Ductal dilatation and stenting for residual hepatolithiasis: a promising treatment strategy
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Abstract

Background and aims—Hepatolithiasis is prevalent in south-east Asia and presents a difficult management problem. Intrahepatic strictures with or without awkward ductal angulation of the biliary tree are the main reasons for the reported high incidence of postoperative residual stones. Without proper treatment, biliary strictures and residual stones can lead to repeated episodes of cholangitis, liver abscess, secondary biliary cirrhosis, portal hypertension, and death from sepsis or hepatic failure. The purposes of our treatment strategy were to achieve complete clearance of the stones and relief of bile stasis.

Methods—From January 1991 to July 1992, 90 patients with residual postoperative hepatolithiasis and intrahepatic strictures were treated. Postoperative ductal dilatation with percutaneous transhepatic cholangioscopy tube stenting through a mature T tube tract was performed. Choledochoscopic electrohydraulic lithotripsy was applied when impacted or large stones were encountered.

Results—Complete clearance of stones was achieved in 78 patients (87%). Mild haemobilia occurred in five patients (5.5%) and fever developed in seven patients (7.7%), and these patients recovered after conservative treatment. The rate of stone recurrence after a mean follow up of 43 months was 8%. Intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma developed in one patient (1.1%).

Conclusion—Postoperative ductal dilatation and stenting, combined with endoscopic electrohydraulic lithotripsy when indicated, is an effective and safe treatment with a low recurrence rate for complicated residual hepatolithiasis with biliary stricture.
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Hepatolithiasis is prevalent in south-east Asia and is a difficult management problem. The main purposes of treatment are clearance of the stones and elimination of bile stasis. Many patients present with associated intrahepatic strictures, tortuous bile ducts, and large impacted stones. Consequently, failure of complete duct clearance following surgical intervention is reported to be as high as 77%. Without proper treatment, biliary strictures and residual stones can lead to repeated episodes of cholangitis, liver abscess, secondary biliary cirrhosis, portal hypertension, and death from sepsis or hepatic failure. Dilatation of the intrahepatic strictures and retrieval of the residual stones may prevent these complications. We have designed a clinical strategy of ductal dilatation through mature T tube tracts, percutaneous transhepatic cholangioscopy (PTCS) tube stenting, followed by choledochoscopic stone retrieval.

Methods

From January 1991 to July 1994 90 patients with residual postoperative hepatolithiasis and intrahepatic strictures were treated surgically. Of these 90 patients, 31 had had their gall bladder removed on a previous occasion and so underwent extended supraduodenal cholecystolithotomy only. The other 59 patients underwent both cholecystectomy and extended supraduodenal choledocholithotomy. Intraoperative choledochoscopy was undertaken in all patients. As many stones as possible were removed during surgery, whilst calculi peripheral to strictures were left for extraction by subsequent T tube track ductal dilatation and postoperative choledochoscopy.

Dilatation of mature T tube tracks with a PTCS tube (Sumitomo, Tokyo, Japan) was undertaken at Chang Gung Memorial Hospital, Kaohsiung, Taiwan. Fifty two of the patients were women. Ages ranged from 28 to 81 years (mean 49.8 years). Forty six patients (51%) had right intrahepatic strictures, 26 (29%) had left intrahepatic strictures, and 18 (20%) had bilateral intrahepatic strictures. Ductal dilatation was usually started four weeks after the operation. A guidewire was first introduced through the mature T tube track and passed through the intrahepatic strictures under fluoroscopic examination. Strictures were dilated with a Teflon dilator (Medi-Tech, Westwood, Massachusetts, USA). A PTCS tube (12 or 14 F) was then used for stenting. The intrahepatic biliary strictures were dilated and stented gradually at intervals of one week until an 18–20 F tract was achieved. An improved fibreoptic choledochoscope (CHF P10, Olympus, Tokyo, Japan) was used after the removal of the PTCS tube, and a grasping basket was inserted through the instrument channel to remove the stones (fig 1). Electrohydraulic lithotripsy was used to disrupt impacted or large stones in 58 patients. The generator used was a Riwolith Impalso Pressure Generator 2137 (Richard Wolf GmbH, Kittliger, Germany). The electrohydraulic probe (No 5F) was kept in close proximity to the...
stone through the channel of the choledocho-
scope, and shock waves were applied until the
stone fragmented. The whole procedure took
approximately 20 minutes and was continu-
ously monitored under direct vision through
the choledochoscope. The fragmented stones
were retrieved by saline irrigation and by the
use of baskets. Choledochoscopy with stone
retrieval was repeated at intervals of 1–2 weeks
until complete clearance of stones was
achieved. Electrohydraulic lithotripsy was also
repeated if necessary. Intrahepatic ducts were
stented with a suitably sized (18–20 F) PTCS
tube during the treatment period and for at
least three months after complete clearance of
stones. Confirmation of clearance was verified
by cholangioscopy and cholangiography. Only
if cholangiography, performed after three
months, showed no recurrence or ductal stric-
tures was the tube removed.

