Genotype C hepatitis B virus infection is associated with an increased risk of hepatocellular carcinoma
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Background: Identification of risk factors for the development of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is important for HCC surveillance in chronic hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection. Our aim was to study the independent risk factors and effect of HBV genotypes on HCC development in a prospective longitudinal cohort of chronic hepatitis B patients.

Patients and methods: Chronic hepatitis B patients recruited since 1997 were prospectively followed up for the development of HCC. HCC was diagnosed by a combination of α fetoprotein, imaging, and histology. Liver cirrhosis was defined as ultrasonic features of cirrhosis together with hypersplenism, ascites, varices, and/or encephalopathy.

Results: In total, 426 patients were followed up for 1664 person years; median 225 (range 12–295) weeks. Forty nine (11%) patients had underlying clinical liver cirrhosis. A total of 242 (57%) and 179 (42%) patients had HBV genotypes C and B, respectively. Twenty five patients developed HCC in a median follow up of 121 (range 14–236) weeks. The overall incidence of HCC was 1502 cases per 100 000 person years. On multivariate analysis, clinical liver cirrhosis and HBV genotype C infection were independently associated with HCC development, with an adjusted relative risk of 10.24 (95% confidence interval (CI) 4.39–23.89; p<0.001) and 2.84 (95% CI 1.05–7.72; p=0.040), respectively. Patient age, sex, hepatitis B e antigen (HBeAg) status, alanine aminotransferase (ALT) levels, and basal core promoter mutations did not predict HCC development. Patients infected with HBV genotype C tended to have persistently positive HBeAg or fluctuating HBeAg status and higher ALT levels during the follow up period.

Conclusion: Genotype C HBV infection is an independent risk factor for HCC development in addition to liver cirrhosis.

Epidemiological studies have shown a strong association between chronic hepatitis B virus (HBV) infection and hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), which is a major cause of morbidity and mortality in areas where chronic hepatitis B is endemic. The annual incidence of HCC development in chronic HBV infected patients ranges from 0.2% to 0.8% in different centres. Surveillance of HCC by semi annual α fetoprotein testing followed by ultrasound and/or computerised tomography imaging can detect HCC at an early resectable stage, which may be translated to prolongation of patient survival.

As there are 350 million chronic HBV infected patients worldwide, population based HCC surveillance programmes would imply a huge demand on public health resources. This problem will be more serious in Southeast Asia where the prevalence of chronic hepatitis B is up to 15%. Identification of risk factors for HCC and stratification of patient risk is therefore important to guide future surveillance strategy.

Various risk factors for HCC development have been identified but their relationship is complicated. Older patient age and liver cirrhosis have been consistently found to be important risk factors for HCC in most studies. However, the incidence of liver cirrhosis also increases with patient age. A population based study in Taiwan found that positive hepatitis B e antigen (HBeAg) at recruitment was associated with a higher risk of HCC development after adjustment for the effect of age and sex after 10 years of follow up. However, the status of liver cirrhosis was not assessed in this study.

To date, eight different HBV genotypes (A–H) have been reported according to viral genomic heterogeneity. In Southeast Asia, HBV genotypes B and C are most prevalent. Case control studies found that HBV genotype C was associated with a higher risk of HCC than genotype B in Japan and Taiwan. Contradictory results were obtained from two large scaled cross sectional studies which found that the distributions of HBV genotypes B and C were similar among patients with and without HCC. All of these studies are potentially subject to sampling bias and the potential confounding effects of patient age, HBeAg status, and liver cirrhosis were not assessed. As HBV genotype C is associated with more aggressive disease than genotype B, HBeAg status and liver cirrhosis status must be taken into consideration before the true impact of HBV genotype on HCC development can be determined. Previous studies have suggested that basal core promoter (BCP) mutations (A to T at nucleotide 1762 and G to A at nucleotide 1764), which are commonly found in HBV genotype C, increase the risk of HCC development. However, the prevalence of BCP mutations increases with HBeAg seroconversion and their association with HCC has not been confirmed in longitudinal studies.

