Helicobacter pylori infection and long term proton pump inhibitor therapy

K E L McColl

Should Helicobacter pylori infection be eradicated in patients requiring long term proton pump inhibitor therapy for gastro-oesophageal reflux disease?

S hould Helicobacter pylori infection be eradicated in patients requiring maintenance proton pump inhibitor therapy for gastro-oesophageal reflux disease? This question has stimulated heated debate and contention over the past few years. The issue first came to prominence in 1996 when Kuipers et al published their study purporting to demonstrate that omeprazole accelerated the development of corpus atrophic gastritis in H pylori infected subjects. The original paper by Kuipers et al was widely criticised due to weaknesses in its design, and its claim that proton pump inhibitor therapy accelerated atrophy in H pylori infected subjects was not supported by the FDA Gastrointestinal Drugs Advisory Committee. In 1999, Lundell et al published a study claiming that proton pump inhibitor therapy did not accelerate the development of corpus atrophy in H pylori infected subjects. However, their conclusion was challenged because there was evidence of accelerated development of moderate and severe atrophy in the H pylori infected group on proton pump inhibitor therapy and the size of this effect was similar to that reported by Kuipers and colleagues. The paper by Kuipers and colleagues in the current issue of Gut confirms the issue further as they did not observe any progression of atrophy in their H pylori infected subjects [see page 12]. Indeed, there was not even a trend in favour of progression of atrophy that might have become significant in a larger study. Several other recent studies have also found no evidence of acceleration of corpus atrophy in H pylori infected subjects on proton pump inhibitor therapy. Consequently, there is little evidence in support of the original concern that proton pump inhibitor therapy accelerates atrophy in H pylori infected subjects.

One consistent finding however of all of these studies is that proton pump inhibitor therapy does change the pattern of H pylori induced gastritis, causing it to move from the antrum into the more proximal corpus mucosa of the stomach. In this way, it induces what is referred to as a corpus or body predominant gastritis. This may have significance with respect to the subsequent risk of H pylori associated gastric cancer. Uemura et al recently examined the association between the pattern of H pylori induced gastritis and the subsequent development of gastric cancer in patients not receiving proton pump inhibitor therapy. Their study indicated that the strongest risk factor for gastric cancer was the presence of corpus predominant gastritis and that this was a greater risk factor than either atrophy or intestinal metaplasia. Irrespective of whether proton pump inhibitor therapy accelerates atrophy, there is cause for concern that it does induce the pattern of gastritis most associated with increased risk of gastric cancer. However, the association of two factors does not confirm a cause and effect relationship. It is not known whether corpus gastritis by itself increases the risk of cancer or whether it is just an epiphenomenon induced by some underlying factor which represents the link with cancer. Consequently, we do not know whether inducing a corpus predominant gastritis by proton pump inhibitor therapy will, in itself, increase the subsequent risk of gastric cancer.

The paper by Kuipers and colleagues in this issue of Gut is useful in that it demonstrates that it is readily feasible to eradicate H pylori infection in patients on long term proton pump inhibitor therapy and by so doing achieve resolution of the corpus predominant gastritis. The paper also claims that treating H pylori infection results in some resolution of corpus atrophy. However, there are concerns about assessing the severity of atrophy following eradication of H pylori infection. Resolution of inflammation makes quantification of atrophy difficult and, of course, also makes it impossible for the observer to be blinded to the patient’s H pylori status. However, as discussed above, resolution of the inflammation might be more important than any possible resolution of atrophy with respect to the risk of gastric cancer.

The question regarding the appropriateness of eradicating H pylori infection in patients with reflux disease must also address the effect this may have on the reflux disease itself and its response to treatment. There are now reliable data indicating that H pylori infected subjects have a lower prevalence of reflux disease and its complications than those with CagA negative strains. These observations have stimulated interest in the possibility that H pylori infection may afford some protection from reflux disease and that the increasing prevalence of this disease and its complications in the Western world may be partly explained by the fall in prevalence of H pylori infection. Again, however, we must recognise that associations do not confirm causality as they may be due to confounding factors. However, the observation that CagA positive strains are associated with less reflux oesophagitis than CagA negative strains does raise the real possibility of a protective effect as this comparison within H pylori infected subjects removes confounding factors related to susceptibility to the infection.

