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Abstract

Background—Published estimates of the prevalence of postcholecystectomy diarrhoea derive from retrospective or uncontrolled data. They ignore functional bowel syndromes and possible changes in diet and drug use.

Aims—To determine prospectively whether and how often cholecystectomy leads to changes in bowel function and bowel symptoms, especially to liquid stools, over and above any non-specific effect of laparoscopic surgery.


Methods—Before and 2–6 months after surgery patients were administered questionnaires about bowel frequency, bowel symptoms, diet, and drugs, and kept records of five consecutive defecations with assessment of stool form or appearance on a seven point scale.

Results—In cholecystectomised women, stated bowel frequency increased, on average by one movement a week, and fewer subjects felt that they became constipated. However, records showed no consistent change in bowel frequency, stool form, or defecatory symptoms. Six women reported diarrhoea after the operation but in only one was it clearly new and in her it was mild. Change in dietary fibre intake did not associate with change in bowel function but stopping constipating drugs did in a minority. In women being sterilised there was no consistent change in bowel function. In men having cholecystectomy no consistent changes were observed.

Conclusions—In women, cholecystectomy leads to the perception of less constipation and slightly more frequent defecations but short term recordings show no consistent change in bowel function. Clinical diarrhoea develops rarely and is not severe.

(Gut 1999;45:889–894)
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The effect of cholecystectomy on bowel function is controversial. In three series of operated patients, 5%, 9%, and 12%, respectively, reported having problems with diarrhoea.1–3 These figures are of uncertain significance because the term diarrhoea means different things to different people. These and reported bowel habit is often not confirmed by objective records.7,8 In a study of recorded defecations, there was no difference in bowel frequency or stool characteristics between cholecystectomised women and women with unoperated (indeed undiagnosed) gallstones, except that the former perceived defecation as more urgent.9

All these studies are difficult to evaluate because in none was bowel function assessed before the operation as well as after it. Only one such study has been reported.7 Of 24 patients, eight reported more frequent or “looser" stools after the operation (but not, apparently, frank diarrhoea). Overall, colonic transit time fell from 51 to 38 hours. This study strongly suggests that there is a real effect of cholecystectomy. However, the specificity of the effect can be questioned because there were no controls undergoing a similar operation and no account was taken of possible changes in dietary intake and drug usage as a result of the operation. Also, a possible role for irritable bowel syndrome was not considered.

Until recently there has been no standard definition of diarrhoea but in 1992 an international, consensus working group proposed fluidity of the stools as the key feature.10 In 1999, this was endorsed and it is now recommended that a validated stool form scale be used to assess faecal characteristics.11

We have undertaken a prospective study of bowel habit including stool form, and of bowel symptoms in patients undergoing laparoscopic cholecystectomy. In order to detect and, if necessary, correct for non-specific effects of surgery, we also studied women undergoing laparoscopic sterilisation. In addition to looking for the true prevalence of postcholecystectomy diarrhoea, we also aimed to find out whether some patients experience relief of constipation (as already suggested1), and whether the bowel changes might be explained by increased dietary fibre intake or reduced use of constipating drugs.

Subjects and methods

Between April 1993 and June 1996 we wrote to all patients with ultrasonographically diagnosed gallstones who were scheduled for non-urgent laparoscopic cholecystectomy at the Bristol Royal Infirmary. Patients who were accessible on the telephone and gave informed consent were administered a questionnaire (see Appendix) about how often they defecated (per day and per week), how often they experienced...
“diarrhoea” and “constipation”, and how often they experienced individual symptoms of constipation, diarrhoea, and irritable bowel syndrome (IBS)—that is, lumpy stools, runny/mushy stools, urgency of defecation, feelings of incomplete evacuation, abdominal pain which lessened with defecation, abdominal bloating or distension, and rectal passage of mucus.

During the interview, all medications were recorded and particular note made of those known for their constipating properties, for example, analgesics and antidepressants.

