

## Review

# Intestinal luminal pH in inflammatory bowel disease: possible determinants and implications for therapy with aminosalicylates and other drugs

### Summary

Measurements of luminal pH in the normal gastrointestinal tract have shown a progressive increase in pH from the duodenum to the terminal ileum, a decrease in the caecum, and then a slow rise along the colon to the rectum. Some data in patients with ulcerative colitis suggest a substantial reduction below normal values in the right colon, while limited results in Crohn's disease have been contradictory. Determinants of luminal pH in the colon include mucosal bicarbonate and lactate production, bacterial fermentation of carbohydrates and mucosal absorption of short chain fatty acids, and possibly intestinal transit. Alterations in these factors, as a result of mucosal disease and changes in diet, are likely to explain abnormal pH measurements in inflammatory bowel disease (IBD). It is conceivable that reduced intracolonic pH in active ulcerative colitis impairs bioavailability of 5-aminosalicylic acid from pH dependent release formulations (Asacol, Salofalk) and those requiring cleavage by bacterial azo reductase (sulphasalazine, olsalazine, balsalazide), but further pharmacokinetic studies are needed to confirm this possibility. Reports that balsalazide and olsalazine may be more efficacious in active and quiescent ulcerative colitis, respectively, than Asacol suggest that low pH may be a more critical factor in patients taking directly pH dependent release than azo bonded preparations. Reduced intracolonic pH also needs to be considered in the development of pH dependent colonic release formulations of budesonide and azathioprine for use in ulcerative and Crohn's colitis. This paper reviews methods for measuring gut pH, its changes in IBD, and how these may influence current and future therapies.

### Introduction

Over the past 15 years, the development of radiotelemetric technology has made possible the measurement *in vivo* of the luminal pH of the entire human gastrointestinal tract using orally ingested free fall pH sensitive capsules.<sup>1</sup> In this review, we compare methods available for investigating gut pH distal to the stomach, describe the pH profiles obtained in normal controls<sup>2-11</sup> and in patients with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD),<sup>6-12</sup> and discuss the mucosal and luminal factors likely to account for differences in health and disease. Lastly, we consider the therapeutic implications of altered gut pH in IBD and, in particular, the potential influence of reduced colonic pH on the bioavailability of drugs such as 5-aminosalicylic acid (5-ASA), which are formulated in a pH dependent release system.

### Measurement of intestinal luminal pH

Luminal gut pH can be measured directly *in vivo* using either radiotelemetric capsules<sup>13</sup> (RTC) or tube mounted pH sensitive electrodes passed orally. Peri-mucosal colonic pH can be recorded *in vivo* by electrodes inserted endoscopically<sup>14</sup> as well as applied directly *in vitro* to biopsies or operative specimens.<sup>15</sup>

RADIOTELEMETRIC MEASUREMENT OF INTRALUMINAL GUT pH RTC<sup>13</sup> consist of a reference and pH sensitive electrode which samples and transmits the pH of the gut lumen. They are battery powered, approximately 20×7 mm in size, and contain a radiofrequency transmitter. Signals can be transmitted at frequencies of 6-60/second and are received by an aerial and stored on a data logger. The orally ingested RTC take 1-5 days to pass through the gastrointestinal tract by free fall.

The approximate location of the capsule in relation to surface abdominal landmarks can be determined either by fluoroscopy or by identification of the maximal radio signal with the help of a radio receiving probe.<sup>4</sup> Although this method of identifying the site of the capsule does not allow its precise location in relation to sphincters and other intestinal anatomical sites, the pH changes themselves indicate the location of the electrode. For example, a sudden fall in pH when the probe is in the right iliac fossa indicates its arrival in the caecum.

Another problem with radiotelemetry pH recording is poor signal quality.<sup>10, 11</sup> Effective data transmission and retrieval is necessary to construct a pH profile for all segments of the gut. Low signal strength occurs when the capsule in the gut lumen and the aerial are not optimally aligned or when the capsule exceeds the optimal distance to the aerial for maximum reception of the transmitted signal, a frequent problem in the colon. Some studies have reported up to 75% data loss in individual patients.<sup>10, 11</sup>

### MEASUREMENT OF INTRALUMINAL GUT pH USING PER ORAL TUBE MOUNTED ELECTRODES

Per oral tube mounted pH electrodes measure small bowel and right colonic luminal pH accurately and continuously. The pH catheter is passed into the stomach and the tip of the tube manoeuvred across the pylorus under fluoroscopy; a small balloon inflated at the tip assists passage through the small intestine into the colon. Luminal pH measurements are recorded and stored by a digitrapper from several electrodes positioned at specific intervals along the axis of the tube; their anatomical location can be identified fluoroscopically. This method avoids a potential hazard of the radiotelemetric capsule, namely impaction at the site of small bowel strictures in patients with Crohn's disease with consequent intestinal obstruction.<sup>16</sup>

### MEASUREMENT OF PERI-MUCOSAL COLONIC pH

Peri-mucosal pH can be measured by endoscopic placement of pH sensitive electrodes on to the luminal surface of the colonic mucosa.<sup>14</sup> A surface layer of mucus approximately 100-800 µm thick covers the mucosa. Beneath this layer and adjacent to the apical membrane lies an area apparently protected from the contents of the lumen and relatively unaffected by changes in the colonic lumen. The

**Abbreviations used in this paper:** IBD, inflammatory bowel disease; 5-ASA, 5-aminosalicylic acid; SCFA, short chain fatty acids; RTC, radiotelemetric capsules.

Table 1 Intestinal luminal pH studies using radiotelemetry capsules in healthy volunteers

Study	Patients	Small bowel pH		Caecum/right colon pH	Left colon/ rectal pH
		Proximal	Distal		
Watson, 1972 <sup>2</sup>	2 normals+7 misc. GI disorders	5.5–7.0	6.5–7.5	5.5–7.5	6.5–7.5
Bown, 1974 <sup>3</sup>	11 normals	5.9	7.5	6.0	7.0
Evans, 1988 <sup>4</sup>	66 normals	6.6	7.5	6.4	7.1
Fallingborg, 1989 <sup>5</sup>	39 normals	6.4	7.3	5.7	6.6
Raimundo, 1992 <sup>6</sup>	7 normals	6.6	7.4	6.7	N/A
Fallingborg, 1998 <sup>8</sup>	13 normals	6.4	7.4	5.8	N/A
Sasaki, 1997 <sup>9</sup>	4 normals	6.8	7.7	6.8	7.2
Press, 1998 <sup>10</sup>	12 normals	6.7	7.5	6.1	6.1
Ewe, 1999 <sup>11</sup>	13 normals	6.5	7.6	6.2	7.0

N/A, data not available.

