Regulation of circulating immune complexes by complement receptor type 1 on erythrocytes in chronic viral liver diseases
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Background and aim: Complement receptor type 1 (CR1) is a transmembrane protein, and human erythrocyte CR1 (E-CR1) is involved in the transport of circulating immune complexes (IC) from the circulation to the reticuloendothelial system, including the liver and spleen. In chronic viral hepatitis, increased levels of IC containing viral particles and an association with various extrahepatic manifestations have been reported. However, regulatory mechanisms for IC levels are not fully understood.

Patients/subjects and methods: We analysed IC, E-CR1, and quantitative polymorphism of the CR1 gene in 149 patients with chronic viral liver diseases and in 64 normal blood donors using an enzyme linked immunosorbent assay, radioimmunoassay, and polymerase chain reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism, respectively. We also analysed the effect of CR1 gene polymorphism on IC binding to E-CR1 using molecular methods.

Results: E-CR1 levels in patients with chronic hepatitis C and chronic viral liver diseases as a whole correlated inversely with increased levels of IC. Moreover, significantly high levels of IC were observed in patients with chronic hepatitis C (CH-C) who were homozygous for the E-CR1 low density allele. We also found low levels of E-CR1 in liver cirrhosis and CH-C but not in CH-B. Low levels of E-CR1 in CH-C were observed, even after considering the polymorphism of the CR1 gene. Finally, we demonstrated CR1 gene polymorphism dependent binding of hepatitis virus containing IC.

Conclusions: Our results emphasise the important role of E-CR1 in clearance of IC from the circulation and the acquired, rather than inherited, decrease in E-CR1 in chronic viral liver diseases, especially of type C.
this procedure was less than 0.01%, as measured in a cell coat removed each time. Leucocyte contamination following cold (4°C) phosphate buffered saline (PBS), with the buffy below. Erythrocytes were washed three times in eight volumes were removed and stored at −80°C, respectively, until measurement and the former were established in all patients by liver biopsy using peritoneoscopy.

**Preparation of erythrocytes**

Erythrocytes were isolated from 1.5 ml of human blood by centrifugation at 1000 g. After packing, plasma and buffy coat were removed and stored at −80°C for the analysis described below. Erythrocytes were washed three times in eight volumes of cold (4°C) phosphate buffered saline (PBS), with the buffy coat removed each time. Leucocyte contamination following this procedure was less than 0.01%, as measured in a cell counter (Coulter Electronics, Hialeah, Florida, USA). Packed erythrocytes were measured in a cell counter and finally resuspended at a concentration of 1×10^8/ml.

**Measurement of CR1/E**

Mean numbers of CR1 antigenic sites on erythrocytes were measured using a direct RIA, as described previously by Wilson and colleagues' with a minor modification using anti-CR1 monoclonal antibody 31R (isotype; IgG1 and kappa; Seikagaku-Kogyo Inc., Osaka, Japan), instead of using rabbit anti-CR1 polyclonal antibody. Radiolabelling of the monoclonal antibody was performed with ^125^I (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech KK, Tokyo) using Iodogen (Pierce, Rockford, Illinois, USA) to a specific activity of 1–2 µCi/µg. Assays were performed in duplicate in each patient and control subject, and data were expressed as the means of the assays. Each assay included duplicate twofold dilution series of the radiolabelled monoclonal antibody. Results were analysed by Scatchard plot, as described previously, and expressed as mean number of antigenic sites per erythrocyte (CR1/E). Day to day variability of CR1/E values was assessed by analysing three time points in five controls and the estimated coefficient of variation was 8%.

