Osteoporosis and liver disease: additional reasons for coeliac disease screening

We read with great interest the recently published guidelines on the management of coeliac disease and inflammatory bowel disease. Gut 2000;46(suppl HI):1–8. However, we would like to add a few words of comment.

Providing it isn’t libellous or obscene, it will be posted within seven days. You can find the paper that interests you, and send your response via email by clicking on “read letters” on our homepage.

The editors will decide as before whether to also publish it in a future paper issue.
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Caequelin induced pancreatitis

We have read with interest the article by Fossard and others (Gut 2002;50:78–83) entitled “Both thermal and non-thermal stress protect against caeulein induced pancreatitis and prevent trypsinogen activation in the pancreas”. We have a few comments with regard to the interpretation of the data that were obtained in this experiment.

Previous experimental work by Fossard and others have implicated HSP70 as playing a protective role in caeulein induced acute pancreatitis. In the present study Fossard et al. showed that thermal and non-thermal stress induced by injection of the β agonist isoproterenol upregulated HSP70 in the pancreas which is associated with amelioration of subsequently induced caeulein pancreatitis. The authors hypothesise that the protective effects on pancreatitis severity caused by thermal and non-thermal stress may be mediated by HSP70. We believe however that both heat shock stress and non-thermal stress can stimulate several other anti-inflammatory pathways which were not discussed in this study, all of which could be alternative explanations for the observations that were made.

It is widely established that catecholamines, both endogenously released during heat shock stress or by injection of isoproterenol, can influence activation of inflammatory pathways during inflammation and infection (reviewed by van der Poll). Evidence exists that catecholamines exert anti-inflammatory effects on a number of host mediator systems, such as the cytokine network and neutrophils, both of which are implicated in the pathogenesis of acute pancreatitis and the pancreatitis associated systemic inflammatory response syndrome. Catecholamines, either endogenously produced or exogenously administered, may act to dampen excessive pro-inflammatory pathways by mechanisms not related to enhanced production of heat shock proteins. Firstly, catecholamines exert anti-inflammatory effects on the cytokine network by inhibiting the production of proinflammatory cytokines such as tumour necrosis factor (TNF), interleukin (IL)-1β, IL-12, and interferon γ (IFN-γ), of which TNF and IL-1β have been implicated as mediators that play a proinflammatory role in acute pancreatitis. Secondly, in animal models of endotoxaemia, pretreatment with isoproterenol enhances the production of the anti-inflammatory cytokine IL-10 which has been shown to be protective in acute pancreatitis. Thirdly, in endotoxemia models, β adrenergic stimulation results in reduction of levels of CC chemokines. Fourthly, neutrophil migration to the pancreas, one of the hallmark signs of acute pancreatitis, towards chemotactic stimuli such as C5a and lipopoly saccharide (LPS) is reduced by administration of β agonists but also affects LPS induced neutrophil degranulation in vivo. Fifthly, with regard to the hypothesis that HSP70 prevents the activation of trypsinogen in the pancreas, it must be noted that recent evidence suggests that neutrophils and possibly cytokines can also influence trypsinogen activation. Therefore, the reduction in trypsinogen activation shown in their study might be unrelated to HSP expression and may be explained by the reduction of inflammation due to β adrenergic effects.

Therefore, we believe that the conclusion by Fossard et al. that the protective effects of thermal and non-thermal stress might be mediated by HSP70 is only one possible explanation and that their observations might also be explained by the immunomodulatory effects of catecholamines.
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Pathology and cost effectiveness of endoscopy surveillance for premalignant gastric lesions

We read with great interest the article by Whiting et al (Gut 2002;50:378–81). The Birmingham experience shows how the prevalence of gastric cancers detected at an early stage is significantly higher in the endoscopically surveyed population than in non-surveyed patients. As a result, this study demonstrates that, in the secondary prevention of gastric malignancy, the “once in a lifetime” strategy (suggested for colorectal cancer) is not cost effective while repeated endoscopies (in selected patients) seem most appropriate. This conclusion however raises two cardinal questions. Firstly, are there “special” requirements (that is, a protocol of gastric biopsy sampling) to be satisfied when carrying out the upper endoscopy procedure? Secondly, are there evidence-based criteria for selecting patients to be included in surveillance programmes?

