Effect of sildenafil on oesophageal motor function in healthy subjects and patients with oesophageal motor disorders


Background and aims: Sildenafil blocks phosphodiesterase type 5 which degrades nitric oxide (NO) stimulated 3′,5′-cyclic monophosphate (cGMP), thereby relaxing smooth muscle cells in various organs. We used sildenafil as a tool to investigate the role of the NO-cGMP pathway in the oesophagus of healthy volunteers and patients with hypercontractile oesophageal motility disorders.

Methods: Six healthy male volunteers participated in a randomised double blind study on two separate days before and one hour after oral intake of either sildenafil 50 mg or placebo. Oesophageal manometry was performed to determine vector volume of the lower oesophageal sphincter (LOS) and pressure amplitudes of the oesophageal body. Four of the volunteers underwent 12 hour ambulatory oesophageal manometry on two separate days, once with sildenafil 50 mg and once with placebo. An activity index for spontaneous swallowing was calculated for every hour of the study. Eleven patients with hypercontractile oesophageal motility disorders took part in an open study of the effect of 50 mg sildenafil on manometric features of their disorder and on the clinical response to sildenafil taken as required.

Results: In healthy subjects, sildenafil significantly reduced LOS pressure volume and pressure amplitudes in the distal half of the oesophageal body. In three of four subjects the inhibitory effect of sildenafil lasted at least eight hours. In nine of 11 patients, manometric improvement after sildenafil was observed but only four had an improvement in oesophageal symptoms with sildenafil taken as required. Two of these four patients however experienced side effects and did not want to continue treatment.

Conclusions: Sildenafil lowers LOS pressure and propulsive forces in the body of the oesophagus of healthy subjects as well as in patients with nutcracker oesophagus, hypertensive LOS, and achalasia. The effect of sildenafil on the oesophageal body may last for up to eight hours in healthy volunteers. A subset of patients with hypertensive LOS or nutcracker oesophagus may benefit from sildenafil but side effects are a limiting factor.

Nitric oxide (NO) is widely recognised as a major inhibitory neurotransmitter in the gastrointestinal tract. It is a non-traditional transmitter as it does not appear to utilise a receptor on the cell membrane of effector cells. Instead, NO activates soluble guanylate cyclase, enhancing production of guanosine 3′,5′-cyclic monophosphate (cGMP). This in turn activates cGMP dependent protein kinase which mediates most of the postjunctional responses attributed to NO dependent neurotransmission.

The NO-cGMP pathway can be influenced by the phosphodiesterase inhibitor sildenafil which is used to treat erectile dysfunction. It acts by blocking phosphodiesterase type 5 (PDE5) that degrades NO stimulated cGMP. Sildenafil therefore results in accumulation of NO stimulated cGMP which in turn has inhibitory effects on smooth muscle cells of the arterioles in the human corpus cavernosum and of other blood vessels as well as of the gut.

NO is a principal neurotransmitter released after deglutition by non-adrenergic non-cholinergic inhibitory neurones. Through production of cGMP, NO has been shown to cause relaxation of the lower oesophageal sphincter (LOS) and to regulate peristaltic contractions in various animal species and in humans. The observations reported so far are however conflicting, depending on the species and experimental design. Previous in vivo studies in the human oesophagus have focused on the consequences of reducing the effect of NO by either removing NO by haemoglobin or inhibiting its production with N-monomethyl-1-arginine (l-NMMA). These studies have produced contradictory results. It has recently been shown that sildenafil augments the effect of NO in patients with achalasia, a disease which is characterised by a defect of NO neurones. Although there was improvement in manometric parameters with sildenafil, it was not reported whether patients with achalasia experienced symptomatic relief after they received sildenafil.

