Blisters in the small intestinal mucosa of coeliac patients contain T cells positive for cyclooxygenase 2
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Background and aims: Coeliac disease is characterised by atrophy of the villi and hyperplasia of the crypts in the mucosa of the small intestine. It is caused by an environmental trigger, cereal gluten, which induces infiltration of the mucosa by inflammatory cells. We hypothesised that these inflammatory cells express cyclooxygenase 2 (COX-2), an enzyme that contributes to the synthesis of pro- and anti-inflammatory prostaglandins and is known to be expressed at sites of inflammation in the stomach and colon. We have investigated expression of COX-2 in the coeliac disease affected small intestinal mucosa where it may be an indicator of either disease induction or mucosal restoration processes.

Patients and methods: Small intestinal biopsy samples from 15 coeliac patients and 15 non-coeliac individuals were stained immunohistochemically for COX-2. Samples from 10 of the patients were also stained after these patients had been on a gluten free diet for 6–24 months. Various cell type marker antigens were used for immunohistochemical identification of the type of cell that expressed COX-2. To further verify colocalisation of the cell type marker and COX-2, double immunoperoxidase and immunofluorescence methods were employed. Immuno-electron microscopy was used to investigate the subcellular location of COX-2.

Results: In all samples taken from coeliac patients, clusters of cells with strong immunoreactivity for COX-2 were found in those areas of the lamina propria where the epithelium seemed to blister or was totally detached from the basement membrane. These clusters were reduced in number or totally absent in samples taken after a gluten free diet. No such clusters were seen in any control samples. The density of COX-2 positive cells lining the differentiated epithelium decreased significantly from 13.5 (5.1) cells/10^4 µm^2 (mean (SD)) in the untreated patient samples to 6.5 (2.0) cells/10^4 µm^2 after a gluten free diet (p<0.001), and was 3.3 (1.9) cells/10^4 µm^2 in control samples (p<0.001 compared with untreated or diet treated coeliac samples). Staining for COX-2 was localised to CD3+ T cells and CD68+ macrophages in the mucosal lesions but not all of these cells were positive for COX-2. Immunoelectron microscopy revealed that the ultrastructure of the COX-2 positive cells resembled that of lymphocytes, and the immunoreaction was localised to the rough endoplasmic reticulum and the nuclear envelope.

Conclusions: Our results show that in coeliac disease, blistering of small intestinal epithelial cells is associated with accumulation of COX-2 positive T cells, and the number of these cells decreases after a gluten free diet. These observations suggest that COX-2 mediated prostanoid synthesis contributes to healing of the coeliac mucosa and may be involved in maintenance of intestinal integrity.

Cyclooxygenases 1 (COX-1) and 2 (COX-2) mediate conversion of arachidonic acid to prostaglandin G, which is then metabolised to prostaglandin H, and a number of other compounds, including various prostaglandins and thromboxanes. Prostaglandin metabolites of arachidonic acid are short lived, highly active, and locally functioning compounds which activate or stimulate various biological processes through plasma membrane or nuclear prostanoid receptor mediated signalling cascades that regulate nuclear factor κB (NFκB) and other transcription factors.

COX-1 is constitutively expressed in most cell types and tissues. In the gastrointestinal tract, prostaglandins produced by COX-1 are thought to be essential for maintenance of mucosal integrity (see Crofford). In contrast with COX-1, COX-2 is expressed constitutively in only a few tissues, such as the kidney. In most tissues, expression of COX-2 is inducible and its activity is found to increase in various gastrointestinal disorders such as colon cancer, gastric cancer, gastric ulcers, Helicobacter pylori gastritis, ulcerative colitis, Crohn’s disease, and experimental adenomatous polyps. COX-2 is considered to be a proinflammatory agent because it is expressed at sites of inflammation mainly by neutrophils, monocytes, macrophages, and fibroblasts (see Crofford). During inflammation, the proinflammatory cytokines induce production of COX-2, which then catalyses the synthesis of prostaglandin E, an important proinflammatory compound. However, recent studies have shown that COX-2 may also have anti-inflammatory functions. At later stages of inflammation it is involved in the synthesis of cyclopentenone prostaglandins, which are anti-inflammatory, through inhibition of the NFκB regulatory pathway.

