Gliadin activates mucosal cell mediated immunity in cultured rectal mucosa from coeliac patients and a subset of their siblings
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Abstract

Background—CD3 and γδ cells in the rectal mucosa increase after local instillation of gluten in children with coeliac disease and in half of their siblings.

Aim—To establish an in vitro system for assessing immunological changes induced by gluten in the rectum.

Patients and Methods—Rectal biopsy specimens obtained from 13 treated coeliac children, nine of their siblings, and nine controls were cultured in vitro with a peptic-tryptic digest of gliadin or ovalbumin. CD3 and CD25 cells were counted, and the expression of adhesion molecules evaluated.

Results—In the lamina propria of coeliac biopsy samples cultured with gliadin, but not in those from controls, the expression of vascular cell adhesion molecule 1 (VCAM-1) was enhanced, and the number of CD25 cells was significantly higher than in those cultured in medium alone; the density of intraepithelial CD3 cells was also significantly higher. No differences were noted in coeliac biopsy specimens cultured with ovalbumin. A discriminant analysis allowed correct classification of all controls and all coeliaics but one, but three of nine siblings were allocated to the coeliac group.

Conclusions—Our data confirm that gliadin is able to activate cell mediated immunity in the rectal mucosa in coeliac patients and in a subset of their first degree relatives.
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Keywords: coeliac disease; gliadin; rectum; organ culture; mucosal immunity

Coeliac disease is a permanent intolerance to dietary wheat gliadin and related proteins, which results in damage to the jejunal mucosa in genetically susceptible individuals. The mechanisms by which wheat gliadins and prolamins from other toxic cereals (rye, barley, and oats) produce lesions to the small intestine of patients with coeliac disease are not completely understood, although most investigators favour the activation of intestinal T lymphocytes by gliadin as the underlying abnormality (reviewed by Marsh and Troncone et al). However, jejenum is not the only site of the gastrointestinal tract involved in coeliac disease. In recent years, the use of immunohistochemistry and computerised image analysis in cell quantification has definitively shown the presence of gluten dependent inflammatory changes in the rectal mucosa. Increased numbers of CD3 and γδ lymphocytes, plasma cells, mast cells and mononuclear cells expressing interleukin 2 receptors (CD25) and increased expression of vascular cell adhesion molecule 1 (VCAM-1) have all been shown in the rectal mucosa of untreated coeliac patients; these changes can all be reversed, with the sole exception of the increase in the number of mast cells, by dietary treatment. These data suggest that, like the jejunum, the rectal mucosa in untreated coeliac disease is a site of gluten dependent activated mucosal cell mediated immunity. As the rectum provides easy access for obtaining multiple mucosal samples, the response of rectal mucosa to local gluten challenge was investigated, as it may help us both to understand the phenomena triggered by mucosal contact with the antigen gliadin and to diagnose coeliac disease. Significant changes in lamina propria volume and numbers of mast cells, neutrophils, and lymphocytes have been shown in the rectal mucosa in coeliac disease after challenge with a peptic-tryptic digest of gluten. We have recently re-appraised rectal gluten challenge in a paediatric setting: a substantial infiltration of lymphocytes was noted in the epithelium six hours after a gliadin enema. These data were paralleled by immunohistochemical analysis showing recruitment of CD3 and γδ lymphocytes. Interestingly, about half of the siblings of children with coeliac disease also reacted to rectal instillation of gliadin.

Most of our information on the immunological consequences of gluten challenge at the mucosal level have been obtained in vitro using organ culture of jejunal biopsy samples. In this study, taking advantage of the long experience gained in our laboratory in organ culture, we have extended previous data from in vivo rectal challenge studies, and established an in vitro system, with the aim to be able to assess fully immunological changes induced by gluten in the rectal mucosa.

Subjects and methods

PATIENTS

Thirteen coeliac patients (five boys and eight girls, median age 11 years, range 8–19) were studied. All cases were diagnosed according to the criteria laid down in 1971 by the European Society for Paediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition (ESPGAN); all had shown subtotal villous atrophy while on a gluten containing...
Table 1 Monoclonal antibodies used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Designation</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>Isotype</th>
<th>Working dilution</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T3-4B5</td>
<td>CD3</td>
<td>IgG1</td>
<td>1:200</td>
<td>Dako</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACT-1</td>
<td>CD25</td>
<td>IgG1</td>
<td>1:25</td>
<td>Dako</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3C5</td>
<td>ICAM-1</td>
<td>IgG1</td>
<td>1:500</td>
<td>Genzyme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4B9</td>
<td>VCAM-1</td>
<td>IgG1</td>
<td>1:500</td>
<td>Genzyme</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BB-11</td>
<td>ELAM-1</td>
<td>IgG1</td>
<td>1:500</td>
<td>Genzyme</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

