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Abstract

Background—The integrity of the gastrointestinal mucosa is a key element in preventing systemic absorption of enteral toxins and bacteria. In the critically ill, breakdown of gut barrier function may fuel sepsis. Malnourished patients have an increased risk of postoperative sepsis; however, the effects of malnutrition on intestinal barrier function in man are unknown. Aims—To quantify intestinal barrier function, endotoxin exposure, and the acute phase cytokine response in malnourished patients. Patients—Malnourished and well nourished hospitalised patients. Methods—Gastrointestinal permeability was measured in malnourished patients and well nourished controls using the lactulose:mannitol test. Endoscopic biopsy specimens were stained and morphological and immunohistochemical features graded. The polymerase chain reaction was used to determine mucosal cytokine expression. The immunoglobulin G antibody response to endotoxin and serum interleukin 6 were measured by enzyme linked immunosorbent assay. Results—There was a significant increase in intestinal permeability in the malnourished patients in association with phenotypic and molecular evidence of activation of lamina propria mononuclear cells and enterocytes, and a heightened acute phase response. Conclusions—Intestinal barrier function is significantly compromised in malnourished patients, but the clinical significance is unclear. (Gut 1998;42:396–401)
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Protein malnutrition is prevalent in hospitalised patients. The enhanced susceptibility of malnourished patients to infection and postoperative sepsis has long been recognised and attributed predominantly to impaired systemic immune function. The importance of gastrointestinal defences, in particular mucosal immune function and barrier activity against endogenous microbes and toxins, is increasingly being recognised as integral to the modern view of sepsis and organ failure. Evidence from experimental models and clinical kwashiorkor suggests that malnutrition alters mucosal immune defences and compromises the gut’s barrier function against invasion by microorganisms or their products. In animal models, malnutrition is associated with villous atrophy and loss of intestinal weight, protein and RNA content, macromolecule transepithelial absorption, abnormal mucin, reduced secretory immunoglobulin A (s-IgA), and impaired efficacy of oral vaccines. Increased transport of macromolecules has been observed in biopsy specimens from malnourished children, as well as reduced s-IgA levels and affinity and reduced efficacy of oral vaccines.

There is little information on gastrointestinal defences in malnourished patients in the developed world. Reynolds et al reported increased levels of systemic immunoglobulin G (IgG) antibodies to the food proteins β-lactoglobulin and gliadin in malnourished patients, indirectly suggesting altered mucosal immunity. The aim of this study was to determine whether gut barrier function was impaired in malnourished patients and to investigate whether altered barrier function was associated with changes in intestinal immune function and morphology. In the light of the current model of sepsis, an attempt was made to determine whether increased intestinal permeability was associated with evidence of endotoxin exposure or a heightened acute phase response.

Materials and methods

Patients

Ethical approval was obtained from the ethics committee of St James’s University Hospital, according to the Helsinki agreement. Fifty three patients were studied. Each patient was categorised as being well nourished (control), mild/moderately malnourished, or severely malnourished according to two parameters. The first, subjective global assessment (SGA), is based on history and examination by two independent clinicians without knowledge of anthropometric or biochemical data. The second parameter was defined as a score of 98.5 or less on the nutritional risk index (NRI), calculated according to the following formula: (1.519 × plasma albumin (g/l)) + (0.417 × (current weight/usual weight)) − 100. This was further categorised as mild/moderate (83.5 ≤ NRI score < 98.5) or severe (NRI < 83.5). Postoperative patients, patients with evidence of infection or sepsis, patients receiving total parenteral nutrition, patients taking steroids or non-steroidal inflammatory drugs, and patients with peptic ulcer disease, inflammatory bowel disease, or jaundice were excluded from the study.

Intestinal permeability

Intestinal permeability was quantified using the lactulose:mannitol (L:M) test. The prin-
Table 1 Primary antibodies used

