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Abstract

Background and aims—Whether healthcare workers have an increased prevalence of hepatitis C virus infection as a result of exposure to patient’s blood and body fluids is controversial. This study assesses the prevalence of hepatitis C virus infection in healthcare workers, and its relation to the performance of exposure prone procedures and duration of occupational exposure, allowing an estimate to be made of the incidence of occupationally acquired hepatitis C infection among medical staff.

Methods—In this anonymous retrospective cohort study, we estimated the prevalence of hepatitis C infection in 10 654 healthcare workers. ELISA-3 testing was performed on pools of five sera collected during immunisation against hepatitis B. Healthcare workers were arranged into five occupational groups, according to the degree of patient exposure, and three age bands (<30 years, 30–39 years, >40 years).

Results—Prevalence of antibodies to hepatitis C was 0.28% (30/10 654), comparable in all occupational groups (p=0.34) and unrelated to duration of potential exposure. Assuming that all detected infections had been occupationally acquired, the maximum estimated risk of hepatitis C infection in exposure prone medical staff was low: 1.4% for surgeons and 1.0% for physicians over a 35 year professional career.

Conclusions—Hepatitis C infection is infrequent in healthcare workers in Glasgow. Those conducting exposure prone procedures do not seem to be at higher risk than other healthcare staff.
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The hepatitis C virus (HCV) was first identified in 1989 but has been prevalent for many decades. In western countries, HCV is most commonly transmitted among injecting drug users who share injecting equipment. Once infected most patients develop chronic HCV infection, a disease with considerable morbidity and mortality.1 The incidence of HCV seroconversion after accidental needlestick exposure is uncertain, with reports ranging from 0 to 10%.2–4 Whether healthcare workers have a higher prevalence of hepatitis C virus infection than the general population through percutaneous occupational exposure is unclear.5–12 The aim of this study was to assess the prevalence of HCV antibodies in healthcare workers in Glasgow, a city where injecting drug use is common, and to analyse rates in relation to specific occupational groupings and duration of potential exposure to HCV. The study focused in particular on staff performing exposure prone procedures, where injury to the worker may result in the exposure of the patient’s open tissues to the blood of the worker. These rates were then compared with existing prevalence data in other local populations to determine if certain health care workers may be at increased risk of occupationally acquired HCV.

Methods

SETTING

This study was performed in the Greater Glasgow Health Board area, which incorporates the largest city in Scotland with a population of 909 000 in 1996. It is estimated that approximately 80% of Glasgow’s large injecting drug user population have been infected with HCV.13–14 Glasgow is served by eight NHS hospital trusts providing a full range of clinical services, a single diagnostic virology laboratory service (Regional Virus Laboratory), and a coordinated occupational health service integrated into each NHS trust.

STUDY POPULATION

All healthcare workers in Glasgow who presented for hepatitis B virus (HBV) immunisation between October 1994 and October 1997 and had serum samples stored for anti-HBs assay were identified. Employees from one NHS trust, which denied ethics approval, were excluded.

Of the 24 077 serum samples received by the Regional Virus Laboratory from healthcare staff, there were 11 577 individuals that had occupational health case notes available after duplicate samples had been removed. Samples from 10 654 (92%) of these healthcare workers were located in the virology serum archive. According to 1997 Scottish Health Statistics payroll data, this sample represents 44% of the 24 150 healthcare workers employed in the seven Glasgow NHS hospital trusts studied (personal communication). Included are: 1430 of 1972 (73%) hospital medical staff, 203 of 547 (37%) dentists, 5913 of 11 803 (50%).

Abbreviations used in this paper: HCV, hepatitis C virus; HBV, hepatitis B virus; RT-PCR, reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction.
nursing and midwifery staff, and 3108 of 9828 (32%) others.

STUDY PROCEDURE
This study was necessarily performed in an anonymous manner. Serum samples were initially linked to the corresponding employee’s immunisation records at the occupational health clinics using a database consisting of employee’s name, sex, date of birth, location of employment, and occupational group. When data collection was complete, the age of each employee at the time of sample collection was derived. Individuals were then grouped into degree of patient exposure and into three age bands (table 1) to ensure that any combination of the two contained more than 50 individuals, thus protecting any infected individual against identification. All employee identifiers were then deleted from the database leaving only non-identifying details of occupational category and age band, linked to the stored serum laboratory number.

A unique non-identifying code was generated for each database entry and printed on labels adjacent to the corresponding laboratory serum number. The appropriate sera were then labelled with their unique code and transferred to a separate laboratory for testing. By then deleting the laboratory serum numbers from the database, the HCV antibody results could only be linked with the anonymous data (occupational category and age band) via the unique non-identifying code.

