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Abstract

Background—Several case reports, but only a few studies, have examined the coexistence of coeliac disease and primary biliary cirrhosis.

Aim—To estimate the risk of primary biliary cirrhosis in two national cohorts of patients with coeliac disease in Denmark and Sweden.

Methods—Through record linkage all Danish patients hospitalised with coeliac disease were followed for possible occurrence of primary biliary cirrhosis from 1 January 1977 until 31 December 1992. All patients hospitalised with coeliac disease in Sweden from 1987 to 1996 were also followed in a separate analysis.

Results—A total of 896 patients with coeliac disease were identified in Denmark with a median follow up period of 9.1 years for a total of 8040 person-years at risk. Two cases of primary biliary cirrhosis were observed where 0.07 were expected, giving a standardised incidence ratio of 27.6 (95% confidence interval 2.9 to 133.5). A total of 7735 patients with coeliac disease were identified in Sweden with a median follow up period of 5.1 years for a total of 39 284 person-years at risk. Twenty two people with primary biliary cirrhosis were identified compared with 0.88 expected, giving a standardised incidence ratio of 25.1 (95% confidence interval 15.7 to 37.9).

Conclusion—Patients with coeliac disease are at increased risk of having primary biliary cirrhosis.
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Our knowledge of coeliac disease has increased during the past few decades, and a number of other diseases seem to be associated with it.1–10 Documentation of such associations is often based on case reports or small series,11 very few of which have been studied with proper epidemiological designs. Twenty years ago, Logan et al12 reported the coexistence of coeliac disease and primary biliary cirrhosis in four patients. A recent British study showed a prevalence of primary biliary cirrhosis of 3% in 143 patients with coeliac disease.13 The authors concluded that coeliac disease and primary biliary cirrhosis were associated, and that patients with one of these diseases should be screened for the other.12 The associations were tested by prevalence measures, but the strength of an association between two diseases may be biased, as the prevalence is a function of both incidence and disease duration. Thus, it is necessary to quantify the risk before the question of screening can be considered. Computerised nationwide registration systems in Denmark and Sweden allowed us to undertake two population based evaluations of the strength of an association between coeliac disease and primary biliary cirrhosis.

Methods

The Danish National Registry of Patients was established in 1977 and covers 99.4% of all discharges from hospitals in Denmark (population 5.2 million).13 Recorded information includes the personal civil registration number, assigned to every Danish citizen at birth, dates of admission and discharge, and up to 20 discharge diagnoses, classified according to the Danish version of the International Classification of Diseases, 8th edition (ICD-8).14 Discharge records were linked through the civil registration number. All patients in the National Registry of Patients from 1 January 1977 to 31 December 1992 were enrolled in the study when they had received the discharge diagnosis coeliac disease (ICD 269.0) during at least one of their hospital stays.

Cases of primary biliary cirrhosis were also identified in the National Registry of Patients. All members of the study cohort were linked by their civil registration number to the nationwide Central Personal Registry, which contains information on deaths, migration, and immigration. Patients were followed until 31 December 1992 or their death, whichever came first.

The Swedish National Inpatient Registry covers all admissions to public health care in Sweden from 1987 onwards.15 We identified all admissions from 1987 to 1996 with a primary or secondary diagnosis of coeliac disease (ICD 579A) and primary biliary cirrhosis (ICD 571G). In addition to demographic and administrative data, the Swedish Inpatient Registry, in a manner similar to the Danish system, also records the personal civil registration number for each Swedish citizen.

We calculated the standardised incidence ratio for the two cohorts mentioned by comparing the observed incidence rate with the expected. We also calculated 95% confidence intervals (CI) according to exact Poisson limits, but if the observed number was less than 10 we used the exact limits.
Results
We identified a total of 896 patients in Denmark with coeliac disease, corresponding to an annual incidence rate of 1.1 per 100 000. A total of 793 patients with primary biliary cirrhosis were identified, corresponding to an annual incidence rate of 0.9 per 100 000. We followed the Danish patients with coeliac disease for a median period of 9.1 years (quartile 4.5–13.3), or a total of 8040 person-years. Among these, two patients had primary biliary cirrhosis, one woman and one man. The expected number was 0.07, giving a standardised incidence ratio of 27.6 (95% CI 2.9 to 133.5).

