Argon plasma coagulation therapy for ablation of Barrett’s oesophagus

J Deviere

Endoscopic thermoablation with argon plasma coagulation (APC) for Barrett’s oesophagus is most effective for shorter segments but “buried” glands do occur. APC should remain in the area of experimental clinical studies

Barrett’s oesophagus (BO) is undoubtedly associated with an increased risk of adenocarcinoma of the oesophagus.¹ Now that therapeutic endoscopy techniques have improved, it is therefore tempting to ablate Barrett’s intestinal metaplasia in order to decrease the risk of tumour development. However, ablation therapy is still controversial, especially for patients having no dysplasia, due to: (1) their low risk of cancer; (2) the risk associated with the technique of ablation; and (3) the fact that we do not know if Barrett’s ablation will really decrease the risk of cancer in the long term in an individual patient.

The rationale for current ablative therapy began with the observation that destruction or ablation of intestinal metaplasia associated with acid suppression results in its rapid replacement by a squamous epithelium.² Several groups of investigators have performed clinical studies evaluating the effectiveness of BO ablation associated with proton pump inhibitor (PPI) treatment. For patient having non-dysplastic BO without dysplasia, argon plasma coagulation (APC) has been the most popular technique.³ After 1–6 sessions, a success rate of BO eradication ranging from 42% to 98% was achieved. Chest pain was very frequent after treatment and other complications were unusual, although not negligible since they included strictures,⁴ fever,⁵ bleeding,⁶ or even perforation and death.⁷ More importantly for the long term usefulness of this therapy was the observation of persisting buried intestinal metaplasia under the squamous re-epithelialisation, which was observed in the first clinical trials⁸ with a frequency of 8–30%. Also, at least two cases of adenocarcinoma arising under the squamous re-epithelialisation have been observed after APC,⁹ suggesting that even surveillance (and biopsy targetting) could become more difficult after this therapy. More recent trials⁴ have observed a very low incidence of buried glands, probably because of the use of higher PPI doses and of higher power settings of the APC resulting in a deeper injury, but also at the cost of a higher incidence of strictures. In the current issue of Gut, Basu and colleagues¹⁰ report on a series of 50 patients with BO treated by APC and followed for one year [see page 776]. They used a 30 watt power setting of APC (which corresponds to the low rage of energy) and cleared the BO macroscopically in 68% of cases, but 44% of those successful cases had buried glands at histology. As reported in other studies,¹¹ they found that the length of BO was a predictive factor for persistence after treatment. There was a slight trend for persistent acid reflux in cases where eradication was not achieved but this was difficult to evaluate as PPI doses were adjusted to reach effective acid suppression. At one year, they observed a higher rate of BO recurrence in patients who had reduced their PPI use, suggesting that, if eradication is obtained, it should be followed by lifelong high dose PPI therapy to avoid recurrence.

An interesting finding of this paper was the trend towards more severe biliary reflux among patients with persistent BO at the end of treatment, suggesting that acid reflux is not the only factor to be considered when examining the mechanisms affecting outcome of such therapy. Unfortunately, the authors did not perform bilimetry in all patients and may have compromised their chance of reaching a significant difference in this evaluation of biliary reflux and characterising the “factors determining persistence and recurrence of BO”.

Another major concern of such ablative therapy is cost effectiveness. Even if it was successful in every patient, the cost of a median of three endoscopic therapy sessions is not negligible. To that the cost of potential complication management has to be added, and may be significant, especially when higher power APC is used. Moreover, as suggested in this paper, if high doses of PPI have to be taken for life, this will further enhance the total cost. This might be justified if no further follow up is needed. However, taking into account that in this study after one year less than 50% of patients were clear of BO and that there was no evidences taken for life, that cost of a higher incidence of strictures and other complications associated with a higher rate of complications and not applicable for non-dysplastic BO. The study of Basu et al stresses that ablation of non-dysplastic BO is far from being proved useful for patients and should strictly remain in the area of experimental clinical studies.
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Appendectomy has a protective effect in Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis, and the course of ulcerative colitis seems milder following a history of appendectomy.