If H pylori infection does provide protection against reflux disease then eradicating the infection should induce or aggravate the condition. The data on this question are also conflicting. Labenz et al reported that eradicating H pylori increased the incidence of oesophagitis in ulcer patients. However, Moayyedi et al did not find any increase in reflux symptoms following eradication of H pylori in patients with symptomatic heartburn. Schwartz et al reported improvement in reflux symptoms following H pylori treatment but their study was criticised for its small size and inadequate matching of randomised groups. Two studies have observed development of reflux disease...
following eradication of H pylori in patients with spontaneous corpus predominant gastritis and associated hyperchlorhydria and attributed it to the recovery of acid secretion produced by treating such subjects. It does seem likely that recovery of acid secretion following eradication of H pylori in patients with H pylori induced hyperchlorhydria will increase their propensity to reflux disease.

There are also concerns that eradicating H pylori infection will make it more difficult to adequately control reflux disease with proton pump inhibitor therapy. It has been clearly shown that proton pump inhibitor therapy is much more potent in H pylori positive subjects than in H pylori negative subjects. Omeprazole 20 mg increases 24 hour median intragastric pH to 5.5 in H pylori infected subjects but this falls to 3.0 following H pylori eradication. One would expect this impaired pH control to reduce the ability of proton pump therapy to control reflux disease. Holtmann et al have indeed demonstrated this in their study of 971 patients receiving proton pump inhibitor therapy for endoscopic oesophagitis. They found that both the rate of healing of the endoscopic oesophagitis and the rate of control of symptoms was significantly higher in H pylori infected subjects. A study of 483 patients with uninvestigated heartburn also found that control of symptoms with omeprazole 20 mg was achieved in 86% of H pylori positive compared with only 65% of H pylori negative patients (p<0.02). H pylori infection also protects against rebound acid hypersecretion following discontinuation of proton pump inhibitor therapy and this protection is lost following eradication of the infection. In their paper in this issue of Gut, Kuipers et al claim that treating H pylori infection did not make the reflux disease more difficult to control. However, this conclusion is not supported by their own results. Thirty two per cent of those eradicated of H pylori had reflux symptoms on omeprazole compared with only 24% of those not eradicated. When their data are analysed looking at changes in symptoms over the two year follow up period, there is a −14% (−28% to 0%) difference in favour of the group not eradicated of H pylori (p<0.05). Contrary to the authors’ conclusion, I believe the current study by Kuipers et al provides further evidence that eradicating H pylori infection does make it more difficult to achieve long term control of reflux disease with proton pump inhibitor therapy.

In summary, therefore, reviewing the available evidence does not provide a clear answer to our question of whether we should eradicate H pylori infection in patients requiring long term proton pump inhibitor therapy for reflux disease. There is some evidence in favour of eradicating the infection; namely the observation that proton pump inhibitor therapy induces a corpus predominant gastritis which is associated in other circumstances with an increased risk of gastric cancer and that eradicating the infection resolves that gastritis. However, we do not know whether this gastritis is actually increasing the risk of cancer to a level any higher than in any other H pylori infected subject. Against treating H pylori infection is the fact that there is some evidence that H pylori infection may afford some protection from reflux disease and its complications, in addition to some reasonably good evidence that eradicating H pylori infection makes the control of reflux disease by proton pump inhibitor therapy slightly more difficult. The evidence based answer to our question is that we do not know whether H pylori should be eradicated in reflux patients requiring long term proton pump inhibitor therapy.