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibody</th>
<th>Cellulardistribution</th>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Dilution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CD3</td>
<td>Tcells</td>
<td>Dako</td>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal</td>
<td>1 in 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD68</td>
<td>Late activated Tcells</td>
<td>Dako</td>
<td>Mouse monoclonal IgG1</td>
<td>1 in 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLA-DR</td>
<td>B cells, activated Tcells, macrophages</td>
<td>Dako</td>
<td>Mouse monoclonal IgG1</td>
<td>1 in 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IgA</td>
<td>Secretory IgA</td>
<td>Dako</td>
<td>Rabbit polyclonal</td>
<td>1 in 500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Dako, High Wycombe, Bucks, UK.
Table 2  PCR primer sequences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Primer sequence 5' → 3'</th>
<th>PCR product size (base pairs)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HLA-DR</td>
<td>TCA TCC AGG CGG AGT TCT ATCTGT TTC TGA</td>
<td>312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF-α</td>
<td>CAC TCC TGT GGT GAG GGA GAG TTC CCC AGCCT TGG TCT GGT AGG AGA CG</td>
<td>325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-10</td>
<td>AGT GCC CAG CCA GAT GTC TCCCT GGG GGA GAA</td>
<td>223</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3PDH</td>
<td>GAG TCA AGG GAT TTG GTC GTTTC CCG TCC TCA GCC TTG AC</td>
<td>177</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TNF, tumour necrosis factor; IL-10, interleukin 10; G3PDH, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase.

Biometric Personal Thermo-cycler (Biometra Ltd, Maidstone, Kent, UK). PCR product was electrophoresed on a 2% agarose gel (ICN Biochemicals Inc, OH, USA), visualized by ethidium bromide fluorescence, and quantified by computerised image analysis (Gelbase/ Gelblot software (version 2.1); UVP Products, Cambridge, UK). All PCR experiments were performed in triplicate, and the mean ratio for each patient calculated to ensure reproducibility of results.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Data are expressed as medians with interquartile range in parentheses. Statistical analysis was performed using Statview software (Apple computers Inc, Abacus Concepts Inc, Berkeley, CA, USA). Non-parametric analysis was performed using the Kruskal-Wallis and Mann-Whitney U tests. Correlation was determined using linear regression analysis. A value of p<0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

PATIENT DEMOGRAPHICS

Table 3 shows the characteristics of the control and malnourished patients. The groups were matched for age, sex, and the presence of neoplastic disease. By SGA, 22 patients were considered well nourished, 20 were mild or moderately malnourished, and 11 were severely malnourished. The median plasma albumin level was significantly decreased in the malnourished groups compared with the controls. There was a significant and sequential reduction in median NRI from the control patients (99 [90–102]), through the mild/ moderately malnourished (90 [86–96]), to the severely malnourished patients (81 [70–86]) (p = 0.001, Kruskal-Wallis).

INTESTINAL PERMEABILITY

Figure 1 shows the urinary L:M ratios in the three patient groups. The median L:M ratio in the control patients was 0.012 (0.004–0.022), which is within normal limits. In contrast, there was a significant increase in L:M ratio in both the mild/moderately malnourished patients (0.068 (0.021–0.227)) and the severely malnourished patients (0.056 (0.018–0.423)) compared with the controls (p = 0.009, Kruskal-Wallis). While there was no significant difference in intestinal permeability between the two malnourished patient groups, linear regression analysis showed a significant (p = 0.006) inverse correlation between L:M ratio and nutritional status, as defined by NRI.

INTESTINAL MORPHOLOGY

Intestinal histological and immunohistochemical examination was performed in 12 control and 11 malnourished (six mild/moderate, five severe) patients. Histological findings were similar in both groups. There was no evidence of villous atrophy or mucosal disruption in any patient. Villous height was 398 (370–418) µm in the well nourished group compared with 384 (361–439) µm in the malnourished patients (p = 0.2). Crypt depth was 77 (63–86) µm in the well nourished group and 100 (73–143) µm in the malnourished group (p = 0.07).

INTESTINAL IMMUNOHISTOCHEMISTRY

Table 4 gives the mucosal immunohistochemistry scores. There was no significant difference...
between groups in expression of s-IgA. There was a significant (p<0.01) increase in CD3 positive cells (T cells) in the mucosa of the malnourished patients. There was also a significant (p<0.01) increase in CD68 positive cells (macrophages) infiltrating the lamina propria in sections from malnourished patients.

Expression of the activation marker HLA-DR was significantly (p<0.01) increased on lamina propria mononuclear cells (lymphocytes and macrophages) in the malnourished group. An additional feature was the significant (p<0.01) upregulation of enterocyte HLA-DR expression on intestinal epithelial cells from malnourished patients (fig 2). There was no significant increase in T cells expressing the late activation marker, CD30.