The endless “genetics or environment” debate can get rather convoluted, whether about inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) or any other complex disease. With regard to IBD, a series of epidemiological and genetic “breakthroughs” have barely inch us closer to clarifying this issue. Indeed, many decades of research on Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis have so far revealed just one principal gene, at the NOD2 locus on chromosome 16, and one major environmental factor, smoking, in influencing susceptibility to either of these conditions.

Currently, the “Crohn’s disease gene” is the hottest topic but environmental issues keep pushing themselves into the picture. The “protective” effects of smoking in ulcerative colitis have been old news for a while, with its deleterious effects in Crohn’s disease only more recently coming into the fore. But all of the observations on smoking over the past 20 years have not really helped us very much, despite intriguing hypotheses about pathogenesis and treatment.

Now a newer factor, the role of the appendix, is attracting increasing interest. Actually, this factor is not particularly “new” at all, since Gilat et al called attention to it as a possible “childhood factor” in 1987, while innumerable clinical and pathological observations about the appendix in IBD were being published throughout at least the 20 years before. None the less, it was not until the mid-1990s that intensive investigations began focusing quite sharply on the associations between prior appendicectomy and the incidence of IBD—or, more specifically, ulcerative colitis.

Both of the studies on this subject in the current issue (see pages 803 and 808) are the first to report such a significant association between prior appendicectomy and the incidence of ulcerative colitis. Each of these two papers in its own way however sheds new light on the topic. Both are meticulous in the application of multivariate analyses to dissect out confounding effects such as age, sex, and smoking, although the French study included many more factors in its proportional hazards regression model. Both are equally careful to correct for the bias of appendicectomy at the time of diagnosis.

The Australian study is broader in scope in that it includes Crohn’s disease patients and population controls while the French investigators concentrate exclusively on ulcerative colitis patients; but both series are large (over 600 cases) and both comprise ambulatory as well as hospitalised cases. Another welcome feature of both papers is that they use clear and appropriate definitions of disease characteristics and severity, including endoscopic data, therapeutic requirements, and rates of surgery. Only the French study calculates the proportion of years in which disease was active as a measure of severity, and only the Australian study incorporates histology in defining disease extent, as well as specifically excluding surgery for neoplasia as a severity measure; but overall both papers employ very reasonable criteria.

With regard to IBD, a series of papers employ very reasonable criteria. With the statistical observations reported in this issue of Gut are certainly consistent with each other as well as with previous studies, and they undoubtedly offer tantalising clues to the pathogenesis of IBD. But Tantalus never did get to eat or drink the food and water surrounding him, and it seems we too are going to have to wait a lot longer before satisfying our own hunger and thirst for understanding everything about IBD.
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Risk of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma in chronic pancreatitis

N Howes, J P Neoptolemos

Patients with chronic pancreatitis have a markedly increased risk of pancreatic cancer compared with the general population

Chronic pancreatitis has been proposed as an independent risk factor for the development of pancreatic cancer in a number of important studies. Problems with methodology however, such as patient selection, ascertainment bias, small patient numbers, and stringency of patient selection have been major criticisms, leading some authors to believe that the risk of pancreatic cancer in chronic pancreatitis is confounded by other risk factors such as smoking. The study presented by Malka and colleagues in this issue of Gut has addressed some of these considerations, in that it has prospectively followed a cohort of 373 patients with proven chronic pancreatitis, defined by stringent criteria, over a median of 9.2 years [see page 849]. The high incidence of pancreatic calcification (83%), elective surgery (60%), diabetes mellitus (54%), pseudocysts (46%), and venous occlusive disease (21%) leave little room for doubt that this is a true cohort of patients with chronic pancreatitis. Patients with pancreatic cancer were confirmed histologically in all cases and careful consideration was given to patients that were lost to follow up. The results of the study suggest a significantly overall increased risk of pancreatic cancer (standardised incidence ratio 26.7) in chronic pancreatitis.