The aim of our study was to use sildenafil to investigate the NO-cGMP pathway in vivo in humans by augmenting the normal effects of NO through inhibition of cGMP destruction by PDE5. We studied the effect on peristaltic motility of the body of the oesophagus and effects on the LOS in normal subjects and in patients with hypercontractile oesophageal motility disorders. Patients in whom motility studies suggested that they might benefit from sildenafil were treated on an as needed basis.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Normal subjects

Normal subjects (six males; aged 26–30 years) reported to the manometry laboratory after an overnight fast. All subjects...
were healthy, none had a history of oesophageal disease, and none was taking any drugs. After informed consent had been obtained, oesophageal manometry was performed as described below. Then, in a randomised double blind fashion, subjects swallowed either sildenafil 50 mg or, on a separate day, placebo with water. Blinding was achieved by placing the sildenafil tablet into a gelatine capsule of appropriate size. The empty capsule was used as placebo. One hour after intake of the medication or placebo a second manometry study was performed. Heart rate and blood pressure was monitored before and after the procedure. On the following day, subjects returned to the laboratory and were asked about symptoms before and after the procedure.

Table 1: Patient characteristic and effect of sildenafil

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient No</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Major symptoms</th>
<th>Manometric features before sildenafil</th>
<th>1 h after sildenafil 50 mg</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>Heartburn and dysphagia</td>
<td>Nutcracker oesophagus, Corpus amplitudes up to 140 mm Hg, Duration of pressure waves up to 10 s, LOS pressure 141 mm Hg</td>
<td>Below 60 mm Hg, Below 5 s 27 mm Hg</td>
<td>3 weeks: pn treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>Regurgitation</td>
<td>Primarily oesophageal spasm, 2rBotox LOS pressure 45 mm Hg</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>2 weeks: treatment, ineffectiveness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>Dysphagia</td>
<td>Vigorous achalasia, Corpus amplitudes in body 81±120 mm Hg, LOS pressure 35±10 mm Hg, Relaxation of LOS 40%</td>
<td>34±7 mm Hg 41%</td>
<td>10 days: treatment, ineffectiveness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>Dysphagia</td>
<td>Hypertensive LOS 60 mm Hg</td>
<td>Below 20 mm Hg</td>
<td>3 days: treatment, ineffectiveness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>Dysphagia</td>
<td>Hypertensive LOS 80 mm Hg</td>
<td>16 mm Hg</td>
<td>Under 25 mg: sildenafil no symptoms. &gt; 4 months: No side effects. Nifedipine: causes dizziness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>Dysphagia</td>
<td>Achalasia, LOS 48 mm Hg</td>
<td>18 mm Hg</td>
<td>4 days: treatment, no effect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>Dysphagia and heartburn</td>
<td>Corpus: segm. simult. contr. 70 mm Hg, LOS 76 mm Hg</td>
<td>No obvious contraction 10 mm Hg</td>
<td>10 days: treatment, dysphagia improved, however sleep disturbances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Dysphagia</td>
<td>Nutcracker: corpus ampl. up to 160 mm Hg, Duration of pressure wave &gt; 9 s, LOS post-deglutitive &gt;120 mm Hg</td>
<td>Below 4 s LOS 20 mm Hg</td>
<td>1 week: treatment, dysphagia improved, however feeling of tightness in the chest at night</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>Dysphagia</td>
<td>Achalasia, LOS 71 mm Hg, relax 27%</td>
<td>No change</td>
<td>No treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>Dysphagia, heartburn, and COPD</td>
<td>Nutcracker: post-deglut. LOS 65 mm Hg, Corpus amplitudes up to 160 mm Hg, Duration of pressure wave &gt; 9 s</td>
<td>Below 20 mm Hg</td>
<td>&gt;4 weeks: dysphagia and heartburn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>Dysphagia</td>
<td>Nutcracker: corpus ampl. up to 300 mm Hg, Duration of pressure wave up to 19 s, LOS up to 60 mm Hg</td>
<td>Below 65 mm Hg</td>
<td>4 days: treatment, no effect</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

California, USA) and connected to pressure transducers (PVB Medizintechnik, Kirschsee, Germany) and a Synectics polygraph HR (Medtronic Functional Diagnostics, Skovlund, Denmark). The probe was passed transnasally into the gastric lumen and pulled back in 1 cm steps to determine LOS margins. Then the tip of the tube was positioned at the respiratory inversion point and pressure amplitudes (peak values) of the oesophageal body were recorded while subjects swallowed 10 ml of water. At least 10 wet swallows were performed. Then the tube was withdrawn by 5 cm and wet swallows were repeated. Measurements at 5 cm intervals were repeated until the upper oesophageal sphincter was reached.

