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Abstract

Background—Liver/kidney microsomal antibody type 1 (LKM1) and liver cytosol antibody type 1 (LC1) are the serological markers of type 2 autoimmune hepatitis (AIH).

Aims—Since LKM1 and LC1 react against two distinct liver specific autoantigens (cytochrome P450IID6 (CYP2D6) and a 58 kDa cytosolic polypeptide respectively), the aim was to see whether LKM1 and LC1 concentrations correlate with liver disease activity.

Patients—Twenty one patients with type 2 AIH were studied.

Methods—All sera were tested by indirect immunofluorescence, counterimmunoelectrophoresis, and immunoblotting visualised by enhanced chemiluminescence. To evaluate LKM1 and LC1 levels, the 50 kDa microsomal reactivity (corresponding to CYP2D6) and the 58 kDa cytosolic reactivity were quantified by densitometric analysis.

Results—Seven patients were positive for LKM1, nine for LC1, and five for both. Serial serum samples at onset and during immunosuppressive treatment were analysed in 13 patients (four positive for LKM1, six positive for LC1 and three positive for both). During remission, LKM1 concentration remained essentially unchanged in six of seven patients, and decreased in only one. Conversely, in two of nine patients, LC1 was completely lost, and, in the remaining seven, LC1 concentration was reduced by more than 50%. After immunosuppression tapering or withdrawal, flare ups of liver necrosis ensued with increasing LC1 concentration, but not LKM1.

Conclusions—LC1 concentration, at variance with that of LKM1, parallels liver disease activity, and its participation in the pathogenic mechanisms of liver injury can be hypothesised.
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More than 40 years since its first formal description, autoimmune hepatitis (AIH) is still considered to be a cryptogenic necroinflammatory liver disorder, its pathogenesis has remained largely unknown, and even its autoimmune nature is far from being unequivocally proven. As in the case of many other organ specific autoimmune disorders, autoreactivity specific to AIH and directed against a liver specific component, conceivably expressed on the hepatocyte plasma membrane, was postulated and searched for from the very beginning, but to little avail.

Type 2 AIH represents a serologically and clinically distinct subset of AIH, generally negative for anti-nuclear antibodies and anti-smooth muscle antibodies, but positive for liver/kidney microsomal antibody type 1 (LKM1) and liver cytosol antibody type 1 (LC1). The main antigenic target of LKM1 in type 2 AIH is cytochrome P450IID6 (CYP2D6), a 50 kDa microsomal protein involved in the metabolism of xenobiotics, and in particular its sequence spanning amino acid positions 257–269 is considered to be the most frequently recognised linear epitope. The demonstration that CYP2D6 is present and functional on the outer surface of the plasma membrane of human hepatocytes, although not universally accepted, supports the hypothesis that LKM1 reactivity, besides its diagnostic value, may also have pathogenic implications in type 2 AIH. LC1 is a liver specific autoantibody detectable, either alone or in association with LKM1, in a significant proportion of patients with type 2 AIH. To date, only scarce information is available on its target, the most appealing of all being that LC1 antigen is strictly confined to the liver. By indirect immunofluorescence on rat liver sections, LC1 positive sera stain homogeneously the cytoplasmic compartment of periportal, but not perivenular, hepatocytes, indicating that the target antigen is not uniformly distributed in rodent substrates. When LKM1 is also present in the same serum, the distinguishing LC1 immunofluorescence is obscured by the LKM1 pattern, and other techniques such as immunodiffusion and counterimmunoelectrophoresis are required for its identification. In addition, the precipitin line of identity with a positive reference serum obtained by immunodiffusion or counterimmunoelectrophoresis confirms the presence of LC1. By immunoblotting, LC1 positive sera recognise a liver specific cytosolic protein of 58–62 kDa. Interestingly, it appears that LC1 antigen is particularly well represented in the cytosolic fraction of human liver. At variance with the LKM1 autoantigen, at present there are no data indicating that the whole LC1 protein or its processed fragments are exposed on the hepatocyte plasma membrane. Should this be
demonstrated, the LC1 antigen could well be considered as a potential candidate for liver targeted autoimmune reactions.

The aim of this study was to determine the concentrations of LKM1 and LC1 in patients with type 2 AIH and evaluate whether a correlation exists between LKM1/LC1 concentration and the activity of liver disease, in terms of hepatic necroinflammation, at the time of diagnosis and during treatment induced remission in patients suffering from type 2 AIH.