Table 2 Colonic peri-mucosal pH in healthy volunteers and patients with ulcerative proctitis and neoplasia

Study	Method	Patients	Caecum/ right colon pH	Left colon/ rectal pH
McDougall, 1993 <sup>14</sup>	Colonoscopy probe	21 normals 37 neoplasia	7.1 7.2	7.2–7.5 7.2–7.4
McNeil, 1987 <sup>15</sup>	Microelectrodes, human rectal biopsies	6 normals 5 ulcerative proctitis	N/A N/A	6.3–6.8 6.3–6.8

N/A, data not available.

pH probe is inserted down the biopsy channel to make contact with the mucosa and record the pH at its surface during the procedure. It is not possible with this method to record pH throughout the intestine or to record for long periods. Furthermore, in the large bowel, fasting and laxatives used for bowel preparation before colonoscopy may alter the luminal and surface properties of the colon and give pH recordings unrepresentative of those found in unprepared bowel.

#### MEASUREMENT OF COLONIC MUCOSAL pH IN VITRO

Mucosal pH can also be measured at the epithelial cell surface in surgically resected colonic specimens and biopsies, using glass pH microelectrodes.<sup>15</sup> Results obtained in organ bath preparations should be extrapolated to the intact human digestive tract with extreme care because factors such as buffers, trauma, nutrients, and absence of luminal contents may influence the pH significantly.

#### Luminal pH in normal small bowel and colon

Gastrointestinal luminal pH data recorded by RTC in normal volunteers are shown in table 1. Luminal pH in the proximal small bowel ranges from 5.5 to 7.0 and gradually rises to 6.5–7.5 in the distal ileum. In almost every recording published there has been a fall in luminal pH from the terminal ileum to the caecum (range 5.5–7.5); pH then rises in the left colon and rectum to 6.1–7.5.

#### COLONIC MUCOSAL SURFACE pH

Colonic mucosal pH in healthy subjects is shown in table 2. In vitro, a mean perimucosal surface pH of 6.6 was recorded in rat colonic mucosa and human rectal biopsy specimens.<sup>15</sup> However, the in vivo surface pH of human colonic mucosa ranged between 7.1 and 7.5 and was consistently higher at all anatomical segments than luminal pH.<sup>14</sup> Although the effect of bowel preparation prior to colonoscopy is uncertain, these findings suggest loss of the acidifying action of the luminal contents under the mucous barrier and the predominant effect of submucosal epithelial bicarbonate secretion.

#### Determinants of normal intestinal luminal pH

While hydrogen and bicarbonate ion secretion by the gastric and intestinal mucosa are major determinants of

foregut luminal pH, other mechanisms play a role in the small bowel and colon. The acidic gastric contents are buffered by alkaline pancreatic secretions as they enter the proximal small bowel, resulting in a rise in luminal pH here by several units. Additionally, small bowel mucosal bicarbonate secretion results in a further gradual rise in luminal pH (7.5) in the terminal ileum.<sup>4</sup>

The almost neutral small bowel contents then empty into the caecum where the luminal pH (6.4) is relatively acidic.<sup>4</sup> This fall in luminal pH is in part attributable to the action of colonic bacteria which ferment carbohydrates entering the caecum from the ileum generating the short chain fatty acids (SCFA) acetic, propionic, and butyric acid, and hydrogen ions.<sup>17</sup> The SCFAs are weak acids, pKa 4.8, and are present as organic anions in the normal colonic lumen. The faecal concentration of these organic anions is negatively correlated with faecal pH.<sup>18</sup> SCFAs, especially butyrate, are absorbed and metabolised by the colonic epithelium for which they are a principal energy source.<sup>19</sup> A falling intraluminal concentration of SCFAs may contribute, in common with colonic mucosal bicarbonate secretion, to a pH rise along the distal colon. A slight drop in pH may occur in the rectum due to faecal stasis and the subsequent action of colonic bacteria fermenting any remaining carbohydrates.<sup>17</sup>

Ammonia is formed in the colonic lumen from the bacterial metabolism of proteins, amino acids, and particularly urea. While, theoretically, a high protein diet<sup>20</sup> may therefore raise colonic pH, the influence of ammonia on colonic pH is thought to be smaller than that of bicarbonate and organic acids.<sup>21</sup>

Dietary intake can influence intracolonic pH through its effects on SCFA production. Thus increased dietary fibre,<sup>22</sup> as well as non-absorbable sugars such as lactulose,<sup>3</sup> increase caecal acidity by providing a carbohydrate meal to colonic flora.<sup>23</sup>

The effects of lactulose on gut pH may also be modified by its effects on intestinal transit. However, the effects of changes in colonic transit time on intraluminal pH are difficult to predict. Theoretically, a shortened transit time could either increase pH by reducing the time available for bacterial fermentation of carbohydrates to SCFAs or decrease it by causing carbohydrate starved bacteria to produce more lactate.<sup>17</sup> In fact, a mixture of magnesium sulphate and carbonate given to healthy volunteers in sufficient doses to increase stool weight threefold produced no change in pH in the colon itself, and a small rise in the rectum.<sup>3</sup> Conversely, in a study of gall stone patients with slow transit constipation, there was a higher proximal colonic pH (6.8) than in controls (pH 6.4).<sup>24</sup>

#### Intestinal luminal pH in ulcerative colitis

The published reports of intraluminal pH in patients with ulcerative colitis<sup>7–10,12</sup> indicate a wide range of pH values in

Table 3 Intestinal luminal pH, measured using radiotelemetry capsules, in patients with ulcerative colitis (UC)

Study	Patients with UC	Small bowel pH		Caecum/right colon pH	Left colon/ rectal pH
		Proximal	Distal		
Raimundo, 1992 <sup>6</sup>	7 active 6 inactive	6.1 5.9–6.6	7.2 6.9–7.4	4.7 4.9–5.5	N/A N/A
Fallingborg, 1993 <sup>7</sup>	3 active 3 very active	Normal range Normal range	Normal range Normal range	Normal range 2.3–3.4	N/A N/A
Press, 1998 <sup>10</sup>	7 active 4 inactive	6.8 6.6	8.2 7.9	7.2 6.5	6.8 6.5
Ewe, 1999 <sup>11</sup>	4 active	6.5	6.8	5.5	7.5
Nugent, 2000 <sup>12</sup>	6 active	7.3	8.3	6.7 (5.8–7.3)	6.7 (4.8–7.3)

N/A, data not available.

the caecum and right colon with a shift towards much lower pH values in some patients with active disease (table 3).

