emotional abuse.26 Thus, we do not believe that abuse was over represented in our population, and hence serious selection bias is unlikely. Our finding that IBS is linked to neuroticism in the general population is consistent with a recent prospective study that followed up postinfective diarrhoea patients; the neuroticism score was significantly higher in those who developed new onset IBS, suggesting that it is not chronic bowel symptoms that induce psychological distress but rather that psychological factors at least in some cases predispose to IBS.27

Abuse was reported to be very common in the population. Approximately half of the respondents endorsed some type of abuse history. There was also striking overlap among the various abuse categories (fig 1). The issue of how accurately abuse was measured therefore needs to be considered. We applied well described measures to assess abuse in the population, assessed a wide range of potential abuse experiences, and found results consistent with the observations of others.4 11 25 26 We also evaluated the severity of reported sexual abuse experiences (such as rape) as it has been shown that more severe types of abuse are associated with poorer health status.26 However, the questions may have been more broadly interpreted by the population than was intended. While the measures used to assess abuse in this study are widely accepted as valid,15 more work is needed.
to confirm their concordance with other measures and their meaning when endorsed by subjects from the population. The possibility that in some cases psychological distress may lead to some persons misattributing certain experiences as abuse needs to be formally tested. In this study, however, subjects were not asked if they had been subject to abuse, but rather were asked to identify value neutral experiences so that we believe such a bias is unlikely.

The strengths of this study include the fact that it was population based. As those who do seek care may be more psychologically disturbed as shown in studies from the USA, investigations confined to outpatients may be misleading when trying to unravel the link between abuse and IBS. We have been able to show that responders were similar to the Australian population, suggesting that the sample was reasonably representative and hence the results are likely to be generalisable. Moreover, we used a validated set of measures. However, detailed psychological and psychiatric measures were not applied as this was impractical. Moreover, other “buffering” variables such as the family environment, level of social support, coping strategies, and the reaction of the subject to the trauma could not be taken into account. Prospective studies are now needed to explore the exact relationship between psychological factors, abuse, and IBS in the population.

In conclusion, the association of abuse with IBS in the general population appears to be explained in part by neuroticism and psychosocial morbidity. A reasonable causal pathway supported by the data is that abuse leads to the expression of neuroticism which in turn leads to IBS, but prospective data are now required.
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