Interestingly, Kuipers et al conclude that H pylori infection should be eradicated in patients requiring long term proton pump inhibitor therapy for reflux disease. This is surprising and rather inconsistent with the findings they report. They observed no evidence of progression of corpus atrophy which had been their previous reason for recommending eradication of the infection. In addition, their study provides further evidence that eradicating H pylori impairs symptomatic control of reflux disease. The findings of their current study would therefore equally well support the opposite conclusion, namely that H pylori infection should not be eradicated in reflux patients requiring proton pump inhibitor therapy.
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Helminths and harmony

Mounting evidence suggests that helminths help regulate mucosal inflammation

The frequency of Crohn’s disease (CD) has increased substantially over the last 50 years. It is most prevalent in highly industrialised temperate regions. CD and ulcerative colitis (UC) are rare in less developed countries. This suggests that critical environmental factors affect the worldwide distribution of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD). The “IBD hygiene hypothesis” states that raising children in extremely hygienic environments negatively affects immune development which predisposes them to immunological diseases such as IBD. It is also postulated that the modern day lack of exposure to helminths due to our hygienic practices is an important environmental factor contributing to IBD. Until modern times, nearly all children and most adults harboured intestinal helminths. Helminths and the immune system of Homo sapiens co-evolved in close proximity over many 1000s of years. Helminths regulate their host’s immune system and prevent excessive inflammatory responses, which could underlie the mechanism of protection. Moreels and colleague now lend further support for this hypothesis by reporting in this issue of Gut that infection with the helminth Schistosoma mansoni protects rats from trinitrobenzene sulphonic acid (TNBS) induced colitis [see page 99].

Approximately two million people in the USA and Europe have CD or UC, which usually begins during the second to third decade of life. IBD probably results from an inappropriately vigorous immune response to contents of the intestinal lumen. Evidence supporting this contention includes the effectiveness of immune suppressants at controlling the disease and experimental data derived from mice prone to IBD because of defects in immune regulation. In most of these murine models, the inflammation is driven by Th helper 1 (Th1) circuitry and by substances in the intestinal lumen.

THE CASE FOR GENETICS IN IBD

UC and CD are disorders of complex derivation caused by the interplay of poorly defined environmental exposures and, at least in some instances, the inheritance of susceptibility genes. Often cited as evidence for genetic predisposition for IBD is the higher than expected occurrence of IBD in family members of patients with this condition and the high prevalence of the disease in Jewish populations of Western countries. Yet IBD is much less prevalent in the Jewish population of Israel with similar ethnic origin. Twin studies provide evidence of genetic predisposition for at least CD. A genetic defect in CARD15/NOD2, an intracellular protein that senses the bacterial product muramyl dipeptide, leaves some people more susceptible to CD. Various other genetic alterations are proposed as IBD risk factors. Yet genetic predispositions do not explain the rapidly increasing incidence of disease.

THE CASE FOR ENVIRONMENT IN IBD

There certainly are important environmental factors that affect the regional frequencies of these diseases worldwide. Smoking is a risk factor for CD. Appendectomy for appendicitis under the age of 20 years decreases the incidence of UC. The risk for IBD varies according to geography and occupation. There is a North-South gradient of IBD in the USA and Europe, with IBD being more common in people raised in the North. US military veterans are at low risk for this disease if they were raised in the rural South, were prisoners of war, or served in combat in tropical regions. People with blue collar jobs exposing them to dirt and physical exercise are less prone to IBD. IBD is more common in urban versus rural areas. CD and UC are rare in South America, Central America, Africa, and Asia with the White population of South Africa being the exception. Migration studies show that children of people from regions of low CD or UC frequency acquire a greater risk for IBD if they move to areas of high disease prevalence.