Materials and methods

PATIENTS

Twenty-one patients with cryptogenic liver disease were selected on the basis of LKM1 and/or LC1 positivity. Known viral markers such as hepatitis B surface antigen, anti-hepatitis C virus, and immunoglobulin M (IgM) anti-hepatitis A virus were all absent. In addition, they were also tested for hepatitis C virus RNA, and were all negative. Genetic causes of liver disease were excluded on the basis of normal serum \( \alpha \)-1-antitrypsin, transferrin, and ceruloplasmin values. Eighteen patients (86%) were women, and at onset the median age was 10 years (range 1–30).

Presenting symptoms were jaundice (five cases, 24%), fatigue (five cases, 24%), and prolonged acute hepatitis (three cases, 14%), whereas the remaining eight patients (38%) were symptomless and were diagnosed after the occasional observation of abnormal liver function tests. At onset, the median serum aspartate aminotransferase value was 9.3 times the upper normal value (range 1–70) and the median serum alanine aminotransferase (ALT) value was 15 times the upper normal value (range 2–80). Polyclonal hypergammaglobulinaemia (median 26 g/l, range 14–48 g/l) and increased IgG levels (median 31 g/l, range 19–57 g/l) were also observed. Prothrombin time was altered in 11 patients (median 44%, range 22–67%), and total bilirubin was increased in 12 (median 5.6 mg/dl, range 2–28 mg/dl). Hepatomegaly was present in nine cases (43%), and splenomegaly in ten (48%). Liver biopsy was performed in 18 of the 21 patients and showed chronic active hepatitis in 12 (67%), multinodular necrosis in one (5%) and active cirrhosis in five (28%).

The application of the International Autoimmune Hepatitis Group scoring system allowed the diagnosis of "definite" AIH in 16 patients and of "probable" AIH in the remaining five. Four patients also had the following

Table 1 Clinical, biochemical, immunological, and histological features at presentation in the 21 patients with type 2 autoimmune hepatitis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Age (y)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Autoantibodies</th>
<th>ALT (× normal)</th>
<th>AST (× normal)</th>
<th>Albumin (g/l)</th>
<th>ß-Globulin (g/l)</th>
<th>IgG (g/l)</th>
<th>Liver histology</th>
<th>IAHG score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>LC1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>Severe CAH</td>
<td>19d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>LKM1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>Severe CAH</td>
<td>19d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>LC1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>Severe CAH</td>
<td>16p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>LKM1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>17d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>LKM1/LC1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>24.1</td>
<td>Severe CAH</td>
<td>15p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>LC1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Active cirrhosis</td>
<td>19d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>LKM1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>Active cirrhosis</td>
<td>20d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>LKM1/LC1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>20d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>LKM1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>Active cirrhosis</td>
<td>20d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>LKM1</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>Severe CAH</td>
<td>18d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>LC1</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Severe CAH</td>
<td>18d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>LC1</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Severe CAH</td>
<td>16p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>LKM1/LC1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>49.9</td>
<td>Active cirrhosis</td>
<td>19d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>LKM1/LC1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Active cirrhosis</td>
<td>16p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>LC1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>16d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>LKM1</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Severe CAH</td>
<td>19d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>LC1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>Severe CAH</td>
<td>18d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>LC1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Severe CAH</td>
<td>18d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>LC1</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>MN</td>
<td>16p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>LKM1/LC1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Severe CAH</td>
<td>19d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>LKM1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>Severe CAH</td>
<td>18d</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ALT, serum alanine aminotransferase; AST, serum aspartate aminotransferase; LC1, liver cytosol antibody type 1; LKM1, liver/kidney microsomal antibody type 1; NA, not available; CAH, chronic active hepatitis; MN, multinodular necrosis; IAHG, International Autoimmune Hepatitis Group; d, definite autoimmune hepatitis; p, probable autoimmune hepatitis.
autoimmune disorders: coeliac disease (two), insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus + sicca syndrome (one), hypothyroidism + vitiligo + lichen ruber planus (one). Table 1 gives the main clinical, biochemical, immunological, and histological features for each patient. Eighteen patients (86%) were given immunosuppressive therapy (steroids in 11 and steroids + azathioprine in seven), whereas the remaining three patients, all with decompensated liver cirrhosis at the time of diagnosis, received supportive care and were referred to liver transplantation centres. All 18 patients receiving immunosuppressive therapy gradually normalised ALT and γ-globulin within one to four months. Nine patients, after persistent normalisation of liver function tests, progressively reduced immunosuppressive therapy until withdrawal, but a new hepatic flare rapidly ensued, which again was controlled by steroid administration.

**IMMUNOLOGICAL STUDIES**

Serum samples were available for 18 patients at the time of clinical onset or diagnosis, whereas in the remaining three cases sera were only available during follow up. LKM1 and LC1 reactivities were evaluated using different and complementary techniques such as indirect immunofluorescence, counterimmunoelectrophoresis, and immunoblotting visualised by enhanced chemiluminescence. In addition, immunoblotting reactivities were quantified by densitometric analysis.