diet, a good clinical and histological response to a gluten-free diet, and histological relapse after gluten challenge. At the time of the study all had been on a gluten-free diet for at least two years. Nine disease controls (six boys and three girls, median age five years, range 2–10) were also studied, for whom the final diagnosis was different from coeliac disease (four were affected by constipation, two by recurrent abdominal pain, two by failure to thrive, and one by intolerance to cow’s milk protein). Finally, nine healthy siblings of coeliac patients (seven boys and two girls, median age 12 years, range 6–20) were investigated. Siblings were also challenged in vivo with 2 g Frazer’s peptic-tryptic digest of gliadin (Sigma Chemical Company, St Louis, Missouri, USA). The protein was dissolved in 20 ml sterile water and instilled into the lower rectum via a small catheter. A biopsy specimen was obtained six hours after the challenge using a Crosby capsule positioned 10 cm from the anal verge. Informed consent was obtained from all patients and a parent/guardian when appropriate. The study design was approved by the university ethics committee.

PROCESSING OF RECTAL BIOPSY SPECIMENS AND ORGAN CULTURE

One or two rectal biopsy specimens were obtained using a Crosby capsule positioned 10 cm from the anal verge. Immediately after excision, each specimen was washed in 0.15 mol/l NaCl and sliced into two or three approximately equal sized pieces. One piece was orientated and embedded in OCT compound (Tissue Tek; Miles Laboratories, Elkhart, Indiana, USA), snap frozen in isopentane cooled in liquid nitrogen, and prepared for cryosectioning as described above.

STAINING TECHNIQUE

Cryostat sections (5 µm) were air dried at room temperature and then fixed in acetone for 10 minutes. All the sections were repeatedly washed at room temperature in Tris buffered saline (TBS), then incubated in normal rabbit serum (1:100 in TBS for 30 minutes), and stained by the peroxidase-antiperoxidase (PAP) or alkaline phosphatase/anti-alkaline phosphatase (AAPAP) method. The sections were individually tested with the monoclonal antibodies (table 1). After one hour’s incubation at room temperature, the sections were incubated for 30 minutes with rabbit antimouse serum (Dako, Copenhagen, Denmark), and for a further 30 minutes with AAPAP complex to stain CD25 cells and adhesion molecules or with PAP complex (Dako) to stain CD3 cells. Slides were washed in TBS for 10 minutes after each antibody incubation. The reaction product was developed by a final incubation of five minutes with 2-amino-9-ethylcarbazole when the PAP complex was used; alternatively, when the AAPAP complex was used, the immune reaction product was developed by stirring continuously for three minutes with solution containing 20 mg naphthol-AS-biphosphate in 0.5 ml N,N-dimethylformamide added to 0.2 ml sodium nitrite (Sigma), 0.08 ml of New Fucsin (Merck, Sharp and Dohme, West Point, Philadelphia, USA), 40 ml TBS, pH 8.7, and 17.5 mg levamisole (Sigma). Omission of the primary antibody served as a control against non-specific antibody binding. The sections were finally stained with Mayer’s haematoxylin and mounted. Non-immune mouse immunoglobulins of the same isotype were used as primary antibodies for control of specificity.

MORPHOMETRIC ANALYSIS

At least four slides for each sample were evaluated at 40× magnification. The density of CD3 cells in the surface epithelium was determined by counting the number of stained cells as a percentage of 100 enterocytes; at least 500 enterocytes were counted in each sample. The number of CD25 cells was evaluated within a total area of 1 mm² of lamina propria, using a microscope with a calibrated ocular graticule aligned parallel to the muscularis mucosae. In five experiments all measurements were repeated by two independent observers (GM and NL). The coefficient of variation—that is, the mean absolute difference between observers multiplied by 100 and divided by the mean pooled value—was 15% for CD25 cells and 8% for CD3 cells. The expression of adhesion molecules was evaluated in terms of staining intensity and graded on an arbitrary scale of no staining = 0, weak staining = 1, moderate staining = 2, strong staining = 3. The slides were independently analysed in a blinded manner by two observers.
STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Data were edited, corrected, and assessed by descriptive analysis from the Statistical Package for Social Sciences. Student’s two tailed t test for paired samples was used to compare specimens cultured with gliadin with those exposed to medium alone for the same incubation period. Non-parametric tests (Wilcoxon two tailed) were also applied, and the results are concordant with those obtained using parametric tests. Multivariate analysis was performed by a stepwise discriminant function. Only variables contributing with p<0.001 to the discrimination of groups were included.