The tube was replaced by an eight lumen tube (all side holes at the tip) to perform a rapid pull through over the region of the LOS to calculate the sphincter vector volume of the LOS (Synectics catheter puller, 1 cm/s, expiratory state of the subjects during the 10 second pull through. Polygram for Windows 2.04, overall length 10 cm, segmental length 0.1 cm).

Four of the six volunteers underwent 12 hour ambulatory oesophageal manometry on a placebo day and on a sildenafil day (µDigitrapper; Synectics Medical, Stockholm, Sweden). Pressure sensors were located 5, 10, and 15 cm above the LOS.
Placebo or sildenafil 50 mg was ingested three hours after the start of the study.

Data analysis
The effects of sildenafil and placebo on vector volume, pressure amplitudes of wet swallows, and propagation of swallows in the oesophageal body were calculated as the difference between pre and post drug studies (Wilcoxon test). A p value of less than 5% was considered to be significant. To calculate swallow propagation, we determined time intervals between amplitudes at the recording sites, which were spaced at 5 cm intervals in the oesophageal body.

For each hour of the 12 hour ambulatory study, an activity index (AI) was calculated by multiplying mean pressure amplitudes of spontaneous swallows by the number of swallows. Contractions were considered to be swallows when they showed propagation on the three sites of measurement. Eating and sleeping periods were excluded. During the control day large diurnal variations in AI were observed. Therefore, the AI value for each hour on the sildenafil day was related to the AI of the respective hour on the control day. This was achieved by calculating the relation between AIs for each respective hour on the control and sildenafil days, and expressing the result as the relation of the two AIs in per cent (see fig 6). A relative AI of 100% therefore represents the same contractile activity on the sildenafil day compared with contractile activity during the respective hour of the control day. Relative AIs of less than 100% indicate that contractile activity was decreased on the sildenafil day compared with the control day.

Patients
Eleven patients with achalasia, hypertensive LOS, nutcracker oesophagus, or oesophageal spasm were recruited from our outpatient clinic. All had a longstanding history of either dysphagia or heartburn. Patient characteristics are shown in table 1. Most cases were initially treated by their referring physicians as gastro-oesophageal reflux. When proton pump inhibitors failed to improve the patient's condition, further tests such as manometry were performed to establish a clear diagnosis.

Stationary oesophageal manometry with a six lumen manometry probe was performed before and one hour after sildenafil 50 mg following the same protocol as in normal subjects. Vector manometry was not performed in patients so as to reduce the number of oesophageal intubations. During the manometry study, we focused on the main manometric features that have been used to describe motility disorders such as oesophageal spasm, nutcracker oesophagus, hypertensive LOS, or achalasia, namely the amplitude and duration of amplitudes in the oesophageal body, and basal pressure and relaxation of the LOS. After baseline manometry, the manometry tube was withdrawn and 50 mg sildenafil was given orally. Patients were again intubated with the manometry probe.
probe one hour after ingestion of sildenafil. One of the 11 patients (patient No 9 in table 1) in whom no manometric changes were seen after one hour, received another dose of 50 mg sildenafil by gastric tube; measurements were repeated 30 minutes later.

After the manometry study we supplied the patients with sildenafil on an as needed basis. Patients were allowed to take one tablet of sildenafil 50 mg once a day to control their symptoms. In the event of a good response or side effects, patients could reduce the dose of sildenafil to 25 mg once a day. Patients were asked to keep a diary to document effects and side effects.

The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Karl-Franzens University.

RESULTS
Normal subjects
Stationary manometry
Figure 1 shows a typical example of manometric tracings and the LOS vector profile before and after sildenafil. Changes in manometry readings were so obvious that in all cases the type of treatment could be determined by the examiner who was blinded and initially unaware of the administered substance. Results in the six normal subjects before and after placebo or sildenafil are summarised in figs 2–4. As shown in fig 2, there were no significant changes in LOS vector volume after ingestion of placebo whereas one hour after sildenafil all subjects showed a significant decrease. Mean basal pressure of the LOS did not change after placebo (14.0 (SD 2.6) mm Hg before) 12.9 (3.3) mm Hg after placebo) but decreased significantly from 15.5 (4.8) to 9.2 (2.5) mm Hg after sildenafil.

