Aims: In patients with inflammatory myopathy and dysphagia, our aims were to determine: (1) the diagnostic utility of clinical and laboratory indicators; (2) the biomechanical properties of the pharyngo-oesophageal segment; (3) the usefulness of pharyngeal videomanometry in distinguishing neuropathic from myopathic dysphagia; and (4) clinical outcome.

Methods: Clinical, laboratory, and videomanometric assessment was performed in 13 patients with myositis and dysphagia, in 17 disease controls with dysphagia (due to proven CNS disease), and in 22 healthy age matched controls. The diagnostic accuracy of creatine kinase (CPK), erythrocyte sedimentation rate, antinuclear antibody, and electromyography (EMG) were compared with the gold standard muscle biopsy. The biomechanical properties of the pharyngo-oesophageal segment were assessed by videomanometry.

Results: Mean time from dysphagia onset to the diagnosis of myositis was 55 months (range 1–180). One third had no extrapharyngeal muscle weakness; 25% had normal CPK, and EMG was unhelpful in 28%. Compared with neurogenic controls, myositis patients had more prevalent cricopharyngeal restrictive disorders (69% v. 14%; p=0.0003), reduced upper oesophageal sphincter (UOS) opening (p=0.01), and elevated hypopharyngeal intrabolus pressures (p=0.001). Videomanometric features favouring a myopathic over a neuropathic aetiology were: preserved pharyngeal swallow response, complete UOS relaxation, and normal swallow coordination. The 12 month mortality was 31%.

Conclusions: The notable lack of supportive clinical signs and significant false negative rates for laboratory tests contribute to the marked delay in diagnosis. The myopathic process is strongly associated with restricted sphincter opening suggesting that cricopharyngeal disruption is a useful adjunct to immunosuppressive therapy. The condition has a poor prognosis.

METHODS

Patients and controls

Patients (n=13) were retrieved from a database of 529 patients with oropharyngeal dysphagia which had been prospectively collected between 1991 and 1998 in a tertiary referral centre (table 1). The diagnosis of inflammatory myopathy was confirmed by the finding of abnormalities in two or more of the following: raised serum creatine kinase (CPK), electromyography (EMG), or muscle biopsy. Subclassification of cases was based on the characteristic histological changes on limb muscle biopsy of polymyositis, dermatomyositis, or inclusion body myositis. Cricopharyngeal muscle histopathology was available in three of four patients who underwent cricopharyngeal myotomy.

Disease controls (n=17, 12 male; mean age 74 years (range 58–90)) were those with a proven central neurogenic cause of oropharyngeal dysphagia, and who had undergone a CPK estimation and either a videoswallow or videomanometric assessment.

Abbreviations: UOS, upper oesophageal sphincter; CP, creatine kinase; EMG, electromyography; PEG, percutaneous gastrostomy.
study. This meant excluding those with a peripheral neuropathic or myopathic aetiology. Hence patients with disorders potentially affecting the lower motor neurone (motor neurone disease, head and neck malignancy), neuromuscular junction (myasthenia), or which might affect the cricopharyngeus muscle (non-inflammatory myopathies), or those with demonstrated failure of manometric UOS relaxation were excluded from the control group because a comparison group for videomanometry was required. Dysphagia was due to cerebrovascular accident in 12, Parkinson’s disease in four, and multiple sclerosis in one. Two patients with CPK measured postoperatively or after myocardial infarction were excluded from analysis of laboratory parameters. Healthy aged individuals (n=22; mean age 68 (10) years) also served as controls for radiographic and manometric measures.