**Measurement of IC**

IC were measured in serum with an enzyme linked immunosorbent assay using mouse antihuman C3d monoclonal antibody (Quidel, San Diego, California, USA) and peroxidase conjugated antihuman immunoglobulin (Dako, Glostrup, Denmark), according to the method described previously. IC levels were determined according to the standard curve obtained from heat aggregated human immunoglobulin. The assays were performed in duplicate.
Genomic determination of CR1 quantitative polymorphism

Genomic DNA was extracted from the buffy coat stored at −80°C. CR1 quantitative polymorphism on erythrocytes was assayed using polymerase chain reaction (PCR) and Hind III restriction endonuclease digestion, as described previously.14

Analysis of IC binding to erythrocytes

Erythrocytes (5x10^8 in 100 µl of PBS) from healthy donors with CR1 gene polymorphism of HH, HL, and LL, prepared as described above, were incubated in vitro in triplicate at 37°C for 15 minutes with an equal volume of sera of CH-B or CH-C, which contain a high titre of IC. The incubated erythrocytes were washed extensively with PBS and analysed for viral genomes. DNA and RNA bound to erythrocytes were extracted by QIAamp DNA Blood Mini Kit or QIAamp Viral RNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, Tokyo, Japan), respectively, according to the instructions provided by the manufacturer. Hepatitis C virus (HCV) RNA was reverse transcribed to cDNA with M-MLV reverse transcriptase (Gibco BRL, Gaithersburg, Maryland, USA) and random hexamer. Hepatitis B virus (HBV) DNA and cDNA of HCV were amplified with primer sets, as described previously19 20 and detected using ABI GeneAmp 5700 sequence detector (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, California, USA) according to the instructions provided by the manufacturer. The assays were performed in triplicate.

Statistical methods

Data were expressed as median (range) values. Distributions of continuous variables were analysed by the Mann-Whitney U test or Kruskal-Wallis test, as indicated. The relationship between different variables was tested by linear regression analysis; p values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

E-CR1 in controls and various liver diseases

As the anti-CR1 monoclonal antibody 31R recognises a single epitope on the CR1 molecule,14 CR1/E estimated with this monoclonal antibody should be equal to the number of CR1 molecules on an erythrocyte. Namely, CR1/E in controls ranged from 349 to 1000, as reported previously.4 E-CR1 in chronic viral liver diseases and controls were compared (fig 1A). CR1/E was significantly lower in CH-C (median 565 (25/75 percentiles 490–645); p=0.046), LC-B (507 (429–591); p=0.008), and LC-C (505 (455–592); p=0.007) than in controls (634 (570–691)). In contrast, there was no significant difference in CR1/E between CH-B (592 (509–682)) and controls (p=0.163).

Comparison of IC levels in controls and in various liver diseases

IC levels were significantly higher in CH-B (median 8.7 µg/ml (25/75 percentiles 7.5–10.2)), CH-C (10.3 (8.4–13.4)), LC-B (11.8 (7.6–16.0)), and LC-C (12.4 (10.5–15.7)) than in controls (6.3 (4.0–8.1)) (p<0.001 in all pairwise comparisons) (fig 1B). In CH-B, IC levels were significantly lower than in CH-C and LC-C (p=0.005 and p<0.001, respectively). The level of IC in LC-C was significantly higher than in CH-C (p=0.013).

Correlation between IC and E-CR1

Linear regression analysis showed an inverse correlation between IC levels and CR1/E when data from the entire population sample were analysed (patients and controls), data from all patients with liver diseases, and data from those with chronic hepatitis only (CH-B and CH-C) (r=-0.327, p=0.001; r=-0.280, p<0.001; and r=-0.284, p<0.003, respectively) (fig 2A and data not shown). There was also an inverse correlation between the two variables in CH-C (r=-0.325, p=0.004) but not in CH-B, LC-B, LC-C, or controls (r=−0.141, p=0.429; r=0.503, p=0.097; r=0.159, p=0.521; and r=-0.101, p=0.548, respectively) (fig 2B and data not shown).

