Prevalence and risk factors of hepatitis C virus infection in haemodialysis patients: a multicentre study in 2796 patients
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Background: Hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection is a significant problem in the management of haemodialysis patients. A high prevalence of HCV infection in haemodialysis patients has been reported. Risk factors such as the number of blood transfusions or duration on haemodialysis have been identified.

Aim: To determine the prevalence of HCV by antibody testing and HCV-RNA determination by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) in haemodialysis patients. Furthermore, liver function tests were performed and epidemiological data were obtained to determine risk factors for HCV in this cohort of patients.

Results: A total of 2796 patients from 43 dialysis centres were enrolled. The overall prevalence of HCV (HCV antibody and/or HCV-RNA positivity) was 7.0% (195 patients). Antibody positivity occurred in 171 patients (6.1%). Viraemia was detectable in 111 patients (4.0%). Twenty-four of 111 HCV RNA positive patients (21.6%) were negative for HCV antibodies. Thus 0.8% of the entire study population was HCV positive but could not be diagnosed by routine HCV antibody testing. Major risk factors identified by a standard questionnaire in 171 of 2796 patients were the number of blood transfusions individuals had received and duration of dialysis, the latter including patients who received no blood transfusions. Sequencing of the 5′ untranslated region of the genome showed a dominant genotype 1 (77.6%) within the cohort. Further reverse transcription-PCR of the NS5b and core region were performed to document phylogenetic analysis. Comparing nucleic acid sequences detected by PCR, no homogeneity was found and thus nosocomial transmission was excluded.

Conclusions: HCV is common in German haemodialysis patients but screening for HCV antibodies alone does not exclude infection with HCV.
negative viraemic hepatitis C patients should also be evaluated. Also, risk factors for transmission of the virus were determined.

**PATIENTS AND METHODS**

**Study design and patient selection**

The study was performed in haemodialysis units of the Patienten-Heim-Versorgung, an organisation of haemodialysis units all over Germany. A total of 3042 patients from 43 haemodialysis units were enrolled in this cross sectional trial between October 1996 and March 1997; 2796 of 3042 patients gave informed consent and thus 92% of the whole patient population were investigated. The remaining 246 patients could not be tested for the following reasons: vacation, hospital stay, death before investigation, and informed consent withdrawn (<1%). The study protocol was approved in 1996 by the ethics committee of the medical faculty of the Christian-Albrechts-University, Kiel. All patients underwent chronic haemodialysis treatment for end stage renal disease during the study period. The number of patients in the haemodialysis units varied from 17 to 177 patients. In 1717 of 2796 patients, epidemiological data were available by questionnaire (61.4%).

- **sex and age,**
- **duration on haemodialysis in months,**
- **number of blood transfusions (none, 1–5, 6–15, more than 15),**
- **known risk factors, such as intravenous drug abuse, immunosuppression, haemophilia,**
- **known chronic liver disease.**

In the present study, men (n=917; 53%) were more often on haemodialysis than women (n=800; 47%). Mean age was 61 years (range 19–92) (men 59 years, women 63 years). Mean duration on haemodialysis treatment was 54 months (52 in men and 57 in women). Haemodialysis was performed routinely 2–3 times weekly in the patient population.

Blood (serum and plasma) (16 ml) was obtained from each patient before haemodialysis started. Blood was centrifuged immediately at the unit, plasma and sera separated, and different aliquots at −80°C. All samples were subsequently subjected to liver function tests: alanine aminotransferase (U/L), aspartate aminotransferase (U/L), gammaglutamyl transpeptidase (U/L), and bilirubin concentration (mg/dl) in the central laboratory of the First Department of Medicine, Kiel. Anti-HCV antibody was measured by a third generation commercial ELISA (Enzymun-Test Anti-HCV; Boehringer Mannheim, Germany). The third generation assay detects antibodies for three viral antigens (c22-3, c200, and NS5). HCV-RNA testing was performed using reverse transcription (RT)-PCR (Cobas Amplicor Monitor; Roche Brenchburg, New Jersey, USA) with a detection limit of 100 genomes/ml. All positive samples for HCV-RNA were tested twice with different aliquots. Samples positive for HCV-RNA and negative for HCV antibodies were tested again for HCV antibodies using HCV version 3.0 (detecting viral antigens c200, c100-3, and NS5; Abbott Assym System, Wiesbaden, Germany). The percentage of measurements for each laboratory parameter in the 2796 patients was 98.5% for liver function tests, 99.3% for HCV-RNA, and 99.6% for HCV antibody testing. Missing aliquots or destruction of aliquots accounted for the discrepancy between patients investigated and laboratory measurements performed. In HCV-RNA positive patients, genotyping by sequencing of the 5′untranslated region was performed to determine the genotype of the virus. Furthermore, subsequent RT-PCR of the NS5b and core region for phylogenetic analysis of HCV-RNA positive patients from a single centre was performed to determine the genotype and detect possible patient to patient transmission.