Clinical assessments
Oropharyngeal dysphagia was defined as difficulty with the act of swallowing together with one or more of the following degradative symptoms: bolus holdup; multiple swallows required to clear the pharynx; deglutitive coughing and/or choking; or postnasal regurgitation. A global assessment of dysphagia severity was made clinically by one of the authors (UC) and was classified on a five point scale as mild (amenable to slight dietary modification), mild–moderate (dysphagia requiring dietary modification and with an increased time to complete a meal), moderate (dietary modification and or choking), or severe dysfunction with aspiration sufficient to necessitate introduction of non-oral feeding). The diagnostic sensitivity and specificity of the laboratory studies was determined in the subgroup of biopsy proven inflammatory myopathy cases that were undiagnosed at presentation (n=8). An elevated CPK was defined as greater than the upper limit of normal for our laboratory (200 U/l). An antimyositis antibody (ANA) titre greater than the upper limit of normal for our laboratory was also classified as positive if the findings were considered to be suggestive of myopathy as the principal abnormality, or negative if the study was normal, non-specific, or suggested an alternative diagnosis.

### Table 1 Demographic and clinical findings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age (y)</th>
<th>Final diagnosis</th>
<th>Duration of dysphagia prior to evaluation (months)</th>
<th>Presentation to diagnosis of cause of dysphagia (months)</th>
<th>Head and neck muscle involvement</th>
<th>Muscle weakness</th>
<th>Aspiration</th>
<th>Dysphagia muscle severity</th>
<th>UOS restrictive feature</th>
<th>Dysphagia severity</th>
<th>UOS restrictive feature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>Polymyositis</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>Dermatomyositis</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Proximal</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Inclusion body</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Proximal</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>Polymyositis</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Proximal</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>Dermatomyositis</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>Interstitial myositis</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Proximal</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>Polymyositis</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Proximal</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>Polymyositis</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Proximal</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9*</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Polymyositis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Proximal</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Confirmed myopathy at time of referral**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age (y)</th>
<th>Final diagnosis</th>
<th>Duration of dysphagia prior to evaluation (months)</th>
<th>Presentation to diagnosis of cause of dysphagia (months)</th>
<th>Head and neck muscle involvement</th>
<th>Muscle weakness</th>
<th>Aspiration</th>
<th>Dysphagia muscle severity</th>
<th>UOS restrictive feature</th>
<th>Dysphagia severity</th>
<th>UOS restrictive feature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Inclusion body</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Prior 4 months</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Proximal</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>Dermatomyositis</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Prior 10 years</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Proximal</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>Polymyositis</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Prior 4 years</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Proximal</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Mild-Mod</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>Polymyositis</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Proximal, facial</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Severe</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Patient did not undergo pharyngeal videomanometry. UOS, upper oesophageal sphincter.

Videofluoroscopic studies were reviewed and assessed qualitatively for the following. A restrictive abnormality was defined as the presence of a cricopharyngeal (CP) bar or stenosis. A CP bar was defined as a persistent post-cricoid indentation seen in the lateral projection only. A CP stenosis was defined as a circumferential constriction in the post-cricoid region which was apparent in both anteroposterior and lateral planes.

Clinical outcome was assessed 12 months after presentation in patients with inflammatory myopathy. The outcomes of interest included: (a) need for non-oral (usually percutaneous gastrostomy (PEG)) feeding; (b) pneumonia; and (c) death. CP dilatation or myotomy was performed as clinically indicated and the response was assessed subjectively and objectively one and six months post procedure. A subjective improvement was considered to be present if the patient described the improvement in overall swallowing function as “very good or excellent”. An objective response was defined as weight gain >1 kg in the absence of enteral feeding. Responses were considered sustained if the benefits were present six months post procedure.