In Fallingborg *et al*'s study of six patients with active ulcerative colitis,<sup>5</sup> the three patients with the most severe disease (of whom two required urgent surgery) showed extremely acidic proximal colonic pH (ranging between pH 2.3 and 3.4). The remaining three patients had luminal pH profiles within the normal range. Raimundo *et al* reported similar findings in an abstract (right colonic luminal pH as low as 4.7) in patients with both active and inactive ulcerative colitis.<sup>6</sup> Nugent *et al* also reported, in an abstract, falls in colonic luminal pH to less than 5.5 in two of six patients with active ulcerative colitis.<sup>12</sup> In contrast, Press *et al* reported slightly higher right colonic luminal pH in 11 patients with ulcerative colitis compared with normal controls.<sup>10</sup> In a further recent study, four patients with mild-moderately active ulcerative colitis had no decrease in colonic luminal pH; pH was again higher than in normal controls.<sup>11</sup>

Regrettably, these five studies are all small. Drawing firm conclusions is difficult because of differences in the extent and severity of colitis and in dietary intake of the patients investigated. It has been suggested that recorded pH may sometimes be artefactually low as a result of signal loss,<sup>11</sup> but our own studies show transient reductions in colonic pH at times when simultaneously monitored signal strength is well maintained.<sup>12</sup> On balance, it seems likely that right colonic pH is reduced in at least a proportion of patients with ulcerative colitis, but further studies of larger numbers of patients with well defined disease, and under strictly controlled conditions, are needed.

### Intestinal luminal pH in Crohn's disease

Existing data on luminal pH in Crohn's disease are also limited by small numbers of patients recruited and differences in disease site, activity, and treatment (table 4).<sup>8–11</sup>

In one study, a low colonic luminal pH, similar to that reported in patients with active ulcerative colitis, was found in patients with Crohn's disease.<sup>9</sup> Four patients with Crohn's colitis, three active, had lower right (pH 5.3) and left (pH 5.3) colonic luminal pH values than normal controls (pH 6.8). The reported tendency for pH to rise from the right to the left colon was lost in two of the four patients

but there was no obvious relation between gut luminal pH and mucosal disease activity or site. Press *et al* and Ewe *et al* failed to confirm these findings.<sup>10, 11</sup> In a total of 24 patients with Crohn's disease, small bowel and colonic luminal pH was similar to that recorded in healthy control subjects, irrespective of disease activity or site. In a fourth report,<sup>8</sup> right colonic pH (mean 6.7) was higher in nine patients with an ileocaecal resection for Crohn's disease than in 13 normal controls (mean pH 5.7) but was still within the normal range; neo-terminal ileal pH (7.3) was normal.

### Determinants of colonic luminal pH in IBD

Reduced mucosal bicarbonate secretion, increased mucosal and bacterial lactate production, and impaired SCFA absorption and metabolism may each contribute to a reduction in colonic luminal pH in patients with inflamed colonic mucosa.<sup>17</sup> Changes in intestinal transit and dietary fibre intake during an acute flare up may also influence colonic pH.<sup>10</sup>

Decreased faecal bicarbonate concentration and reduced rectal mucosal bicarbonate secretion are found in patients with active ulcerative colitis,<sup>25, 26</sup> and could account for the acidic colonic lumen. However, bicarbonate secretion appears to be unaltered in Crohn's disease.<sup>26</sup>

Elevated colonic luminal concentrations of SCFAs have been found in active ulcerative colitis,<sup>19</sup> decreasing colonic pH,<sup>18</sup> and this could be explained by impaired SCFA absorption and utilisation reported in some<sup>26–28</sup> but not all studies.<sup>29–32</sup>

In contrast, it has been suggested that a reduced intake of dietary fibre in patients with active colitis could limit the amount of carbohydrate available for utilisation as an energy source by colonic bacteria,<sup>10</sup> resulting in the preferential production of lactate instead of SCFAs. Indeed, elevated concentrations of luminal lactic acid have been reported in active colitis.<sup>17, 31</sup>

The effects of increased SCFAs or lactate concentrations on colonic luminal pH are likely to be buffered in active colitis by the presence of blood and mucus, although the quantitative importance of these mechanisms is uncertain.<sup>10</sup> Furthermore, bacterial generation of ammonia from urea and other nitrogenous blood constituents may also

Table 4 Intestinal luminal pH, measured using radiotelemetry capsules, in patients with Crohn's disease

Study	Patients with CD	Small bowel pH		Caecum/right colon pH	Left colon/ rectal pH
		Proximal	Distal		
Fallingborg, 1998 <sup>8</sup>	9 with ileocaecal resections	6.3	7.3	6.7	N/A
Sasaki, 1997 <sup>9</sup>	3 active+1 inactive	7.2	7.8	5.3	5.3
Press, 1998 <sup>10</sup>	5 active 7 inactive	6.5 6.8	7.9 8.2	7.2 6.5	6.8 6.5
Ewe, 1999 <sup>11</sup>	12 active	6.5	7.5	6.2	6.5

N/A, data not available.

antagonise any tendency of colonic pH to fall in patients with active colitis.<sup>21</sup>

Contrary to widespread assumption, mouth to anus intestinal transit times in ulcerative colitis are not reduced; indeed, small bowel transit time is prolonged.<sup>33–35</sup> Furthermore, transit time through the whole colon is similar to that of healthy controls.<sup>33</sup> Several studies, however, show regional differences in transit within the colon in ulcerative colitis.<sup>36–38</sup> Passage of luminal contents through the proximal colon is delayed while that through the left colon is accelerated.<sup>33</sup> These changes tend to be more marked in, but are not restricted to, patients with distal disease, but their effects on intracolonic pH, as indicated earlier, are difficult to interpret.