The primary aim of this study was to determine the independent risk factor(s) for HCC development in a prospective longitudinal cohort of chronic hepatitis B patients in Hong Kong. Potential risk factors, including patient demographics, liver cirrhosis, HBeAg status, BCP mutations, and viral genotypes were assessed. The secondary aim was to investigate disease progression and effect on HCC development among patients infected with different HBV genotypes.

Abbreviations: ALT, alanine aminotransferase; anti-HBe, antibody to hepatitis B e antigen; BCP, basal core promoter; HBeAg, hepatitis B e antigen; HBV, hepatitis B virus; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; PCR, polymerase chain reaction.
PATIENTS AND METHODS

Patients

Consecutive chronic hepatitis B patients of Chinese ethnicity recruited at the Hepatitis Clinic, Prince of Wales Hospital, from December 1997 to July 2000, were studied. No patient had a history of alcoholism (>20 g/week) or hepatitis C virus coinfection. Patients who had other possible causes of hepatitis or liver cirrhosis, including autoimmune liver disease, primary biliary cirrhosis, Wilson’s disease, and haemochromatosis, were also excluded. At the initial presentation, an ultrasound examination of the abdomen was performed to exclude any pre-existing HCC. Patients were prospectively followed up every six months or more frequently if clinically indicated, with monitoring of liver biochemistry, HBeAg, and antibody to hepatitis B e antigen (anti-HBe) status, as well as α fetoprotein levels. Ultrasound of the abdomen, computerised tomography, hepatic angio-gram, and/or liver biopsy were performed if α fetoprotein levels were higher than 50 μg/l or demonstrated a rising trend over 20 μg/l to confirm the diagnosis of HCC. For patients with normal α fetoprotein levels, ultrasound of the abdomen was performed every 1–2 years. Clinical liver cirrhosis was defined as ultrasonic features of liver cirrhosis plus evidence of hypersplenism (splenomegaly with a platelet count of less than 100 x 10^9/l or white count less than 4 x 10^9/l), clinical ascites, varices, and/or hepatic encephalopathy.

Serology assays

HBsAg and anti-hepatitis C virus antibodies (third generation assay) were tested using commercially available enzyme linked immunosorbant assay kits (Abbott GmBH Diagnostika, Wiesbaden-Delkenheim, Germany). HBeAg and anti-HBe were measured by enzyme linked immunosorbant assay (Sanofi Diagnostics, Pasteur, France).

HBV genotyping

HBV genotyping was performed in the residual serum sample of each patient at the initial visit. Genotyping polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification and restriction fragment length polymorphism were performed as described previously. In short, 10 μl of extracted HBV DNA was amplified by PCR using primers flanking the HBV genome between nucleotides 256 to 796 (sense primer 5'GAC TTC TCT CAA TTT TC and antisense primer 5'GTG GTG -GTG GTG). The PCR product (10 μl) was then mixed and incubated with restriction enzymes Tsp 5091 (New England Biolabs, Beverly, Massachusetts, USA) and HinfI (Boehringer Mannheim, Mannheim, Germany), respectively. After incubation, samples were run on a composite gel containing 2% NuSieve agarose (FMC BioProducts, Rockland, Maine, USA) and 1% standard agarose. DNA was visualised by ethidium bromide staining and the restriction pattern was read accordingly.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Overall</th>
<th>HCC</th>
<th>No HCC</th>
<th>p Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age [y] (mean (SD))</td>
<td>41 (13)</td>
<td>52 (10)</td>
<td>40 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age &gt; 40 [n (%)]</td>
<td>228 (54%)</td>
<td>21 (84%)</td>
<td>207 (52%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male [n (%)]</td>
<td>278 (65%)</td>
<td>21 (84%)</td>
<td>257 (64%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cirrhosis [n (%)]</td>
<td>49 (11%)</td>
<td>14 (56%)</td>
<td>35 (9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBeAg+ [n (%)]</td>
<td>157 (37%)</td>
<td>10 (40%)</td>
<td>147 (37%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genotype C [n (%)]*</td>
<td>242 (57%)</td>
<td>18 (7%)</td>
<td>224 (56%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCP mutations [n (%)]†</td>
<td>215 (56%)</td>
<td>19 (83%)</td>
<td>196 (54%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow up (weeks)</td>
<td>225 (12-295)</td>
<td>121 (14-236)</td>
<td>226 (12-295)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Five patients were not evaluated; one patient who developed HCC had a mixed HBV genotype B and C.
†Forty three patients (two had HCC) had a negative polymerase chain reaction (PCR) for BCP mutations and were not evaluated. Twenty patients had genotype B, 21 patients had genotype C, one patient had a mixed genotype B and C, and one patient had a negative PCR for HBV genotyping.

