The chips are down for *Helicobacter pylori*

J C Atherton

Microarrays can be used to demonstrate differences in genetic content between *Helicobacter pylori* strains, giving a foretaste of how research may be conducted in the near future.

The whole genome sequence of *Helicobacter pylori* strain 26695 was published in 1997 and that of a second strain, J99, in 1999. These publications moved *H pylori* research forward by a quantum leap. Comparing *H pylori* genes with genes of known function in other bacteria gave immediate insights into *H pylori* metabolism, structure, adaptive mechanisms, and virulence. Research became more focused in that workers could identify and study genes that were known to be important in other bacteria and appreciate their whole genetic context in *H pylori*. For example, they could immediately see where an enzyme might fit in a metabolic pathway or what proteins were likely to comprise the *H pylori* flagella assembly. Now, several groups have taken another quantum leap forward. They have constructed whole genome microarrays—ordered arrays of all identified *H pylori* genes on glass slides—allowing experiments to be performed on all *H pylori* genes simultaneously. Such microarrays have already been used to assess differences between *H pylori* strains but the paper by Israel et al shows how they can be applied to research into pathogenesis.

Israel et al took two strains of *H pylori*, one from a patient with a duodenal ulcer (DU) and one from a patient with a gastric ulcer (GU), and used them to infect Mongolian gerbils. The *H pylori* infected Mongolian gerbil is the best (but still an imperfect) animal model of human *H pylori* infection. Initial strain typing by Israel et al had suggested that both strains were fully virulent in that they both possessed several of the well known virulence markers, including the gene cagA (cytoxin associated gene A). However, in the gerbil infections, the strain from the GU patient caused a much more severe gastritis and in a few gerbils caused gastric ulcers and gastric atrophy. In vitro, the GU strain stimulated epithelial cells to produce high levels of the proinflammatory cytokine interleukin 8. In contrast, the DU strain caused only mild gastritis in gerbils with none in the gastric corpus and stimulated only low level interleukin 8 production by epithelial cells in vitro. The DU strain also caused less epithelial cell proliferation and apoptosis than the GU strain, both in the animal model and in vitro.

The next step was to assess differences between the DU and GU strains of *H pylori*, and to do this Israel et al used microarrays. They hybridised DNA from their two strains with copies of genes from the two genome sequenced strains, 26695 and J99. Hence they were able to identify which genes were common to the GU and DU strains and which were different. The most marked difference was that the GU strain lacked a run of genes in the cag pathogenicity island. The cag pathogenicity island comprises a collection of genes next to cagA which have previously been shown to be important in inducing epithelial cells to produce proinflammatory cytokines (such as interleukin 8) and thus cause inflammation. Israel et al went on to experimentally mutate the GU strain in vitro stimulating only low level interleukin 8 production from epithelial cells. However, there were slight differences from the DU strain, the most obvious of which was that the cag mutants still induced a similar level of epithelial cell apoptosis to the wild-type GU parent strain. Taken together, this work implied that most of the differences between the DU and GU strains, and in particular the severity and distribution of gastric inflammation, were due to disruption of the cag pathogenicity island in the original DU strain. However, some differences, such as the effect on apoptosis, were due to other differences between the strains.

This study has several important messages. It confirms and extends a recent report using a cagE mutant (cagE being an important component of the cag pathogenicity island) that showed that functional cag pathogenicity island genes are important in *H pylori* induced gastric inflammation in the gerbil. Importantly, it adds clinical relevance to this finding by showing that a strain with a natural partial cag deletion has a similar effect. However, it does not help us to understand differences between *H pylori* strains associated with GUs or DUs as only single candidate strains were studied. Indeed, the DU strain in this study is atypical in that most DU strains have an intact cag pathogenicity island like the GU strain studied here. The findings in this paper are important but perhaps the study’s best aspect is that in using microarrays to demonstrate strain differences throughout the genome, it gives a foretaste of how research may be conducted in the near future. What is more, as the paper’s discussion points out, whole genome microarrays will get better. The microarray used in this study...
could only detect major differences in genetic content whereas in the near future microarrays will be able to detect small differences in individual genes. That will be the next leap forward.
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7th European Forum on Quality Improvement in Health Care

We are delighted to announce this forthcoming conference to be held in Edinburgh, Scotland on 21–23 March 2002. Delegate enquiries are welcome.

The themes of the Forum are:
- Leadership, culture change, and change management
- Achieving radical improvement by redesigning care
- Health policy for lasting improvement in health care systems
- Patient safety
- Measurement for improvement, learning, and accountability
- Partnership with patients
- Professional quality: the foundation for improvement
- Continuous improvement in education and training
- People and improvement.
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