All tests were carried out and interpreted strictly in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions. For PCR testing, strict segregation of personnel and equipment into pre- and post-PCR laboratory buildings was established to avoid the possibility of post-PCR contamination.

Epidemiological data are presented as mean and percentage of the mean. Further statistical analysis of risk factors for HCV infection (age, duration on haemodialysis, and number of blood transfusions) was performed by multivariate analysis and Fisher’s exact test with a p value <0.05.

**RESULTS**

Of the 2786 patients tested for hepatitis C virus antibodies by third generation ELISA, 171 were positive (6.1%). All positive samples were confirmed by an independent third generation HCV antibody ELISA. HCV-RNA measured by RT-PCR with a detection limit of 100 genomes/ml was detected in 111 of 2777 patients (4.0%). All positive HCV-RNA samples were tested twice using different aliquots. In 24 of 111 hepatitis C viraemic patients (21.6%), the antibodies tested negative with two different third generation ELISA. Thus the overall prevalence of hepatitis C (HCV antibody positivity and/or HCV-RNA positivity) in the 2796 haemodialysis patients investigated was 7.0% (195 of 2796 patients). The prevalence data are given in table 1.

In the underlying haemodialysis patients, hepatitis C viraemia was detected in 64.9% of infected patients. Subgroup analysis showed no difference in age, sex, or time on haemodialysis for patients who cured hepatitis C spontaneously or those patients who were suffering from chronic hepatitis C infection. There was a wide range of HCV antibody and HCV-RNA prevalence in the 43 haemodialysis units investigated. In three of 43 haemodialysis units with a total of 137 patients, none was found to be positive for HCV antibodies. The highest prevalence for HCV antibodies was 22.5% in a single centre with 43 patients. Similar to the results for HCV antibodies in eight haemodialysis units with 455 patients, no viraemic hepatitis C patient was seen. The highest prevalence was 13.3% and was observed in a single unit with 50 haemodialysis patients.

One hundred and three of 111 hepatitis C viraemic patients were investigated for genotyping using RT-PCR. Genotype 1b was predominant (67 patients; 65%) followed by genotype 1a (13 patients; 12.6%). The distribution of genotypes is given in fig 1. In 16 samples with positive HCV-RNA, genotyping by RT-PCR was unsuccessful. Few patients showed genotype 2, 3, or 4 and one patient had a non-classified genotype. Thus genotype 1 was found in more than 75% of infected cases. Furthermore, partial sequencing of the 5′untranslated region, NS5b, and core region in patients infected with the same genotype in a single centre failed to show the same quasispecies and thus no patient to patient transmission was demonstrated. Although the rare genotype 2b was detected in two patients from one haemodialysis unit, comparison of the sequences also failed to detect homogeneity.

In 1717 of 2796 patients (61.4%), including 195 patients with positive hepatitis C results, epidemiological data were

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>n (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HCV antibody and/or HCV-RNA positive</td>
<td>195 (7.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCV antibody positive</td>
<td>171 (6.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCV-RNA positive</td>
<td>111 (4.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCV-RNA positive, HCV antibody negative</td>
<td>24 (0.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCV-RNA and HCV antibody negative</td>
<td>2591 (93)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
prevalence and HCV-RNA prevalence was observed as for patients who had blood transfusions. This demonstrates that time on haemodialysis is an independent risk factor for developing hepatitis C infection (p<0.05 for a duration of more than 10 years on haemodialysis). There were no significant differences in age, duration of haemodialysis, or elevation in liver function tests in the 111 viraemic hepatitis C patients compared with the whole study population. Furthermore, the same was observed in the 24 antibody negative viraemic hepatitis C patients when compared with the epidemiological data of the 1717 patients. As 21.6% of viraemic hepatitis C patients were negative for HCV antibodies, and liver function tests remained normal in most cases of HCV infection, 0.8% (24 of 2796 patients) of our viraemic hepatitis C patients would have been undiagnosed by routine screening.