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>CR1 gene polymorphism</th>
<th>Allelic frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HH</td>
<td>HL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH-B</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>(60.6)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH-C</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>(56.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC-B</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>(65.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LC-C</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>(54.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>(57.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>213</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>(57.7)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Numbers in parentheses represent frequency (%) of each CR1 gene polymorphism. CH-B, chronic hepatitis B; CH-C, chronic hepatitis C; LC-B, liver cirrhosis B; LC-C, liver cirrhosis.

Genotypes: HH, individuals homozygous for the CR1 high density allele; HL, individuals heterozygous for the CR1 gene polymorphism; LL, individuals homozygous for the CR1 low density allele.
Analysis of quantitative polymorphism of the CR1 gene

Three patterns of digested fragments were obtained by PCR-restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) and were expressed as follows: HH, individuals homozygous for the CR1 high density allele; HL, individuals heterozygous for the CR1 gene polymorphism; and LL, individuals homozygous for the CR1 low density allele. The overall allelic frequencies observed were 0.76 and 0.24 for the H and L alleles, respectively, which were also similar to those reported previously for Caucasians and Japanese (table 1).

Comparison of IC levels among quantitative polymorphisms of the CR1 gene

IC levels in CH-C were compared among CR1 quantitative polymorphisms (fig 4). Mean IC levels were highest to lowest in the order of LL, HL, and HH, respectively. IC levels for the genotype LL (13.9 (12.4–16.4) µg/ml) were significantly higher than those for the genotype HH (9.8 (7.6–12.5) µg/ml) (fig 4B, p=0.011). There was no significant difference in IC levels between HH and HL (10.8 (9.3–14.1)) or LL (p=0.113 and p=0.411, respectively). There were also no significant differences in IC levels among CR1 genotypes in CH-B, LC-B, LC-C, and controls (fig 4A and data not shown).

CR1 gene polymorphism dependent binding of IC to erythrocytes

Erythrocytes were incubated with IC and bound viral genomes were quantified and compared in terms of CR1 gene polymorphisms of the blood donors (fig 5). Significant differences in the amount of bound HBV DNA or HCV RNA were noted between HH and LL. These results indicate that the CR1 gene polymorphism is associated with the capacity of erythrocytes for binding to HBV or HCV containing IC.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we investigated levels of E-CR1 and IC, and the prevalence of CR1 gene polymorphisms, in patients with chronic viral liver diseases. We observed a correlation between CR1/E and IC in all samples analysed, in liver disease, and in chronic hepatitis. Moreover, in CH-C, we found this correlation and significantly higher levels of IC in the CR1 genotypes HL and LL, which exhibited lower E-CR1 expression levels than the CR1 genotype HH, indicating an association between CR1 gene polymorphism and levels of IC in CH-C. These observations highlight the important role of E-CR1 in clearance of IC from the circulation in patients with liver diseases, especially of type C, although we cannot rule out the
contribution of Fc receptors on monocytes and other immune cells for clearance of IC.

Previous studies indicated that increased IC is associated with extrahepatic manifestations in type C chronic liver diseases. These include membranous glomerulonephritis, dermatitis, arthritis, and cryoglobulinaemia. These extrahepatic manifestations in type C chronic liver diseases may be, at least in part, associated with the level of E-CR1, which regulates IC levels by removing IC from the circulation. If this is the case, the occurrence of extrahepatic manifestations in type C chronic liver diseases might be associated with polymorphism of the CR1 gene, which is known to be genetically associated with quantitative expression of CR1 on erythrocytes. As these extrahepatic manifestations in type C chronic liver diseases that are obvious in clinical practice, are only occasionally observed. The relationship between these clinical features in patients with type C liver diseases and E-CR1 or the CR1 gene polymorphism is the subject of ongoing work in our department. On the other hand, CR1 allelic frequencies were similar between CH-C and LC-C, although a limited number of LC-C patients were examined. This indicates that CR1 polymorphism does not significantly influence liver disease progression of HCV infection itself.