The majority of patients in this study had alcoholic pancreatitis (85%); patients with chronic pancreatitis however are a heterogeneous group, with chronic pancreatitis of other aetiologies having a much greater risk for the development of pancreatic cancer. Hereditary pancreatitis, an autosomal dominant disease presenting in childhood that is histologically identical to chronic pancreatitis of other aetiologies, has a 53-fold increased risk for the development of pancreatic cancer. The risk of developing pancreatic cancer in chronic pancreatitis is also related to the age of the patient. Whether this is a reflection of the age per se or the duration of chronic pancreatitis is unclear. Lowenfels et al, in a study of 1552 patients with chronic pancreatitis, found a marked independent increase in pancreatic cancer with age such that the relative risk for the development of pancreatic cancer was more than three times greater for a patient over the age of 60 years compared with younger patients. In a similar study in patients with hereditary pancreatitis, the risk of pancreatic cancer was negligible below the age of 40 years but increased greatly with age such that the overall lifetime risk to aged 70 was 40%. Cigarette consumption is an important consideration in any study evaluating cancer risk, particularly in chronic pancreatitis where a high proportion of patients smoke. A number of studies have identified smoking as an independent variable in the development of pancreatic cancer, and demonstrated that there is a relationship to the number of cigarettes consumed. In a multivariate analysis of 497 patients with hereditary pancreatitis, smoking was found to independently double the risk of pancreatic cancer and accounted for approximately 25–30% of all pancreatic tumours. Pancreatic cancer also developed some 20 years earlier in smokers compared with non-smokers, suggesting that smoking compounds the risk of pancreatic cancer in chronic pancreatitis.

Leaving epidemiological studies aside, there is some biological evidence supporting the development of chronic pancreatitis to pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. Ductal dysplasia, which is relatively common in chronic pancreatitis, has been demonstrated in the pancreas of patients with pancreatic cancer. More importantly, there is a stepwise progression from mild to severe dysplasia within the pancreatic ducts suggesting a temporal relationship of these ductal lesions and pancreatic cancer. Molecular analysis of pancreatic ductal lesions, similar to those found in chronic pancreatitis, has demonstrated identical molecular lesions as those found in infiltrating ductal adenocarcinomas of the pancreas. K-ras mutations have been described in ductal lesions with minimal atypia and as such are “early” genetic events in carcinogenesis. Mutations in the p16 gene occur at a later stage in carcinogenesis. Yamano et al showed loss of heterogeneity of the p16 loci in 13% of histologically low grade pancreatic ductal lesions compared with 90% of high grade lesions. Loss of expression of p16, another tumour suppressor gene thought to be important in the development of pancreatic cancer, was found in 60/126 microdissected intraductal lesions. More significantly, loss of expression of p16 was seen in atypical lesions three times more often than non-atypical lesions, suggesting that loss of p16 expression occurs more frequently in higher grade duct lesions. Loss of expression of p16 and another tumour suppressor gene SMAD4 have also been seen in pancreatic ductal lesions, but unlike K-ras and p16, these mutations
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are seen in lesions with significant atypia or carcinoma in situ. A more direct link may lie in the chronic activation of trypsinogen and activation by trypsin of the matrix metalloproteinase matrixins (MMP-7), increased activity of which is now recognised as one of the earliest events in the molecular pathogenesis of pancreatic cancer.

Further confirmation of chronic pancreatitis as a risk factor for pancreatic cancer is important from a clinical prospective, particularly with respect to screening. It is clear that unstructured screening of all patients with chronic pancreatitis is unlikely to be of benefit as existing tests are not sufficiently sensitive or specific to result in patients with cancer being detected with the required positive and negative predictive values to enable screening to be effective. It is apparent however that there are subsets of patients with chronic pancreatitis where their individual risk of pancreatic cancer may be sufficiently high to justify screening. Given also that there appears to be a progression of molecular mutations in patients with developing pancreatic cancer, screening using a combination of imaging and molecular tests may be justified in older (>40–50 years) patients with the non-inherited as well as the inherited forms of chronic pancreatitis.
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