DISCUSSION
It is well known that haemodialysis patients are at high risk for hepatitis C infection. But there is a wide range in prevalence rates in different regions of the world, ranging from 1% in the UK to more than 90% in Eastern Europe. Ribavirin treatment with interferon is not as successful in haemodialysis patients as in the general population, and there is no approval for the drug in end stage renal disease. Ribavirin treatment is contraindicated due to its long half life and renal elimination. Thus our patients had not yet been treated for HCV. In the present cross sectional study in a
large cohort of haemodialysis patients, a high prevalence of 7.0% was confirmed. Prevalence data for hepatitis C in the general population of Germany suggest that the rate is between 0.42% and 0.84%. Thus haemodialysis patients in Germany have a 8–16-fold increase in risk.

There are many difficulties in designing hepatitis C prevalence studies. A representative cohort of haemodialysis patients is necessary. In general, the published studies enrolled at least 500 patients. Only few studies were performed in more than 1000 patients. The prevalence of HCV antibodies in the present study was 0–22.5% and for HCV-RNA 0–13.3%. Thus our data suggest that results obtained in 100–400 patients may under or overestimate HCV prevalence. As 2796 patients were enrolled in the present study, the calculated prevalence data for hepatitis C in haemodialysis patients are reliable.

The methods used for detecting hepatitis C can lead to differences in prevalence data. In the early 1990s, HCV antibody testing with only first or second generation ELISA assays were performed. Today, third generation ELISA assays have the highest sensitivity and specificity. Also, viraemia of hepatitis C can now be detected by HCV-RNA measurement. There are different techniques for detection of viral RNA. RT-PCR has the highest sensitivity with a detection limit of 100 genomes/ml. Thus prevalence data for hepatitis C in haemodialysis patients should be obtained using third generation ELISA assays for detection of HCV antibodies and a highly sensitive RT-PCR for HCV-RNA detection. We found hepatitis C viraemia in 4.0% of haemodialysis patients. Compared with ELISA for HCV antibodies, viraemia occurred in 64.9% of the estimated patients. Thus viraemia was lower than expected in the general HCV population where 80% is suggested.

The present study confirmed preliminary data that seroconversion to HCV antibodies does not occur in all haemodialysis patients. Twenty four patients with viraemic hepatitis C where discovered in our cohort of 2796 haemodialysis patients (0.8%) who did not develop HCV antibodies. None of the patients was coinfected with human immunodeficiency virus. Other factors influencing immunosuppression (that is, chemotherapy, immunosuppression due to prior transplantation) were not available. These patients were not detected by routine screening of liver function tests or HCV antibody testing. This is of clinical interest as the route of transmission in haemodialysis patients still remains unclear.

While confirmed that administration of blood products is the main risk factor for developing hepatitis C, But duration of haemodialysis in patients with or without blood transfusions is also an independent risk factor. This patient to patient transmission during haemodialysis has been suggested. Thus RT-PCR of the hepatitis C virus allows the sequencing of the viral genome. Thus in addition to genotyping, detection of quasispecies with high homogeneity in the genome is also possible. In the underlying 111 viraemic patients, no direct patient to patient transmission was observed. As this study was conducted as a single point prevalence study, a negative result does not include nosocomial transmission of the virus over time. Patient to patient transmission was prospectively proved in several incidence studies in haemodialysis patients.

The intensive use of recombinant erythropoietin for control of renal anaemia in the last 10 years has led to reduced blood transfusions. Thus one would suggest lower prevalence data in patients with a duration of haemodialysis of less than five years. Patients in the present study showed a high prevalence of hepatitis C in their first year of haemodialysis with a prevalence of HCV antibodies of 7% and positive HCV-RNA of 3.8%. Thus the pre-haemodialysis status is also of interest. This has not been studied previously. As patients with end stage renal disease who had previously received a renal transplant were included in this study, it may help explain the high prevalence in the first year of haemodialysis, as those patients may have been infected during their first period on haemodialysis.

In conclusion, patients on maintenance haemodialysis treatment are at high risk for hepatitis C infection. HCV-RNA measurement for hepatitis C infection should be carried out as HCV in 0.8% of the study population would not have been detected by measuring HCV antibodies alone. Measurements of liver function remained normal in the majority of hepatitis C patients and was a non-specific marker as elevation does not correlate with viral liver disease. There are still no strict recommendations for HCV management in haemodialysis patients.
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