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Abstract

Background—In Japan, endoscopic mucosal resection (EMR) is accepted as a treatment option for cases of early gastric cancer (EGC) where the probability of lymph node metastasis is low. The results of EMR for EGC at the National Cancer Center Hospital, Tokyo, over a 11 year period are presented.

Methods—EMR was applied to patients with early cancers up to 30 mm in diameter that were of a well or moderately histologically differentiated type, and were superficially elevated and/or depressed (types I, IIa, and IIc) but without ulceration or definite signs of submucosal invasion. The resected specimens were carefully examined by serial sections at 2 mm intervals, and if histopathology revealed submucosal invasion and/or vessel involvement or if the resection margin was not clear, surgery was recommended.

Results—Four hundred and seventy nine patients with EGC in 445 patients were treated by EMR from 1987 to 1998 but submucosal invasion was found on subsequent pathological examination in 74 tumours. Sixty nine percent of intramucosal cancers (278/405) were resected with a clear margin. Of 127 cancers without “complete resection”, 14 underwent an additional operation and nine were treated endoscopically; the remainder had intensive follow up. Local recurrence in the stomach occurred in 17 lesions followed conservatively, in one lesion treated endoscopically, and in five lesions with complete resection. All tumours were diagnosed by follow up endoscopy and subsequently treated by surgery. There were no gastric cancer related deaths during a median follow up period of 38 months (3–125 months). Bleeding and perforation (5%) were two major complications of EMR but there were no treatment related deaths.

Conclusion—In our experience, EMR allows us to perform less invasive treatment without sacrificing the possibility of cure.
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Early gastric cancer (EGC) is defined as that confined to the mucosa or submucosa, regardless of the presence or absence of regional lymph node metastasis. It has been increasing in Japan,1 accounting for approximately 60% of all resected cases in our institution. In Japan, the five year survival rate of patients with EGC is more than 90% after gastrectomy with complete removal of primary and secondary lymph nodes.2,3 The incidence of nodal metastasis of intramucosal and submucosal EGC has been reported as 3% and 20%, respectively,4 and therefore major surgery may be inappropriate in many of these patients. It has been shown that lymphatic vessel invasion, histological ulceration of the tumour, and tumour diameter (>30 mm) are independent risk factors for regional lymph node metastasis, and in the absence of these risk factors the incidence of lymph node involvement in patients with intramucosal EGC is 0.36%.5 These patients may be appropriate for endoscopic mucosal resection (EMR).

Fifteen years have passed since EMR was introduced in Japan.6 However, there are still unsolved problems with regard to its indications, techniques, evaluation of curability, and follow up. In this paper, we present the results of EMR for EGC at the National Cancer Center Hospital (NCCH) over an 11 year period.

Patients and methods

A prospective analysis of 479 EGCs in 445 patients resected by EMR at NCCH, Tokyo, from March 1987 to December 1998 was performed. These were 413 solitary, 17 double, one triple, one quadruple, and 13 metachronous tumours.

Mean age of the patients was 67.6 years (range 34–92), the male to female ratio was 4.13 (357/88), and the follow up period was 3–125 months (median 45 months).

The Japanese Classification of Gastric Carcinoma was used for tumour description.1 The indications for EMR are determined by the risk of lymph node metastasis and such technical considerations as the size and position of the lesion, and the equipment and expertise available locally. Current indications for EMR at the NCCH are shown in table 1. When EMR was introduced to the NCCH in 1987, the indication size was 15 mm or less in diameter, and multifragment resection was not accepted. However, it was increased to 30 mm because multifragment resection was introduced based on a review of resected cancers and the development of appropriate endoscopic techniques.

Abbreviations used in this paper: EGC, early gastric cancer; EMR, endoscopic mucosal resection; NCCH, National Cancer Center Hospital.
As it is sometimes difficult to accurately assess the depth of invasion prior to EMR,\(^7\) we are prepared to remove any lesion that appears to be confined to the mucosa if it meets the other criteria.