THE HABITAT OF HELMINTHS

Helminths are parasitic animals (worms) which, depending on species, live in locations such as the intestinal lumen, blood stream, or muscles of the host. These organisms colonise more than one third of the world population. Helminth colonisation is most common in children living in warm climates and subject to poor sanitation. The infective forms of these organisms are spread through contact with contaminated soil, food, or water. Before the 1940s, many children and adults in the USA carried helminths. Worm carriage was particularly common in rural areas of the South and in indigent populations of major cities. In the USA cities, helminthic colonisation has steadily declined. They are found in recent immigrants from less developed countries and in economically disadvantaged populations living in underserved regions of the USA such as some Indian reservations. These groups are at low risk for IBD. There is an inverse relationship between the frequency of worm colonisation and the prevalence of CD. There is more CD in urban versus rural populations, in northern versus southern regions of the USA and Europe, and developed versus less developed countries. The opposite is true for worm carriage.

IMMUNE REGULATION AND IBD

Inflammation can generate various regulatory agents such as interleukin (IL)-10, transforming growth factor β (TGF-β), IL-4, IL-13, and prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) that help modulate immune responses and limit tissue injury at
mucosal surfaces. IL-10 is a mediator with strong immunomodulatory actions. For instance, IL-10 inhibits macrophage and dendritic cell function and suppresses the production of important proinflammatory cytokines such as tumour necrosis factor α, IL-12, IL-1, nitric oxide, and various chemokines. Mice with a disruption of the IL-10 gene develop severe colitis showing the importance of IL-10 for mucosal immune homeostasis. TGF-β mediates highly pleiotropic immunoregulatory functions, and transgenic mice with a T cell selective blockade in TGF-β signalling develop colitis. PGE₂ is another well known factor that influences T helper 1 cell/T helper 2 cell (Th1/Th2) activation. It preferentially downregulates IL-12 receptor expression, inhibits the differentiation of Th1 cells, blocks IL-12 production from antigen presenting cells, and more. Mice deficient in the PGE receptor EP4 are more subject to dextran sodium sulphate induced colitis suggesting that PGE₂ is important for mucosal protection.

Regulatory T cells can induce peripheral tolerance and limit mucosal reactivity. In various animal models, several regulatory T cell phenotypes have been reported. Some express CD4 while others CD8. In some systems, they are distinguished through differential expression of surface molecules, such as CD25, CD45RB, and CTLA-4. This pattern of cell surface protein expression suggests that they may be in a primed effector or memory state. These regulatory T cells may mediate some of their effects through production of IL-10 and TGF-β. Described is an anergic regulatory T cell (Tr1) that produces high levels of IL-10 and TFG-β. Another cell called Th3 suppresses induction of experimental autoimmune encephalomyelitis primarily through production of TGF-β. Still others are not dependent on soluble IL-10 or TGF-β but instead express on their surface latency associated peptide, which is the amino terminal domain of the TGF-β precursor peptide. These cells can induce suppression via cell-cell contact.

Rag mice reconstituted with CD4⁺, CD45⁺ high T cells can develop severe colitis, which can be prevented by cotransfer of CD4⁺, CD45⁺ low T cells. TGF-β and IL-10 are required for protection, suggesting a role for these cytokines in the regulatory process. These studies suggest that regulatory T cells are also important in preventing IBD.

**Figure 1** The inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) hygiene hypothesis.

**HELMINTHS PROTECT**

There is now substantial human epidemiological data and several animal studies supporting the hypothesis that helminths protect the host from immunological disease. For instance, people colonised with helminths have high serum levels of IL-10, which may protect them from atopy. Helminths protect mice with active ulcerative colitis or Crohn’s disease is safe and possibly effective. Controlled clinical trials in both disorders are also being conducted and are nearing completion using a similar approach.

**SUMMARY**

Environmental factors affect the worldwide distribution of IBD. Supported by a growing volume of both epidemiological and experimental data, it appears plausible that exposure to helminths is a factor that protects people from IBD (fig 1). As reported by Moreels and colleagues in this issue of *Gut*, helminths protect mice from experimental colitis. Many factors help initiate and maintain immunological diseases. Targeting one or just a few cytokines in most cases may not prove sufficient to permanently suppress disease activity. Helminths have broad immunoregulatory properties that evolved as part of the successful host-parasite interaction.
Do steroids ameliorate bile acid malabsorption in Crohn’s disease?