**Combined videoradiography and pharyngeal manometry**

Swallowing function was studied by simultaneous videoradiography and manometry as previously described. Both control groups and the patient group were studied using the same standard protocol. Briefly, images of barium swallows were recorded in the lateral and anteroposterior projections using a 9” Toshiba (Kawasaki, Japan) image intensifier. Fluoroscopic images were recorded on videotape at 25 frames per second by a VHS video recorder (Panasonic, AG6500, Osaka, Japan) for later analysis. The correction factor for magnification was determined prior to each study by placing two metallic markers set 3 cm apart in the field of the image intensifier, above the subject’s head but in the plane of the UOS. Subjects swallowed, as tolerated (depending on aspiration, if present), duplicate 2, 5, 10, and 20 ml boluses of high density liquid barium suspension (250% (wt/vol), E-Z-HE, E-Z EM Inc., Westbury, New York, USA). If any more than minor aspiration occurred with a specific bolus volume, larger volumes were not attempted. Included in the field of view in the lateral projection were the incisor teeth anteriorly, hard
patients in this subset had normal CPK levels. Note that two of eight myositis patients with biopsy proven myositis (n=8) and neurogenic controls passed transnasally and the posterior orientation of the transnasal perfusion catheter was retained to avoid fluid accumulation in the pharynx. Manometric measures and definitions UOS pressures were referenced to resting pre-swallow hypopharyngeal pressure. Basal UOS pressure was determined by averaging end expiratory UOS pressure over a one minute period interval 10 minutes after catheter placement to permit subject adaptation. UOS relaxation was assessed solely on manometric criteria while UOS opening was defined on radiological criteria (see above). The adequacy of UOS relaxation was determined from the nadir UOS pressure measured during dry swallows, prior to administration of any test boluses. Deglutitive UOS relaxation was classified as failed if nadir UOS pressure during 100% of dry swallows exceeded 10 mm Hg in individuals >55 years of age, or exceeded 13 mm Hg in individuals <55 years of age.

The recording site, from which "mid pharyngeal" pressure was measured, lay 4.5 cm proximal to the midpoint of the UOS at the apogee of its upward deglutitive motion. Pharyngeal intrabolus pressure was measured at the perfused sidehole immediately proximal to the UOS at the proximal margin of the sleeve in controls and in all patients in whom some trans-sphincteric bolus flow was observed radiologically. Hypopharyngeal intrabolus pressure was defined, on the basis of correlation of manometry and fluoroscopy, as the pressure registered at the time point midway between the arrival of the bolus head and the departure of the bolus tail at that site. In instances where there was a dwell time between the bolus head at the hypopharyngeal sidehole >100 ms, mid intrabolus pressure was estimated from the time point midway between the onset and termination of that particular instance of trans-sphincteric bolus flow. All manometric measures were made unblinded.

Temporal measures We conceptualised "swallow coordination" using three temporal measures of important events in the swallow sequence (time of onset of UOS relaxation, time of UOS opening, and time of UOS closure), referenced to the time of initial movement of the tongue tip against the posterior surface of the maxillary incisors, an event that marks the onset of the oral phase of swallowing. We compared the timing of these three events in patients with myositis and neurogenic controls with previously defined normal ranges for these quantities, estimated from our aged controls. We sorted each temporal interval into 0.2 s bins for patients and controls, and examined the frequency distributions of the three time intervals of primary interest. We hypothesised that the myopathic swallow (unless severely deranged) should demonstrate normal coordination while the swallow deranged from neurogenic causes is more likely to show incoordination.
Statistical analysis
All data are presented as mean (SEM) unless stated otherwise. A \( \chi^2 \) test was used to make inferences regarding differences between proportions within patients and controls with and without pharyngo-oesophageal segment abnormalities. Inferences on proportions of patients and controls with normal and abnormal ANA titres were made using the \( \chi^2 \) test. A Mann-Whitney \( U \) test (non-parametric, unpaired data) was used to compare CPK and ESR values between patients and controls. Comparisons of basal UOS pressure among myositis patients and neurogenic and aged controls were made using an unpaired Student's \( t \) test, corrected using a Bonferroni-Dunn procedure; alpha (p value) significant at <0.0167, correction for three multiple comparisons. Duplicate values for each subject were averaged before calculation of group mean data for each bolus volume swallowed. Group mean data were compared among patients and controls using a two factor ANOVA. Although appropriate and with greater statistical power, a repeat measure ANOVA design was not adopted because there were some missing values (as not all patients could swallow the entire range of bolus volumes due to disease severity). For classification of pharyngeal peak pressures and temporal variables, we used data recorded for 2 ml and 5 ml barium boluses as these were the two most common volumes available in both myositis patients and neurogenic controls. A \( \chi^2 \) test was used to compare proportions of myositis patients and neurogenic controls that demonstrated swallow incoordination. Statistical calculations were performed using StatVIEW 4.5 (Abacus Concepts Inc, Berkeley, California, USA).