Subjects were then mailed a printed bowel record form on which to record each of five consecutive defecations including the date and time of each stool and also its form or appearance on the seven point Bristol stool form scale, which is sensitive to intestinal transit time, and the type of faeces, ranging from lumpy stools or scybala to watery diarrhoea.12–13

On the record form the subject also rated the strength of each call to stool on the following scale: weak and vague; definite but not strong; strong, hard to resist; or violent, had to rush (scored 1, 2, 3, and 4 respectively). The sum of the five scores was the urge score for that subject (maximum 20). With each defecation the subject also stated whether or not they had a sense of incomplete evacuation or rectal dissatisfaction (scored 1), yielding a dissatisfaction score (maximum 5).

Between two and six months after the operation the interviews and recordings were repeated. While the study was in progress it occurred to us that surgery might induce a change in eating habits and so in bowel function. Accordingly, the last 44 cholecystectomy subjects to be recruited were asked in the postoperative interview whether they had altered the frequency of eating the four major classes of fibre rich foods: bran containing products, brown bread, fruit, and vegetables.

Patients were classified preoperatively by their gall bladder function, in most cases by oral cholecystography, function being equated with opacification of the gall bladder 12 hours after administration of 3 g Biloptin orally. In patients who could not or would not have cholecystography (24%), a thickened gall bladder wall on ultrasonography was taken to imply loss of function, as was a calculus in the neck of the gall bladder.

Women scheduled for laparoscopic sterilisation were recruited and studied contemporaneously but over a slightly shorter time period. The only exclusion criteria were known gastrointestinal disease and prior cholecystectomy; these were never met. The data collected before and two to three months after the operation, were the same as in the cholecystectomy subjects.

The study was approved by the local Research Ethics Committee.

RESULTS

LAPAROSCOPIC CHOLECYSTECTOMY

During the recruitment period 213 patients were scheduled for non-urgent surgery, of whom 200 (94%) could be traced and were invited to take part. Of these, 140 were willing and able to do so (66% of all those available). Reasons for not taking part were: unwilling (22), not on the telephone (19), changed mind about operation (5), already had operation (5), comprehension problems (4), and miscellaneous (5). Of the 140 who entered the study, 34 failed to provide postoperative data because their operations were delayed or they moved house. Thus 106 subjects form the basis of this report, consisting of 85 women (aged 22–80 years, median 56), and 21 men (aged 35–82 years, median 66). All 106 subjects provided questionnaire data. Completed bowel record forms were received preoperatively and postoperatively from 97 subjects (92%) (79 women and 18 men).

Preoperatively the gall bladder was assessed as “functioning” in 53 of the women and nine of the men. There was no consistent difference in the results between subjects with and without functioning gall bladders; the data were therefore pooled.

LAPAROSCOPIC STERILISATION

Of 65 patients scheduled for operation, 52 were invited to take part in the study and 37 agreed to do so. All 37 women responded to both questionnaires (median age 37 years, range 30–43) and 28 provided both bowel record forms.

QUESTIONNAIRE DATA IN WOMEN

After laparoscopic cholecystectomy the stated frequency of defecation rose by a median of one bowel movement per week (95% confidence interval 0.5 to 2.0; p<0.001). A substantial increase in stated defecation frequency, defined as one of three movements per week or more, occurred in 24 of the 85 women having this operation (28%) but in only four of the 37 women having laparoscopic sterilisation (11%; p=0.062).
Diarrhoea usually or always

The number of women with perceived constipation at least occasionally fell by half after cholecystectomy (p<0.001) and did not change significantly after sterilisation. Frequent straining to start defecating was reported preoperatively by more gallstone women than controls (p=0.026) but the difference became non-significant postoperatively, owing to seven fewer of the gallstone women reporting it. Similarly, lumpy stools were more prevalent in the women with gallstones than in the controls preoperatively (p=0.032), but not significantly so postoperatively. Perceived diarrhoea tended to be more prevalent after cholecystectomy but not significantly so (table 1). Postoperatively, diarrhoea “usually or always” was reported by one of the 37 sterilised women and by six of the 85 cholecystectomised women (p=0.444). These six were examined in detail, case by case (table 2). Among them, three had denied diarrhoea preoperatively, one had said she had it occasionally, one often, and one usually or always. Therefore, by self report, three or perhaps four patients can be said to have had postcholecystectomy diarrhoea. However, one of them (patient 2) had wrongly denied diarrhoea preoperatively as at that time she admitted to 28 stools a week, usually runny. Another (patient 6) is hard to evaluate because she clearly had irritable bowel syndrome. Her postoperative diarrhoea was mild and possibly intermittent; she reported 17 stools a week but her mean interdefecatory interval was 17.7 hours.