The authors do not provide detailed information on the number of biopsy samples obtained per endoscopy. We believe that a standardised protocol of biopsy sampling is a leading part of any upper endoscopy procedure, and mucosal “abnormalities” should be considered the targets of additional sampling. Taking into account that 46% of the cancers referred to in Whiting’s study were discovered within 13 months from the second last procedure, we agree with the authors who considered these cancers endoscopically missed.

The second point of concern is the rationale for a surveillance protocol. Any cost effective strategy of secondary cancer prevention requires the risk of cancer to be higher within subjects undergoing surveillance than in the general population. In the Birmingham study, such a prerequisite does not seem to have been assumed in the study design. Endoscopy surveillance definitively included the whole spectrum of abnormalities described in gastric carcinogenesis, from regenerative changes (with a nearly null cancer risk) to non-invasive neoplasia (which carries, by definition, predisposition for progression to invasion and metastasis). As a consequence of (i) cancers missed at endoscopy and (ii) shortness of the follow up period, the results shown in their table 3 may be misleading. The association of intestinal metaplasia (regardless of its histochemical phenotype) with the highest risk of cancer evolution is not only biologically questionable but, and this is even worse, it may result in inappropriate patient management. While intestinal metaplasia represents the most common background of stomach cancer, “gastric intestinalisation” per se does not carry the phenotypic and genotypic alterations pre-curing invasive neoplasia. Most importantly, the high prevalence of metaplastic lesions within subjects who will never develop adenocarcinoma exclude (non-extensive) intestinal metaplasia as the proper target of surveillance protocols.

In the natural history of epithelial tumours, the term dysplasia identifies a lesion that carries biological alterations comparable to those of full fledged cancer but lacking stromal invasion. Recentely, the term “dysplasia” has been replaced by “non-invasive neoplasia”, which more clearly identifies such a lesion as the most advanced alteration antecedent to invasive adenocarcinoma. Since 1985, we have prospectively followed up a

Table 1 Invasive cancer detected during follow up of non-invasive gastric neoplasia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Histology at enrolment</th>
<th>Gastric cancer detected after follow up longer than 12 months</th>
<th>Gastric cancer detected within 12 months from initial diagnosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Follow up (months)</td>
<td>EGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low grade non-invasive neoplasia (99 cases)</td>
<td>48 (38–80)</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High grade non-invasive neoplasia (25 cases)</td>
<td>30 (13–72)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>33 (13–80)</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EGC, early gastric cancer (that is, UICC pathological stage I); AGC, advanced gastric cancer (that is, UICC pathological stages II–IV); GC-nos, gastric cancer of unknown pathological stage.

*Mean (range).
series of patients with low and high grade gastric non-invasive neoplasia. The follow up schedule was differentiated a priori, depending on the cancer risk presumably associated with each grade of lesion. The number of patients enrolled in each diagnostic category, follow up time, and number of cancers detected are shown in Table 1. It is worth emphasizing the high prevalence of early gastric cancers (77%) among the 30 cases of cancer detected in our prospective follow up study. The 19 cases of cancer detected in the 11 cases detected within one year from the endoscopy frequently coexisted with advanced cancer. Both of these observations could represent valid foundations in drawing a surveillance programme aimed at secondary gastric cancer prevention.
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Adult height in patients with early onset of Crohn’s disease

Alemzadeh et al (Gut 2002;51:26–9) reported that adult height, compared with the general Dutch population, was reduced by a mean of 0.9 SDs (95% confidence interval –1.55 to –0.28) in 15 Crohn’s patients with prepubertal onset of symptoms. However, the calculated deviation from “target” height (based on parental height) did not reach statistical significance and the authors have speculated that familial short stature, and not Crohn’s disease, may be a factor in this group of patients. Furthermore, no height deficit was found in those with postpubertal onset of symptoms. We are currently undertaking a review of those with childhood onset diseases attending our paediatric and adult IBD clinics. In the majority of cases parental height was measured by trained auxologists, although in some cases were not available in the case notes to discern the method of measurement and may therefore have included self reported parental heights. We calculated SDS scores from the revised British Longitudinal Standards using the method described by Alemzadeh et al (mean British male adult height of 176.0 cm (SD 6.5) and female adult height of 163.6 cm (SD 5.7)). “Target height” was calculated for male patients by (paternal height + maternal height)/2 and for female patients by (maternal height + paternal height)/2. “Prepubertal” children were defined as male and females with onset of symptoms at less than 13 and 11 years, respectively. We defined the upper limit of “postpuberty” (14 versus 22 years) by the age of onset of symptoms as a proxy for puberty, and may therefore have included self reported early onset of symptoms. This is in contrast with the findings of Alemzadeh et al and may be because of differences in the upper age limit of “postpuberty” (16 versus 22 years).