RESULTS
Demographics and clinical features
Thirteen patients (five males; mean age 68 years (range 22–88)) with inflammatory myopathy were identified; 11 with polymyositis and one each with dermatomyositis and inclusion body myositis (table 1). Mean time from the onset of dysphagia to the diagnosis of inflammatory myopathy was 55 months (range 1–180). In nine of 13 cases, dysphagia was the
presenting complaint prompting presentation, of whom one third had limb weakness, one third had limb and facial or bulbar weakness, and the remaining third had no detectable weakness. All four patients with a prior diagnosis of myopathy had proximal muscle weakness (table 1).

**Diagnostic utility of investigations**

The diagnostic utility of EMG, CPK, ANA, and ESR was assessed in the subgroup of eight cases presenting with no prior diagnosis of myopathy and who had muscle biopsy confirmation of inflammatory myopathy. For this purpose, muscle biopsy was considered the “gold standard” for myositis. Serum CPK was raised in 6/8 patients with biopsy proven inflammatory myopathy and in none of 15 controls. Serum CPK values were significantly elevated compared with controls (p=0.008) (fig 1). An elevated CPK had a sensitivity of 75% and specificity of 100% for the diagnosis of inflammatory myopathy. ESR was elevated in 3/8 cases and in 5/11 neurogenic controls (NS). ANA was positive in 4/8 cases and in 2/8 neurogenic controls (NS).

The initial EMG demonstrated unequivocal myopathic changes in 5/7 cases who had EMG (not done in 1/8). EMG was normal in one case and showed non-specific changes in one.

**Videoradiographic and manometric features**

Nine of 13 cases (69%) had radiographically demonstrated restrictive pharyngo-oesophageal segment abnormalities (fig 2; table 2). This proportion was significantly higher than that seen (1/17) in neurogenic controls (p= 0.0003, χ² test). Among myopathic patients with CP abnormalities, three had Zenker’s diverticula while none was present in controls (fig 2). Aspiration was evident during videoradiography in 8/13 (61%) patients with myositis and in 7/17 (41%) disease controls. In none of the myositis patients was the pharyngeal swallow response absent, but this radiographic abnormality was noted in 3/14 neurogenic controls who had undergone videomanometry.

Basal UOS pressure did not differ significantly between patients with myositis (38 (4) mm Hg) and aged controls (45 mm Hg).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3 Videomanometric findings†</th>
<th>Aged controls</th>
<th>Neurogenic controls</th>
<th>Myositis patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Absent pharyngeal swallow response</td>
<td>0/22</td>
<td>3/14</td>
<td>0/13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal UOS pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>45 (5)</td>
<td>25 (4)*</td>
<td>38 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nadir UOS pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>2 (1)</td>
<td>3 (2)</td>
<td>3 (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak pharyngeal pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>124 (12)</td>
<td>91 (14)</td>
<td>71 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UOS pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(3/14)</td>
<td>(6/13)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.05 versus aged controls.

†14 of 17 neurogenic controls studied videomanometrically. UOS, upper oesophageal sphincter.

