No association between colon cancer and adenocarcinoma of the oesophagus in a population based cohort study in Sweden

J Lagergren, O Nyren

Abstract

Background—Previous reports have indicated an association between Barrett’s metaplasia or adenocarcinoma of the oesophagus and colonic neoplasia, but the findings have been inconsistent. If true, such an association suggests common causal mechanisms.

Aims—To test the hypothesis of an association between Barrett’s metaplasia or adenocarcinoma of the oesophagus and colonic neoplasia.

Methods—A population based, retrospective cohort study was performed on all Swedish patients with colon cancer diagnosed between 1958 and 1992. 538 500 person years at risk were reviewed among the 118 030 patients in the cohort (average follow up 4.6 years, median 2.1 years). The standardised incidence ratio (SIR), the ratio of the observed to the expected number of incident oesophageal adenocarcinomas, was used as a measure of relative risk. The expected number was derived from the entire Swedish population.

Results—Eleven oesophageal adenocarcinomas were found during follow up in the cohort, as against 9.5 expected (SIR=1.2; 95% confidence interval 0.6–2.1). Analysis by latency intervals after diagnosis of colon cancer showed no trend towards increasing or decreasing risk over time. There were no important sex differences in relative risk.

Conclusions—Results provide no support for a common link between colon cancer and oesophageal adenocarcinoma. Although the direct relation between colon cancer and Barrett’s oesophagus was not looked at, a search for common aetiological factors or genetic defects may not be fruitful. Screening for colonic neoplasia among patients with malignant or premalignant oesophageal mucosa, or vice versa, may not be warranted.
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The incidence of adenocarcinoma of the oesophagus is rising almost epidemically, especially among white men in the USA and Western Europe, including Sweden. The reasons for the increase are unclear and are not explained by known risk factors. There is an urgent need for research on the aetiology of this cancer form and its precursors.

Specialised Barrett’s oesophagus (intestinal like columnar cell metaplasia in the oesophageal mucosa), is prone to development of adenocarcinoma. In several case series, Barrett’s oesophagus has also been linked to neoplasia of the colon. Controlled studies of the prevalence of polyps or cancer of the colon among people with a prior diagnosis of Barrett’s oesophagus, however, have shown contradictory results, and valid epidemiological data are sparse. A finding of a true association between Barrett’s oesophagus and colonic neoplasia would provide a reason to search for common causal factors and could indicate the existence of a generalised defect, possibly genetic. One clinical implication of such a finding might be that screening for colon cancer could be recommended in patients with Barrett’s oesophagus, or vice versa, as proposed in previous reports.

In the light of a significant lifetime risk of adenocarcinoma of the oesophagus among patients with specialised Barrett’s columnar cell metaplasia, and of the fact that most oesophageal adenocarcinomas are attributed to this metaplasia, any association between Barrett’s metaplasia and colon cancer should be reflected as an increased risk of colon cancer in patients with adenocarcinoma of the oesophagus. Furthermore, if it is assumed that colon cancer development after Barrett’s oesophagus is the result not of Barrett’s metaplasia per se, but of common underlying risk factors, there should be an excess risk of oesophageal adenocarcinoma among patients with colon cancer. Our aim was to test the hypothesis of such an association between colon cancer and oesophageal adenocarcinoma.

Subjects and methods

A population based, retrospective cohort study was carried out among all 118 030 patients diagnosed with colon cancer (ICD7=153) between 1958 and 1992 in the roughly 98% complete Swedish Cancer Register. Follow up for subsequent cancers was accomplished through cross linkage within the register. Information needed for correct censoring was obtained by record linkages to the nationwide registers of Emigration and Causes of Deaths. The National Registration Numbers, unique personal identifiers assigned to all Swedish...
residents, were used to ensure correct matching in these linkages. Each patient was followed up until the diagnosis of an adenocarcinoma of the oesophagus (ICD7=150, histological code 096), death, emigration, or end of follow up (31 December 1992), whichever occurred first. No oesophageal adenocarcinomas were detected first at necropsy in the cohort.

At entry, the cohort consisted of 59 922 men and 58 108 women with a mean age of 69 years in both sexes (table 1). The colon cancer was verified histologically in 93.5% of the patients. The cohort members were followed up for an average of 4.6 years (median of 2.1 years) and altogether 538 500 person years at risk were reviewed in the study.

The standardised incidence ratio (SIR), the ratio of the observed to the expected number of incident cancers, was used as a measure of relative risk. The expected number of oesophageal adenocarcinomas was calculated by multiplying the observed number of person years in the cohort by age, sex, and calendar year specific incidence rates derived from the entire Swedish population. The 95% confidence interval was calculated under the assumption that the number of observed cancers followed a Poisson distribution.15

### Results

Eleven histologically confirmed adenocarcinomas of the oesophagus were detected during follow up in the cohort, as against 9.5 expected (SIR= 1.2; 95% confidence interval 0.6–2.1; table 2). Thus, the observed incidence in the cohort was 2.0, compared with the expected 1.8 per 100 000 per year. A non-significant excess in the first year after the diagnosis of colon cancer (SIR=2.0; 95% confidence interval 0.4–5.8), based on three observed cases, showed that a high proportion of patients with oesophageal adenocarcinoma developed colon cancer sooner or later. However, the clinically detected cases of Barrett’s oesophagus represent the “tip of an iceberg” of a much larger pool of undetected prevalent cases19 and there is an apparent risk of selection bias if the insidious symptoms of the developing colonic neoplasia increase the likelihood that Barrett’s oesophagus will be diagnosed. The results of a comparison of patients with oesophageal adenocarcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma from nine cancer registers with regard to their lifetime history of colorectal cancer,3 showing a significant excess in the former group (but only in men), also contradict our conclusions.