RESULTS

Baseline characteristics

A total of 426 patients, including 278 males and 148 females (median age 41 (range 12–80) years) were followed up for 1664 person years (median 225 (range 12–295) weeks). Forty nine (11%) patients had underlying clinical liver cirrhosis. Twenty nine (59%) patients had Child’s A, 15 (31%) Child’s B, and five (10%) patients had Child’s C cirrhosis. Baseline clinical data are shown in table 1. Two hundred and forty two (57%) patients had HBV genotype C, 179 (42%) had genotype B, three had a mixed genotype B and C, one patient had genotype A, and one patient had negative PCR. A total of 215 (56%) patients had BCP mutations; among them two patients had deletion of nucleotides 1762 and 1764.

Risk factors of HCC

A total of 25 patients developed HCC in a median follow up of time of 121 (range 14–236) weeks. The overall incidence of HCC was 1502 cases per 100 000 person years. The cumulative probability of HCC development was 1.4%, 2.9%, 4.5%, 5.9%, and 6.9% from the first to the fifth year.

Baseline proemoter mutations

BCP mutations were determined in the residual serum sample of each patient at the initial visit. PCR and direct sequencing of amplified products was performed as described previously using primers that flanked the core promoter and precore regions.

Statistical analysis

The Cox proportional hazard model was used to estimate the relative risk of HCC development associated with age, sex, clinical liver cirrhosis, HBeAg status, alanine aminotransf-erase (ALT) level, BCP mutations, and HBV genotype. Categorical variables were compared by χ² test and continuous variables by the Mann-Whitney U test. Variables with a p value <0.1 on univariate analysis were analysed by backward stepwise multivariate analysis for independent risk factors associated with HCC development. The 95% confidence interval (95% CI) for the relative risks was also calculated. Cumulative probability of HCC development was determined by the Kaplan-Meier method and compared by log rank test. All statistical analyses were performed using the Statistical Package of Social Science (SPSS version 11.0). All statistical tests were two sided. Statistical significance was taken as p<0.05.
of follow up (fig 1). On univariate analysis of baseline variables, age >40 years, male sex, presence of clinical liver cirrhosis, BCP mutations, and HBV genotype C were associated with the development of HCC (p<0.1) (table 1). HBV positivity and ALT levels were not associated with HCC development.

On multivariate analysis, clinical liver cirrhosis and genotype C HBV infection were independently associated with HCC development. Clinical liver cirrhosis was the strongest predictor of HCC development with an adjusted relative risk of 10.24 (95% CI 4.39–23.89; p<0.001) whereas HBV genotype C has an adjusted relative risk of 2.84 (95% CI 1.05–7.72; p = 0.040). On subgroup analysis, the incidence of HCC development among patients with clinical cirrhosis was 1.1-fold higher in HBV genotype C (10 608 cases per 100 000 person years) versus HBV genotype B (5147 cases per 100 000 person years) infection (p = 0.21) (fig 2). Similarly, the incidence of HCC development among patients who had no clinical liver cirrhosis was 2.3-fold higher in HBV genotype C (10 494 cases per 100 000 person years) versus HBV genotype B (3 222 cases per 100 000 person years) infection (p = 0.11) (fig 2). Differences in incidence of HCC development were however not statistically significant between HBV genotypes B and C with or without liver cirrhosis, probably due to the small number of events in each subgroup and the marginal significance of HBV genotype.