Results

ORGAN CULTURE OF RECTAL MUCOSA FROM COELIAC PATIENTS IN THE PRESENCE OF GLIADIN

In the lamina propria of coeliac rectal biopsy specimens cultured in the presence of medium alone, the number of cells expressing the receptor for interleukin 2 (CD25 cells) (mean (SD) 206 (88)/mm²) was significantly (p<0.01; paired t test) higher than in non-cultured specimens (31 (24)). However, the number of CD25 cells in specimens cultured in the presence of a peptic-tryptic digest of gliadin (413 (142)) was significantly (p<0.001) higher than in those cultured in medium alone (fig 1).

Both T cells and macrophages were present in this population. The presence of large cell aggregates, particularly in the subepithelial region (fig 2), rendered the differential counting of macrophages and T cells difficult. Moreover, it is difficult to conclude whether most CD25 cells are resident subepithelial macrophages or whether they are from a deeper layer.

Expression of adhesion molecules was also evaluated in six cultures with gliadin from controls and six from coeliac patients to look for other evidence of activated mucosal cell mediated immunity. Intercellular adhesion molecule 1 (ICAM-1) was expressed strongly on all cells...
Table 2  Discrimination function parameters used to compute the discriminant score.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables that contribute to the discrimination</th>
<th>Discriminant function coefficients (unstandardised)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of lamina propria CD25</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage increase of epithelium CD3</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>−2.158</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

...and vessels; endothelial-leucocyte adherence molecule 1 (ELAM-1) was present in moderate amounts on all venules and in small amounts on a few leucocytes, and vascular cell adhesion molecule 1 (VCAM-1) was expressed in moderate amounts on mononuclear cells and only weakly or not at all on blood vessels. However, only VCAM-1 expression was significantly (p<0.02) enhanced, particularly on mononuclear cells of biopsy specimens cultured for 24 hours with gliadin, as compared with those cultured with medium alone (fig 3).

Finally, a significant (p<0.05) increase in CD3 intraepithelial lymphocytes was seen, again in coeliac biopsy samples cultured with gliadin, as compared with those cultured with medium alone (fig 4). Such an increase was not observed in samples from control subjects (9.3 (5.2) in the presence of gliadin vs 9.3 (5.1) in the presence of medium alone). In contrast with CD25 cells, no differences were noted between the values observed before (12.8 (6.0)) and after (12.2 (7.3)) culture with medium alone.

A discriminant analysis taking into account the immunohistochemical data was then performed: table 2 lists discriminant function parameters. All controls and all coeliac patients but one were correctly classified (fig 5).

**SPECIFICITY OF THE IN VITRO RECTAL RESPONSE TO GLIADIN**

The in vitro response of rectal mucosa to gliadin was specific for coeliac disease, but also specific for gliadin. In fact, no significant differences were noted between rectal biopsy specimens from coeliac patients cultured with ovalbumin and those cultured with medium only when the number of CD25 cells and intraepithelial CD3 cells were counted (fig 6).

**ORGAN CULTURE OF RECTAL MUCOSA FROM SIBLINGS OF COELIAC PATIENTS IN THE PRESENCE OF GLIADIN**

In siblings of coeliac patients, lamina propria CD25 cells and intraepithelial CD3 lymphocytes counted in fragments cultured with gliadin (329 (147) and 18.7 (10.4) respectively) were not significantly different when compared with fragments cultured with medium only (275 (108) and 11.1 (5.4) respectively). However, six cases showed a high relative increment (>1 SD of the control group) in intraepithelial CD3 cells and three cases a high relative increment in lamina propria CD25 cells. The discriminant score was then calculated for each of the siblings, and the latter three cases were allocated to the coeliac group (fig 5).

The same group of nine siblings had also undergone an in vivo rectal challenge. Intraepithelial and lamina propria CD3 cells were counted six hours after local instillation of gliadin. High percentage increments (>1 SD of the control group) in intraepithelial CD3 cells were observed in four cases and increments in lamina propria CD3 cells in two cases. All three subjects allocated to the coeliac group on the basis of in vitro challenge results also showed epithelial infiltration after in vivo challenge. The same subjects underwent jejunal biopsy; in all cases the jejunal architecture was normal, but in two cases an infiltrative pattern was evident, with increased density of intraepithelial CD3 (87 and 61 cells/mm epithelium) and/or γδ cells (1.3 and 9.6 cells/mm epithelium respectively). As far as their HLA haplotype is concerned, one of them showed the HLA DQ heterodimer commonly associated with coeliac disease (HLA DQA1*0501,0201; B1*0201,0201). HLA DQA1*0201,0101; B1*0201,0201 and HLA DQA1 0201,0101; B1*0201,0503 were the haplotypes of the two remaining relatives with a positive rectal response.
Discussion