Coeliac disease is an inflammatory state of the small intestine characterised by hyperplasia of the crypts and atrophy of the villi. It is caused by an environmental trigger, cereal gluten, which induces infiltration of the mucosa by inflammatory cells. We hypothesised that the small intestinal inflammatory cells express COX-2, which may be an indicator of processes involved in either disease induction or mucosal restoration.

Abbreviations: APAAP, alkaline phosphatase-anti-alkaline phosphatase; COX, cyclooxygenase; DAB, diaminobenzidine; IEM, immuno-electron microscopy; NFκB, nuclear factor κB.
methods

Patients and biopsy samples

The experimental group comprised 15 patients with newly diagnosed untreated coeliac disease (10 women and five men, median age 36 years (range 18–67)). All patients had villous atrophy with crypt hyperplasia which improved on a gluten free diet (mean duration 10.3 months (range 6–24)). Forceps biopsy samples were taken on endoscopy. Specimens after the diet treatment were available from 10 patients. The control group included 15 patients (13 women and two men, median age 39 years (range 17–67)) who underwent gastroscopy because of indigestion or abdominal discomfort, and all had normal small intestinal mucosal morphology.

Biopsy specimens for immunohistochemistry were fixed in phosphate buffered formalin and embedded in paraffin blocks using standard methods. Specimens for immunoelectron microscopy (IEM) were fixed in periodate-lysine-paraformaldehyde and processed as described previously.17

immunohistochemistry

COX-2 was localised using a monoclonal antibody (anti-COX-2, clone 33; Transduction Laboratories, Lexington, Kentucky, USA) (1:100) that recognises the C terminus of COX-2. To determine the type of cell that expresses COX-2, the following monoclonal antibodies were used: anti-CD3 (clone PS1; Novocastra Laboratories Ltd, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK) (1:40), anti-CD5 (clone 4C7; Novocastra) (1:100), anti-CD43 (clone DF-T1; Dako a/s, Glostrup, Denmark) (1:50), anti-CD45 (clones 2B11+PD7/26; Dako) (1:1000), anti-CD45RO (clone UCCH1; Dako) (1:800), anti-CD57 (clone NK1; Neomarkers, Fremont, California, USA) (1:50), and anti-CD68 (clone KP1; Dako) (1:1500).

Endothelial cells were detected with polyclonal antibodies against factor VIII antigen (Dako) (1:600), and basement membranes with polyclonal antilaminin antibodies (Monosan PS040; Sanbio bv, Uden, the Netherlands) (1:20). In addition, the proliferative activity of COX-2 positive cells was determined by staining with Ki-67 antigen (clone MIB-1; Dako) and the secondary FITC conjugated antimouse antibody (Jackson Immunoresearch Laboratories Inc., West Grove, Pennsylvania, USA). The peroxidase reaction included H2O2 and DAB, and the reaction product was enhanced by OsO4. Thin sections were examined unstained.

quantitation of COX-2 positive cells

The number of COX-2 expressing cells lining the mucosal vilous epithelium was estimated according to the principle of Delesse.18 Digital images of 5–6 successive visual fields in one tissue section from each sample were captured using an Olympus BX60 microscope (Olympus Optical Co., Tokyo, Japan: 10x objective) and a digital camera (Soft Imaging System GmbH, Münster, Germany). Each image was overlaid with a grid of 374 points covering an area of 598 400 μm2, and points on the tissue section were counted using computer software (analySIS, Soft Imaging System). All COX-2 immunopositive cells lining the basement membrane under the differentiated epithelium were also counted. The results are expressed as number of COX-2 positive cells per 105 μm2. Statistical analysis of the data was carried out using unpaired and paired t tests.

results

Mucosal lesions with total or partial atrophy of the villi and hyperplasia of the crypts were seen in all 15 initial coeliac disease biopsy samples. After a gluten free diet of 10 months average duration, two of 10 patients showed a completely normal mucosa, and a clear mucosal recovery with only minor changes, such as convoluted or somewhat shortened villi, were seen in the remaining eight patients. All biopsies from control subjects showed normal small bowel mucosa.