MUCOSAL GENE EXPRESSION

Table 5 gives the results of the reverse transcriptase PCR based experiments. This was conducted in 16 controls and 13 (seven mild/moderate, six severe) malnourished patients. In keeping with the immunohistochemical data, there was a significant (p<0.05) increase in mucosal expression of HLA-DR in the malnourished patients. There was a significant reduction in mucosal IL-10 expression in the malnourished patients (p<0.05 v controls). There was no difference between groups in expression of the TNF-α gene.

ANTI-ENDOTOXIN LEVELS AND THE ACUTE PHASE RESPONSE

The median IgG EndoCAb in the well nourished patients was 101 (59–158) MU/ml. No significant increase in IgG EndoCAb was evident in either the mild/moderately malnourished (155 (78–295) MU/ml) or the severely malnourished patients (158 (59–242) MU/ml) (p = 0.29 v controls, Kruskal-Wallis).

There was a significant and sequential increase (p<0.0001) in IL-6, from the controls (3 (3–7) pg/ml), through the mild/moderately malnourished (6 (4–13) pg/ml) to the severely malnourished patients (27 (23–40) pg/ml). Similarly, a significant (p<0.05) increase in CRP was evident in severely malnourished patients, with median values of 7 (6–15) g/l in the controls, 6 (5–33) g/l in the mild/moderately malnourished, and 28 (16–54) g/l in the severely malnourished patients. There was a significant inverse correlation (p = 0.0006, r = 0.47, linear regression) between IL-6 and nutritional status, determined by NRI. Furthermore, there was a significant positive correlation (p = 0.002, r = 0.45, linear regression) between IL-6 and L:M ratio between groups in expression of s-IgA.

Table 4 Immunohistochemistry results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Controls (n=12)</th>
<th>Malnourished (n=11)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Secretory IgA</td>
<td>2 (2–2)</td>
<td>2 (2–2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD3</td>
<td>2 (1–2)</td>
<td>3 (2–3)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD68</td>
<td>2 (2–2)</td>
<td>3 (3–3)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD30</td>
<td>2 (2–2)</td>
<td>2 (2–3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLA-DR (lamina propria mononuclear cells)</td>
<td>3 (2–3)</td>
<td>3 (3–3)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HLA-DR (epithelial cells)</td>
<td>0 (0–1)</td>
<td>3 (3–3)**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are medians, with interquartile range in parentheses. **p < 0.01, Mann–Whitney U test.

Figure 2 Frozen sections of human duodenal mucosa stained with anti-HLA-DR monoclonal antibody (original magnification × 140). A section from a malnourished patient (A) shows intense HLA-DR expression on both lamina propria mononuclear cells and enterocytes. A section from a control patient (B) shows less HLA-DR expression in the lamina propria cells and little detectable HLA-DR expression in enterocytes.

Table 5 Mucosal gene expression

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Controls (n=16)</th>
<th>Malnourished (n=13)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HLA-DR : G3PDH</td>
<td>0.81 (0.62–0.95)</td>
<td>1.01 (0.85–1.07)**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNF-α : G3PDH</td>
<td>0.12 (ND–0.38)</td>
<td>0.15 (ND–0.63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-10 : G3PDH</td>
<td>0.68 (0.58–1.05)</td>
<td>0.39 (ND–0.73)**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are median PCR product ratio (interquartile range). *p < 0.05, Mann–Whitney U test. ND, not detectable; TNF, tumour necrosis factor; IL-10, interleukin 10; G3PDH, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase.

Discussion

The aim of this study was to determine whether the consistent experimental data that identify impaired gut barrier function in malnutrition could be corroborated in malnourished humans. Malnutrition is an independent risk factor for infection and sepsis, especially after operative trauma. The study hypothesis was that altered gut barrier function and mucosal immunology would be important in the malnourished patient, and therefore a comprehensive investigation of mucosal immunology, gut barrier function, and analysis of the sequelae of altered barrier function was performed. The study showed an increase in intestinal permeability in malnourished patients in association with phenotypic and molecular evidence of activation of lamina propria mononuclear cells and enterocytes, and a heightened acute phase response. The study thus confirmed experimental observations, but may have added significance in that the changes demonstrated occurred in a relevant group of hospital patients rather than at the extremes of malnutrition induced experimentally or observed in kwashiorkor.
The increase in intestinal permeability inversely correlated with the NRI score, an index of the severity of malnutrition and a validated parameter of operative risk.19 In the present study, the increased L:M ratio was due to a relative increase in the amount of lactulose absorbed through the paracellular pathway, representing absorption through the tight junctions or cell extrusion zones and areas of damaged mucosa. In animal models, protein malnutrition in rats resulted in increased intestinal transport of bovine serum albumin.11 Moreover, bacterial translocation and mortality from sepsis was enhanced in malnourished animals challenged with proinflammatory stimuli,27 28 and bacterial adherence to mucosal epithelial cells was increased.29 In malnourished children, Heyman et al30 showed increased transport of the horseradish peroxidase antigen in jejunal biopsy specimens. In the only directly comparable clinical studies, the data are inconsistent: Maxton et al31 studied five malnourished patients who were receiving long term enteral nutrition and showed that four of five patients had increased urinary lactulose:rhamnose ratios. However, Elia et al31 could not confirm this observation in patients following total starvation or very low energy diets. It is not known if increased intestinal permeability correlates with an increased potential for bacterial translocation in humans.