Both ductal dilatation and cholangioscopic
lithotripsy were performed as outpatient proce-
dures. Most patients were able to tolerate this
without medication although 50 mg pethidine
was administered intramuscularly to some
patients. During the period of stenting the
patients were seen monthly in the outpatient
clinic. The external tip of the tube was closed
for most of the time and was occasionally
released to check its patency. If any malfunc-
tion or displacement was suspected, a new tube
was inserted or the tube was repositioned.

Results

Eighty patients underwent three ductal dilata-
tions and stenting procedures and 10 patients
needed two procedures. Four hundred and five
choledochoscopies for the removal of stones
were performed after ductal dilatation in the 90
patients (range 2–8; median 5 per patient). The
intrahepatic stones ranged in size from 0.4 to
3.2 cm (median 1.8 cm). Eighty one endo-
sopic electrohydraulic lithotripsy were per-
formed in 58 patients (range 1–3; median 1 per
patient). Complete clearance of the stones was
achieved in 78 patients (fig 2). The total
number of procedures (ductal dilatation and
stenting and choledochoscopic procedures)
was 665 (260 + 405). The number of
procedures needed per patient ranged from 4
to 11 (median 8).

No mortality or major morbidity occurred.
Mild haemobilia was noted in five patients
(5.5%) and fever developed in seven patients
(7.7%). All recovered after conservative treat-
ment. The 78 successfully treated patients
underwent abdominal ultrasonography at six
month intervals. Seven patients (8%) devel-
oped ultrasound evidence of stone recurrence
after a mean follow up of 43 months (range
22–61 months). Intrahepatic cholangiocarci-
noma developed in one patient with left intra-
hepatic structures after two years of follow up.

Discussion

The management of hepatolithiasis is based
upon complete clearance of stones, elimination
of biliary strictures, and prevention of stone
recurrence.13 In spite of advances in choledo-
choscopy, intrahepatic strictures, tortu-
ously angled ducts, and the presence of large
and impacted stones are the major obstacles to
success. Retained calculi are common follow-
ing common bile duct exploration because it is
difficult or impossible to pass instruments
through strictures associated with such stones.
Forceful or blind instrument manipulation
during surgery may create haemobilia or fistula
without successful stone retrieval.

Dilatation and stenting was safely performed
in our series without severe complication. Fur-
thermore, electrohydraulic lithotripsy safely
fragmented intrahepatic calculi. Others have
also reported effective treatment of calculi via
T tube tracks,16 endoscopic retrograde cholan-
giopancreatography (ERCP),17 and through
percutaneous transhepatic tracks.18

ERCP and peroral choledochoscopy can be
used to manage most patients who present with
extrahepatic bile duct stones but this approach
may fail in the presence of stones peripheral to
intrahepatic strictures.19 Extracorporeal shock
wave lithotripsy is also usually unsuccessful
because of the strictures and associated stone
impaction.4 We did not use laser lithotripsy in
Intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma and hepatolithiasis co-exist in 2.36–10.0% of cases. Although there is no conclusive evidence that stones cause the development of cholangiocarcinoma, bile stasis and bacterial infection have been claimed to be the most important causative factors. One of our cases developed cholangiocarcinoma in a left bile duct two years after clearance of stones and ductal dilatation.

Stenting after ductal dilatation is mandatory for hepatolithiasis with intrahepatic strictures to prevent restenosis, bile stasis, and reformation of stones. There are very few reports of stenting for intrahepatic calculi. The optimum duration of stenting is unclear. We adopted stenting for at least three months after clearance of the stones and this was associated with a stone recurrence rate of 8% after a mean follow up of 43 months. Jeng et al. recommended stenting for at least six months in a more complicated series of patients who had secondary cirrhosis, multiple intrahepatic long strictures, and a history of repeated biliary surgery.

We conclude that postoperative ductal dilatation and stenting, combined with endoscopic electrohydraulic lithotripsy when indicated, is an effective and safe treatment with a low recurrence rate for managing complicated residual hepatolithiasis with intrahepatic strictures.
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