**Bowel record form data**

The mean interdefecatory interval and the mean stool form score did not change significantly with either operation (table 3). There was also no change in within subject standard deviations. Ure scores and rectal dissatisfaction scores did not change significantly with either operation.

Of the two cholecystectomised women who, by self report, may have developed diarrhoea (patients 1 and 4), one (patient 1) must be discounted because her bowel record form revealed that she was passing liquid stools preoperatively (mean stool form 6.6, see table 4). Therefore, only one patient can be stated with any confidence to have diarrhoea as a sequel to cholecystectomy. Of note, she had admitted to occasional diarrhoea before the operation, and had also recorded frequent urgency and had admitted frequent bloating, suggesting a tendency to irritable bowel syndrome. Her postoperative diarrhoea was mild and possibly intermittent; she reported 17 stools a week but her mean interdefecatory interval was 17.7 hours.

**Laparoscopic cholecystectomy in men**

No change was noted in stated or recorded bowel frequency, nor in stool form. Self assessed constipation was rare before and after surgery. Diarrhoea usually or always was

### Table 1 Preoperative and postoperative findings from the questionnaires in subjects undergoing laparoscopic sterilisation (controls) or cholecystectomy (gallstones)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gallstones</th>
<th>Controls (n=37)</th>
<th>Gallstones</th>
<th>Controls (n=37)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Before surgery</td>
<td>After surgery</td>
<td>Before surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Never</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1 Never</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Never</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>2 Never</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Usually</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3 Usually</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Occasionally</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>4 Occasionally</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Often</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5 Often</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Never</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6 Never</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2 Findings from the questionnaires preoperatively and postoperatively in the six women who, after cholecystectomy, claimed to have diarrhoea usually or always

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient no</th>
<th>Diarrhoea before surgery</th>
<th>After surgery</th>
<th>When stools runny</th>
<th>Colon pain (days)</th>
<th>Bloating (days)</th>
<th>When defecation urgent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Never</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>QNA</td>
<td>Usually</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Never</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Usually</td>
<td>QNA</td>
<td>11+</td>
<td>3–5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Usually</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>QNA</td>
<td>QNA</td>
<td>11+</td>
<td>3–5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Occasionally</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Occasionally</td>
<td>Usually</td>
<td>1–2</td>
<td>11+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Often</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Always</td>
<td>Always</td>
<td>11+</td>
<td>11+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Never</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>QNA</td>
<td>QNA</td>
<td>11+</td>
<td>11+</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Abdominal pain relieved by defecation.
†Number of days in past three months.
QNA, question not answered.
within subject standard deviation) is a measure of variability and is given because variability of bowel function is a feature of irritable bowel syndrome.\(^{17}\)

Data are presented as mean (SD) except for last two rows which are median (range).

**Table 4** Data from the bowel record forms preoperatively and postoperatively in the six women who, after cholecystectomy, claimed to have diarrhoea

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient no</th>
<th>Diarrhoea before surgery</th>
<th>Interefecatory interval (h)</th>
<th>Stool form</th>
<th>Urge score</th>
<th>Rectal dissatisfaction score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Never</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Never</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Usually</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Occasionally</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Often</td>
<td>DNP</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>DNP</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Never</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Discussion**

The main positive finding of this study is that—in women but not men—cholecystectomy was followed by a subjective change in bowel function, consisting of a slight increase in defecation frequency and a perceived reduction in the incidence of constipation. The data agree with and greatly extend those of an earlier study.\(^3\) The changes seem to be specific to this operation as they were not seen after another laparoscopic procedure. They seem to be independent of dietary change and in only a small minority were they associated with—and, therefore, conceivably due to—the stopping of constipating drugs.

The orthodox explanation for the change in bowel function is that loss of the gall bladder’s reservoir function alters bile acid metabolism. In particular, it raises the faecal concentration of deoxycholic acid,\(^{19}\) this bile acid being an agent that sensitises the rectum and can cause an urge to defecate.\(^{20}\) However, we found no difference between patients with functioning and non-functioning gall bladders, so other mechanisms—so far unknown—may be operating.