In this series, most EMRs were performed by the so-called "strip biopsy method", a relatively simple technique that has been described elsewhere.\(^6,8\) Since 1997, a new EMR procedure using an insulation tipped diathermic knife (IT knife) was used in the majority of cases.\(^9\) (Fig 1).

Follow up endoscopy was performed at three and six months after EMR in the first year, and yearly thereafter to diagnose local recurrence.

EVALUATION OF RESECTED SPECIMENS AND RESECTABILITY

The resectability of EMR specimens was carefully evaluated both endoscopically and histopathologically in slices at 2 mm intervals according to the Japanese Classification of Gastric Carcinoma.\(^11,12\) After resection in multiple fragments, resectability was evaluated based on completely reconstructed specimens. The current definition of "resection EA"\(^10\), which means a high probability of cure, is demonstrated in Table 2. As submucosal invasion and/or vessel involvement are regarded as high risks of positive nodes or distant metastasis, surgical intervention was strongly recommended.

Evaluation of invasion to the lateral margin was classified into the following three groups using endoscopic and histopathological evidence:

1. **Complete resection**: when the lateral margin was clear endoscopically and pathologically (minimum probability of local recurrence).
2. **Incomplete resection**: when the tumour definitely invaded to the lateral margin endoscopically and pathologically (high probability of local recurrence).
3. **Not evaluable**: when the tumour was removed endoscopically but its lateral margin was not pathologically evaluable due to a burn effect (burned by diathermic treatment) or mechanical damage, or when reconstruction was difficult due to multi-fragment resection.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The SAS program (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, North Carolina, USA) was used for all analyses; p<0.05 was taken as significant.

---

**Table 1** Indication criteria for endoscopic mucosal resection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Early gastric cancer meeting all of the following:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) Well or moderately differentiated type adenocarcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) Superficial, elevated, or depressed macroscopic appearance (types I, IIa, IIc)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) No ulceration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) Diameter &lt;30 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5) No apparent invasive findings</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2** Evaluation of resected specimens by endoscopic mucosal resection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The following must be confirmed histologically for &quot;complete resection&quot;:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) Intramucosal cancer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) Well or moderately differentiated type adenocarcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) No histological ulceration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(4) No lymphatic or venous invasion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(5) No tumour invasion to the lateral margin</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Figure 1 Endoscopic mucosal resection procedure using an IT knife. (A) Superficial elevated (IIa type) early gastric cancer (EGC) located on the lesser curvature of the lesser body after spraying with indigo carmine dye. (B) Marking dots were made using a precut knife on the circumference of the target lesion to clarify the margin. (C) After injection of saline with epinephrine (0.025 mg/ml) into the submucosal layer, an initial cut was made with a conventional needle knife outside of the dots. The IT knife was inserted into this cut and operated to cut around the lesion. (D) The tumour marked by dots was separated from the surrounding normal mucosa. (E) The tumour was removed by standard polypectomy with a combination of cutting and coagulation current in a single fragment. (F) The resected specimen showed well differentiated adenocarcinoma (20x25 mm) with a clear lateral margin. (G) The specifications of the insulation tipped diathermic knife, which was developed by Dr Hosokawa in 1994. The knife consists of a conventional diathermic needle knife (KD-1L, Olympus, Japan) with a ceramic ball at the top to minimise the risk of perforation.
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Figure 2 Clinical courses after endoscopic mucosal resection (EMR) for early gastric cancer.

CLINICAL OUTCOMES (Fig 2)

Of 278 lesions which were completely resected, five (2%) developed local recurrence, and all were subsequently treated with curative intent. The remainder are disease free at a median follow up period of 38 months (3–120 months).

Of 127 lesions whose “complete resection” was not confirmed, 24 underwent resection and no lymph node metastases were found in these patients, and nine were treated by endoscopic therapy and one developed local recurrence after laser ablation. Among the 95 lesions that were followed conservatively, there were 17 local recurrences (18%) after a median follow up of four months (2–12 months). All of these patients with recurrence underwent surgery and remain disease free. There were no treatment related or gastric cancer related deaths.