We identified a total of 7735 patients in Sweden with coeliac disease, corresponding to an annual incidence rate of 9.0 per 100 000. A total of 1921 patients with primary biliary cirrhosis were identified, corresponding to an annual incidence rate of 2.2 per 100 000.

We followed the 7735 Swedish patients with coeliac disease for a median of 5.1 years (quartile 2.5–7.7), or a total of 39 284 person-years. Among these, 22 patients had primary biliary cirrhosis, 15 women and seven men. The expected number of primary biliary cirrhosis cases during the study period was 0.88, giving a standard incidence ratio of 25.1 (95% CI 15.7 to 37.9).

Discussion
Our results strongly support the hypothesis that primary biliary cirrhosis is associated with coeliac disease. A strength of our study is that this association was presented in two independent national data sources during two different periods. The uniformly organised public health care systems in Denmark and Sweden enabled a population based design. Thus a common bias in hospital-based studies introduced by differential patient recruitment was avoided, and completeness of follow up was ensured. Selection bias can therefore be reduced with a design relying on computerised linkage within databases with virtually complete nationwide coverage of the population.

The cohorts presented are among the most comprehensive reported, with regard to observation time, sample size, and follow up time. Our study also considered the time period at risk. As we used data from inpatient care, we have no information on patients treated only as outpatients. Consequently the detected associations provide a conservative estimate.

Information bias cannot be excluded because patients with coeliac disease are under more intensive surveillance for possible development of liver diseases. Our study was based on discharge diagnoses, and it is well known that these may vary in quality. However, Danish data quality studies have shown a completeness and validity of more than 90% for gastrointestinal and liver diseases.16–17 There may also be a risk of Berkson’s fallacy, or if one disease influences the probability of hospitalisation, other diseases are also more easily diagnosed, and hospital discharge data may thus wrongly indicate an association between the two diseases.18 However, the strength of the detected association does not support this.

Several studies have shown that patients with coeliac disease have symptoms several years before their first hospital stay.19–20 Therefore the calculated follow up time period may be underestimated, but the reported incidence of primary biliary cirrhosis and coeliac disease in earlier Danish studies corresponds well to our findings in Denmark.21–22 The reported prevalence and incidence rates in earlier local Swedish studies also correspond well to the findings in Sweden.23–25

It has been suggested that the association between coeliac disease and primary biliary cirrhosis represents a shared susceptibility of biliary and small bowel epithelium to attack by autoimmune mechanisms.11 As primary biliary cirrhosis can be diagnosed by non-invasive tests with high sensitivity and specificity, and can be treated with ursodeoxycholic acid or by liver transplantation, screening has been recommended.12

Before the question of screening patients with coeliac disease for primary biliary cirrhosis can be settled, it is worth discussing some of the facts presented so far. Before our study, the association between coeliac disease and primary biliary cirrhosis had been quantified only by prevalence data. After all, both diseases are rare, with low incidence rates. Among our patients, only three per 1000 with coeliac disease also suffered from primary biliary cirrhosis, a very rare combination in a rare disease.

Screening to detect incident cases with this exceedingly uncommon combination is a major undertaking in any health care system. Considering the underlying incidence rate, the performance of any testing system in routine health care, and the costs, including those of further testing of false positive cases, the cost effectiveness is questionable. Furthermore, patients with coeliac disease are already monitored regularly in most developed countries.

In conclusion, we found a high risk of primary biliary cirrhosis in patients with coeliac disease. The risk was similar when assessed independently in two different national hospital data bases during two different study periods.
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