Delayed gastric emptying is often considered the major pathophysiological mechanism underlying symptoms in both functional dyspepsia and diabetic gastroparesis. Studies have reported a significant delay in the gastric emptying rate of solids in up to 50% of patients with functional dyspepsia and in up to 75% of patients with type 1 diabetes.1,2 Prokinetic agents, including metoclopramide, domperidone, and cisapride, have traditionally been used to enhance gastric emptying rate and to improve symptoms in these patients. However, their prokinetic effect was moderate and the symptomatic response was often poor.1

Development of motilides
In view of the limited options to treat these patients, the report of the strong gastrokinetic effect of erythromycin3 was met with great enthusiasm. This surprising effect of erythromycin relates to its ability to act as a motilin receptor agonist,4 and several motilides (motilin agonists) lacking antibiotic activity were developed, including ABT-229. However, the outcomes of clinical trials with ABT-229 were unequivocally disappointing with regard to symptom improvement. In a large double blind placebo controlled study of 612 patients with functional dyspepsia assigned to placebo, or 1.25, 2.5, 5.0, or 10 mg of ABT-229, symptoms did not improve. On the contrary, an inverse dose-response occurred for postprandial fullness and ABT-229 apparently prevented the beneficial placebo effect. Similarly, as reported in this issue of Gut by Talley et al, a study with a comparable design in 270 patients with diabetes mellitus had an adverse effect and increased the severity of dyspeptic symptoms (see page 395).5 This led the authors to conclude that motilides will not be helpful in treating gastroparesis, and that acceleration of gastric emptying is apparently not the right therapeutic target. These are far reaching conclusions which may not be warranted. Several factors may have contributed to the negative outcome of both studies.

Relevance of delayed gastric emptying
Large studies have established that delayed gastric emptying is present in no more than one third of patients with functional dyspepsia6,7 and this is associated with symptoms of postprandial fullness, vomiting, and nausea.7 Although less systematically studied, the relationship between dyspeptic symptoms and gastroparesis appears at least as inconsistent in diabetic patients.8 In keeping with the relatively low prevalence of delayed gastric emptying in both patient groups, ABT-229 failed to provide symptomatic relief in unselected patients. However, even when only the subgroup of patients with delayed gastric emptying was analysed, no symptomatic benefit was obtained.5,6

Relevance of ABT-229 pharmacology
The authors assume that in the phase II trials the prokinetic activity was not lost over time although no repeated measurement of gastric emptying rate at the end of the treatment period was provided. The literature provides strong indications that ABT-229 may lose its potency during prolonged treatment. In an animal study, one month treatment with ABT-229 caused complete tachyphylaxis to ABT-229 and to motilin, apparently caused by severe downregulation of the motilin receptor.9 The plasma half life of ABT-229 is estimated to be 20 hours.10 This led the authors to conclude that motilides will not be helpful in treating gastroparesis, and that acceleration of gastric emptying is apparently not the right therapeutic target. These are far reaching conclusions which may not be warranted. Several factors may have contributed to the negative outcome of both studies.

Relevance of other pathophysiological mechanisms
Recent studies provide further evidence that functional dyspepsia is a heterogeneous disorder in which different underlying pathophysiological disturbances are associated with specific symptom patterns. As mentioned above, delayed gastric emptying present in up to 33% of patients, is associated with postprandial fullness, nausea, and vomiting.9,8 Impaired gastric accommodation to a meal is found in 40% of patients and is associated with early satiety and weight loss.11 Hypersensitivity to gastric distention, occurring in 35% of patients, is associated with symptoms of epigastric pain, excessive belching, and weight loss.11 Impaired accommodation and increased sensitivity to gastric distention have also been reported in diabetic patients.11,12 A number of observations suggest that motilide prokinetics may have an adverse effect on gastric accommodation to a meal and on sensitivity to gastric distention. Administration of erythromycin causes a significant increase in tone and phasic contractile activity in the proximal stomach.13,14 Both tonic and phasic contractions are accompanied by increases in the active wall tension of the proximal stomach which plays a crucial role in gastric mechanosensitivity.15,16 In healthy subjects, spontaneous phasic contractions of the proximal stomach can be
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perceived. Erythromycin increases the intensity and frequency of these contractions, resulting in a significant increase in perceived contractions.15 During administration of erythromycin, subjects reported significantly higher perception scores at identical distending volumes or pressures, thereby mimicking hypersensitivity to gastric distension.17 In addition, administration of motilin or of erythromycin reduces meal induced relaxation of the proximal stomach,15 16 thereby mimicking impaired accommodation to a meal. Although similar specific data are not available for ABT-229, it is conceivable that both mechanisms contributed to the worsening of dyspeptic symptoms during treatment.