Amplitudes of the pressure waves during swallowing were assessed at 5, 10, 15, 20, and if available, at 25 cm above the LOS. Readings at the 25 cm level were available only in four subjects; in the other two subjects the upper oesophageal sphincter had already been reached at this level. As shown in fig 3, placebo had no significant effect on the pressure amplitude of oesophageal contractions. Sildenafil resulted in a significant decrease in pressure amplitude at 5, 10, and 15 cm above the LOS. In some cases there was almost complete abolition of peristalsis. Sildenafil slightly increased pressure amplitudes in the proximal oesophagus (striated muscle) at a level of 20 cm above the LOS in five of six subjects. Although this increase was slight and probably clinically irrelevant, it was statistically significant.

Figure 4 summarises the mean amplitudes in the oesophageal body after wet swallows between 9 and 25 cm above the LOS. Basal and placebo studies showed the physiological decrease in amplitudes at 20 cm above the LOS compared with the lower parts of the oesophageal body. Figure 4 also demonstrates that the relatively and absolutely largest inhibitory effects of sildenafil occurred in the lowest part of the oesophageal body.

With regard to the time needed for swallow propagation in the oesophageal body, there were no differences in the time required for amplitude propagation between two neighbouring 5 cm segments before and after placebo or sildenafil (data not shown).

No side effects were observed during the manometric studies. Systolic and diastolic arterial pressures measured prior to and one hour after sildenafil showed no differences. Following...
the studies, two subjects reported tolerable headaches after sildenafil and one subject reported a feeling of tachycardia after placebo. When volunteers were asked to guess which drug they thought they had actually received, they were correct four out of six times after placebo and three out of six times after sildenafil.

**Long term manometry**

An example of a long term manometry study is shown in fig 5. In this example it is obvious that spontaneous swallow amplitudes in the oesophageal body clearly decreased after sildenafil and remained low until bed rest. There was large intraindividual variability in contractile activity on the two study days and within a study day during the control periods before ingestion of sildenafil or placebo. Therefore, as described in the methods section, relative AIs were calculated in order to exclude the influence of diurnal variations on contractile activity. Figure 6 shows the relative hourly contractile activity indices of the oesophagus. In all subjects, sildenafil reduced spontaneous oesophageal activity compared with the respective time interval on the placebo day and compared with the control period before ingestion of sildenafil. In three subjects activity remained lower compared with the placebo day until the study was terminated eight hours after ingestion of sildenafil. In one subject activity increased dramatically towards the evening compared with the placebo day, and he reported considerable irritation of the throat due to the manometry tube during the course of the sildenafil day.

**Patients**

Table 1 summarises the patient characteristics, manometric features prior to and one hour after sildenafil, and the effect of sildenafil prescribed on an as needed basis. Eleven patients were evaluated. The major presenting symptoms were dysphagia and heartburn. Based on the results of oesophageal manometry, we diagnosed four patients with nutcracker oesophagus, two with oesophageal spasms, two with hypertensive LOS, and three with achalasia. In two patients (Nos 2 and 9) sildenafil caused no manometric changes; one of these patients was diagnosed as having diffuse oesophageal spasm and the other achalasia. All other patients showed considerable changes which are described in table 1.

When sildenafil was given on an as required basis to nine patients who showed manometric improvement, oesophageal symptoms improved in four (one patient with hypertensive LOS, one patient with oesophageal spasm, two patients with nutcracker oesophagus). None of the patients with achalasia showed improvement in oesophageal symptoms. Two patients whose oesophageal symptoms improved refused to continue sildenafil because of sleep disturbances or a feeling of tightness in the chest. Furthermore, three of five patients who did not respond developed side effects such as dizziness and headache. Finally, there were two patients with marked clinical benefit and minimal or no side effects; both patients were female. Patient No 5 is free of symptoms on 25 mg sildenafil once a day with immediate relapse when she discontinues the drug. In this case, manometry studies were repeated four months after initiation of therapy and showed the same qualitative features as before. Patient No 10 tolerated sildenafil better than diltiazem, which was prescribed by her family doctor. After four weeks however she discontinued sildenafil because she did not like the idea of becoming dependent on this drug.

