Serum antibody responses to *Clostridium difficile* toxin A: predictive and protective?


**Background**
We have reported that symptom-free carriers of *Clostridium difficile* have a systemic anamnestic immune response to toxin A. The aim of this study was to determine whether an acquired immune response to toxin A, during an episode of *C. difficile* diarrhoea, influences risk of recurrence.

**Methods**
We prospectively studied 63 patients with nosocomial *C. difficile* diarrhoea. Serial serum IgA, IgG, and IgM concentrations against *C. difficile* toxin A, toxin B, or non-toxin antigens were measured by ELISA. Individuals were followed for 60 days.

**Findings**
19 patients died (30%). Of the 44 who survived, 22 had recurrent *C. difficile* diarrhoea. Patients with a single episode of *C. difficile* diarrhoea (n=22) had higher concentrations of serum IgM against toxin A on day 3 of their first episode of diarrhoea than those with recurrent diarrhoea (n=22, p=0.004). On day 12, serum IgG values against toxin A were higher in patients who had a single episode of diarrhoea (n=7) than in those who subsequently had recurrent diarrhoea (n=9, p=0.009). The odds ratio for recurrence associated with a low concentration of serum IgG against toxin A, measured 12 days after onset of *C. difficile* diarrhoea, was 48.0 (95% CI 3.5–663).

**Interpretation**
A serum antibody response to toxin A, during an initial episode of *C. difficile* diarrhoea, is associated with protection against recurrence.

**Comment**
*Clostridium difficile* is a significant human pathogen causing a spectrum of diseases ranging from mild diarrhoea to fulminant pseudomembranous colitis and antibiotic-associated diarrhoea, particularly in hospitalised patients. Morbidity and mortality rates are high, especially in the elderly. The main virulence factors of this non-invasive organism are toxin A (enterotoxin) and toxin B (cytotoxin).

This study examined serum antibody responses to toxin A, toxin B, and non-toxin antigen in 44 hospitalised patients diagnosed with *C difficile* associated diarrhoea (CDAD). Serial serum samples were obtained for antibody measurement at entry to the study and every three days subsequent until discharge. Serum samples for each time point were not available for each individual. This, as Kyne et al report, weakens the study at the later time points. Serum levels of IgA, IgG, and IgM against toxin A, toxin B, and non-toxin antigens were measured by ELISA, although the report concentrates on the results for IgG and IgM against toxin A. Comparison was made between the median values for a group of patients with a single episode of CDAD (n=22) and those experiencing recurrence (n=22). “*C difficile* diarrhoea” and “recurrence” are clearly defined in relation to this study.

By day 3, median serum values for IgM against toxin A, toxin B, and non-toxin antigens were higher in the group experiencing a single episode of CDAD (p=0.004, p=0.02, p=0.05, respectively). This difference remained significant at day 6, 9, and 12 for IgM against toxin A with the median concentration of IgM increasing at each time point for the single episode group. However, median concentration fell slightly in the recurrent group. The median concentration of IgG against toxin A between groups was significantly higher in those with a single episode, but not until day 12 (p=0.009). Levels of IgM against toxin A at day 3 significantly correlated with levels of IgG at 12 days. The difference in IgG levels against toxin B and non-toxin antigen at day 12 were not significant. The only difference in serum IgA was against non-toxin antigen at day 6 where, interestingly, levels were higher in the group experiencing recurrence.

A number of variables included in this study were found to be significantly associated with recurrence, including age more than 65 years, increased severity of disease, CDAD on admission, and antibiotic use in the follow up period. The significantly higher levels of serum IgM against toxin A at day 3 combined with the higher serum IgG antitoxin A levels at day 12 suggest an acquired immune response by the single episode group. The delayed IgG response implies a primary immune response with respect to *C difficile* infection.

An interesting comparison is a previous report where not only were levels of IgG against toxin A significantly higher in asymptomatic carriers of *C difficile* compared with patients with CDAD, but high levels were attained within three days of colonisation compared with 12 days in this report. A systemic anamnestic response to toxin A in asymptomatic carriers is suggested.1 Kyne et al propose that the results of this study together with other reports1 2 provide strong evidence that the serum IgG immune response to *C difficile* toxin A plays a substantial role in determining the clinical outcome of infection, asymptomatic carriage, and non-recurrent or recurrent CDAD. The authors’ claim of predicting the risk of nosocomial and recurrent diarrhoea may be plausible in view of the levels of serum IgG at colonisation1 and at 12 days (this study).

The apparent lack of immune response in 50% of patients may well be the result of a poor response to the *C difficile* species but differences in strains colonising patients may play some role. In this and a previous study,3 there were no data regarding the *C difficile* isolates cultured from...
some of the patients in each group. Faecal culture was carried out on only some of the enrolled patients. Simultaneous carriage of toxigenic and non-toxigenic strains may influence the immune response. In addition, not all strains are equally virulent; some pathogenic strains are toxin A negative/toxin B positive and some exhibit low levels of toxin gene transcription where toxin genes are present but expression and toxin secretion are minimal. These strain differences are likely to influence the immunogenicity of the colonising C difficile organism.

Although predicting risk is important, it does not address the more important issue of prevention and control of CDAD. Because C difficile is ubiquitous in the environment as a highly resistant spore, effective control by avoiding exposure to the organism is a laudable but foolhardy aspiration. Active or passive immunisation is perhaps a more reasonable long term aim.

Kyne et al state that a serum antibody response to toxin A is associated with protection against recurrence. This statement needs to be considered in light of what is known of the pathogenesis of CDAD. CDAD results from toxins produced within the intestinal lumen adhering to the mucosal epithelial surface and exerting cytotoxic effects. These elicit a local mucosal IgA response.

Could the reported serum responses be a reflection of a local intestinal mucosal response resulting in neutralising polymeric IgA blocking the binding of toxin A to intestinal epithelium and subsequent dramatic cytotoxic effect of toxin B? There is no correlation between antibody titre and expression and toxin secretion are minimal. These strain differences are likely to influence the immunogenicity of the colonising C difficile organism.

Between them, the authors have reported a number of studies examining various aspects of human immune responses to both symptomatic and asymptomatic C difficile infections. This study revisits other studies but is more powerful due to greater patient numbers, more frequent regular sampling, and finally by examination of the associations between other potentially predictive variables and recurrent diarrhoea.
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