Patches of cells that showed strong immunoreactivity for COX-2 were present in the lamina propria underneath the basement membrane in all coeliac lesions examined (fig 1A–D). These patches were no longer prominent or even present in the recovering mucosa after a gluten free diet (fig 1E). However, some prominent intraepithelial COX-2 positive cells remained in the villi and the crypts (fig 1E), and their distribution was similar to that in normal biopsy samples (fig 2). These intraepithelial COX-2 positive cells were also present in untreated coeliac disease (data not shown).

To obtain a more quantitative confirmation of the above finding, we performed morphometric analyses of the cells immunoreactive for COX-2. The density of COX-2 positive cells lining the differentiated epithelium in untreated coeliac disease was 13.5 (5.1) cells/105 μm2 (mean (SD)) and decreased significantly after a gluten free diet to 6.5 (2.0) cells/105 μm2 (p<0.001). In the control samples, the density of COX-2 positive cells was 3.3 (1.9) cells/105 μm2 (p<0.001 compared with untreated or diet treated coeliac samples) (fig 3).
In untreated coeliac disease, subepithelial COX-2 positive cells were located in areas where the epithelium seemed to blister or was totally detached from the basement membrane (fig 1B–D), and these cells were not as prominent in areas where the epithelium was more intact (fig 1A). Staining for laminin was uniform throughout the basement membrane which is clearly not a part of the blister structure that involves the epithelial layer only. COX-2 positive cells were not actively proliferating, based on lack of reactivity with the proliferation marker MIB-1 (data not shown). Immunohistochemical identification indicated that the cells in the COX-2 positive areas

Figure 1  Localisation of cyclooxygenase 2 (COX-2) expressing cells in sections from coeliac disease affected small intestinal mucosa by immunoperoxidase staining (A–E) and immunoelectron microscopy (IEM) (F). (A–D) Mucosal lesions from four patients showing different degrees of epithelial blistering and increased numbers of subepithelial COX-2 positive cells. (E) Mucosal section from a patient after a gluten free diet (COX-2 positive intraepithelial cells are indicated by arrowheads). (F) IEM of a COX-2 positive cell under the basement membrane, showing the lymphocyte like morphology and COX-2 immunoreactivity in the rough endoplasmic reticulum and the nuclear envelope (the arrows point to the basement membrane).

Figure 2  Localisation of cyclooxygenase 2 (COX-2) expressing cells in sections of the mucosa from normal small intestine by immunoperoxidase staining (A) and immunoelectron microscopy (IEM) (B). (A) COX-2 positive intraepithelial cells are present in both the crypts and villi (arrowheads) as well as in the lamina propria (arrows). (B) IEM of a COX-2 positive cell showing the lymphocyte like morphology and COX-2 immunoreactivity in the rough endoplasmic reticulum and nuclear envelope.

Figure 3  The densities of cyclooxygenase 2 (COX-2) positive cells in the differentiated epithelium of small intestinal biopsy specimens from untreated coeliac patients, coeliac patients after a gluten free diet, and control subjects. The points represent values from individual patients, mean values are denoted by horizontal bars, and points connected by a line represent values from the same patient before and after a gluten free diet.
were CD45 positive, and many of these cells were T cells, as indicated by positive staining for CD3. CD68+ macrophages were also seen. Endothelial cells, identified with an antibody against factor VIII, that were present in these same areas were negative for COX-2 (data not shown).