COMPLICATIONS

Bleeding and perforation were two major complications of EMR. All bleeding was controlled by endoscopic treatment with ethanol injection, endoscopic clipping (HX5LR-1, Olympus, Japan), and spraying of thrombin solution into the stomach. There were 25 cases of perforation (5%); the first four were converted to open surgery. Since then, all perforations have been successfully treated with endoscopic clipping, intubation of a nasogastric tube, and administration of antibiotics.

Discussion

The EMR technique has been developed mainly in Japan where there is a high incidence of EGC. It is used infrequently in the West. It is important to carefully evaluate the current status of EMR and promote the appropriate use of this technique around the world. The number of patients undergoing EMR is increasing, with about 500 procedures performed in our hospital over the past 11 years. Considering that it generally takes one hour for resection and one week for admission, EMR is a minimally invasive procedure (a patient undergoing surgical resection is hospitalised for approximately three weeks). Our experience suggests that EMR can provide comparable long term survival rates to traditional therapy, provided adherence to strict inclusion
criteria is followed. As shown in fig 3, the proportion of EGC cases treated by EMR at the NCCH has risen, and this accounted for about 40% of all EGC cases in 1998. This is probably due to improved diagnostic techniques.

As there are still several unsolved problems in EMR, we will address specific concerns. Patient eligibility for EMR should be considered in relation to the risk of lymph node metastasis. As shown in table 1, EGCs fulfilling the criteria for EMR have a lower incidence of lymph node metastasis (0.36%) than the mortality rate of surgery for EGC (approximately 0.5% at the NCCH).

In this study, the Japanese Classification of Gastric Carcinoma was used for tumour description, which is widely used in our country. Western pathologists use the term “high grade dysplasia” for neoplastic lesions that have not breached the basement membrane to the lamina propria. Japanese pathologists, however, classify them as intramuscal cancer on the grounds that the cells are of a malignant type and have the potential to invade. A recent analysis showed that the histological type, macroscopic appearance, degree of invasion, and lymph node metastases are the same although many more EGCs are detected in Japan than in the West. We usually perform EMR for intramuscal cancer using the Japanese criteria, and it is important to have the same diagnostic criteria for gastric neoplastic lesions to propagate EMR around the world.

As it is sometimes difficult to accurately assess the depth of invasion prior to EMR, we remove any lesion without apparent endoscopic findings of submucosal invasion but 15% (74/479) of all lesions invaded to the submucosa. We do not use endoscopic ultrasonography routinely as it is not sensitive enough to evaluate minute invasion to the submucosa. Although histological examination revealed minute submucosal invasion in resected EGC, some patients refused to undergo an additional treatment. As no lymph node metastasis was demonstrated in tumours with less than 300 μm of submucosal invasion, and no recurrence has been observed during follow up of these patients, it may be reasonable to treat patients with minute submucosal invasion by EMR.

In our series, most EMRs were performed by the so called “strip biopsy method”. However, we had five local recurrences in “complete resection”, all of which had been resected in multiple fragments by this method. Single fragment resection is preferable because with multifragment specimens it is often difficult to reconstruct the entire lesion. We believe it is likely that local recurrence can often be attributed to inappropriate assessment of the multiple fragments of resected specimens.

There have been several reports on endoscopic resection of larger lesions. In addition, we have found that the insulation tipped diathermy knife (IT knife) can allow safe resection of a larger lesion in a single piece. The average rate of complete resection increased to more than 90% and histological evaluation became easier following introduction of this technique.

A follow up programme is important for finding local recurrence and other cancers in the stomach. Thirteen metachronous early cancers were included in our series, which were diagnosed a median period of 23 months after the initial EMR (3–67 months). The incidence of multiple primary lesions in surgically treated EGC is approximately 10% at the NCCH. As EGC is frequently associated with synchronous tumours that may be missed at an initial endoscopic examination, and the stomach may also contain premalignant conditions such as intestinal metaplasia, we should pay attention not only to recurrence but also to other cancers in the stomach.

In summary, based on our experience, it is clear that EMR can provide the same favourable long term survival rates as traditional therapy and is now the treatment of choice for mucosal EGC. We hope to promote its use around the world.
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