**DISCUSSION**

Our results showed that in normal human subjects, augmentation of the effect of NO through activation of the NO-cGMP pathway...
pathway with sildenafil had a clear effect on the lower oesophageal body and the LOS. In the oesophageal body, sildenafil reduced the amplitude of peristaltic contractions but did not influence the propagation speed of peristalsis. The effects were most pronounced in the most distal part of the oesophageal body. There was no inhibitory effect in the upper part of the oesophageal body. In contrast, our data suggest that there may even be a slight and probably clinically insignificant stimulatory effect in the upper part of the body of the oesophagus. Sildenafil reduced basal pressure and sphincter volume of the LOS. Our long term studies showed that the inhibitory effect of sildenafil started about 30 minutes after ingestion of the drug and lasted up to eight hours. Our observation that the inhibitory effect of sildenafil was abolished and oesophageal motility was actually stimulated in one subject who was irritated by the manometry probe on the sildenafil day, suggests that the inhibitory effect of the NO-cGMP pathway can be overridden by other stimulatory effects. This may also explain the differences in duration of the effect of sildenafil in patients with achalasia and in normal subjects reported by Bortolotti et al compared with our subjects. Some subjects or patients in Bortolotti’s studies may have contributed to large variability, and this may have been the reason that significant differences beyond one hour could not be observed between the groups.

While we studied the consequences of augmenting the effect of NO in the human oesophagus, previously published studies on the role of NO in normal human subjects have so far been limited to testing the consequences of reducing the effect of NO by either inhibiting its synthesis with L-NMMA or, in the case of Murray et al, decreasing its effect through inactivation by absorption to recombinant human haemoglobin (rHb). rHb has been demonstrated to produce an increase in amplitude and speed of peristaltic contractions, and sometimes simultaneously occurring contractions, accompanied by retrosternal pain. L-NMMA has been demonstrated to increase peristaltic velocity in the proximal oesophagus; however, increased peristaltic amplitude was seen in Hirsch’s studies whereas Konturek et al could not find a change in peristaltic amplitude caused by L-NMMA. Results with regard to the effect of L-NMMA and rHb on LOS are contradictory. Whereas Hirsch et al could not demonstrate an effect of L-NMMA, Murray et al reported inhibition of swallowing induced relaxation of the LOS due to rHb. Differences in the effects of rHb and L-NMMA were suggested to be due to different involvement of central and peripheral mechanisms. Sildenafil does not have central effects; thus we can postulate that the effects reported in our study were only peripheral.

With regard to the LOS, studies with sildenafil and rHb suggest that NO is involved in LOS relaxation. The effect of sildenafil can be interpreted as demonstrating a direct role of the NO-cGMP pathway in the LOS whereas the effect of L-NMMA can be interpreted as demonstrating a role for the swallow associated coordination process resulting in sphincter relaxation. Again, these differences may be due to different actions on central and peripheral pathways.

Our data, as well as data obtained with rHb and L-NMMA, demonstrated that NO was involved in the regulation of the amplitude of contractions. Activation of NO results in a decrease, and inactivation an increase, in peristaltic amplitude. Peristaltic velocity can be increased over control conditions by inhibiting the effect of NO with rHb and L-NMMA but it cannot be decreased compared with control conditions by augmenting the effect of NO with sildenafil. This discrepancy between our studies and those with rHb and L-NMMA indicates that modulation of NO activity in normal subjects only can accelerate but not decelerate peristaltic velocity compared with control conditions. In contrast, it may also be the result of the action of rHb and L-NMMA on central mechanisms controlling peristaltic velocity.