R S Kwon, M C Carey

Steroids may partially restore impaired bile salt absorption in Crohn’s disease patients, highlighting a new modus operandi for steroids as their beneficial effects have traditionally been attributed to immunomodulatory effects alone.

Steroids are among the mainstays of medical therapy for Crohn’s disease but may lead to unfavourable long term complications. Recently, budesonide has been shown to be effective in inducing remission in mild to moderate disease while undergoing less systemic absorption compared with other corticosteroids.1 It is hypothesised that steroids exert their salutary effect through an immunomodulatory action on the small bowel mucosa.2 In this issue of Gut, Jung and colleagues3 shed light on the possibility of another potentially beneficial effect of steroid therapy—namely, the partial restoration of impaired bile salt absorption in Crohn’s patients with distal ileal involvement [see page 78].

The integrity of the enterohepatic circulation of bile salts is dependent on active uptake from the ileum, which is mediated by SLC10A2, known previously as the apical sodium dependent bile acid transporter (ASBT).4 Given the malady’s proclivity for the distal ileum, one of the classic hallmarks of intestinal Crohn’s disease is bile salt malabsorption.5,6 Bile salt malabsorption occurs when intestinal transport is appreciably disrupted, and the degree to which this occurs depends on the length of ileal involvement and/or resection.7 Therefore, the activity and functioning of the remaining ASBT, as well as colonic compensation by passive absorption of bacterially modified (secondary) bile acids are essential for keeping the enterohepatic circulation of bile salts partially intact.8–10 Mild bile salt malabsorption may result in cholelithiasis enteropathy that is easily controlled with low dose bile salt sequestrants. However, more extensive ileal involvement is accompanied by severe bile salt malabsorption, fat malabsorption, and steatorrhoea, as well as frequent diarrhoea made worse by sequestrants.

Previously, ASBT expression was shown to be upregulated by glucocorticoids in a rat model.11 Jung and colleagues3 have built upon this framework by showing that this response also takes place in humans. Employing ileal biopsies obtained at colonoscopy, the authors determined that a 21 day course of budesonide induced a 34% increase in ileal ASBT expression in 10 apparently normal volunteers. Within the promoter region of ASBT, the authors identified two inverted repeat (IR3) motifs that were shown to function as glucocorticoid response elements (GRE). They cotransfected the glucocorticoid receptor (GR) with a luciferase promoter construct of the ASBT gene into an in vitro cell line. When the cells were treated with dexamethasone, a known GR ligand, and budesonide (both at concentrations of 0.1–1 μmol/l), ASBT promoter activity increased 15–20-fold. Utilising electrophoretic mobility assays, the authors demonstrated that the IR3 sequences formed DNA-protein complexes with GR that could be inhibited with anti-GR antibodies.

A most interesting finding was that when compared with normal control tissue, ASBT expression was reduced significantly in ileal biopsies taken from 16 Crohn’s disease patients obtained from a Zurich inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) tissue bank. This seems to us rather surprising given that there were no inflammatory histological changes in the biopsies, except one with “mild” inflammation. Unfortunately, the Jung3 study did not include detailed clinical information on the patients, such as demographics (for example, age), duration, extent or symptomatology of their disease, how the biopsies were obtained, their Crohn’s disease activity index scores, use of any medications, or whether these patients exhibited any evidence of structurally significant or subclinical bile salt malabsorption. This dearth of clinical information makes it difficult to interpret the true significance of the reduction in ASBT expression in ex vivo banked tissue samples. If a similar reduction could be found in the ileum of patients with newly diagnosed disease and therefore with no prior therapy, then this would support the possibility that functional ileocyte abnormalities occur prior to microscopic inflammatory changes classically associated with Crohn’s disease. Clearly the authors’ findings will need to be validated in further in vitro and clinical studies but their observations raise the intriguing question that bile salt malabsorption may be a precursor to histologically evident Crohn’s disease. This would be a novel concept especially if the reduction in ASBT expression serves as a marker for the extent and even activity of the disease, particularly when the inflammation primarily affects other sites in the gastrointestinal tract, such as the colon. Nevertheless, the possibility must be borne in mind that if the index patients in this study3 had resolving ileitis aided by the use of medications, then the biopsies could represent histological ileocyte recovery in the face of delayed return of physiological ASBT expression.