Growth failure remains a concern to our British Crohn’s patients and although the mean deficit of 5.6 cm from target height may be considered by some to be clinically inconsequential this includes a subset with much more significant growth impairment. A better understanding of the mechanisms underlying growth failure is required to determine whether there is an identifiable group of children that may benefit from early and more intensive immunosuppression and/or nutritional therapy.

We agree with Alemzadeh et al that only larger (population based) studies will have the power to determine the effect of factors such as site of disease activity and therapeutic intervention.
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Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Final height of Caucasian Crohn’s patients with pre and postpubertal onset of symptoms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>Prepubertal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>n</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;16 years at last height</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS</td>
<td>&lt;0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deficit (cm)</td>
<td>-5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;18 years at last height</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SDS</td>
<td>-0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deficit (cm)</td>
<td>-5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data are mean (95% confidence interval)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Underdiagnosis of hereditary haemochromatosis: reflects lack of clinical not biochemical penetrance

In their paper, Ryan et al (Gut 2002; 51:108–12) reported that 78% of men (mean age 42 years) and 36% of women (mean age 39 years) who were identified to be C282Y homozygotes by predictive genetic testing of family members had an elevated ferritin, as defined in insulin-like growth factor-1 which is independent of malnutrition. Gut 2000; 46:695–700.

R A van Hogezaand
Department of Gastroenterology & Hepatology, Building 1, C4, F16, Leiden University Medical Centre, P.O. Box 9600, 2300 RC Leiden, the Netherlands; R.A.vanHogezaand@lumc.nl

References


Author's reply

I think that this study is clear. We agree with the authors that the differences are possibly caused by the other “end point” of puberty and the small population in both studies. Another difference could be that the authors did not calculate the corrected height SDS (height SDS target height SDS); this may be lower for the prepubertal group compared with the postpubertal group. Furthermore, they used another formula for target height. This formula does not include a correction for secular trend, which underestimates the deficit. Also, there is no information on the effect of corticosteroid use.

R A van Hogezaand
Department of Gastroenterology & Hepatology, Building 1, C4, F16, Leiden University Medical Centre, P.O. Box 9600, 2300 RC Leiden, the Netherlands; R.A.vanHogezaand@lumc.nl
by early neoplastic lesions of the gastrointestinal tract. Only then will we be able to translate such events into molecular terms.

Prof. Johann Strauss has succeeded in orchestrating not only fiddlers but also workers engaged in the microscopic diagnosis of gastrointestinal epithelial neoplasia.

C A Rubio
Gastrointestinal and Liver Pathology, Research Laboratory, Department of Pathology, Karolinska Institute and Hospital, 171 76 Stockholm, Sweden; Carlos.Rubio@karolinska.se
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BOOK REVIEWS

Dynamic Radiology of the Abdomen: Normal and Pathologic Anatomy, 5th edn

This is the fifth edition of Dynamic Radiology of the Abdomen: Normal and Pathologic Anatomy, a book that has become essential reading for all those aiming to be expert at abdominal imaging. Previous editions have been published in Italian, Japanese, Portuguese, and Spanish.

The continued aim of the author is to present a systematic application of anatomical and dynamic principles to aid our understanding of the characteristic appearances and modes of spread of intra-abdominal disorders. Dissections and cross sectional views of cadavers are used in conjunction with a full range of imaging modalities, including plain radiographs, contrast studies, computed tomography (CT), ultrasound, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and endoscopic, laparoscopic, and intraoperative ultrasound.

This edition has been extensively updated with six new chapters, 180 additional pages, and more than 520 new illustrations. Subjects that are included for the first time include clinical embryology in relation to disorders that become clinically apparent in the adult, TNM staging of gastrointestinal cancers, and the manifestations of free intraperitoneal air. There are now 11 other contributing authors, but Morton Meyers is solely responsible for about three quarters of the book and many of the of the cases illustrated are reproduced from his own numerous publications.