### Therapeutic implications of low colonic luminal pH in IBD

Several drugs used for the treatment of ileal and colonic IBD have been formulated so as to deliver the active agent directly to the site of inflammation, thereby reducing their absorption in the proximal gastrointestinal tract and reducing systemic side effects. Some of these agents utilise pH dependent release systems (for example, Asacol, Salofalk, and budesonide) while others depend on bacterial enzymatic metabolism (sulphasalazine, olsalazine, balsalazide) which may also be affected by changes in colonic luminal pH.

### 5-ASA drug delivery to the colon

Sulphasalazine was the first 5-ASA containing drug to show therapeutic benefit in ulcerative colitis. The active component, 5-ASA, is bound to an inert carrier, sulphapyridine,<sup>39</sup> and is released in the colon by the action of colonic bacterial azo reductase. Newer preparations dependent on bacterial azo reduction are olsalazine (two 5-ASA molecules azo bonded together), and balsalazide (5-ASA azo bonded to an inert carrier, 4-amino-benzoyl-alanine).

The pH dependent delayed release formulations of 5-ASA release the active moiety when their Eudragit coating dissolves as luminal pH rises above a critical value (for Asacol, Eudragit S dissolves when pH > 7.0; for Salofalk, Eudragit L dissolves when pH > 6.0).<sup>40</sup> They are designed to release the maximum concentration of the drug in the terminal ileum and right colon. For Asacol, for example, optimal activity depends on a rise in distal small bowel luminal pH above pH 7.0 for sufficient duration to ensure complete release of 5-ASA from the polymer coating, before it enters the caecum where luminal pH is lower (table 1).

The slow release formulation, Pentasa, releases 5-ASA from ethylcellulose microspheres in a time dependent manner throughout the small bowel and colon.<sup>41</sup> Pentasa relies, like pH sensitive capsules, on normal intestinal transit for optimal delivery of the drug but is not, in contrast, affected by fluctuations in luminal pH.

### Pharmacokinetics of 5-ASA in healthy volunteers

The proximal gastrointestinal tract rapidly absorbs orally ingested 5-ASA<sup>42</sup> which is then metabolised in the gut mucosa to an inactive metabolite,<sup>43–44</sup> *N*-acetyl-5-ASA, by epithelial acetyl coenzyme A.<sup>45</sup> The activity of this is greater in the colonic than small bowel mucosa.<sup>46</sup> As indicated above, the 5-ASA formulations incorporate various mechanisms to delay the release of 5-ASA in the proximal gastrointestinal tract, minimise systemic absorption, and produce high luminal concentrations of 5-ASA at the site of inflammation.<sup>47</sup>

After oral Asacol, approximately 10–40% of the ingested dose is absorbed and excreted in the urine of healthy volunteers as 5-ASA and its metabolite *N*-acetyl-5-ASA,

Table 5 Total 5-aminosalicylic acid (5-ASA + *N*-acetyl-5-ASA) faecal and urinary recovery (as percentage of ingested dose) of Asacol, Pentasa, and olsalazine in volunteers with normal or rapid transit time (TT) and in patients with inactive or active ulcerative colitis

Drug	Healthy volunteers		Ulcerative colitis patients	
	Normal TT	Rapid TT	Inactive	Active
Total faecal 5-ASA				
Asacol	23–40	48	44–53	60–90
Pentasa	16–47	29–52	38–40	57
Olsalazine	47	79 (53% unsplit)	39–53	65 (47% unsplit)
Total urinary 5-ASA				
Asacol	13–36	10–31	17–35	16
Pentasa	26–56	14–28	25–36	23
Olsalazine	19–25	5	9–22	5

Data taken from references<sup>48–54</sup>

Table 6 Comparison of 5-aminosalicylic acid (5-ASA) and *N*-acetyl-5-ASA excretion in faeces and urine of three different 5-ASA preparations, each given in a dose of 2 g/day for >6 days, in healthy volunteers and in patients with ulcerative colitis

Drug	Healthy volunteers		Ulcerative colitis patients	
	5-ASA	<i>N</i> -acetyl-5-ASA	5-ASA	<i>N</i> -acetyl-5-ASA
Faecal 5-ASA and <i>N</i> -acetyl-5-ASA excretion				
Asacol	6 [3–13]	14 [3–19]	28 (4)	15 (2)
Pentasa	7 (1)	20 (2)	13 (2)	25(3)
Olsalazine	12 (2)	10 (2)	36 (5)	16 (3)
Urinary 5-ASA and <i>N</i> -acetyl-5-ASA excretion				
Asacol	N/A	N/A	6 (2)	24 (5)
Pentasa	4 (3)	27 (3)	6 (2)	31 (8)
Olsalazine	2 (3)	23 (3)	3 (1)	19 (3)

Values are percentage mean (SEM) or [range] of ingested dose.

N/A, data not available.

Data from references<sup>48–53</sup>

0–15% is excreted in the faeces unchanged, and a further 0–20% appears in the faeces as *N*-acetyl-5-ASA.<sup>48</sup> Depending on their release profile, the various 5-ASA formulations differ in the proportions of 5-ASA:*N*-acetyl-5-ASA absorbed and excreted in the urine and faeces (tables 5, 6).

For each formulation, serum and urine concentrations of the metabolite *N*-acetyl-5-ASA are greater than those of 5-ASA.<sup>49</sup> A high urinary excretion of *N*-acetyl-5-ASA indicates early release of 5-ASA from the formulation in the proximal gastrointestinal tract.<sup>49</sup> Recovery of *N*-acetyl-5-ASA in the faeces indicates timely release of 5-ASA in the colonic lumen with its subsequent mucosal absorption, metabolism to *N*-acetyl-5-ASA, and release of the latter back into the lumen. Any 5-ASA recovered in the faeces represents late or impaired release of 5-ASA from the formulation. Thus an ideal 5-ASA formulation should achieve a high faecal *N*-acetyl-5-ASA:5-ASA ratio and low urinary 5-ASA and *N*-acetyl-5-ASA recoveries: this profile indicates maximised colonic delivery, minimal proximal absorption, and low systemic toxicity.<sup>49</sup>

How might changes in intraluminal gut pH and transit time in IBD mitigate against optimal bioavailability of 5-ASA from its presently available formulations?