Coeliac disease is caused by an immunological process that mainly affects the jejunal mucosa, but the jejunum is not the only site of the gastrointestinal tract involved. In a retrospective review of rectal biopsy specimens, significant inflammatory changes were shown in about a third of such cases. In more recent years, a series of prospective studies has been conducted by Ensari and colleagues, which have significantly contributed to the definitive demonstration of gluten-dependent inflammatory changes in the rectum of coeliac patients. Their data suggest a continuous T cell-mediated immune response in the rectal mucosa analogous to that in the jejunum. In contrast with the finding in other types of inflammatory colitis or proctitis, the rectal mucosa in coeliac disease lacks any predominance of neutrophils; moreover, computerised image analysis clearly shows that there is no architectural difference between coeliac disease and disease control mucosae. Thus the mechanisms underlying proctitis are probably different from those operating in inflammatory bowel disease, probably depending on the persistence of residual antigenic fragments of gliadin in the colon. Important contributions have come from investigation of the response of rectal mucosa to local gluten challenge. Changes in lamina propria volume and numbers of mast cells, neutrophils, and lymphocytes have been shown in coeliac diseased rectal mucosa after challenge with a peptic-tryptic digest of gliadin, but not in those from controls, the number of CD25 cells in fragments cultured with medium alone are significantly higher than in samples from treated small intestinal coeliac mucosa. In the latter system, gliadin challenge initiates two parallel pathways. One, which precedes T cell activation, occurs as soon as one hour after gliadin challenge and is characterised by epithelial overexpression of HLA-DR molecules; this phase was not explored in our experiments of rectal mucosa cultures. The second pathway, dominated by T cell activation, is characterised at 4–12 hours of culture by migration of lamina propria mononuclear cells to the higher mucosal layers, and at 24 hours by expression of T cell activation markers. These features are also present in the rectal system, although the number of CD25 cells in fragments cultured with medium alone are significantly higher than those noted in the jejunum (206 (88) > 39 (10)/mm² lamina propria), possibly because of antigenic material passed into the culture medium. Finally, as in the jejunum, in these late steps of culture, there is evidence of intraepithelial lymphocyte migration, a phenomenon that seems not to be a consequence of T cell activation, as in the jejunal mucosa it is not blocked by the addition of CTLA4-Ig to the culture medium.

In conclusion, our data confirm that, because of its easier access, the rectum may be a useful site for gluten challenge. The cascade of events triggered by contact with the antigen gliadin appears to resemble what happens in the jejunum. It should be noted that the phenomena we have discussed, as explored by in vitro jejunal and rectal challenges, are quite early and occur without mucosal damage and/or an epithelial proliferative response. In other words, in this system it is not possible to explore possible differences between rectum and jejunum in the mechanisms responsible for the severe mucosal damage observed in the small intestine. Nonetheless, this system represents a useful model for studying the mechanisms of activation of the mucosal immune response and may become an essential tool for assessing the antigenic properties of different cereals as well as of different gliadin derived peptides.

This test may prove important also in the investigation of the mucosal immune response to gliadin and for identifying the condition of gluten sensitivity, not only in coeliac patients,
but, more importantly, in populations predicted to have a high prevalence of abnormal immune response to gliadin. In fact, recent studies have suggested that gluten sensitisation is a condition of abnormal immunity to gluten that is restricted to genetically predisposed individuals, but not exclusive to subjects presenting with a flat mucosa and on a gluten containing diet. In fact, it may be suspected in subjects with smaller changes in mucosal architecture and/or immunological abnormalities such as those that occur in coeliac patients.14 15 In this regard, first degree relatives of coeliac patients represent a special population. We have recently performed rectal gluten challenge in siblings of coeliac children,16 and it was clear that two subgroups were present in such a population, about half of them behaving as coeliacs. The data now provided by in vitro challenge of rectal mucosae with gliadin are consistent with the hypothesis that a significant proportion of first degree relatives are sensitised to gliadin. In vitro rectal gluten challenge, which is relatively less invasive being based on the study of a rectal biopsy fragment, therefore has great potential for defining the epidemiology of gluten sensitisation, particularly in at risk groups, such as first degree relatives of coeliac patients and patients affected by other autoimmune diseases—for example, insulin dependent diabetes.

The expert technical assistance of Mrs Loredana Vacca is gratefully acknowledged. The studies described in this paper were supported by grants from Regione Campania (Protocollo per programmi di diagnosi, cura e riabilitazione delle malattie croniche dell’apparato digerente e della nutrizione dell’infanzia) and Istituto Superiore di Sanità (Progetto prevenzione dei fattori di rischio nella salute materno-infantile).