IEM of biopsy samples from untreated coeliac patients revealed that the ultrastructure of the COX-2 positive cells found under the basement membrane resembled that of lymphocytes. COX-2 immunoreactivity in these cells was localised to the rough endoplasmic reticulum and nuclear envelope (fig 1F).

The above findings helped us confirm the identification of the COX-2 positive subepithelial cells in the blistering mucosa as CD3+ cells. Indeed, we were able to show by a double immunofluorescence technique that COX-2 staining and CD3 staining often colocalised to the same cell, although not all of the CD3+ cells expressed COX-2 (fig 4). Furthermore, we identified, in addition to COX-2 positive T cells, a population of COX-2 positive macrophages bearing the CD68 antigen (not shown).

We further focused on the preliminary characterisation of the intraepithelial COX-2 positive cells observed in untreated and diet treated coeliac disease as well as in control samples. These cells were not positive for CD3, CD5, CD45RO, or CD68, which indicates that they do not belong to the same population as the cells found in the areas of blistering in the coeliac lesions. IEM disclosed that the morphology of these cells is similar to that of lymphocytes, and they have a similar intracellular distribution of COX-2 immunoreactivity as seen in cells found in coeliac lesions (fig 2B). The locations of the intraepithelial CD57+ cells corresponded to those of COX-2 positive cells but serial sectioning revealed that only a few individual CD57+ cells could be regarded as COX-2 positive. Some COX-2 positive intraepithelial cells were located at the bottom of the crypts, in areas typically occupied by neuroendocrine cells, but autofluorescence that is characteristic of neuroendocrine cells did not colocalise with staining for COX-2.

**DISCUSSION**

We describe for the first time the presence of subepithelial clusters of COX-2 positive cells in areas where the epithelial cell layer blisters or is totally detached from the basement membrane of the small intestinal mucosa affected in coeliac disease. Many of the COX-2 positive cells in these clusters also express the CD3 antigen, which identifies them as T cells, while others were identified as macrophages, based on the presence of the CD68 antigen. The assembly of these COX-2 positive cells in specific areas under the blistering lesion supports the idea that blistering is a real biological phenomenon associated with coeliac disease, and not an artefact caused by the biopsy procedure. The biopsy procedure itself can further increase detachment of the epithelium from the blisters.

Our results indicate that COX-2 is abundant in a high proportion of T cells found in the coeliac epithelial lesion. Cellier et al found (without commenting on their finding) CD8+ T cells in exactly the same location in coeliac disease patients but not in patients with refractory sprue. It has also been shown that in vitro gluten challenge induces migration of CD3+ T cells to the subepithelial compartment in coeliac disease patients on a gluten free diet. Prior studies have either failed to detect COX-2 expression or have detected only small amounts of COX-2 mRNA and protein in vitro in T lymphocytes activated by several stimuli that mimic T cell receptor/CD3 T cell activation. These activated T cells showed a nearly 30-fold increase in COX-2 enzyme activity, which was measured by the production of total prostaglandins, but they did not seem to produce prostaglandins of the D, E, or F series. The apparent lack of production of these prostaglandins by T cells raises two possibilities. Firstly, T cells might synthesise other eicosanoids such as cyclopentenones, as discussed in more detail below.

Secondly, COX-2 may have an alternate physiological role, as proposed by Ballif et al, who showed that COX isoenzymes are involved in the retention of the autoimmune and apoptosis associated nuclear binding protein, Nuc, within the lumen of the endoplasmic reticulum. Such an alternative role is also supported by IEM colocalisation of COX-2 to the endoplasmic reticulum and the nuclear envelope in the present study, as well as by earlier findings by confocal microscopy. Nuc and COX may thus be functionally important partners in inflammation. This partnership may also extend to apoptosis and some autoimmune diseases, because Nuc at least has been shown to be involved in programmed cell death and the development of systemic lupus erythematosus in mice.