### Potential effects of altered colonic pH and transit on bioavailability of 5-ASA in IBD

Theoretically, it is possible that reduced right colonic pH in ulcerative colitis could reduce bioavailability of 5-ASA from both Eudragit coated pH dependent and azo reductase dependent formulations, without affecting bioavailability of 5-ASA from the slow release preparation Pentasa.

Thus intraluminal pH could inhibit release of 5-ASA from Asacol and Salofalk if it failed to exceed 7.0 and 6.0, respectively, for long enough to ensure complete coat dissolution. Direct evidence on pH dependent release in ulcerative colitis is not yet available but preliminary data

suggest that in most patients small bowel pH, measured with a radiotelemetry capsule,<sup>12</sup> is high enough for sufficient time to allow capsule dissolution.<sup>47</sup> In vitro studies have shown that a low pH inhibits colonic bacterial metabolism of carbohydrate, urea, and other nitrogenous compounds<sup>21</sup>: it is possible that increased colonic acidity could also reduce azo reductase activity and release of 5-ASA from sulphasalazine, olsalazine, and balsalazide.<sup>49a</sup>

Rapid transit of luminal contents reduces the duration of contact of released 5-ASA with the mucosa as well as the time for this release to occur and for exposure of azo bonded 5-ASA formulations to bacterial azo reductase. In normal subjects, intestinal transit accelerated by Bisacodyl decreases systemic absorption, as indicated by reduced urinary excretion, and increases faecal excretion of 5-ASA from all formulations (table 5).<sup>50-53</sup> This effect is most pronounced with azo bound 5-ASA formulations as much of the 5-ASA remains bound to its carrier. Under conditions of accelerated intestinal transit the proportion of *N*-acetyl-5-ASA in faeces is reduced,<sup>50</sup> indicating that although luminal 5-ASA concentrations are increased, 5-ASA is released more distally in the colon.

The relevance of these points to what actually occurs in patients with IBD in relation to the bioavailability of 5-ASA is uncertain. As indicated above, low colonic pH has not been found universally and transit appears to be delayed in the small intestine and right colon, and accelerated only distally in patients with ulcerative colitis.

### Bioavailability of 5-ASA in IBD

The effect of ulcerative colitis on the distribution of 5-ASA derived from a representative of each of the main types of 5-ASA formulations is summarised in tables 5 and 6.

Rijk *et al* compared five different formulations in 20 IBD patients with and without diarrhoea. The azo formulations sulphasalazine and olsalazine were less completely split in patients with diarrhoea than in those without diarrhoea.<sup>49</sup> Release of 5-ASA from Asacol in patients with diarrhoea was characterised by a high proportion of 5-ASA in stools but little in the acetylated form, indicating release primarily in the distal colon.<sup>49</sup> In patients with diarrhoea, release of 5-ASA from Salofalk and Pentasa was also impaired but the changes were less substantial and their bioavailability more favourable. However, in the absence of diarrhoea, faecal 5-ASA concentrations were highest with olsalazine and Asacol, consistent with predominantly colonic release of 5-ASA from these formulations.<sup>49</sup>

In another study of Asacol bioavailability in ulcerative colitis, greater faecal excretion of 5-ASA was confirmed in patients with active compared with inactive disease.<sup>54</sup> Lastly, a comparative study of four 5-ASA formulations in quiescent ulcerative colitis showed urinary and faecal *N*-acetyl-5-ASA excretion to be greatest after ingestion of Pentasa and Salofalk.<sup>53</sup>

These studies indicate that bioavailability of 5-ASA from all its formulations is reduced in patients with active IBD with results being least untoward for Pentasa and Salofalk. However, further comparative studies of the various 5-ASA formulations in patients with IBD are needed to clarify the effect of disease severity and extent on the bioavailability of 5-ASA and in particular its relation to changes in intraluminal pH as well as transit time.

### Clinical efficacy of 5-ASA formulations in IBD

Although the pharmacokinetic data described above suggest that pH dependent or azo bonded formulations of 5-ASA could be less effective in active ulcerative colitis than slow release preparations, there are no direct comparative clinical trials of Pentasa with other 5-ASA formulations to confirm or refute this possibility.

Most trials of 5-ASA formulations in mild-moderately active ulcerative colitis indicate that they all achieve similar remission rates (40–80%). A recent comparative study did, however, suggest that balsalazide may be more potent than Asacol in moderately active ulcerative colitis.<sup>55</sup>

Since the early trials with sulphasalazine<sup>56-57</sup> it has been clear that 5-ASA formulations are more effective in maintaining remission than in treating active ulcerative colitis,<sup>58</sup> and this may be due at least in part to impaired bioavailability of 5-ASA in patients in relapse. While a meta-analysis published in 1993<sup>59</sup> suggested that the newer 5-ASAs, including Pentasa, have similar efficacy to each other and to sulphasalazine in maintenance of remission in quiescent ulcerative colitis, both olsalazine<sup>60</sup> and balsalazide<sup>61</sup> have more recently been claimed to have advantages over Asacol, particularly in patients with left sided disease.<sup>60</sup> The olsalazine study, however, has been criticised for its single blind design and insufficient use of sigmoidoscopic review, and for the unusually high relapse rate found in the Asacol treated group.<sup>60</sup> Furthermore, in the other trial, the delay in time to relapse in balsalazide treated patients was not accompanied by any differences in remission rate at one year compared with Asacol.<sup>61</sup> Nevertheless, if substantiated, these reports suggest that any effects of pH in quiescent ulcerative colitis are more marked for the directly pH dependent than azo bonded preparations.