This book is well written, superbly illustrated, and comprehensively referenced. The illustrations, particularly the extensive use of cross sectional spiral CT images, make it easier for the reader to understand the complex anatomical arrangement of the abdominal organs and spaces and how they are modified by disease processes. The normal and pathological anatomy of the different parts of the gastrointestinal tract, and the organs of the abdomen, and the extraperitoneal spaces is described in detail. There are excellent descriptions of the intraperitoneal spread of infections and of making a chapter on internal abdominal hernias. Pancreatic disorders and their mode of spread are described in detail, the diverse locations of pancreatic pseudocysts are well illustrated.

CT is now used to show the many places where free intraperitoneal air can collect in the abdomen. In recent years Cho and Baker have used this information to reassess the radiological appearances of free intraperitoneal air on the supine abdominal radiograph and have also described a number of new signs. They have brought this information together in their chapter and the result is an excellent and well illustrated contribution, providing information on an important subject that is not widely available elsewhere.

This edition of Dynamic Radiology of the Abdomen lives up the reputation established by previous editions. It should be of interest to all doctors who wish to learn more about the abdomen, particularly radiologists, oncologists, and surgeons. Radiologists who perform any type of abdominal imaging should find this latest edition invaluable and registrs training in radiology should become acquainted with it early in their career. In the preface to the first edition, Lloyd Nyhus commented on the innovative nature of this work and stated that it was an important reading source; that likely that he would be equally enthusiastic about the latest edition.

D Nolan

Artificial Nutrition Support in Clinical Practice, 2nd edn

The provision of nutritional support for patients in hospital and in the community has derived from a heightened awareness of the impact of malnutrition on patient outcome and quality of life. Attention has focused on the prevalence of disease related malnutrition, both in terms of weight loss and weight for height, but also in terms of micronutrient deficiencies. Drives to enhance awareness of undernutrition in the community have followed efforts to improve nutrition in hospitals through food improvement, supplement provision, and artificial feeding. The need for routine nutritional assessment in clinical practice, especially in chronic disease, and its careful documentation has become ever more appreciated, particularly among gastroenterologists.

It is widely acknowledged that the approach to nutritional care is best made via a multiprofessional team which combines the skill and knowledge of dieticians, nutrition nurse specialists, pharmacists, and doctors. Teams like this are the key to excellent patient centred nutritional care and lead to overall hospital strategies for nutritional support which seamlessly combine, in a cost effective way, the use of food and supplements with artificial feeding and the nutrients by the simplest safest route acceptable to the patient. Such teams need to develop more in the community too.

As the more enlightened hospitals form teams, a demand develops for a comprehensive textbook. This one is widely seen as one of the best and it now that the collaborative approach of the second edition. It is an edited collation in which British authors dominate but it also includes contributions from key players from continental Europe. It also describes what goes on in the more Anglophone corners of ESPEN. As such it unsurprisingly contains some excellent chapters and others which are not always so good. However, it is comprehensive, with a sensible mixture of the practical and the theoretical, the general and the more specialist. I would recommend it as a sound basis and as a useful resource for further reading.

J Powell-Tuck
Dyspepsia

We all have a word of advice and the general practitioner is a handy person to give it to. Getting it packaged right can be a challenge, not least when the front cover states that it is an “Indispensable guide to clinical practice”.

In this unusual transatlantic collaboration, two distinguished gastroenterologists have made a worthy effort to reach out and have successfully condensed most gastroenterological scenarios faced by the jobbing clinician into an attractive and accessible package. This little book packs a lot—while seeming to be a handy reference it is in fact a repository of facts and information and I confess to dipping into it often to confirm matters or to cull material for a presentation. For example, a map indicating the worldwide prevalence of Helicobacter pylori and tracings illustrating lower oesophageal pressures during swallowing enliven concepts glossed over in other publications.

