Lack of association between HFE gene mutations and hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with cirrhosis
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Background: Liver cirrhosis may lead to hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), regardless of its cause. Genetic and/or environmental factors may modulate the risk of HCC. Mutations in the HFE gene are responsible for genetic haemochromatosis, a condition known to be associated with liver cirrhosis, HCC, or both. It has recently been suggested that the C282Y HFE gene mutation may be more frequent in patients with HCC that have developed in the non-cirrhotic liver than in the general population. Whether or not HFE gene mutations are associated with an increased risk of HCC in patients with cirrhosis is unknown.

Aim: To assess the prevalence of HFE gene mutations in cirrhotic patients with and without HCC.

Patients and methods: A total of 133 consecutive cirrhotic patients with HCC were prospectively studied for the presence of C282Y and H63D mutations. The control group consisted of 100 cirrhotic patients without HCC. We used restriction enzyme digestion of polymerase chain reaction amplified genomic DNA for determination of HFE genotypes. Iron loading was assessed on non-tumoral liver biopsy samples from 89 patients with HCC and 73 patients without HCC.

Results: The prevalence of C282Y heterozygotes was similar in patients with and without HCC (5% vs 4%, respectively; \( p=0.65 \)) and did not differ from that expected in the general population. None of the HCC patients was found to be homozygous for C282Y or H63D, nor compound heterozygous. The prevalence of H63D heterozygotes was similar in patients with and without HCC (31% vs 38%, respectively; \( p=0.25 \)). No relation was detected between HFE genotypes and hepatic iron loading in patients with or without HCC.

Conclusion: C282Y and H63D mutations do not appear to be associated with an increased risk of HCC in patients with cirrhosis.

Liver cirrhosis is a major aetiological factor for the occurrence of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). The annual incidence of HCC in patients with liver cirrhosis is 3–5%. However, not all patients with cirrhosis develop HCC. Thus identification of high-risk patients for HCC is important to increase the rate of early detection by intensive screening programmes and subsequently to try to improve the impact of radical curative therapies on survival. Several risk factors for developing cirrhosis have been identified in Western patients with cirrhosis, including male sex, age above 50 years, persistently raised serum \( \alpha \) fetoprotein levels, severity of cirrhosis (large oesophageal varices and abnormal prothrombin time), and anti-hepatitis C antibodies.

Patients with genetic haemochromatosis (GH) are also at high risk for developing HCC, even in the absence of cirrhosis or despite complete depletion of tissue iron.\(^7\) Two missense mutations of the HFE gene have been associated with 60–90% of all cases of GH.\(^8\) Eighty two to 100% of patients with GH are homozygous for the first and major mutation termed C282Y and 4% are compound heterozygotes for the C282Y mutation and the second and minor mutation termed H63D.\(^9\)

An increased prevalence of the HFE C282Y mutation has recently been reported in patients with HCC that developed in the non-cirrhotic liver with mild iron overload,\(^1\) suggesting a possible involvement of the heterozygous state for the C282Y mutation in hepatocarcinogenesis. Such a putative role for H63D remains elusive\(^\) as this mutation in the absence of C282Y has not been associated with significant iron overload or HCC thus far.\(^\) This prompted us to prospectively assess the prevalence of C282Y and H63D mutations in cirrhotic patients with or without HCC.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Patients
Between May 1997 and November 1999, 133 consecutive patients with cirrhosis and HCC were prospectively screened for HFE gene mutations after informed consent had been obtained. During the same period, blood samples from a control group of 100 patients with cirrhosis without HCC were also collected. Patients with suspicion of GH, based on usual criteria (history of liver disease or iron overload in first degree relatives, typical manifestations of GH such as arthralgias, skin hyperpigmentation, and overt diabetes mellitus)\(^1\) were excluded. The diagnosis of HCC was based either on histology after fine needle guided biopsy or on the presence of a focal liver lesion on ultrasonography or computed tomography scan with elevated serum \( \alpha \) fetoprotein levels above 250 U/l. The threshold value of 250 U/l was chosen as a diagnostic criterion according to that used in our previous study.\(^4\) Liver cirrhosis was either histologically proved or diagnosed on concordant clinical, biological, and morphological criteria.

Methods
Genomic DNA was extracted from peripheral blood leucocytes by standard procedures. DNA fragments were amplified by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using primers and reaction conditions as described previously.\(^2\) The PCR products were digested with the restriction enzymes Rsal and DpnlI to detect the C282Y and H63D mutations, respectively.