Limited data, none of which are directly comparative, show no major differences in efficacy between Pentasa, Asacol, and Salofalk in active ileocaecal Crohn's disease.<sup>62-64</sup> Similarly, a recent meta-analysis of trials of 5-ASA formulations as maintenance therapy in Crohn's disease<sup>65</sup> showed clinically unimpressive benefits: the release formulation did not influence the success of therapy. Low peri-anastomotic mucosal concentrations of 5-ASA in patients on postoperative maintenance therapy with Asacol were associated with local recurrence<sup>66</sup> but the relation of such findings to gut pH or transit is not known.

In summary, clinical trial data suggest that low intraluminal pH could have an adverse effect on 5-ASA bioavailability in patients with ulcerative colitis, particularly if active, but probably does not in Crohn's disease. A head to head comparison of Pentasa with a pH dependent formulation is needed to test this conclusion.

### New formulations of other drugs in IBD: budesonide and azathioprine

Changes in intraluminal intestinal and colonic pH in affected patients also require consideration in the assessment and design of other existing and novel drugs for the treatment of IBD.

Controlled ileal release budesonide approaches prednisolone in efficacy for the treatment of active ileocaecal Crohn's disease.<sup>67-68</sup> Two different pH dependent preparations of budesonide are now available. Budesonide CR (Entocort CR) gelatin capsules contain acid stable microgranules of budesonide suspended in ethylcellulose with an inert sugar core. The microgranules are coated with a layer of methacrylic copolymer which dissolves at a pH above 5.5 so that 50–80% of an oral dose is absorbed in the ileum or proximal colon in healthy volunteers.<sup>69</sup> Budesonide is released from a Eudragit coating in the more recently launched Budenofalk<sup>70</sup> when the pH exceeds 6.4. In this context, it is of interest that Budenofalk appeared relatively ineffective in patients with active Crohn's disease confined to the left colon and rectum,<sup>68</sup> in whom colonic pH may be low.<sup>9</sup>

Budesonide-beta-D-glucuronide is a colon targeted potential oral prodrug for the treatment of colonic IBD. The rate of hydrolysis of budesonide-beta-D-glucuronide

in human faecal samples from patients with ulcerative colitis and normal volunteers is similar<sup>71</sup> but it is unclear if a reduction in pH in the colon in patients with IBD may inhibit bacterial deconjugation of the prodrug. Clinical trials of budesonide-beta-D-glucuronide in active colitis are awaited.

Azathioprine is an effective immunomodulating treatment for IBD, the use of which is restricted, by its toxicity, to patients with refractory disease.<sup>72</sup> A new pH dependent release formulation effectively delivers the drug to the terminal ileum and colon with minimal systemic absorption in healthy volunteers.<sup>73</sup> The formulation has a polymer coating which starts releasing the drug in the distal ileum at luminal pH >7.0. Again, the low colonic luminal pH found in some patients with active colitis could reduce azathioprine bioavailability and limit its therapeutic efficacy.

## Conclusions

Some data point to colonic pH being reduced in patients with ulcerative colitis, particularly when active; no definite conclusions can yet be drawn about gut pH in Crohn's disease. The efficacy of pH dependent and azo bonded 5-ASA preparations in active ulcerative colitis, and in Crohn's disease, is at best moderate, and further studies are required to assess whether this is due to an adverse effect of reduced gut luminal pH on their bioavailability. Pharmacokinetic studies of new pH dependent formulations of other drugs targeted at the distal ileum and colon, including budesonide and azathioprine, must be undertaken in patients with IBD as well as in healthy volunteers if maximal bioavailability is to be ensured in affected patients. In the final analysis, however, the efficacy of novel drugs whose bioavailability may be altered by changes in gut pH in IBD requires confirmation in controlled clinical trials.

S G NUGENT

D KUMAR

Department of Surgery, St George's Hospital,  
Blackshaw Road, London, UK

D S RAMPTON

D F EVANS

Gastrointestinal Science Research Unit,  
Royal London Hospital, London, UK

Correspondence to: Dr D S Rampton, Department of Gastroenterology, Royal London Hospital, London E1 1BB, UK. drampton@mds.qmw.ac.uk