What is the role of COX-2 in the gluten triggered small intestinal lesion that develops in coeliac patients? One possibility is that COX-2 is involved in the restoration of the jejunal mucosa during recovery from the disease. This is supported by the finding that inhibition of COX-2 activity in certain transgenic mice causes a break in the oral tolerance to an ingested protein. In these studies mice developed mucosal lesions similar to those in coeliac disease when the function of COX-2 was inhibited with indomethacin or the specific inhibitor NS-398. Newberry et al suggested that COX-2 dependent arachidonic acid metabolites produced by mononuclear cells in the lamina propria regulate the intestinal inflammatory response to dietary antigens, thus promoting oral tolerance and maintaining intestinal integrity. Furthermore, prolonged use of the non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agent mefenamic acid induces steatorrhoea and other changes that mimic coeliac disease in some patients. These changes include hyperplasia of the crypts, atrophy of the villi, and increased infiltration of the lamina propria by lymphocytes. These histological changes are very similar to those seen in the transgenic mice fed a specific dietary antigen and treated with COX-2 inhibitors.

Several studies have shown delayed healing of gastric ulcers after the use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agents such as indomethacin or diclofenac. This may also be due to inhibition of COX-2, the activity of which was shown to be concentrated in areas undergoing wound healing. In fact,
decreases in prostaglandins E₁ or E₂, observed in these studies were offered as an explanation for delayed healing. Gilroy et al found that COX-2 may be proinflammatory at the early stages and anti-inflammatory at the later stages of carrageenin-induced pleurisy in rats. In their study, inhibition of COX-2 led to a decrease in the anti-inflammatory cyclopentenone prostaglandins in a dose dependent manner. The cyclopentenones have been suggested to exert their anti-inflammatory action by directly inhibiting and modifying the β subunit of 1αB kinase, which is responsible for activation of NFκB, a key regulator of the genes involved in inflammation. 

COX-2 appears to play a protective role in intestinal injuries, as indicated by its known anti-inflammatory and immuno-tolerance modulating functions. This notion is lent further support by our finding that COX-2 positive cells accumulate in the ulcer lesion. In a similar fashion, with the results of Maiuri and colleagues and Haibensd and Brandtzaeg, we could not detect increased cell proliferation in the affected areas or in the lamina propria. This suggests that COX-2 positive cells do not proliferate in the lesion area but migrate there from elsewhere. Moreover, COX-2 positive cells decrease in number and nearly disappear after a long term gluten free diet. Thus cells that express COX-2 seem to play an important role in the resolution of small intestinal inflammation in coeliac disease. It has recently been proposed that a third isoform of COX, COX-3, may exist and it may be an additional factor involved in the resolution of inflammation.

The growing family of COX-2 inhibitors has proved to be less toxic than conventional non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agents and may therefore find large scale use in certain diseases, such as rheumatoid arthritis. However, if COX-2 proves to be important in the resolution of inflammation in even a small number of diseases, the long term use of COX-2 specific inhibitors will undoubtedly have to be given careful consideration.

We observed intraepithelial COX-2 positive cells in both control samples and in samples from patients with coeliac disease. In the colon, intraepithelial COX-2 positive cells have been reported to overlap with chromogranin A-immunoreactive neuroendocrine cells. Our results show that intraepithelial COX-2 positive cells are scattered along the crypts and they are also seen in the villi, which suggests that most of these cells are not of neuroendocrine origin. The neuroendocrine cells were also devoid of COX-2 immunoreactivity when examined by IEM. COX-2 immunoreactivity was localised to lymphocyte like intraepithelial cells in which it was seen in the endoplasmic reticulum and the nuclear envelope. The significance of these cells remains to be determined.

In conclusion, our results show that in coeliac disease patients with total or partial villous atrophy, the integrity of the small intestinal epithelium is disrupted by blistering, and this blistering is associated with increased infiltration of CD3+ T lymphocytes and CD68+ macrophages. A portion of these cells express COX-2, which suggests that increased prostanoid synthesis probably contributes to the healing of the mucosa.
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