None the less, the pedigree of the authors does tell on them in some of the sections. Hardly has the invisible ink (from the primary care practitioner’s viewpoint) dried on the Rome II definitions before being exorbed in the chapter on “Functional dyspepsia” to differentiate, on clinical grounds, ulcer-like and dysmotility-like dyspepsia. Although the authors have also described a number of new dysmotility agents. In real life, successful management, one fears, is more likely to be related to serendipity than acumen but there can be no harm in thinking constructively. The “Functional dyspepsia” chapter did rather throw me: the first line defines it as discomfort or pain centred in the oesophagus; luckily I kept at it and further down the page was informed that this only applies where “common or uncommon structural, biochemical or infectious agents have been excluded”. Actually, this angst, and that of further subdivisions of functional dyspepsia, apply only to those who have heard of Rome II. Most primary care practitioners can thus relax. So can our gastroenterology colleagues who might otherwise be requested to confirm an exact diagnosis of functional dyspepsia in younger patients. Avoiding endoscopy here also avoids the occasional opprobrium; alas, the diagnosis of functional dyspepsia must remain in the mind rather than in the investigation suite.

This book is a little book which one needs to receive gratis although I do recommend its purchase if necessary. It is eminently suited to distribution through the good will
and thoughtfulness of a pharmaceutical company and I trust that someone will come forward to do this. I do not plan to part with my copy, despite continuing references to prokinetic drugs which are no longer, or were never, available in the UK.

P Hungin

Reconstructive Surgery of the Esophagus


Oesophageal disease brings together many disciplines within the field of gastroenterology and the book is aimed primarily at the specialist oesophageal surgeon. Reconstruction of the oesophagus follows resection for benign or malignant disease is one of the most challenging surgical procedures currently performed, and the oesophagus being such an unforgiving organ increases that challenge. Rather surprisingly, this is the first truly authoritative and evidence based volume to be devoted completely to this topic.

The author was trained at the University of Chicago under doyens of oesophageal surgery including Skinner, Belsey, and DeMeester, and has continued the tradition of that fine school. He is therefore well qualified to write this specialist tome. The historical chapter regales the courage of the pioneers of oesophageal surgery in the first half of the twentieth century, in which great British oesophageal surgeons including Grey Turner, Ivor Lewis, Allison, and Belsey are afforded due prominence. Following general sections on the philosophy of and indications for oesophageal replacement and the choices available of the oesophageal substitute and route to bridge the gap, a chapter is then devoted to each of the principal reconstructive techniques using stomach, colon, and jejunum, as well as the use of prosthetic tubes. Each of the chapters goes into considerable detail about relevant surgical anatomy, physiology, operative technique, and complications and their management.

Reconstructive Surgery of the Esophagus is clearly and concisely written. While it draws heavily on the author’s considerable experience, one of the attractions of this book is that it is clearly evidence based, and as well as being liberally referenced, the key references and conclusions are highlighted in tabular form in each chapter. Another strong point for its predominantly surgical audience is the wealth of line drawings, which clearly depict surgical anatomy and technique. Overall, this is an excellent book, which takes its place well between existing tomes on oesophageal disease. I can recommend it wholeheartedly as an essential reference volume for both trainees and consultants in oesophageal surgery and indeed gastroenterologists might usefully dip into it occasionally so as to appreciate the many challenges facing their oesophageal surgeons in this fascinating branch of gastrointestinal surgery.

A Watson

The Pelvic Floor: Its Function and Disorders


In my clinical practice, I have felt for a long time that the knowledge acquired in coloproctology should be more frequently shared with specialists in obstetrics, gynaecology, urology, neurology, etc. Therefore, I enjoyed receiving this book where the contributors range between at least 10 specialties which concern pelvic floor disorders. Each chapter is written by a leading figure or a group expert in this field. The book is a valuable starting point for gastroenterologists who wish to become up to date with data drawn from the clinical problems of the pelvic floor, associating the anterior and posterior components, including physiology, anatomy, diagnostic imaging, surgery, nursing, and psychology.