There was no clear increase in perceived diarrhoea after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. In particular, changes in bowel habit were not seen in women of either group, although there was a slight increase in the incidence of diarrhoea in women of the laparoscopic group. However, this is a small minority were they associated with—and, therefore, conceivably due to—the stopping of constipating drugs.
assessed crudely. There was also no indication that cholecystectomy precipitates the symptoms of IBS or irregularity of bowel habit.

As stool form reflects colonic transit time,12 22 the lack of change in the bowel record form data contrasts with the findings of the only other prospective study, in which mean colonic transit time fell from 51 to 38 hours.1 However, the latter value is still within normal limits so the discrepancy may be more apparent than real.

In published series, the vast majority of patients reporting postcholecystectomy diarrhoea are women3 and—consistent with this—the men in this study registered no change in bowel function. However, the number of men was small and a larger study is necessary to be sure that there is a real gender difference in this respect.

An incidental finding of this study is that the women awaiting cholecystectomy were reportedly more prone to lumpy stools and straining than those awaiting sterilisation, few of whom, at their age, will have had gallstones.23 This is not explained by the age difference between the two groups because, although older women are somewhat more prone to strain than younger ones,24 they do not pass lumpy stools more often.4 Therefore, the finding may be seen as supporting the hypothesis that cholesterol gallstone formation is favoured by slow colonic transit.25 26

This study has limitations: lack of truly objective measures, relatively small number of controls and their low response rate, and a bowel recording period too short to detect occasional diarrhoea. Ideally, we would have started with a rigorous definition of postcholecystectomy diarrhoea and designed the study to look for it. However, such a definition has yet to be made and our approach was in line with international endorsements of stool form scales for the characterisation of diarrhoea and constipation.11 27 The data support the conclusion that, while cholecystectomy causes a perceptible change in bowel function in some women (perhaps 20–25%), this is much more often the relief of constipation than the development of diarrhoea. Indeed, as there was only one clear case of de novo diarrhoea among 106 subjects (0.9%) and the annual incidence of chronic or recurrent diarrhoea in the population is 0.7%,28 the question arises whether cholecystectomy patients experience diarrhoea any more than the general population. In the latter, chronic or recurrent diarrhoea affects 4–18%,15 16 29 while in cholecystectomised subjects its prevalence is reported as 5–12%.21 Conversely, among patients with obscure chronic diarrhoea only 5–8% have undergone cholecystectomy,21 30 a figure probably no more than expected.23 We suggest, therefore, that postcholecystectomy diarrhoea is an unproved entity and will remain so until a prospective study shows that diarrhoea develops more frequently in patients having this operation than in appropriate controls.

This work was supported by a grant from the Special Trustees of the United Bristol Hospitals NHS Trust. We thank the surgeons who allowed us access to their patients.

Appendix

SAMPLE QUESTIONS AND ANSWER OPTIONS

Do you ever have diarrhoea?

Never
Rarely (less than six times per year)
Occasionally (less than 25% of the time)
Usually (more than 50% of the time)
Always

Are you ever constipated?

Never
Rarely (less than six times per year)
Occasionally (less than 25% of the time)
Usually (more than 50% of the time)
Always

Are your stools ever runny, like porridge or a cow-pat?

Never
Rarely (less than six times per year)
Occasionally (less than 25% of the time)
Usually (more than 50% of the time)
Always

Do you ever leave the toilet with the feeling in your back passage that you have not emptied your bowel completely?

None
1–2 days
3–5 days
6–10 days
11 days or more

In the past three months have there been any days when you have had pain in the tummy or abdomen which went away or lessened when you had your bowels open?

None
1–2 days
3–5 days
6–10 days
11 days or more

In the past three months have there been any days when your tummy or abdomen has felt bloated or distended, except after large meals or before a period?

None
1–2 days
3–5 days
6–10 days
11 days or more


13 Heaton KW, Ghoosh S, Braddon FEM. How bad are the symptoms and bowel dysfunction of patients with the irritable bowel syndrome? A prospective, controlled study with emphasis on stool form. Gut 1991;32:73–9.