This provocative study also sheds further light on the clinical relevance of bile salt malabsorption during the course of Crohn’s disease and its complications. The first dysfunctional ASBT mutation was actually identified in the ileum of a Crohn’s disease patient.12 Diminution in ASBT expression found by Jung and colleagues3 suggests the importance of including early therapy against bile salt malabsorption (for example, bile acid sequestrants) in conjunction with traditional immunomodulatory therapy for Crohn’s disease. The findings from the current study would suggest that budesonide itself would be particularly beneficial in early Crohn’s disease as it may be capable of targeting both therapeutic goals. Therapy against bile salt malabsorption may not only be beneficial in terms of controlling diarrhoea but also in preventing pigment gall stone formation, for which Crohn’s disease patients are at appreciably higher risk.13 In a systematic study, Brink and colleagues14 demonstrated elevations in total bilirubin levels in gall bladder bile (hyperbilirubinemia) obtained from Crohn’s disease patients with extensive ileitis (>50 cm) or ileal resections, work that was confirmed by another group.15 The likely mechanism for this pigment lithogenicity is passive enterohepatic cycling of bilirubin from the large intestine caused by solubilisation of unconjugated bilirubin (UCB) by increased colonic bile salt concentrations, as well as prevention of urobilinoid formation.15 UCB resorbed from the
Colon is taken up and reconjugated in the liver and secreted in excess into bile where the elevated levels provide supra-physiological substrate concentrations for deconjugation by biliary β-glucuronidase. The resulting higher biliary concentrations of UCB may precipitate as calcium salts in gall bladder bile and therefore increase the risk of “black” pigment gall stone formation.

The work by Jung and colleagues also contributes to the recent explosion of studies investigating the regulation of the enterohepatic circulation and bile salt metabolism. In particular, information on the extraordinary complexity of control of ASBT expression continues to grow at an amazing pace. Two recent studies, including one from the same Zurich group, have demonstrated the central role of hepatocyte nuclear factors HNF-4α and HNF-1α in the transcriptional regulation of ASBT. Now, with the addition of the GREs in the ASBT gene identified in the current study, our understanding of the complexity of ASBT regulation increases further. The authors’ findings, in conjunction with the observation that expression of fatty acid binding protein (FABP6), formerly known as ileal bile acid binding protein (IBABP), is also regulated by glucocorticoids, highlight a new modus operandi for steroids as their salutary results have traditionally been attributed to their immunomodulatory effects alone. Perhaps this knowledge will yield a role for earlier and more frequent use of corticosteroids in this disease and, in particular, budesonide which reduces the risk of untoward systemic complications associated with chronic steroid therapy. Certainly, the authors’ findings of lower ASBT levels in Crohn’s disease brings to mind the benefits of early and routine use of newer bile acid sequestrants that are now in existence (for example, colesvelam), which are more potent and better tolerated than traditional ones. However, before such changes in the management of Crohn’s disease occurs, the functional and clinical relevance of decreased ASBT expression in histologically normal ileal biopsies from IBD patients will need to be clarified. In addition, to answer our rhetorical title, it remains to be shown whether the regulatory effect of budesonide or other corticosteroids on ASBT expression can be observed in acutely inflamed ileal tissue and whether this will translate into any clinical benefit for Crohn’s patients.
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