The previously published book by these authors was entitled Coloproctology and the Pelvic Floor—coloproctology has disappeared from the title of their new book, indicating that it is no longer possible to approach the posterior pelvic floor disorders without studying the pelvic floor as a whole. In this way, this new title by itself is a very strong message. However, the reader might be a little disappointed if he looks for how to treat, for example, a patient suffering from both anal and urinary incontinence, or a patient complaining of urinary stress incontinence and posterior pelvic floor dysfunction inducing straining at stools. The book contains a number of excellent chapters concerning pathogenesis, investigations, and treatment of the pelvic floor disorders. However, these algorithms have been constructed to treat either the anterior pelvic floor or the posterior pelvic floor, but not to treat a patient who complains simultaneously of the two parts of the pelvic floor. It was perhaps because the book was initially so promising and the subsequent chapters so interesting that I was hoping for a little more specific detail from the authors!

Nevertheless, the psychological characteristics of the pelvic floor disorders are very well described, suggesting that the impact of social factors, such as sexual abuse for example and psychological distress, on the expression of pelvic floor symptoms should be taken into account. To date it has not been very easy to suggest guidelines indicating how to achieve a balance between identifying the pathophysiology of pelvic floor disorders and understanding psychological factors. There is doubt that the algorithms given at the beginning of the book will be very useful for the reader. However, they would have been even more useful if the experts had suggested at which step(s) of their algorithms they felt the need to investigate the psychological profile of their patients.

As C Norton wrote in the book, “there is a small but growing movement to create multidisciplinary pelvic floor clinics, where urogynaecologists, colorectal surgeons, specialist nurses, physiotherapists, neurologists, psychiatrists . . . work together to improve the management of pelvic floor disorders”. While we are waiting for these future multidisciplinary clinics of “pereineology”, it was probably not the time to furnish algorithms in this particular edition of The Pelvic Floor concerning investigations and management of assorted symptoms of the anterior and posterior pelvic floor, integrating the psychological profiles of the patients. JH Pemberton, M Swash, and MM Henry must be acknowledged and congratulated for writing this book which will enable the knowledge of all the specialties involved in the pelvic floor.

P Denis

Clinical Governance in Gastroenterology


Can external control drive clinical standards? In the meantime we have clinical governance. What this actually means, how it is meant to operate, and whether governance guidelines will become yardsticks for judging performance also remain open. But at least it sounds like a good thing, and one glub but hopefully sensible answer is that clinical governance might ensure “uniform standards” across heterogeneous NHS practices, reassure the public and, in any case, it seems here to stay—at least for the moment.

It still baffles me as to what differences there are between excellent clinical practice and practice by clinical governance—presumably the latter is not meant to be quite as good, but will do. There seems to be a clamour for “acceptable” standards and this anticipating being in charge of ensuring eminent UK general practitioners, fills a gap for primary care. The authors are members of the Primary Care Society for Gastroenterology, an organisation that has made significant contributions in knocking down barriers between primary and secondary care and has advocated cogent seamless care. The publication covers a series of problems from dyspepsia to colon cancer and includes an interesting section on horizon scanning, an example of new NHS speak, evidence that the authors have their ear to the ground, shifting though it may be.

It would be deluding to assume that all we know about gastroenterology, and that which matters to patient care can be compressed into 94 pages, but there is sufficient to keep governance types well busy. The information is well accessible but this is, of course, not a textbook. The chapter on standards, “A stoma is an artificial opening of the bowel on to the external skin”, sheer gold dust to the doctor who forgot to attend the surgery lectures or to the non-clinical manager now02/01/2003

Gastroenterology Highlights 2001–2

Edited by E Quiigley. Health press, 2002, £15.00, colour, pp 84. ISBN 1-903734-12-6

Gastroenterology Highlights 2001–2 is attractively presented in good quality four colour format. This slim volume of 84 pages comprises 10 chapters written by a panel of international experts. Topics covered range from diseases of the oesophagus, liver and pancreas, small bowel, to complications of liver disease, endoscopy, and colorectal cancer prevention and screening. The aim is to discuss key issues and put them into context. In most chapters, about 20 papers are reviewed but the actual numbers range from 16 to 30. Most chapters also review one or two abstracts. The
The vast majority of papers covered were published in 2001 or 2000. The Fast Fact Highlights series aims to “keep its readers abreast of the latest innovations” in each specialty. The flyer states that the information is presented “in an accessible style, comprehensively illustrated and fully indexed”. Have these aims been met? Certainly the style is easy to read. However, there are only three figures in the whole book. Two of these are world maps showing geographical variations in colorectal incidence and mortality, while a third figure is a rather pointless flow chart of “preventive steps” for patient groups at average, moderate, and high risk for the first two groups: change in lifestyle, chemoprevention and screening, and early diagnosis. These steps are again repeated for the high risk group, with preventive surgery added. There is no subject index.