Mean (SD) age of HCC development was comparable between patients infected with HBV genotype B (49 (15)) and genotype C (53 (9)) (p = 0.51). Using age 40 years as the cut off, there was no difference in the proportion of patients infected with HBV genotype C for early (2/4 patients, 50%) and late (16/18 patients, 89%) onset HCC (p = 0.21).

HCC in non-cirrhotic livers

Among 11 patients who developed HCC but without clinical evidence of liver cirrhosis, nine had liver histology available. Seven (78%) patients had histological evidence of severe fibrosis or liver cirrhosis while the remaining two patients had mild to moderate liver fibrosis (not to the stage of liver cirrhosis). Hence at least 8% (2/25) of the HCC developed in our cohort were derived from a non-cirrhotic liver. These two patients were male, aged 36 and 49 years, respectively, infected with HBV genotype C. They had mildly elevated ALT (2–5 times the upper limit of the laboratory normal) and persistently negative HBV positivity during a follow up period of 123 and 169 weeks, respectively, before HCC was diagnosed. Serum HBV DNA levels at initial visits were 1 770 000 and 800 copies/ml, respectively.

Disease patterns of different HBV genotypes

At baseline, patients infected with HBV genotype C were generally younger with a borderline female predominance and higher proportion of positive HBV positivity compared with those infected with HBV genotype B (table 2). The prevalence of BCP mutations was higher among patients infected with genotype C. Otherwise, the percentage of clinical liver cirrhosis, median ALT levels, and duration of follow up were comparable between patients infected with HBV genotypes B and C.

On follow up, 87 of 242 (36%) patients infected with HBV genotype C versus 33 of 179 (18%) infected with HBV genotype B had persistently positive HBV positivity or fluctuating HBV positivity status (p<0.001) (table 2). In contrast 123 of 242 (51%) patients infected with HBV genotype C versus 127 of 179 (71%) infected with genotype B had persistently negative HBV positivity (p<0.001) (table 2). A similar proportion of patients infected with both HBV genotypes underwent sustained HBV seroconversion. In general, HBV genotype C was associated with higher ALT levels than genotype B (p = 0.015) (table 2).

DISCUSSION

The results of this study confirm that HBV genotype C is associated with an increased risk of HCC, and is independent of the presence of liver cirrhosis. Patient age, sex, HBV positivity, and ALT levels had no independent impact on the cumulative probability of HCC development after five years of follow up.

The overall incidence of HCC in this study was 1052 cases per 100 000 person years. The high incidence of HCC in this study may be related to the 11% of patients who had clinical liver cirrhosis with a very high incidence of HCC (7998 cases per 100 000 person years). If only non-cirrhotic patients are considered, and incidence of HCC was 743 cases per 100 000 person years. This is comparable with the reported incidence in Taiwan (822 per 100 000 person years) but still higher than that reported in Toronto, Canada (470 per 100 000 person years). One reason may be related to the long
mutations are strongly associated with HBV genotype C,21 23 patients infected with HBV genotype C. Although BCP...

In this prospective study, clinical liver cirrhosis was the most important independent risk factor for HCC development. As liver cirrhosis is defined by clinical criteria, all patients in this category have established liver cirrhosis while patients with early liver cirrhosis may be classified as non-cirrhotic. This explains the relatively high cumulative incidence of HCC among cirrhotic patients in this study compared with previous series among compensated cirrhotic patients.18 The use of clinical criteria to classify liver cirrhosis enables an undisputable diagnosis of liver cirrhosis while the diagnosis of early liver cirrhosis on histology may be affected by biopsy size and interobserver variations.28 As liver biopsy may not be a routine procedure for all chronic hepatitis B patients, particularly among those who have normal liver enzymes as in our centre, a clinical diagnosis is more feasible in clinical practice.

