Evidence for altered vascular responses to exogenous endothelin-1 in patients with advanced cirrhosis with restoration of the normal vasoconstrictor response following successful liver transplantation
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Background and aims: There is evidence that dampened responses to endogenous vasoconstrictors contribute to the hyperdynamic circulation that is characteristic of advanced cirrhosis. The aim of this study was to determine whether there is an altered vascular responsiveness to the endothelium derived constricting factor endothelin-1 (ET-1) in patients with decompensated chronic liver disease which might contribute to this abnormal circulatory state, and whether normal endothelin responses are restored following liver transplantation.

Methods: Using forearm plethysmography, we studied the vascular response to an intra-arterial ET-1 infusion in six patients with end stage cirrhosis, before and after liver transplantation, compared with six normal control subjects. Responses to the selective endothelin A (ETA) receptor subtype antagonist, BQ123, were also examined.

Results: The forearm vessels of patients with cirrhosis vasodilated in response to ET-1 infusion while in healthy controls a marked vasoconstriction response was observed (p<0.0001, area under the curve time-blood flow was normal compared with the cirrhosis groups, ANOVA). Prior to commencement of liver transplant surgery, cirrhotic patients were confirmed to have a hyperdynamic circulation with a high cardiac index (4.07 (0.23) l/min/m² (normal range 2.8–3.6 l/min/m²)) and low systemic vascular resistance index (1284 (115) dyn·s·cm⁻²·m⁻² (normal range 1760–2600 dyn·s·cm⁻²·m⁻²)). Following transplantation, normal vasoconstrictor responses to ET-1 were restored. Responses to BQ123 were not different in patients with advanced cirrhosis compared with controls.

Conclusion: In patients with end stage cirrhosis, ET-1 produces vasodilatation at a dose that causes marked vasoconstriction in normal control subjects. This effect is not attributable to impairment of ETA receptor responses. Our findings suggest that altered endothelin responses may contribute to the generalised dilatation of the circulation that occurs in patients with advanced liver disease.

The generalised vasodilatation that occurs in patients with advanced cirrhosis leads to the development of a hyperdynamic circulatory state, characterised by high cardiac output, low vascular resistance, low systemic blood pressure, and marked sympathetic activation. These changes contribute to many of the complications of the condition, including fluid retention with ascites formation, hepatopulmonary syndrome, and the hepatorenal syndrome. However, the mechanisms responsible for this vasodilatation have not been fully defined.

Noradrenaline, angiotensin II, and arginine vasopressin levels are elevated in the circulation of patients with cirrhosis, with the degree of elevation being greatest in those with advanced disease in whom vasodilatation is most prominent. This suggests that vasodilatation is associated with impairment of normal vasoconstrict responses to circulating vasoressors. Endothelin-1 (ET-1) is a 21 amino acid vasoactive peptide that has the capacity to produce both vasoconstricror and vasodilatory responses. Two endothelin receptor subtypes, nominated endothelin-A (ETₐ) and endothelin-B (ETₐ) receptors, have been cloned and characterised to date. ETₐ and ETₐ receptors are present on vascular smooth muscle and binding of endothelin to these receptors leads to vasoconstriction. ETₐ receptors are also found on vascular endothelial cells and can mediate vasodilatation through release of endothelium derived relaxing factors (EDRFs), such as nitric oxide (NO) and vasodilator prostanoids. In normal physiology, basal ET-1 release contributes to maintenance of vascular tone, predominantly through its vasoconstrictor action on vascular smooth muscle, but its effect in cirrhotic patients who have a vasodilated circulation is unclear.

Recent work in patients with well compensated cirrhosis has demonstrated reduced vasoconstrictor responses to this peptide. However, its effects in patients with advanced cirrhosis, with the most marked circulatory disturbances, are unknown. To test the hypothesis that there is an altered vascular response to ET-1, which may contribute to vasodilatation in advanced cirrhosis, we measured the in vivo responses to an intra-arterial infusion of ET-1 in the forearm vascular bed of patients with advanced cirrhosis before and after liver transplantation, and in healthy control subjects. To explore the mechanisms responsible for these responses we determined the contribution of the ETₐ receptor to maintenance of vascular tone in patients awaiting liver transplantation.
liver transplantation by studying the effects of the selective ETA antagonist BQ123.13

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects

Twelve patients with advanced cirrhosis requiring liver transplantation and 12 age and sex matched healthy control subjects took part in the study. In the first part (protocol 1), peripheral vascular responses to intra-arterial ET-1 were examined in six of the cirrhosis patients (cirrhosis group 1) and in six control subjects (control group 1). Five of the six cirrhosis patients (cirrhosis group 1) were subsequently restudied following successful liver transplantation. The sixth patient declined the invitation to be restudied following his transplant. The timing of the repeat study varied from 47 to 329 days post transplant (mean 186 (47) days). In a separate series of experiments (protocol 2), the contribution of the ETA receptor to maintenance of forearm vascular tone was examined in the remaining six cirrhotic patients (cirrhosis group 2). This was achieved by measuring forearm blood flow responses to an intra-arterial infusion of the selective ETA receptor antagonist BQ123. Comparisons were made with a second control group of six age and sex matched individuals (control group 2).