Studies with the NO donor nitroglycerine given sublingually have shown only a transient decrease in LOS basal pressure lasting just a few minutes with no change in oesophageal body motility of healthy subjects. Long acting nitrates produced no change in any parameter of oesophageal motility. Another approach which has been used to increase endogenous sources of NO was long term oral intake of L-arginine. This resulted in a decrease in basal postprandial LOS pressure but did not change motility in the oesophageal body.

Based on our results in normal subjects, we hypothesised that sildenafil may reduce elevated oesophageal amplitude or LOS pressure in patients with motility disorders such as oesophagitis, oesophageal spasms, hypertensive LOS, or achalasia, and that patients may benefit symptomatically if treated with sildenafil on an as needed basis. In fact, we were able to demonstrate an effect of sildenafil on manometric characteristics of their disorders in 9/11 patients. In two patients, one with oesophageal spasm and one with achalasia, no effect was demonstrated although the sildenafil dose was doubled in one instance. It is not clear why sildenafil did not have demonstrable effects in these two patients. However, it is conceivable that in the non-responding achalasia patient there was no intrinsic NO activity left, and the NO-cGMP pathway therefore could not be activated. A possible explanation for the lack of effect in one of the patients with oesophageal spasm could be that another motility activating stimulus overruled the inhibitory effect of NO.

Four of nine patients who had manometric improvement also showed improvement in oesophageal symptoms although two decided not to continue treatment because of side effects. Patients who showed an improvement in oesophageal symptoms on sildenafil included patients with hypertensive LOS, oesophageal spasm, and nutcracker oesophagus. For selected patients with these motility disorders, sildenafil may be an alternative to treatment with calcium antagonists or nitrates because the systemic effects of these substances are similar and individual susceptibility may favour sildenafil over the other drugs. Currently, we do not know how to identify in advance patients who might respond to the treatment.

Our group included three patients with achalasia. Two of these had a considerable decrease in basal LOS pressure after sildenafil but none had clinical improvement while taking sildenafil on an as needed basis. The most likely reason for this lack of clinical effectiveness is that the balance between decreased contractile activity in the oesophageal body and increased pressure with lack of relaxation of the LOS is not influenced by sildenafil. This can be demonstrated by the results in patient No 2 in whom although LOS basal pressure was inhibited there was also a decrease in oesophageal amplitude to a similar extent, so that the relation between the amplitude of contractions in the oesophageal body and LOS pressure did not change. Bortolotti et al have recently reported results of studies with sildenafil in achalasia patients. They demonstrated manometric findings similar to ours but provided no information on symptoms in their patients. Our explanation for the clinical ineffectiveness of sildenafil is that the relation between abnormal LOS and motility in the body of the oesophagus is not influenced by sildenafil. We also applied this supposition to Bortolotti’s published data. In Bortolotti’s patients LOS tone, LOS residual pressure, and pressure wave amplitude decreased by 67%, 68%, and 72%, respectively, in the postinfusion period and by 48%, 53%, and 51%, respectively, for nadir values. This clearly demonstrates that in Bortolotti’s patients, pressure waves in the oesophageal body and LOS pressure were also influenced proportionally. Therefore, the relation between amplitude of oesophageal contractility and LOS pressure is not changed by sildenafil compared with placebo, and no clinical effect can be expected.

Achalasia has been demonstrated to result from a defect in NO neurones. Reduction in LOS pressure in achalasia therefore may be seen as a substitution for this defect. The role of
nitrergic neurones in hypertensive LOS, oesophageal spasm, and nutracker oesophagus has not been established. It is therefore unclear whether the beneficial effects of sildenafil in these diseases are the result of substitution of a deficiency state or a consequence of pharmacological stimulation of the oesophagus.

In conclusion, sildenafil lowers LOS pressure and propulsive forces in the oesophageal body in healthy subjects as well as in patients with nutracker oesophagus, hypertensive LOS, and achalasia. The effect of sildenafil on the oesophageal body may last for eight hours in healthy volunteers. Despite a good manometric response in the majority of patients with oesophageal spasm, hypertensive LOS, or nutracker oesophagus only a minority of patients showed clinical improvement with sildenafil administered on an as needed basis. Furthermore, side effects due to sildenafil are a limitation.
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