Figure 3  Videoradiographic sequence (A) and corresponding manometry (B) in a patient with myositis, a cricopharyngeal bar, and early diverticulum. Each vertical broken line in (B) represents the time corresponding to the numbered radiographic frame in (A). Note that the sphincter relaxes completely and there is a pharyngeal swallow response detected both radiographically and manometrically. Although the pharyngeal stripping wave is apparent, it is of low amplitude. Hypopharyngeal intrabolus pressure (frame 2, channel 3) is increased due to the marked restriction in sphincter opening. T1, onset of swallow indicated by initial tongue tip motion at the maxillary incisors. UOS, upper oesophageal sphincter.
oesophageal sphincter. The extent of sphincter opening is reduced in myositis. Myositis patients also demonstrated a bolus volume dependent increase in hypopharyngeal intrabolus pressure which was significantly greater than that seen in either healthy or neurogenic controls. UOS, upper oesophageal sphincter.

(5) mm Hg) but was significantly greater than UOS pressure in neurogenic controls (25 (4); p=0.005) (table 3). Nadir UOS pressure during dry swallows was normal in all but one patient (range 9 to 11 mm Hg). In one patient, who had severe UOS stenosis, deglutitive nadir UOS pressure was elevated (15 mm Hg).

Peak mid pharyngeal pressures were comparable between myositis patients (71 (7) mm Hg) and neurogenic controls (91 (14) mm Hg) but were significantly lower compared with aged healthy controls (124 (12) mm Hg) (p<0.05) (table 3). Six of 13 patients and 3/14 neurogenic controls demonstrated subnormal peak (hypopharyngeal) pressures (defined as <57 mm Hg; mean -2 SD in aged controls), implying significant pharyngeal weakness (fig 3).

Biomechanical properties of the UOS
To assess the biomechanical properties of the UOS, we examined the volume dependency of both hypopharyngeal intrabolus pressure and maximal sagittal UOS diameter (fig 4). Consistent with the qualitative impression of the high incidence of CP bar and stenosis, maximal sagittal UOS opening diameter was significantly reduced over the range of swallowed bolus volumes in patients with myositis (p<0.0001 v aged controls; p=0.013 v neurogenic controls) compared with both control groups (fig 4A). Myositis patients demonstrated a bolus volume dependent increase in hypopharyngeal intrabolus pressure over the range of swallowed bolus volumes, which was significantly greater than that seen in either healthy or neurogenic controls (fig 4B).

Temporal measures in the swallow sequence: swallow coordination
The distributions of individual timing intervals for patients and both control groups are shown in fig 5. The right shift indicates that, in neurogenic controls, there was a substantial delay in one or more of sphincter (manometric) relaxation, sphincter (radiographic) opening, or sphincter closure. In contrast, the timing of UOS relaxation, opening, and closure in myositis is very close to that of healthy controls. An example of this delay in UOS relaxation can be seen in fig 6.

Figure 4 Volume dependency of (A) hypopharyngeal intrabolus pressure and (B) maximal sagittal UOS diameter. Note that the volume dependency of both hypopharyngeal intrabolus pressure which was significantly greater than that seen in either healthy or neurogenic controls. UOS, upper oesophageal sphincter.

Figure 5 Swallow coordination in myogenic compared with neurogenic dysphagia. Shown are the timing of three major swallow events: upper oesophageal sphincter (UOS) relaxation onset (A), UOS opening (B), and UOS closure (C). Times are referenced to the onset of the oral phase, marked by initial motion of the tongue tip against the maxillary incisors. The shaded segments represent the normal range for healthy controls (mean ± 2 SD). Note that the timing of these swallow events in those with myogenic dysphagia is clustered very closely with that of healthy aged controls. The timing of these events in a large number of patients with neurogenic dysphagia falls well outside the normal range. +, one neurogenic control in whom timing of sphincteric events occurred at <30–32 seconds.

Treatment and outcomes
Ten of 13 cases were treated with prednisone. In addition, three patients in this group were treated with methotrexate, two with azathioprine, and one with cyclosporine. Three patients had medical treatment commenced at the time of dilatation, two commenced treatment more than 12 months prior, and one more than 12 months after CP disruption.