In all cirrhosis patients, the diagnosis was established on the basis of liver histology or the presence of consistent clinical and radiological findings. At the time of writing, all of the patients have undergone successful liver transplantation with the exception of one patient who remains on the transplant waiting list. All cirrhosis and control subjects were non-smokers and patients had not consumed alcohol for at least six months prior to the study as this has known depressive effects on vascular reactivity.14 In the control subjects, liver disease was excluded on the basis of clinical history and examination. All subjects were instructed to fast overnight and to abstain from caffeine containing beverages for at least 12 hours prior to the study. None of the control participants took regular medication. To avoid unacceptable clinical deterioration, no changes were made to the current drug therapy of any of the cirrhotic patients, but to avoid acute dosing effects, all medications were withheld on the morning of the study. All the cirrhosis patients had a history of ascites and, with the exception of one patient (in cirrhosis group 2), were on diuretic therapy (spironolactone 50–150 mg/day; frusemide 20–120 mg/day; amiloride 20 mg/day). Four patients were taking non-selective beta blockers (two in each of the cirrhosis groups) although the dosage was relatively low in three patients (40 mg/day or less). One patient was on isosorbide mononitrate (cirrhosis group 1). The remaining medications (and number of patients in parentheses) were as follows: lactulose (7), proton pump inhibitors (7), vitamin K (4), norfloxacin (2), neomycin (1), ursodeoxycholic acid (1), and lamivudine (1). When re-examined post transplant, all patients were receiving triple immunosuppressive therapy with prednisolone, azathioprine, and either cyclosporin or tacrolimus, but these were all withheld on the day of testing until completion of the study. Written informed consent was obtained in all instances. The study had the approval of the institutional ethics committee (Alfred Hospital, and Austin and Repatriation Medical Centre) and adhered to the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Measurement of forearm blood flow

All studies were performed in the morning and took place in a quiet room maintained at a temperature of 21–24°C. Subjects were rested supine throughout the entire study. The brachial artery of the non-dominant arm was cannulated using a full aseptic technique using a 3 French needle (Cook Radial Catheterisation Set; Cook, QLD, Australia) under local anaesthetic (1% lignocaine; AstraZeneca, Sydney, Australia). The arterial cannula was attached to a pressure transducer (Biosensors International Pty Ltd, Singapore) and patency maintained with heparinised saline (Baxter, Toongabbie, Australia). As neither ET-1 nor BQ123 had been infused previously in patients with advanced cirrhosis, blood pressure and heart rate were monitored closely throughout the study in the non-cannulated arm using a Dinamap automatic blood pressure recorder (Critikon Inc.; Tamp, Florida, USA). Forearm blood flow was monitored for at least 30 minutes after brachial artery catheterisation and a stable baseline obtained prior to drug infusion.

Forearm blood flow was measured using the technique of forearm plethysmography, as described previously.15 A sealed, alloy filled, double stranded strain gauge was applied to the widest aspect of the forearm and attached to a plethysmograph (Medasonic; Mountain View, California, USA). Recordings were made for 10 seconds out of every 20 seconds. Venous outflow was occluded at the upper arm with a rapid cuff inflator (E20, Hokanson Inc; Bella, Western Australia) at a pressure of 40–50 mm Hg. Hand circulation was excluded prior to recordings by another cuff manually inflated to 200 mm Hg. At each time point, an average of at least three forearm blood flow readings were taken approximately one minute after wrist cuff inflation.

Study design

As outlined above, the two protocols were applied as separate stand alone studies to two distinct groups of cirrhotics and controls (protocols 1 and 2). In the first protocol (protocol 1), changes in forearm blood flow were measured in response to intra-arterial infusion of ET-1 (Clinalfa, AG, Läufelfingen, Switzerland) (5 pmol/min given at 1 ml/min for 60 minutes). The dose of ET-1 was based on previously published work demonstrating approximately 50% reduction in forearm blood flow after 60 minutes of ET-1 infusion in normal healthy volunteers.19 Subjects studied under protocol 2 (control and cirrhosis group 2) were infused with the brachial artery with the selective ETA receptor antagonist BQ123 (10 nmol/min; 2 ml/min, coinfused with saline at 4 ml/min for 60 minutes). In both protocols, measurements of forearm blood flow were taken at baseline and at five minute intervals after brachial artery catheterisation. Forearm blood flow was expressed as percentage change in flow from baseline (%ΔBF).