### Discussion

Our population based nationwide cohort study, the first of its kind to tackle the possible association between colon cancer and adenocarcinoma of the oesophagus, yielded essentially negative results.

Although colon cancer is common in Sweden, oesophageal cancer is rare. The age standardised incidence rates for the Swedish population increased from 25 to 35 per 100 000 per year for colon cancer16–18 and from 0.6 to 1.3 per 100 000 per year for adenocarcinoma of the oesophagus2 during the study period. The rarity of oesophageal adenocarcinoma meant the power to detect a weak association was limited. Despite more than half a million observed person years at risk, the confidence interval shows that we were unable to rule out the possibility of a doubled risk.

Our results are consistent with those of three controlled studies in which endoscopic examinations failed to confirm an excess risk for colorectal adenoma in patients with Barrett’s oesophagus.8–10 In one of the studies, however, the control group was too small to allow firm conclusions to be drawn.8 In the second study, symptomatic patients, who may also have had an excess risk of colon adenoma, served as controls.9 In the third, however, the controls were asymptomatic.10 Our findings are at odds with several uncontrolled case series7 which showed that a high proportion of patients with Barrett’s oesophagus developed colon cancer sooner or later. However, the clinically detected cases of Barrett’s oesophagus represent the “tip of an iceberg” of a much larger pool of undetected prevalent cases19 and there is an apparent risk of selection bias if the insidious symptoms of the developing colonic neoplasia increase the likelihood that Barrett’s oesophagus will be diagnosed. The results of a comparison of patients with oesophageal adenocarcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma from nine cancer registers with regard to their lifetime history of colorectal cancer,3 showing a significant excess in the former group (but only in men), also contradict our conclusions.

### Table 1  The cohort

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Men</th>
<th>Women</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of individuats</td>
<td>59 922</td>
<td>58 108</td>
<td>118 030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age at entry (years)</td>
<td>69.0</td>
<td>69.2</td>
<td>69.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average year of entry</td>
<td>1977</td>
<td>1977</td>
<td>1977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average follow up time (years)</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person years at risk</td>
<td>252 330</td>
<td>286 170</td>
<td>538 500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients remaining in the cohort</td>
<td>118 030</td>
<td>77 823</td>
<td>77 823</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person years at risk</td>
<td>94 017</td>
<td>116 286</td>
<td>230 303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average year of entry</td>
<td>1977</td>
<td>1977</td>
<td>1977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age at entry (years)</td>
<td>69.0</td>
<td>69.2</td>
<td>69.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of observed adenocarcinomas of the oesophagus</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of expected adenocarcinomas of the oesophagus</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standardised incidence ratio</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% confidence interval</td>
<td>0.4–5.8</td>
<td>0.1–2.6</td>
<td>0.4–3.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
However, any observation of combination of colorectal and oesophageal cancer in that study was conditional on a sufficient length of survival after the colorectal cancer to develop cancer of the oesophagus. Hence, with the case control design approach used in that study, any difference in survival after colon cancer was diagnosed in the subpopulations that generated the oesophageal adenocarcinomas and squamous cell carcinomas, respectively, would show up as a spurious difference in their association with colorectal cancer. Our study, with its strict person year approach, and with the whole population source as reference, circumvents this problem.

The cohort design and the completeness of the cancer register in our study seem to ensure against both selection and ascertainment bias. Although patients with colon cancer, on average, would be likely to have been under closer medical surveillance than the general population which served as a comparison, the alarming local symptoms of oesophageal cancer usually lead to prompt diagnosis whether the patient is under medical surveillance or not. Misclassification of oesophageal adenocarcinoma as squamous cell carcinoma should be of minor quantitative importance as the vast majority of all oesophageal cancers in Sweden are histologically confirmed; this proportion increased from 82% in 1958 to 91% in 1978 and 99% in 1992. Bias might have arisen if the oesophageal carcinomas were misclassified as secondary manifestations of the preceding colon cancer. Oesophageal metastases are rare, however, and the high frequency of histological confirmation of tumours in Sweden should minimise such bias.

A theoretically desirable design to study if colon cancer is more common in Barrett's oesophagus would be a large cohort study of colon cancer incidence among patients with Barrett's oesophagus. This study design would, however, need a very large number of these patients and a long follow up.

In conclusion, our Swedish data provide no support for the hypothesis of a common link between colon cancer and oesophageal adenocarcinoma. A search for common aetiological factors or common susceptibility markers may thus not be fruitful. The results of our study do not point to a need for screening for malignant or premalignant oesophageal mucosa in patients with colonic neoplasms, or vice versa.
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