The overall 12 month mortality was 31% (4/13). Over 12 months of follow up, three patients (two with no response to dilatation and one not dilated) developed aspiration pneumonia and required PEG feeding. Subsequently, two of these patients died. One patient with scleroderma succumbed to a hypertensive crisis and another died of a sigmoid diverticular abscess 12 weeks after commencing prednisone.

Eight of the nine cases with restrictive abnormalities of the pharyngo-oesophageal segment on videofluoroscopy had CP disruption by dilatation. Four of these eight had subjective and objective responses at one month. One responder died two months after the procedure (of a sigmoid diverticular abscess) and two of the remaining three patients remained improved at
six months. Thus the overall short and long term response rates to dilatation were 50% and 25%, respectively. The two long term responders, a patient that had an unsustained response and a patient who did not respond to dilatation, subsequently underwent CP myotomy, with further subjective and objective responses in the two that had previously responded to dilatation. Overall, an objective long term response to CP disruption was present in 3/8 patients (37.5%).

**DISCUSSION**

Inflammatory myopathy should be considered in any patient with otherwise unexplained oropharyngeal dysphagia. Inflammatory myopathy is a relatively uncommon aetiology for oropharyngeal dysphagia. In the present study, muscle disorders accounted for 5.7% of 529 cases of oropharyngeal dysphagia assessed at our institution over eight years. Two thirds of these muscle disorders (3.6% of the total) were due to inflammatory myopathy. However, detecting this potentially treatable subgroup of patients with oropharyngeal dysphagia is an important clinical goal.

Our findings highlight some of the difficulties in making a diagnosis of myositis in cases presenting with dysphagia. One third of cases had no facial, bulbar, or limb weakness. This lack of physical findings very likely contributed to the substantial false negative rate of laboratory tests. In the present study the diagnostic sensitivity of serum CPK was 75%, which is comparable with a reported sensitivity of 70% in patients undergoing CP myotomy in the present study had inflammatory changes apparently confined to the cricopharyngeus muscle. Also, EMG interpretation is highly operator dependent with relatively low inter-rater reliability. In view of the significant false negative rates for clinical examination and individual laboratory studies, a combination of CPK, EMG, and muscle biopsy should probably be performed in cases with dysphagia and suspected inflammatory myopathy or otherwise unexplained oropharyngeal dysphagia.

The other probable contributor to delay in diagnosis is the substantial false negative rate of laboratory tests. In the present study the diagnostic sensitivity of serum CPK was 75%, which is comparable with a reported sensitivity of 70% in patients presenting with the full range of symptoms rather than dysphagia. Because of its high positive predictive value, a CPK level is one of the recommended screening tests in any new case of oropharyngeal dysphagia of uncertain aetiology. However, the false negative rate of 25–30% needs to be kept in mind and mandates additional laboratory testing and/or muscle biopsy in suspected cases. ESR and ANA have poor diagnostic accuracy in this context. However, the sensitivity of one or more (of CPK, ESR, ANA) being abnormal was 100% in this study. Hence given the observed delay in diagnosis, the frequent lack of limb muscle weakness, and the difficulties in diagnosing myositis in the dysphagic population, it would seem appropriate to include ESR and ANA in the initial screening of suspected cases. The sensitivity of 71% for EMG in the present study was slightly lower than the 85–90% reported by others. It is possible that some of those presenting with dysphagia have a more focal form of the disease with more patchy limb muscle involvement and that specific sampling of head and neck muscles might improve the accuracy of EMG. For example, just under 25% of our patients had no apparent facial, bulbar, or limb muscle weakness on clinical examination. Others have also reported inflammatory changes apparently confined to the cricopharyngeus muscle. Also, EMG interpretation is highly operator dependent with relatively low inter-rater reliability. In view of the significant false negative rates for clinical examination and individual laboratory studies, a combination of CPK, EMG, and muscle biopsy should probably be performed in cases with dysphagia and suspected inflammatory myopathy or otherwise unexplained oropharyngeal dysphagia.

