Lack of effect of intravenous azathioprine on time to respond for steroid treated Crohn’s disease


Aim
To assess whether intravenous azathioprine decreases the time to achieve remission in patients with steroid treated Crohn’s disease who are starting oral azathioprine therapy.

Background
Azathioprine is effective in preventing relapses of Crohn’s disease although its therapeutic effect can be slow to develop. An intravenous infusion may decrease the time needed for oral therapy to become effective.

Methodology
Patients were those with Crohn’s disease with a CDAI of 150–450 points and receiving ≥20 mg prednisolone/day for ≥4 weeks. The study design was a multicentre, randomised, double blind, placebo controlled trial of either an infusion of intravenous azathioprine of 40 mg/kg over 36 hours or a placebo infusion. Following infusion, both groups received an oral dose of azathioprine 2 mg/kg/day. The primary outcome measures were complete remission (CDAI <150) and total steroid withdrawal by eight weeks.

Results
Fifty one patients received intravenous azathioprine of which 32 (63%) completed the 16 weeks of treatment. Forty five received placebo infusion of which 26 (58%) completed the study. After eight weeks the remission rate was 25% in the treatment group and 24% in the placebo arm (p=0.906). Clinical remission (CDAI <150), clinical improvement (CDAI <150 or total steroid withdrawal), and clinical improvement (decrease in baseline CDAI >70) were similar in both groups.

Conclusions
A loading dose of intravenous azathioprine does not decrease the time to response in patients with steroid treated Crohn’s disease starting oral azathioprine therapy.

Secondly, the clinical effect has been said to have a prolonged time to onset with response rates only significantly increasing after 17 weeks of therapy, limiting usefulness in refractory active disease. Pharmacokinetic studies have suggested that the active metabolites of these drugs, the 6-thioguanine nucleotides (6TGN), have a long half life in red blood cells and slowly accumulate in tissues, requiring prolonged treatment to achieve steady state levels. Sandborn and colleagues have carefully addressed whether an intravenous loading dose of azathioprine would reduce the time to respond in patients with active Crohn’s disease treated with steroids. An earlier open label study had raised this possibility. The primary outcome was complete remission, defined as a Crohn’s disease activity index (CDAI) score <150 and steroid withdrawal at week 8. There was no difference between the two groups and other measures of response, including quality of life scores.

Despite the negative results of this study it does make other important clinical observations. The median time to clinical remission (CDAI <150) was 28 days in both groups and complete remission rates did not increase after eight weeks. This suggests a more rapid clinical effect than previously reported. In accordance with this, both groups achieved steady state 6TGN levels by week 2. Intravenous loading with azathioprine did not reduce the time to achieve steady state levels of 6TGN, supporting previous data. However, with the low intravenous dose used and the extensive first pass metabolism, this might have been expected.

In both groups, the response rate was lower than expected (31% intravenous group; 27% oral azathioprine) but this is difficult to interpret without a control group who did not receive oral azathioprine. It is possible that the low oral dose (2 mg/kg) and the limited follow up (16 weeks) contributed to this low rate. In addition, patients with low and intermediate thiopurine s-methyltransferase activity were excluded, accounting for 10% of patients and potentially those most likely to respond. This may also account for the lack of association between higher levels of 6TGN and the rate of complete remission observed in other studies.

This study demonstrates that an intravenous loading dose of azathioprine, although safe, is ineffective in reducing time to response in active Crohn’s disease. As this is not common clinical practice the results will not significantly alter current management. However, the response time to azathioprine is shorter than previously suggested, as is the time to steady state erythrocyte 6TGN levels. This illustrates the need for the continued clinical evaluation of these useful drugs in the treatment of Crohn’s disease.

6-Mercaptopurine and azathioprine are established treatments for Crohn’s disease, both for maintenance and induction of remission. They are the agents of choice for steroid dependant disease. Their use in Crohn’s disease is limited in two ways. Firstly, potentially serious dose dependant and idiosyncratic hypersensitivity side effects occur in a significant minority of patients treated.
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