Invasive haemodynamics

In all but one of the patients with cirrhosis, invasive measurements of cardiac output (CO), central venous pressure (CVP), and right atrial pressure (RAP) were undertaken immediately prior to transplantation using a pulmonary artery catheter. Cardiac index (CI) was calculated as the quotient of CO (l/min) over body surface area (m²). Systemic vascular resistance (SVRI) was derived according to the formula: SVRI = (79.9 × (MAP – CVP)/CI) (normal value 1760–2600 dyn×s/cm²/m²).

Plasma assays of ET-1

An arterial sample was taken from the brachial artery of patients with cirrhosis and from healthy control subjects undergoing the forearm studies. Blood was collected into tubes containing EDTA and immediately centrifuged at 2000 g for 10 minutes. Plasma was removed and stored at −80°C for subsequent analysis. Endothelin was extracted by passage of each sample through a C2 minicolumn (RP1903; Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, UK); free endothelin was then quantified by radioimmunoassay. This was achieved using commercially available antibodies and [125I]ET-1 in a competitive double antibody assay (RPA545; Amersham...
Pharmacia Biotech). Sample counting and standard curve interpolation were performed on a RIAStar gamma counter (model A545; Packard Instrument Co, Illinois, USA). Cross reactivities of the endothelin assay were endothelin-1 (100%), endothelin-3 (0%), and big endothelin-1 (189%).

Statistical analysis
Mean forearm blood flow for each recording period during drug infusion was calculated and expressed as a percentage of blood flow at baseline. The collection of points was compared using two way ANOVA with and without repeated measures, as appropriate. The area under each individual blood flow versus time curve was also calculated, and the mean value of the area under the curve (AUC) for each group was compared using paired and unpaired Student’s t tests, as appropriate. Data were expressed as mean (SEM) values, and comparisons were made using paired and unpaired Student’s t tests and ANOVA, as appropriate. A p value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS
Subjects
The characteristics of each study group, including the causes of liver disease, are detailed in table 1. Each group of patients with cirrhosis was well matched for age and sex with their corresponding control groups, with no overall difference in mean age between each group (p = 0.66, ANOVA) (table 1). Cirrhosis patients had evidence of advanced disease (Child-Pugh grade C), with both groups showing similar disease severity, as measured by the Child-Pugh score, serum albumin, bilirubin, and prothrombin time.

Haemodynamic parameters and baseline forearm blood flow
Baseline forearm blood flow was comparable between all subjects, with no significant differences between the control and cirrhosis groups (table 2). Baseline heart rate (HR) in the cirrhosis groups was not significantly different from controls, and although there was a trend to a reduced MAP by cuff measurement in the cirrhotic groups, this did not achieve statistical significance (p = 0.16, ANOVA).

A hyperdynamic circulation was confirmed via a pulmonary artery catheter in cirrhosis patients, with a high resting mean CO (cirrhosis group 1: 8.60 (0.54) l/min; cirrhosis group 2: 7.76 (0.75) l/min (normal range 3.5–5.5 l/min)) and a low mean SVRI (cirrhosis group 1: 1119 (89.9); cirrhosis group 2: 1481 (207) (normal range 1760–2600 dyn x s/cm²/m²)).

Responses to ET-1 infusion: protocol 1
The response to infused ET-1 was significantly different in patients with cirrhosis compared with controls (fig 1A), with an increase in forearm flow in the cirrhosis group observed over 60 minutes compared with a reduction in flow in the control group (p < 0.0001, cirrhosis v control responses, two way ANOVA). Measurement of mean AUC under each response curve for the cirrhosis group showed an overall vasodilatation response compared with a vasoconstriction response in the control group (p < 0.0001, AUCs of cirrhosis v control responses; fig 1B). In keeping with the subsystemic
dose of ET-1 used, HR and blood pressure did not alter significantly in either group during infusion (data not shown).

Following liver transplantation, baseline blood pressure and HR were not significantly different in patients compared with controls but blood pressure was higher than pretransplant levels ($p < 0.05$). Baseline forearm blood flow was not different from pretransplant and control levels ($p = 0.61$). The blood flow response to infused ET-1 post transplant reverted to one of vasoconstriction, resembling that of control subjects (post transplant $\nu$ control; $p = 0.87$, two way ANOVA). When the AUCs for each response curve were compared (fig 1B), there was no significant difference between the post transplant value and that of the control group ($p > 0.05$). Post OLTx, post transplant.

**Responses to BQ123 infusion: protocol 2**

Infusion of BQ123 increased forearm blood flows in both cirrhosis and control subjects (fig 2A). There was no difference in the degree of vasodilatation observed (cirrhosis $\nu$ control; $p = 0.89$, two way ANOVA) and no overall difference in the AUC (fig 2B) between groups ($p = 0.94$).