SAMPLES FOR TESTING
Sera were initially stored by sequential laboratory number at either 4°C or −20°C on the day of collection in the virology department. The study sera were then identified, aliquoted into 2.5 ml Sarstedt vials, labelled with the unique non-identifying code, and stored at −20°C for testing.

HEPATITIS C TESTS
Sera were screened for antibodies to HCV by ELISA-3 (Ortho Diagnostics, Raritan, New Jersey, USA). Reactive samples were only considered positive if they were confirmed by RIBA-3 testing (Ortho Diagnostics). All samples confirmed positive were further tested for the presence of HCV RNA by reverse transcriptase-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) using an inhouse method.15 RT-PCR positive samples were genotyped by restriction fragment length polymorphism.

In view of the large number of sera to be tested, a pooling protocol was developed. Pools of five sera were tested by third generation ELISA. Twenty microlitres of each of the five sera to be tested were pooled and mixed thoroughly. A sample of this pool (50 µl) was transferred into the test plate (the equivalent of 10 µl of each serum), diluent was added to a total volume of 200 µl, and thereafter testing followed the Ortho ELISA-3 protocol. The final dilution of each individual serum in the pool was 1 in 20 compared with individual testing with a 1 in 11 dilution. The five sera in any reactive pool were then tested individually by ELISA-3 to identify the reactive samples requiring confirmatory testing by RIBA-3.

STATISTICS
Data were analysed for the prevalence of antibodies to HCV in each age band and occupational group. The prevalence in each of these categories was compared using the χ² test or Fisher’s exact test.

By assuming that 24 years is the median age at which doctors qualify in the UK, the probability of acquiring HCV per 100 person-years of occupational exposure for the medical staff was estimated to be:

Probability of HCV infection /100 person-years exposure=(Number positive for HCV antibodies×100/Number tested × (median age in occupational group−24))
That is, 10 sera tested in which no individual serum was ELISA or RIBA positive.

*438 pools containing five sera each.

C virus (HCV) by ELISA-3 in pools of five.

Figure 1 Results of testing sera from 10 654 healthcare workers for antibodies to hepatitis C virus (HCV) by ELISA-3 in pools of five.

Table 2 Comparison of ELISA-3 hepatitis C virus (HCV) antibody test on individual and pooled sera from 2190 healthcare workers who conduct exposure prone procedures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pooled sera*</th>
<th>ELISA positive</th>
<th>ELISA negative</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ELISA positive/RIBA positive</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELISA positive/RIBA indeterminate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELISA positive/RIBA negative</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELISA negative</td>
<td>2**</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>430</td>
<td>438</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*438 pools containing five sera each.

**That is, 10 sera tested in which no individual serum was ELISA or RIBA positive.

HCV PREVALENCE

Thirty eight (1.8%) of the 2131 pools tested were reactive by ELISA-3 and individual sera were analysed further (fig 1). The overall prevalence of HCV antibodies was 0.28% (30/10 654, 95% confidence interval 0.19–0.41%). Occupational category and age band of employees tested are summarised in table 1. There was no statistically significant difference in the prevalence of HCV antibodies between the five occupational categories (p=0.34) or between exposure prone staff and all non-exposure prone staff (0.23% v 0.30%; p=0.59). The prevalence of antibodies to HCV was significantly higher in the 30–39 year age band (p=0.012).

Twelve (40%) of the 30 sera confirmed to contain antibodies to HCV had viral RNA detectable in serum by RT-PCR. These were typed as follows: six type 1, four type 3, one type 5, and one could not be typed.

PROBABILITY OF HCV INFECTION

Within the exposure prone category, median age and age ranges for each occupational group were: surgeons 30 years (22–62 years); physicians 34 years (22–63 years); dental staff 29 years (16–72 years); and nurses 34 years (17–64 years).

The maximum estimated probability of occupationally acquired HCV infection per 100 person-years in the exposure prone group was 0.04 for surgeons and 0.03 for physicians, which would equate to a 1.4% and 1% risk, respectively, over a professional career of 35 years.

Discussion

Hepatitis C is a blood borne virus transmitted by the parenteral route. Infection frequently results in a chronic asymptomatic carrier state for many years before the development of symptomatic liver disease. HCV infected healthcare workers may therefore be unaware of their condition and their potential to infect patients. Healthcare workers, who perform exposure prone procedures, where injury to the
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