- Fallingborg J. Intraluminal pH of the human gastrointestinal tract. *Dan Med Bull* 1999;46:183-96.
- Watson BW, Meldrum SJ, Riddle HC, et al. pH profile of gut as measured by radiotelemetry capsule. *BMJ* 1972;2:104-6.
- Bown RL, Gibson JA, Sladen GE. Effects of lactulose and other laxatives on ileal and colonic pH as measured by a radiotelemetry device. *Gut* 1974;15:999-1004.
- Evans DF, Pye G, Bramley R, et al. Measurement of gastrointestinal pH profiles in normal ambulant human subjects. *Gut* 1988;29:1035-41.
- Fallingborg J, Christensen LA, Ingeman-Nielsen M, et al. pH-profile and regional transit times of the normal gut measured by a radiotelemetry device. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 1989;3:605-13.
- Raimundo AH, Evans DF, Rogers J, et al. Gastrointestinal pH profiles in ulcerative colitis. *Gastroenterology* 1992;102:A681.
- Fallingborg J, Christensen LA, Jacobsen BA, et al. Very low intraluminal colonic pH in patients with active ulcerative colitis. *Dig Dis Sci* 1993;38:1989-93.
- Fallingborg J, Pedersen P, Jacobsen BA. Small intestinal transit time and intraluminal pH in ileocecal resected patients with Crohn's disease. *Dig Dis Sci* 1998;43:702-5.
- Sasaki Y, Hada R, Nakajima H, et al. Improved localizing method of radiopill in measurement of entire gastrointestinal pH profiles: colonic luminal pH in normal subjects and patients with Crohn's disease. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1997;92:114-18.
- Press AG, Hauptmann IA, Hauptmann L, et al. Gastrointestinal pH profiles in patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 1998;12:673-8.
- Ewe K, Schwartz S, Petersen S, et al. Inflammation does not decrease intraluminal pH in chronic inflammatory bowel disease. *Dig Dis Sci* 1999;44:1434-9.
- Nugent SG, Rampton DS, Kumar D, et al. Gut pH and transit time in ulcerative colitis appear sufficient for complete dissolution of pH-dependent 5-ASA containing capsules. *Gut* 2000;47: (abstract).
- Colson RH, Watson BW, Fairclough PD, et al. An accurate, long-term, pH-sensitive radio pill for ingestion and implantation. *Biotelem Patient Monit* 1981;8:213-27.
- McDougall CJ, Wong R, Scudera P, et al. Colonic mucosal pH in humans. *Dig Dis Sci* 1993;38:542-5.
- McNeil NI, Ling KL, Wager J. Mucosal surface pH of the large intestine of the rat and of normal and inflamed large intestine in man. *Gut* 1987;28:707-13.
- Faegenburg D. Intestinal obstruction caused by a Heidelberg capsule: report of a case. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1985;80:789-99.
- Vernia P, Caprilli R, Latella G, et al. Faecal lactate and ulcerative colitis. *Gastroenterology* 1988;95:1564-8.
- Rubinstein R, Howard AV, Wrong OM. In vivo dialysis of faeces as a method of stool analysis. IV. The organic anion component. *Clin Sci* 1969;37:549-64.
- Roediger WE. The colonic epithelium in ulcerative colitis: an energy-deficiency disease? *Lancet* 1980;2:712-15.
- Cummings JH, Hill MJ, Bone ES, et al. The effect of meat protein and dietary fibre on colonic function and metabolism. *Am J Clin Nutr* 1979;32:2094-101.
- Wrong O, Edmonds C, Chadwick V. *The large intestine: Its role in mammalian nutrition and homeostasis*. Lancaster, UK: MTP press, 1981:133-55.
- Pye G, Crompton J, Evans D. Effect of dietary fibre on colonic pH in healthy volunteers. *Gut* 1987;28:A1366.
- Down PF, Agostini L, Murison J, et al. The interrelations of faecal ammonia, pH and bicarbonate: evidence of colonic absorption of ammonia by non-ionic diffusion. *Clin Sci* 1972;43:101-14.
- Thomas LA, Veysey MJ, Murphy GM, et al. Is cholelithiasis an intestinal disease? A study of five inter-related factors. *Clin Sci* 1997;93:2p.
- Caprilli R, Frieri G, Latella G, et al. Faecal excretion of bicarbonate in ulcerative colitis. *Digestion* 1986;35:136-42.
- Roediger WE, Lawson MJ, Kwok V, et al. Colonic bicarbonate output as a test of disease activity in ulcerative colitis. *J Clin Pathol* 1984;37:704-7.
- Chapman MA, Grahn MF, Boyle MA, et al. Butyrate oxidation is impaired in the colonic mucosa of sufferers of quiescent ulcerative colitis. *Gut* 1994;35:73-6.
- Roediger WE, Heyworth M, Willoughby P, et al. Luminal ions and short chain fatty acids as markers of functional activity of the mucosa in ulcerative colitis. *J Clin Pathol* 1982;35:323-6.
- Allan ES, Winter S, Light AM, et al. Mucosal enzyme activity for butyrate oxidation; no defect in patients with ulcerative colitis. *Gut* 1996;38:886-93.
- Finnie IA, Taylor BA, Rhodes JM. Ileal and colonic epithelial metabolism in quiescent ulcerative colitis: increased glutamine metabolism in distal colon but no defect in butyrate metabolism. *Gut* 1993;34:1552-8.
- Hove H, Mortensen PB. Influence of intestinal inflammation (IBD) and small and large bowel length on fecal short-chain fatty acids and lactate. *Dig Dis Sci* 1995;40:1372-80.
- Hove H, Holtug K, Jeppesen PB, et al. Butyrate absorption and lactate secretion in ulcerative colitis. *Dis Colon Rectum* 1995;38:519-25.
- Rao SS, Read NW, Brown C, et al. Studies on the mechanism of bowel disturbance in ulcerative colitis. *Gastroenterology* 1987;93:934-40.
- Ritchie J, Salem S. Upper intestinal motility in ulcerative colitis, idiopathic steatorrhea, and the irritable colon. *Gut* 1965;6:325-37.
- Manousos O, Salem S. Abnormal motility of the small intestine in ulcerative colitis. *Gastroenterologia* 1965;104:249-57.
- Jalen K, Walker R, Prescott R. Faecal stasis and diverticular disease in ulcerative colitis. *Gut* 1970;11:688-96.
- Lennard-Jones J, Langman M, Avery-Jones F. Faecal stasis in proctocolitis. *Gut* 1962;3:301-5.
- Reddy S, Bazzocchi G, Chan S. Colonic motility and transit in health and ulcerative colitis. *Gastroenterology* 1991;101:1289-97.
- AzadKhan AK, Piris J, Truelove SC. An experiment to determine the active therapeutic moiety of sulphasalazine. *Lancet* 1977;2:892-5.
- Dew M, Ryder R, Evans N. Colonic release of 5-aminosalicylic acid slow release from an oral preparation in active ulcerative colitis. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 1983;16:185-7.
- Rasmussen SN, Bondessen S, Hvidberg EF, et al. 5-aminosalicylic acid in a slow release preparation: Bioavailability, plasma levels, and excretion in humans. *Gastroenterology* 1982;83:1062-70.
- Myers B, Evans DN, Rhodes J, et al. Metabolism and urinary excretion of 5-aminosalicylic acid in healthy volunteers when given intravenously or released for absorption at different sites in the gastrointestinal tract. *Gut* 1987;28:196-200.
- Greenfield SM, Panchard NA, Teare JP, et al. Review article: the mode of action of the aminosalicylates in inflammatory bowel disease. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 1993;7:369-83.
- Travis SP, Jewell DP. Salicylates for inflammatory bowel disease. *Baillieres Clin Gastroenterol* 1994;8:203-31.
- Allgayer H, Ahnfelt NO, Krus W, et al. Colonic N-acetylation of 5-aminosalicylic acid in inflammatory bowel disease. *Gastroenterology* 1989;97:38-41.
- Ireland A, Priddle JD, Jewell DP. Studies on the acetylating capacity of human colonic epithelial cells for 5-aminosalicylic acid. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1988;148:S53.
- Riley SA, Tavares IA, Bennett A, et al. Delayed-release mesalazine (5-aminosalicylic acid): coat dissolution and excretion in ileostomy subjects. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 1988;26:173-7.
- Christensen LA, Fallingborg J, Abildgaard K, et al. Topical and systemic availability of 5-aminosalicylate: comparisons of three controlled release preparations in man. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 1990;4:523-33.
- Rijk MC, van Schaik A, van Tongeren JH. Disposition of mesalazine from mesalazine-delivering drugs in patients with inflammatory bowel disease, with and without diarrhoea. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1992;27:863-8.
- Nugent S, Rampton DS, Obeid O, et al. Release of 5-aminosalicylic acid is reduced at pH below 5.4. *Gut* 2000;47 (suppl III): A242.
- Rijk MC, van Hogezaand RA, van Schaik A, et al. Disposition of 5-aminosalicylic acid from 5-aminosalicylic acid delivering drugs during accelerated intestinal transit in healthy volunteers. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1989;24:1179-85.
- Christensen LA, Slot O, Sanchez G, et al. Release of 5-aminosalicylic acid from Pentasa during normal and accelerated intestinal transit time. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 1987;23:365-9.
- Christensen LA, Fallingborg J, Jacobsen BA, et al. Comparative bioavailability of 5-aminosalicylic acid from a controlled release preparation and an azo-bond preparation. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 1994;8:289-94.
- Staerk Laursen L, Stokholm M, Bukhave K, et al. Disposition of 5-aminosalicylic acid by olsalazine and three mesalazine preparations in