Patients who have developed liver cirrhosis are usually older, and male patients generally have more advanced liver disease than female patients.19 20 The same association also holds true in the case of HCC, as shown in the univariate analysis. When liver cirrhosis was included in the multivariate analysis equation, the impact of these two demographic factors on HCC development became insignificant. Therefore, in surveillance programmes for HCC, the importance of patient age and sex should not be overemphasised as long as the status of clinical liver cirrhosis is taken into consideration.

HBV genotype C was found to be an independent risk factor for HCC development, which concurs with results of case control studies reported previously.15 16 This association was not as obvious on univariate analysis, probably due to the younger age and marginal female predominance among patients infected with HBV genotype C. Although BCP mutations are strongly associated with HBV genotype C,21 23 no association between BCP mutations and HCC development was found in this study on multivariate analysis. More patients infected with HBV genotype C had persistently positive HBeAg and fluctuating HBeAg whereas more patients infected with genotype B had persistently negative HBeAg on follow up. This may reflect a relatively higher level of viraemia among patients infected with HBV genotype C.29 30 One of two patients who developed HCC from a non-cirrhotic liver in this study had elevated HBV DNA levels despite persistently negative HBeAg on follow up. Viral genotype and high viraemia may be an independent direct cause of hepatocarcinogenesis in addition to liver cirrhosis, which is the result of continuous necroinflammation.32 The direct carcinogenic mechanism may act through increasing αs activation of the proto-oncogene, suppression of tumour suppressor gene, or transactivation by HBV X protein.11

In contrast with the Taiwan report, a single HBeAg result at baseline could not predict the risk of HCC development in this study.11 One probable reason is that a significant proportion of HBeAg positive patients underwent HBeAg seroconversion while some HBeAg negative patients had HBeAg reversion during follow up. In fact, none of the 51 patients who underwent sustained HBeAg seroconversion developed HCC in the present cohort. This concurs with previous reports that sustained HBeAg seroconversion is associated with a favourable prognosis.25 26 In contrast, fluctuating HBeAg status may associate with more aggressive disease progression although it was not confirmed in this study (4/25 (11%) with HCC versus 42/401 (16%) without HCC; p = 0.60).2 In this study, the impact of baseline HBV DNA level on HCC development was not evaluated. As HBV DNA is closely related to HBeAg status and tends to change with time, particularly on HBeAg seroconversion, serial monitoring of HBV DNA is necessary.16 27

In summary, HBV genotype C is an independent risk factor for the development of HCC in addition to liver cirrhosis. Viral genotype should therefore be determined on risk stratification in future HCC surveillance programmes. The exact reason for the higher risk of hepatocarcinogenesis associated with HBV genotype C warrants further investigation but direct viral effects in addition to necroinflammation and liver cirrhosis are possible.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

We would like to thank the Cheng Suen Man Shook Foundation for the Study of Viral Hepatitis for support of this study.
REFERENCES


EDITOR’S QUIZ: GI SNAPSHOT

Answer

From question on page 1484

The thoracoabdominal computed tomography scan revealed the presence of liquid and bowel in the left hemithorax. Parietal thickening and enhancement strongly suggested bowel infarction. The heart and mediastinum were shifted to the right side. An exploratory laparotomy was performed. The oesophageal hiatus was normal but a 4.5 cm rent was discovered in the posterior leaf of the left hemi diaphragm through which herniated a massively dilated transverse colon and jejunum, with evidence of infarction. Transverse colectomy and resection of 2 m of jejunum were performed with direct diaphragm repair. A chest tube was placed in the left hemithorax. The postoperative course was uneventful. The patient was discharged from hospital 10 days after surgery.

One should be aware of the possibility of diaphragmatic hernia in patients with a known history of abdominal trauma. Although uncommon, strangulation of the colon and small bowel through a rent in the diaphragm should be considered when there is radiological evidence of herniation.