### Future directions

In view of the heterogeneity of the underlying pathophysiological mechanisms, it seems unlikely that any form of treatment will be beneficial to all dyspeptic patients. In theory, patients with impaired accommodation should benefit from drugs that induce relaxation of the proximal stomach whereas patients with hypersensitivity to gastric distension should benefit from drugs that inhibit visceral perception or drugs that decrease gastric wall tension. Studies addressing these hypotheses are currently in progress.

The disappointing outcome of the clinical studies with ABT-229 might tempt one to conclude that motilin agonists will not be therapeutically useful to treat symptoms in patients with functional or diabetic dyspepsia and delayed gastric emptying. However, several factors associated with the drug and with the study design may have contributed to the negative outcome. It is unclear to what extent tachyphylaxis played a role in the therapeutic failure in patients with delayed gastric emptying, and whether this problem affects all motilides. Motilides with a short half life may be less likely to induce tachyphylaxis. Another point to keep in mind is the mechanism of action of motilin and the motilides. Pharmacological studies leave no doubt that motilin receptors are expressed both on nerves and smooth muscle, and neural effects seem to occur at lower concentrations.22 23 Whether these are truly different receptors remains to be proved but the recent cloning of a human motilin receptor24 may allow characterisation at the molecular level. In the rabbit duodenum, ABT-229 activates a smooth muscle motilin receptor, while in the antrum ABT-229 acts on both neural and muscular receptors.20 At the doses used in the clinical trials it is most likely that if the situation in humans is comparable, both smooth muscle and neural effects were induced. It is important to note that the effect of erythromycin on the human fundus is a direct smooth muscle effect1 while the effect of low doses of erythromycin on the antrum is neurally mediated.2 Both effects may contribute to acceleration of gastric emptying but the effect on the fundus may affect accommodation, sensitivity, and dyspeptic symptoms.2 Motilides with a different selectivity profile, with perhaps a smaller prokinetic effect, could be devoid of an effect on the fundus.

Several other prokinetic drugs are currently under development or under investigation. When selecting prokinetic drugs for clinical application, the issue of tachyphylaxis as well as effects on the proximal stomach should be considered. Prokinetic agents do not necessarily impair meal induced relaxation of the proximal stomach as the 5-HT, agonist/5-HT; receptor antagonist cisapride was shown to enhance gastric accommodation to a meal.26 Cholecystokinin A receptor antagonist inhibited gastric accommodation to a meal, and the effects of newer prokinetic agents such as the selective 5-HT; agonist tegaserod or the muscarinic autoreceptor inhibitor Z-338 on the proximal stomach remain to be assessed.14-26 The limitations of the clinical studies with ABT-229 do not allow the conclusion that acceleration of gastric emptying is not a valid therapeutic target. Final proof or disproof of this hypothesis will require well designed trials in patients with delayed gastric emptying which assesses symptoms associated with delayed emptying (fullness, nausea, and vomiting) and which provides proof of prokinetic efficacy in a repeat gastric emptying study at the end of the treatment period. For now, in the absence of specific drugs that enhance accommodation or reduce gastric mechanosensitivity, prokinetics are likely to remain our principal treatment option in patients with functional or diabetic dyspepsia.
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