*Indirect immunofluorescence*

Sera diluted 1:10 in phosphate buffered saline were tested on snap frozen sections of rat liver, kidney, and stomach. The second antibody, directed against human immunoglobulin, was conjugated with fluorescein (anti-human polyvalent immunoglobulin IgA, IgG, IgM fluorescein isothiocyanate conjugate; Sigma Immuno-Chemicals, St Louis, MO, USA). The immunomorphological patterns of reactivity were assessed under a fluorescence microscopy (Orthoplan; Leitz, Wetzlar, Germany) and classified as LKM1 or LC1 according to the original description.7

*Counterimmunoelectrophoresis*

Undiluted sera were seeded in single wells on agarose plates (agarose 1% in 0.075 M barbitone buffer, pH 8.3). After the first electrophoretic run at 16 mA for 15 minutes, human liver cytosol was added as antigenic source, and a second electrophoretic run at 20 mA for another 30 minutes was completed. After washing and drying, immunoprecipitin lines were stained with 0.1% Coomassie blue dye. Sera were considered positive for LC1 only if the precipitin lines gave identity reaction with an LC1 positive reference serum.17

*Immunoblotting and quantitative densitometric analysis*

Human liver microsomal or cytosolic proteins (600 µg per gel) were separated by sodium dodecyl sulphate (SDS)-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) in 10% SDS-PAGE
Results

Seven patients (33%) were positive for isolated LKM1, nine (43%) for isolated LC1 and five (24%) for LKM1 and LC1. By indirect immunofluorescence, 12 patients showed the typical pattern of LKM1, and nine that of LC1. In five LKM1 positive cases, counterimmunoelectrophoresis experiments showed the presence of associated LC1. By counterimmunoelectrophoresis, all 14 LC1 positive cases (nine isolated and five with associated LKM1) gave an identity reaction with the positive reference serum. All 12 patients positive for LKM1 by indirect immunofluorescence reacted in immunoblotting experiments with the 50 kDa microsomal polypeptide—that is, CYP2D6—and all the 14 patients positive for LC1 by counterimmunoelectrophoresis recognised the 58 kDa cytosolic polypeptide. At presentation, the median value of LKM1 concentrations, evaluated as the 50 kDa microsomal band, was 9.6 AU (range 3.2–10.5), whereas the median value of LC1, assessed as the 58 kDa cytosolic band, was 8.2 (range 2–10).

Sequential serum samples from 13 patients (four isolated LKM1, six isolated LC1 and three LKM1+LC1) were studied from onset throughout follow up (median 36 months, range 7–115 months) to quantitatively evaluate LKM1 and LC1 concentration during drug induced remission of the liver disease. A median of three samples for each patient (range 2–10) was obtained, accounting for a global number of 58 serum samples studied. Of the nine LC1 positive patients, all reactive with the 58 kDa cytosolic polypeptide at onset, during remission two lost LC1 completely and in five LC1 concentration was reduced by more than 50% (F test = 87.84, p = 0.0001; fig 1A). In contrast, of the seven LKM1 positive patients, all reactive with the 50 kDa microsomal polypeptide, during remission six main-
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injury suggests that LC1 antigen may be an important liver specific target of the autoimmune attack. By using the sensitive and specific technique of immunoblotting visualised by chemiluminescence and quantified by densitometric analysis, we showed that LC1 concentration, in contrast with LKM1, correlates strongly with ALT levels before and during immunosuppressive treatment in patients with type 2 AIH. In addition, ALT flares following immunosuppression tapering or withdrawal were characterised by an increase in LC1 concentration, but not in LKM1. The close correlation between LC1 concentration and ALT level during the different phases of the liver disease points to a direct involvement of LC1 autoreactivity in the process of liver targeted autoimmune attack. Although LC1 antigen appears to reside intracellularly—that is, within an immunologically safeguarded site—the possibility cannot be ruled out that, in keeping with other autoantibodies that target cytoplasmic antigens such as anti-CYP2D6, anti-mitochondrial antibodies, and anti-ribosomal P-protein antibodies, the same or an immunologically related target mimicking the intracellular counterpart may be exposed and accessible on the hepatocyte plasma membrane. The search for a liver specific target accounting for the autoimmune attack in the course of AIH has long been the focus of multiple efforts from various laboratories. Over the years, several immunoreactivities directed against liver antigens have been described, such as liver membrane antigen, human hepatocyte plasma membrane antigen, sulphatide, liver specific membrane lipoprotein, and its main constituent asialoglycoprotein receptor (ASGP-R). Today, antigenic preparations such as liver membrane antigen and liver specific membrane lipoprotein are largely of historic interest, antibodies directed against human hepatocyte plasma membrane antigen were studied only in a limited number of cases, and anti-sulphatide antibodies undoubtedly have a low disease specificity. To date, the only liver specific humoral and cellular reactivity that has been investigated in a large number of patients with AIH is that against ASGP-R, although such a reactivity is not restricted to such patients, and a strong correlation has been found between ASGP-R titre and histologically assessed severity of liver disease, but not with biochemical parameters of liver injury.