**Blood assays**

There was no significant difference in arterial plasma endothelin levels between patients with cirrhosis and control subjects (cirrhosis: 7.81 (1.24) fmol/ml, $n = 10$; controls: 7.34 (1.47) fmol/ml, $n = 7$; $p = 0.81$).

**DISCUSSION**

Endothelin-1 is a potent vasoactive peptide produced by the vascular endothelium. It acts predominantly as a paracrine vasoconstrictor, regulating vascular tone through its action on ETA and ET B receptors on adjacent vascular smooth muscle. However, ET-1 has also been reported to exert a vasodilatory influence via ET B receptors on the endothelium, by triggering release of EDRFs such as NO. In health, the constrictor effect of ET-1 on vascular smooth muscle predominates over endothelium dependent vasodilatation, and administration of ET-1 to normal subjects produces significant vasoconstriction, similar in degree to that.
observed in the current study. In a recent study in compensated cirrhotics who were not vasodilated, the normal vasoconstriction response to ET-1 was found to be preserved, although blunted, compared with that of controls. In contrast, we found that in our patients with advanced cirrhosis and a hyperdynamic circulation, ET-1 infusion caused significant peripheral vasodilatation. This indicates that in patients with advanced liver disease and more intense activation of vasoconstrictor systems, endothelin may lead to vasodilatation as a result of enhancement of ETb receptor mediated vasodilatation, an effect that appears to outweigh the ETa mediated vasoconstriction response.

This proposed mechanism is supported by demonstration of elevated NO levels and enhanced vasodilatation in response to a number of endothelin and NO dependent vasodilators in the forearm vasculature of patients with cirrhosis. ET-1 induced vasodilatation has been recently demonstrated in the pulmonary circulation of experimental animals with portal hypertension. In this setting, vasodilatation was associated with increased levels of lung endothelial nitric oxide synthase (eNOS) and increased NO production. The role of the ETB receptor in mediating this increase in eNOS expression is suggested by the observation that, in cell culture, ETB receptor blockade prevents endothelin mediated increases in eNOS expression.

Another possible mechanism is a dampening of ETA receptor mediated vasoconstriction responses so that ETB mediated vasodilatation becomes the predominant response to infused ET-1. If this were the case, vasodilatation following blockade of the ETa receptor would be expected to be reduced in cirrhosis. This response was not different in the two groups studied, suggesting that alterations in ETa receptor responses are not primarily responsible for the abnormal vasodilatory response observed to infused ET-1 in cirrhotic patients pretransplantation.

Forearm blood flow in our patients with advanced liver failure was found to be normal at baseline. However, at the time of liver transplantation, a markedly hyperdynamic and vasodilated circulation was confirmed in this patient group, indicating that other vascular beds remained dilated. Previous workers have also shown a normal forearm blood flow in this setting. In advanced cirrhosis, vasodilatation leads to compensatory activation of several vasoconstrictor systems and elevation of circulating levels of vasopressors such as angiotensin II, noradrenaline, and arginine vasopressin. Our findings suggest that this activation maintains normal flow in the forearm (rather than inducing vasoconstriction) but is insufficient to overcome vasodilatation in other vascular beds.

Elevated levels of ET-1 have been described in the systemic venous and splanchic venous circulations of patients with cirrhosis. Less is known about the levels of ET-1 in the forearm arterial circulation of these patients, although there is evidence of elevated levels in blood obtained from the femoral artery in cirrhosis. In the present study, we observed no significant elevation in plasma ET-1 levels in forearm arterial blood from our patients with cirrhosis. The reason for such a discrepancy is unclear, although it may in part relate to the different vascular site from which blood was obtained as well as the choice and specificity of the immunoassay used in the present study. It may also indicate that there is enhanced pulmonary clearance of ET-1 in advanced cirrhosis, possibly as a result of upregulation of the pulmonary ETb receptor. Importantly, basal flow and ET-1 concentrations in our patients were the same as in controls and therefore the amount of ET-1 (infused plus background) delivered to the forearm in the two groups was identical. Thus it is likely that the marked difference in blood flow response to ET-1 observed in our study reflects altered vascular activity, rather than differences in ET-1 delivery.

In conclusion, we have shown that infusion of endothelin-1 (ET-1) induces vasodilatation in the forearm vasculature of patients with advanced cirrhosis at a dose that causes marked vasoconstriction in normal subjects. Furthermore, in keeping with resolution of the vasodilatation that has been described in a number of vascular beds post transplant, we have demonstrated that the normal vasoconstrictor response to exogenous ET-1 is restored following successful liver transplantation. These findings suggest a possible role for ET-1 in the pathophysiology of the systemic vasodilatation of cirrhosis.
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