We also demonstrated low levels of E-CR1 in patients with CH-C, LC-B, and LC-C but not in those with CH-B. The low level of E-CR1 was even significant between controls and CH-C in patients with the CR1 genotypes HH and HL. Reduced E-CR1 expression in CH-C, estimated by flow cytometry using monoclonal antibody E11 that recognises multiple epitopes on CR1 and thus could overestimate the number of E-CR1, has been reported. However, no quantitative data for CR1/E or data on the relationship between CR1 gene polymorphism in liver disease are available. Therefore, our data clearly indicate, for the first time, an obvious decrease in E-CR1 in CH-C, even after considering polymorphism of the CR1 gene, indicating that this has been acquired after the development of liver disease. As has been suggested for SLE and AIDS. Patients with genotype LL, the difference between CH-C and controls was not significant. This was probably due in part to the limited number of patients with this specific genotype enrolled in this study and the variable severity of disease activity or liver damage in these groups of CH-C, which might be associated with increased levels of IC. Alternatively, the relatively lower density of E-CR1 in LL compared with the HH and HL genotypes was associated with the less pronounced decrease in E-CR1 in CH-C patients with genotype LL compared with genotypes HH and HL, as it has been shown that the decrease in E-CR1 was relatively greater in the subpopulation of erythrocytes with a higher density of CR1, namely those with genotype HH.

On the other hand however there was substantial overlap between CH-C and controls, even in each CR1 polymorphism. This seems to be in part due to a modest and variable increase in levels of IC in CH-C (fig 1) relative to SLE in which extensive IC formation and a marked decrease in E-CR1 are often observed. Low E-CR1 numbers have been reported in patients with various diseases, including SLE, autoimmune haemolytic anaemia, and AIDS, in which an immunological disorder, particularly increased levels of IC, is involved in the pathogenesis. Various mechanisms have been proposed for low E-CR1. For example: (i) it has been suggested that the number of E-CR1 and erythrocyte size decrease when E-CR1 transports IC from the circulation to the liver, although the detailed mechanism is still unknown; and (ii) masking of the E-CR1 epitope by IC or by autoantibodies against CR1 have been reported. The latter mechanism is not the case in our study however as binding of the anti-CR1 monoclonal antibody 31R to E-CR1 was not affected by binding of IC to erythrocytes in our assay system (data not shown), as has been described in other diseases. This finding is supported by the evidence that the monoclonal antibody 31R recognises a single epitope on the CR1 molecule that bears at least two C3b and C4b binding sites independent of structural allotypes. Moreover, autoantibodies against CR1 have been reported in a very limited number of cases of autoimmune disease, for example SLE, and incubation of erythrocytes with serum from patients with CH-C did not affect measurement of CR1/E with the particular monoclonal antibody 31R used in our study (data not shown). Therefore, in patients with CH-C and LC-C, the decrease in E-CR1 did not appear to be due to occupancy of E-CR1 by IC or autoantibodies against CR1, but rather to actual loss of the E-CR1 epitope. Whether loss of the E-CR1 epitope is associated with actual loss of the CR1 molecule itself on erythrocytes, or with conformational changes in E-CR1 structure during interaction with and release of IC, remains to be determined.

We also examined and demonstrated the effect of the CR1 gene polymorphism on binding of erythrocytes to IC in patients with HBV and HCV. The results indicate that binding of a virus containing IC to erythrocytes depends on the CR1 gene polymorphism, suggesting an association between E-CR1 and efficiency of IC clearance from the circulation in CH-B and CH-C. However, not all viral particles form IC and not all IC in CH-B and CH-C contain viral particles or viral genomes. Therefore, further investigations are required with sensitive and quantitative methods to clarify this phenomenon. In conclusion, the results of this study emphasise the important role of E-CR1 in the regulation of circulating IC levels in patients with chronic viral liver diseases, in particular of type C. Low expression of E-CR1 in chronic viral liver diseases, especially of type C, appears to be an acquired phenomenon and may be associated with reduced clearance of IC from the circulation in these patients.
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