In addition to its diagnostic significance, the hypothesis can be made that LC1 autoreactivity may represent an additional immunological pathway leading to hepatocyte damage in the course of type 2 AIH. To corroborate this hypothesis further, molecular cloning and identification of the LC1 antigen should be pursued in order to elucidate more precisely the nature of this liver specific protein, and efforts should be aimed at demonstrating the presence of LC1 antigen or LC1-like molecules exposed on the outer surface of the hepatocyte plasma membrane.

Discussion

This study describes the behaviour of humoral autoimmune reactions, namely LKM1 and LC1 concentration, in the clinical setting of type 2 AIH, before and during immunosuppressive treatment. In our patients the pharmacological treatment, which is mandatory to contain a rapidly progressive liver disease, not only effectively controlled the hepatic damage through generalised immunosuppression which led to ALT reduction and normalisation, but also abated the circulating levels of LC1 resulting in its disappearance, whereas LKM1 concentration was not similarly affected, even in the same patient. Our observations appear to be in contrast with a previous report on four LKM1/LC1 positive patients, in whom, after immunosuppression, LKM1 cleared first, and LC1 later on. In that report, immunodiffusion and immunofluorescence with immunabsorption were used to quantify LKM1 and LC1, and it is difficult to compare different results obtained with different techniques, both considered to be less sensitive than immunoblotting visualised by enhanced chemiluminescence. A possible explanation for such a discrepancy is that immunodiffusion and immunofluorescence are more likely to detect reactivity to conformational epitopes, whereas immunoblotting detects reactivity to “linearised” epitopes. As far as LKM1 is concerned, the availability of CYP2D6 expressed in its correct conformational structure within a eukaryotic system will clarify whether the humoral autoreactivity against conformational CYP2D6 epitopes differs from that targeting “linearised” CYP2D6 epitopes.

The observation that humoral LC1 autoreactivity correlates strictly with hepatocyte injury suggests that LC1 antigen may be an important liver specific target of the autoimmune attack. By using the sensitive and specific technique of immunoblotting visualised by chemiluminescence and quantified by densitometric analysis, we showed that LC1 concentration, in contrast with LKM1, correlates strongly with ALT levels before and during immunosuppressive treatment in patients with type 2 AIH. In addition, ALT flares following immunosuppression tapering or withdrawal were characterised by an increase in LC1 concentration, but not in LKM1. The close correlation between LC1 concentration and ALT level during the different phases of the liver disease points to a direct involvement of LC1 autoreactivity in the process of liver targeted autoimmune attack. Although LC1 antigen appears to reside intracellularly—that is, within an immunologically safeguarded site—the possibility cannot be ruled out that, in keeping with other autoantibodies that target cytoplasmic antigens such as anti-CYP2D6, anti-mitochondrial antibodies, and anti-ribosomal P-protein antibodies, the same or an immunologically related target mimicking the intracellular counterpart may be exposed and accessible on the hepatocyte plasma membrane. The search for a liver specific target accounting for the autoimmune attack in the course of AIH has long been the focus of multiple efforts from various laboratories. Over the years, several immunoreactivities directed against liver antigens have been described, such as liver membrane antigen, human hepatocyte plasma membrane antigen, sulphatide, liver specific membrane lipoprotein, and its main constituent asialoglycoprotein receptor (ASGP-R). Today, antigenic preparations such as liver membrane antigen and liver specific membrane lipoprotein are largely of historic interest, antibodies directed against human hepatocyte plasma membrane antigen were studied only in a limited number of cases, and anti-sulphatide antibodies undoubtedly have a low disease specificity. To date, the only liver specific humoral and cellular reactivity that has been investigated in a large number of patients with AIH is that against ASGP-R, although such a reactivity is not restricted to such patients, and a strong correlation has been found between ASGP-R titre and histologically assessed severity of liver disease, but not with biochemical parameters of liver injury.

In addition to its diagnostic significance, the hypothesis can be made that LC1 autoreactivity may represent an additional immunological pathway leading to hepatocyte damage in the course of type 2 AIH. To corroborate this hypothesis further, molecular cloning and identification of the LC1 antigen should be pursued in order to elucidate more precisely the nature of this liver specific protein, and efforts should be aimed at demonstrating the presence of LC1 antigen or LC1-like molecules exposed on the outer surface of the hepatocyte plasma membrane.
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