- patients with ulcerative colitis: comparison of intraluminal colonic concentrations, serum values, and urinary excretion. *Gut* 1990;**31**:1271–6.
- 54 Mardini HA, Lindsay DC, Deighton CM, *et al.* Effect of polymer coating on faecal recovery of ingested 5-amino salicylic acid in patients with ulcerative colitis. *Gut* 1987;**28**:1084–9.
- 55 Green JR, Lobo AJ, Holdsworth CD, *et al.* Balsalazide is more effective and better tolerated than mesalamine in the treatment of acute ulcerative colitis. The Abacus Investigator Group. *Gastroenterology* 1998;**114**:15–22.
- 56 Truelove S, Watkinson G, Draper G. Comparison of corticosteroid and sulphasalazine therapy in ulcerative colitis. *BMJ* 1962;**2**:1708–11.
- 57 Misiewicz J, Lennard-Jones J, Connell A, *et al.* Controlled trial of sulphasalazine in maintenance therapy for ulcerative colitis. *Lancet* 1965;**1**:185–8.
- 58 Kornbluth A, Sachar D. Ulcerative colitis practice guidelines in adults. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1998;**297**:204–11.
- 59 Sutherland LR, May GR, Shaffer EA. Sulfasalazine revisited: a meta-analysis of 5-aminosalicylic acid in the treatment of ulcerative colitis. *Ann Intern Med* 1993;**118**:540–9.
- 60 Courtney MG, Nunes DP, Bergin CF, *et al.* Randomised comparison of olsalazine and mesalazine in prevention of relapses in ulcerative colitis. *Lancet* 1992;**339**:1279–81.
- 61 Green JR, Gibson JA, Kerr GD, *et al.* Maintenance of remission of ulcerative colitis: a comparison between balsalazide 3 g daily and mesalazine 1.2 g daily over 12 months. ABACUS Investigator group. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 1998;**12**:1207–16.
- 62 Singleton JW, Hanauer SB, Gitnick GL, *et al.* Mesalamine capsules for the treatment of active Crohn's disease: results of a 16-week trial. Pentasa Crohn's Disease Study Group. *Gastroenterology* 1993;**104**:1293–301.
- 63 Thomsen OO, Cortot A, Jewell D, *et al.* A comparison of budesonide and mesalamine for active Crohn's disease. International Budesonide-Mesalamine Study Group. *N Engl J Med* 1998;**339**:370–4.
- 64 Tremaine WJ, Schroeder KW, Harrison JM, *et al.* A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of the oral mesalamine (5-ASA) preparation, Asacol, in the treatment of symptomatic Crohn's colitis and ileocolitis. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 1994;**19**:278–82.
- 65 Camma C, Giunta M, Rosselli M, *et al.* Mesalamine in the maintenance treatment of Crohn's disease: a meta-analysis adjusted for confounding variables. *Gastroenterology* 1997;**113**:1465–73.
- 66 Frieri G, Pimpo MT, Andreoli A, *et al.* Prevention of post-operative recurrence of Crohn's disease requires adequate mucosal concentration of mesalazine. Gruppo Italiano per lo Studio del Colon e del Retto. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* 1999;**13**:577–82.
- 67 Rutgeerts P. A comparison of budesonide with prednisolone for active Crohn's disease. *N Engl J Med* 1994;**331**:842–5.
- 68 Bar-Meir S, Chowers Y, Lavy A, *et al.* Budesonide versus prednisone in the treatment of active Crohn's disease. The Israeli Budesonide Study Group. *Gastroenterology* 1998;**115**:835–40.
- 69 Entocort information pack. *AstraZeneca* 2000.
- 70 Mollmann H, Barth J, Hochhaus G, *et al.* Principles of topical versus systemic corticoid treatment in inflammatory bowel disease. In: Mollmann H, May B. *Glucocorticoid therapy in chronic inflammatory bowel disease—from basic principles to rational therapy*. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic, 1996:42–60.
- 71 Nolen HR, Fedorak RN, Friend DR. Budesonide-beta-D-glucuronide: a potential prodrug for treatment of ulcerative colitis. *J Pharm Sci* 1995;**84**:677–81.
- 72 Pearson DC, May GR, Fick GH, *et al.* Azathioprine and 6-mercaptopurine in Crohn disease. A meta-analysis. *Ann Intern Med* 1995;**123**:132–42.
- 73 Zins BJ, Sandborn WJ, McKinney JA, *et al.* A dose-ranging study of azathioprine pharmacokinetics after single-dose administration of a delayed-release oral formulation. *J Clin Pharmacol* 1997;**37**:38–46.

## Direct Access to Medline

Medline

Link to Medline from the homepage and get straight into the National Library of Medicine's premier bibliographic database. Medline allows you to search across 9 million records of bibliographic citations and author abstracts from approximately 3,900 current biomedical journals.

[www.gutjnl.com](http://www.gutjnl.com)