Abbreviations: GH, genetic haemochromatosis; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; PCR, polymerase chain reaction.
Liver iron loading was assessed in a semiquantiitative fashion using Perl’s Prussian blue staining on 162 available non-tumoral liver biopsy samples (89 with and 73 without HCC) as follows: 0, no staining; 1, minimal to moderate iron overload (<50% stained hepatocytes); and 2, massive iron overload (>50% stained hepatocytes).

**Statistics**

The two groups of patients (with or without HCC) were compared for sex, aetiology of cirrhosis, liver iron loading, and HFE genotype distribution in 133 cirrhotic patients with HCC and 100 cirrhotic patients without HCC. The prevalence of C282Y heterozygotes was similar in patients with and without HCC (5% vs 4%, respectively; p = 0.65) and did not differ from that expected in the normal French population (3.6%). The frequency of the H63D mutation was 15.4% of chromosomes from patients with HCC compared with 20.5% in those without (p = 0.12). The prevalence of H63D heterozygotes was similar in patients with and without HCC (31% vs 38%, respectively; p = 0.25) and slightly higher than that of 23.7% expected in the normal French population. None of the HCC patients was found to be a C282Y homozygote, H63D homozygote, or compound heterozygote.

**RESULTS**

Patient characteristics and the distribution of HFE genotypes, according to the presence or absence of HCC, are given in Table 1. Except for age and sex, the two groups were comparable, particularly for aetiology of cirrhosis. The C282Y mutation was present on 2.6% of chromosomes from patients with HCC compared with 3.0% of chromosomes from patients without HCC (p = 0.44). The prevalence of C282Y heterozygotes was similar in patients with and without HCC (5% vs 4%, respectively; p = 0.65) and did not differ from that expected in the normal French population (3.6%).

The frequency of the H63D mutation was 15.4% of chromosomes from patients with HCC compared with 20.5% in those without (p = 0.12). The prevalence of H63D heterozygotes was similar in patients with and without HCC (31% vs 38%, respectively; p = 0.25) and slightly higher than that of 23.7% expected in the normal French population. None of the HCC patients was found to be a C282Y homozygote, H63D homozygote, or compound heterozygote.

**DISCUSSION**

The main result of this large prospective multicentre study was that the prevalence of C282Y and H63D HFE gene mutations did not differ between cirrhotic patients with and without HCC.

Several studies have previously suggested that the prevalence of HFE gene mutations was higher in cirrhotic patients...
with HCC compared with those without HCC.11-14 Willis and colleagues15 reported a 7% prevalence of the C282Y homozygous mutation in patients with HCC, significantly higher than that of 0.7% expected in the normal population. It must be stressed however that this study was performed retrospectively on a limited number of patients (n=28) by extracting DNA from archived tissue samples. In a population of 181 patients with alcoholic cirrhosis, Lauret and colleagues16 found that the prevalence of the C282Y heterozygous mutation was significantly higher in 43 patients with HCC than in 136 without HCC (20.9% and 4.4%, respectively; p=0.002). This result was not observed in another group of 98 patients with viral cirrhosis of whom 34 had HCC. In the present study, the prevalence of the C282Y heterozygous mutation in patients with HCC was lower (5%) and did not differ between patients with alcoholic and viral cirrhosis (6.6% and 2.6%, respectively). Aldersley and colleagues17 found a 6.3% prevalence of the C282Y homozygous mutation in 32 patients with HCC compared with 0% in a group of 82 chronic cholestatic liver disease controls, and a 25% prevalence of the C282Y heterozygous mutation in HCC patients compared with 8% in controls. However, these results are difficult to interpret as the underlying liver disease in the HCC group was not specified. In a study including 65 patients with alcoholic or viral cirrhosis and HCC, Cauza and colleagues18 found a 10.8% prevalence of C282Y heterozygotes. This prevalence was considered to be higher than that expected in the normal population.19

However, liver iron loading did not differ between cirrhotic patients with and without HCC in the present study, a finding in keeping with our previous work where we did not find a significant relation between hepatic iron content and the occurrence of HCC in patients with alcoholic or hepatitis C virus related cirrhosis.20 Thus the role of liver iron overload in HCC development in cirrhotic patients without GH remains to be elucidated.

In conclusion, the similar prevalence of C282Y and H63D mutations in cirrhotic patients with or without HCC suggests a lack of association between HFE gene mutations and HCC in patients with cirrhosis. These mutations do not appear to be associated with an increased risk of HCC in patients with cirrhosis without iron overload.
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