I like the table in each chapter stating what are “in”, what are “out”; what are contentious, and what are still needed. However, it is irritating that many of the items mentioned as “in” or “out” have neither been discussed in the text nor referenced.

In the discussion on endoscopic treatment of gastro-oesophageal reflux, the EndoCinch and implantation of microspheres were discussed, but not the Stritta procedure. Both Freedman’s study on the association between cholecystectomy and oesophageal adenocarcinoma as well as Schnell’s report on non-surgical management of Barrett’s oesophagus with high grade dysplasia, were reviewed in the oesophagus chapter and again in the chapter on gastrointestinal cancer. Tighter editing could have avoided this duplication as space in this book is clearly at a premium. I was surprised to read that “rectal examination as the only test for colorectal cancer” was “out”. This statement was not referenced!

These brief reviews cannot by their nature be comprehensive. While this volume covers advances over a one to two year period, and falls far short of the excellent reviews in the Current Opinions in Gastroenterology series. It is probably unsuitable for a library collection, and is not a book I would myself keep for reference. I am uncertain who may wish to purchase this volume, even though it is modestly priced at £15. While it is an easy read, I suspect that few consultant gastroenterologists would want to buy this book. I doubt if many trainees would either.

**CORRECTION**

In the paper by Higham et al (Gut 2002;50:460–4) the heading for table 4 should read “Number of items (thousands) prescribed in England from 1990 to 1999. Prescription Cost Analysis system (Department of Health)”.

**NOTICES**

### 38th EASL Annual Meeting

The European Association for the Study of the Liver will be holding its 38th annual meeting on 29 March–1 April 2003 in Istanbul, Turkey. Further information can be found on the website www.easl.ch/easl2003.

### Falk Workshop—Inflammatory Bowel Disease: Turning New Advances into Practice

This will be held on 3 April 2003 in Berne, Switzerland. Further details: Falk Foundation e.V., Congress Division, PO Box 6529, Leinenweberstr. 5, 79041 Freiburg/Bt, Germany. Tel: +49 761 15 14 00; fax: +49 761 15 14 339; email: sympo@falkfoundation.de; website: www.falkfoundation.de

### International Symposium on Viral Hepatitis and Liver Disease

This conference will take place on 6–10 April 2003 in Sydney, Australia. Further information: ISVHLD 2003 Congress Managers, GPO Box 128, Sydney NSW 2001, Australia. Tel: +612 9262 2277; fax: +612 9262 3135; email: isvhld@tourhosts.com.au; website: www.tourhosts.com.au/isvhld

### Prague Hepatology Meeting

To be held on 5–7 June 2003 in Prague, Czech Republic. Leading speakers from Europe and the USA will present new ideas and suggestions on pathophysiology, diagnostics, and therapy of liver diseases in ten programmes blocks. Further details: Ms Veronika Revicka. Tel: +420 241 445 759; fax: +420 241 445 806; email: veronika@congressprague.cz

### Falk Symposia—New Findings on Pathogenesis and Progress in Management of IBD

Two symposia and a workshop will be held on 10–14 June 2003 in Berlin, Germany. Further details - see Falk Workshop details above.

### Gastroenterology and Endotherapy: XXIst European Workshop

This will be held on 16–18 June 2003 in Brussels, Belgium. Further details: Nancy Beuarez, Administrative Secretariat of the Workshop, Gastroenterology Department, Erasme Hospital, Route de Lennik 808, B-1070 Brussels, Belgium. Tel: +32 (0)2 555 49 00; fax: +32 (0)2 555 49 01; email: beuarez@ulb.ac.be

### The Association of Coloproctology of Great Britain & Ireland

This annual meeting will be held on 7–10 July 2003 in Edinburgh, UK. Further details: Conference Secretariat, The ACGBI at the Royal College of Surgeons of England, 35–43 Lincoln’s Inn Fields, London WC2A 3PE. Tel: +44 (0)20 7973 0307; fax: +44 (0)20 7430 9235; email: acgbi@asgbi.org.uk; website: www.acgbi.org.uk