Restrictive CP abnormalities, either CP bar, stenosis, or Zenker’s, were evident radiographically in 69% of cases of inflammatory myopathy. Although a CP bar is a common finding in normal individuals, there are sporadic reports of an apparent association between the CP bar and myositis. Perhaps this reflects involvement of the pharyngeal musculature and CP in the myopathic process. Indeed, three of four patients undergoing CP myotomy in the present study had operative biopsy of the CP. In all three, inflammatory changes were observed in the CP muscle itself. Similar, but apparently focal, CP inflammatory myopathic findings have been reported by others. We speculate that longstanding inflammation of the CP muscle may reduce its compliance thereby impeding the ability of the sphincter to open fully and thus impede transphincteric bolus flow. The higher than expected prevalence of Zenker’s diverticula in this group may
have pathophysiological importance. There has been only one other such case reporting this possible association. It is now well established that the diverticulum is associated with a restrictive disorder of the CP11 secondary to myonecrosis and fibroadipose tissue replacement within the muscle. While the majority of those with Zenker’s do not have muscle disease, these observations suggest that focal or general myositis, possibly through fibrogenesis within the CP might lead to the development of a Zenker’s diverticulum in a small number of susceptible individuals.

We had hypothesised that compared with cases of neurogenic dysphagia, the full repertoire of swallow motor events should be preserved (albeit weaker) in myopathic dysphagia, and that phenomena which are driven by the medullary swallow centre (UOS relaxation, normal temporal relationships among events, and the pharyngeal swallow response) would be more likely to be disturbed in neurogenic dysphagia. Failure of manometric UOS relaxation, a relatively rare condition, is primarily a brainstem disorder. The one case of a supranormal deglutitive nadir UOS pressure in the myositis group was observed in an individual with a particularly tight CP stenosis. We believe this represents an increase in the intraoesophageal pressure seen with passage of residual saliva through a very tight sphincter in which the sleeve is positioned, rather than a primary neurogenic dysfunction. Hence preservation of UOS relaxation does not rule out a neurogenic cause, but failed UOS relaxation in the absence of a structural stenosis is highly predictive of a CNS (usually brainstem) aetiology. In the present study, the pharyngeal swallow response was absent in approximately 25% of cases of neurogenic dysphagia while it was invariably intact in myositis patients. Similarly, marked swallow incoordination (fig 5) was confined to neurogenic controls. Hence the finding of an absent pharyngeal swallow response or delay in key temporal measures which define UOS relaxation or opening, all militate against a myopathic aetiology and favour neurogenic dysphagia. It is recognised that the action of suprahyoid muscles facilitates the onset of UOS opening. We cannot be certain that possible involvement of the suprahyoid muscles by inflammation might have influenced apparent UOS relaxation determined manometrically. However, we believe it is certainly intraluminal UOS pressure was abolished in all but one patient during swallow. It is already known that the presence of dysphagia in a patient with myositis infers a higher mortality. Our findings confirm the poor prognosis of this association. Immunosuppressive therapies and CP disruption were the mainstay of treatment in this study. Despite multidisciplinary care of such cases, 31% of patients in this study died of their disease within 12 months of diagnosis. The mortality relates mainly to respiratory complications. Large prospective randomised controlled studies of steroids in polymyositis and dermatomyositis have not been performed. Hence steroid therapy followed by other immunosuppressive agents is largely empirical but widely accepted. Dysphagia has been reported anecdotally to improve with steroids alone in a few cases. The evidence supporting CP disruption is even less conclusive. There are no published randomised controlled trials of CP dilatation or myotomy but the response rate for neuromyogenic dysphagia is 62% overall. Anecdotal reports suggest that patients with polymyositis, dermatomyositis, or inclusion body myositis may benefit from CP myotomy. However, despite the high prevalence of restrictive CP disorders in the present study, the short term response rate following CP disruption was only 50% in this relatively small group.
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