The difference between malnourished and well nourished patients in our investigation is clear, however, and, if comparable with other conditions associated with increased intestinal permeability,32 33 may be associated with an increased risk of the systemic inflammatory response syndrome and sepsis.

The mechanism underlying increased permeability did not appear to be related to altered morphology, since there was no evidence of villous shortening or mucosal atrophy, although potential ultrastructural changes were not studied. While malnutrition in experimental animals and in kwashiorkor may produce villous atrophy, this study and others suggest that gross villous architecture is preserved in malnourished hospital patients.34 35

Impaired gut barrier function was closely associated with abnormal gastrointestinal mucosal immunology. The mechanism appeared to be related to a relative imbalance of proinflammatory and cellular immune mechanisms over anti-inflammatory and humoral mechanisms. In this study, the increased number of T cells and macrophages in the mucosa is consistent with local immunoinflammatory mechanisms, and furthermore the enhanced expression of the major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class II antigen HLA-DR on these cells suggests cellular activation. An intriguing observation was the evidence for enhanced expression of MHC class II antigen on duodenal epithelial cells. The expression of MHC class II on intestinal epithelial cells is site dependent and barely detectable in normal human duodenum.36 In vitro studies have shown that enterocytes can present antigen to primed T cells, suggesting that MHC class II positive enterocytes may present peptides from cellular membrane compartments to cells of the immune system within the lamina propria.37 The expression of MHC class II in the gastrointestinal epithelium is increased in many diseases, including Crohn’s disease, graft versus host disease, and radiation enteritis, and may be associated with evidence of mucosal T cell activation.37 The function of MHC class II positive enterocytes is unclear in this study, but if they transport, process, and present luminal antigens to lamina propria lymphocytes, they may play an important role in initiating or amplifying the local immunoinflammatory response, leading to tissue damage and promoting gut barrier compromise. The precise trigger for cellular activation is unclear, but it may be the result of direct exposure of cells to bacteria, toxins, or other antigens, although systemic factors cannot be excluded. Moreover, whether the heightened immune activity is the cause or effect of increased intestinal permeability is at present unknown.

The molecular evidence of increased mucosal gene expression of HLA-DR in malnourished patients is consistent with the immunohistochemical findings. Moreover, the finding of a significant decrease in mucosal IL-10 expression in malnourished patients supports the thesis that a relative shift from an anti-inflammatory to pro-inflammatory cytokine profile occurs.

Serum IL-6 and CRP were significantly increased in malnourished patients, directly correlating with the severity of malnutrition. This finding may be related to systemic inflammation, the hypermetabolism of cancer, or be triggered by the consequences of gut barrier failure. The direct correlation between the L:M ratio and serum IL-6 levels supports the latter possibility. It is not clear which gut factors could mediate this, but we found no evidence that endotoxin was involved. The IgG response to IgG EndoCAb is an indirect measure of chronic endotoxin exposure and appears to be a more reliable and reproducible method of assessing endotoxaemia than using the amoebo-cyte lysis assay.38 The lack of difference between the malnourished and control patients may be genuine, although an alternative possibility is that impaired systemic humoral immunity in malnutrition has precluded normal antibody generation.39 It may be that the systemic response mirrors the local immunoinflammatory response, perhaps mediated by locally generated cytokines, and that further studies should address this question.

In conclusion, intestinal permeability is increased in malnourished patients in association with a heightened acute phase response. The mechanism appears to be associated with evidence of activation of the mucosal immune system and enterocytes, in conjunction with relative suppression of the anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10. The clinical significance of these findings, in particular whether they are important in the pathophysiology of the increased risk of sepsis in the malnourished patient, and whether these changes can be reversed by gut directed therapies will require further study.
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