Role of multi-detector row CT angiography in the management of gastric fundal varices

We read with great interest the article by Willmann et al (Gut 2003;52:886–92) regarding the superiority of multi-detector row CT (MDCT) angiography over endoscopic ultrasound for the detection and characterisation of submucosal gastric fundal varices (FV).

We strongly agree that MDCT angiography provides excellent visualisation of FV, as well as afferent and efferent veins, and that it provides valuable anatomical information for deciding the therapeutic strategies for FV (fig 1A, B).1,2

Iwase and colleagues3 divided FV into localised and diffuse types using MDCT angiography. This classification resembles the findings obtained by investigation of resected or autopsied stomachs.4 According to Iwase and colleagues,3 diffuse FV are more difficult to obliterate with cyanoacrylate than the findings obtained by investigation of angiography. This classification resembles localised and diffuse types using MDCT angiography after therapy, FV will rarely recur.5

With regard to the treatment of FV reported by the authors, we also have some comments. Firstly, they treated a patient by transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunting (TIPS). However, as the patient had a type 2 portal haemodynamic pattern, as confirmed by Kanagawa and colleagues, B-RTO would have been preferable if his portal pressure gradient was less than 12 mm Hg.6,7

Secondly, we would like to ask the authors how they treated the patient presented in fig 3? As the varices seem to be so-called GÖV2, as classified by Sarin and Kumar,8 they could be treated by endoscopic sclerotherapy with the oesophageal varices.
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We thank Dr Matsumoto et al for their interest in our work.

Balloon occluded retrograde transvenous obliteration (B-RTO) is a recently described interventional radiology technique which allows effective treatment of gastric varices, similar to but less invasive than transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt (TIPS).9 It has recently been shown that B-RTO of gastric varices can even be performed through the left inferior phrenic vein which represents the efferent vein of gastric varices.10 There is no doubt that B-RTO through the left inferior phrenic vein would have been an option for the treatment of the patient shown in fig 2 of our article (Gut 2003;52:886–92). However, since the portal venous pressure gradient in this particular patient was 28 mm Hg, we preferred to place a 10 mm diameter TIPS in this particular patient.

The patient illustrated by fig 3 in our study (Gut 2003;52:886–92) was classified as having gastro-oesophageal varices type 2 (GOV2), according to the endoscopic classification proposed by Sarin and Kumar.10 This patient underwent endoscopic sclerotherapy.
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Primary antiphospholipid syndrome as a new cause of autoimmune pancreatitis

I read with interest the article by Kamisawa et al regarding the aetiology of autoimmune pancreatitis (Gut 2003;52:683–7). The cause of a significant proportion of cases of acute pancreatitis remains uncertain. I would like to describe a case of acute pancreatitis associated with antiphospholipid syndrome to highlight another potentially important cause of autoimmune pancreatitis which I believe has not been previously described.

Case report

A 30 year old woman was admitted twice in the space of three months with acute pancreatitis. She had a past medical history of anxiety and occasional migraines, for which she took alprazolam and propranolol, respectively. She had suffered two miscarriages and had one healthy child. She drank 3 units of alcohol per day. She was otherwise well and had no history of musculoskeletal problems.

On both occasions her amylase level was significantly elevated (787 and 364, respectively (normal range 30–154)). Ultrasound and computed tomography of her abdomen were carried out each time and demonstrated a diffusely swollen pancreas consistent with acute pancreatitis, but with no evidence of gall stones or biliary duct dilatation.

Liver function tests were all normal with the exception of a slightly elevated gamma glutamyl transferase level. Glucose, lipids, thyroid stimulating hormone, calcium, and clotting (international normalised ratio and activated partial thromboplastin time) were all normal. Full blood count was normal except for a neutrophilia during her acute illness. Her erythrocyte sedimentation rate was raised at 78. Urine microscopy and urinalysis protein excretion were both normal.

On her second admission to hospital she had four generalised seizures and magnetic resonance imaging showed cortical vein thrombosis with associated venous infarction. Subsequent investigation revealed a strongly positive anticardiolipin antibody (1 in 640) but her extractable nuclear antigens and dsDNA were negative, as was her anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic autoantibody and her antimitochondrial and antismooth muscle antibodies. Her thrombophilia screen was negative but her IgG anticardiolipin antibody titres were positive at 22.3 (0–5.5).

A diagnosis of antiphospholipid syndrome was made. She was treated with heparin and warfarin and subsequently made a good recovery.

Discussion

Primary antiphospholipid syndrome is defined as the presence of antiphospholipid antibodies (anti-β2-glycoprotein I or anti-cardiolipin antibodies) in association with thrombosis or recurrent miscarriage, but in the absence of another cause. Proposal of the concept of antiphospholipid antibody syndrome was in the late 1970s. The syndrome was defined as the association of vascular occlusion and thromboembolism, which may be detected by clinical examination, imaging studies, and laboratory tests. The diagnosis of antiphospholipid antibody syndrome is based on the presence of antiphospholipid antibodies and vascular manifestations.

Antiphospholipid antibodies are a group of antibodies that can cause thrombosis and lead to recurrent miscarriages. They are found in patients with antiphospholipid syndrome, which is a chronic, autoimmune disorder that affects the blood vessels. The syndrome is characterised by recurrent miscarriages, strokes, and other thrombotic events.

The pathogenesis of antiphospholipid syndrome is not fully understood, but it is believed to be caused by the presence of antiphospholipid antibodies, which can lead to the formation of blood clots. The antibodies bind to phospholipids on the surface of blood vessels, causing inflammation and damage to the vessel walls. This can lead to the formation of blood clots, which can cause thrombosis and other complications.
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Treatment of interferon non-responsive chronic hepatitis C with triple therapy with interferon, ribavirin, and amantidine can be encouraging

Patients with hepatitis C virus infection who do not respond to treatment with interferon alone or its combination with ribavirin present a serious clinical challenge and there is no clear choice for treatment in these individuals.1-3 Earlier studies with antimadine, which has been used in influenza, had shown promising results.4 Now, Adinolfi et al (Gut 2003) have shown 68% end of treatment response with induction therapy using daily interferon for four weeks (and then three injections weekly) in combination with ribavirin and amantidine hydrochloride.

We had used interferon in doses of 3 million units given subcutaneously thrice weekly with ribavirin 800–1200 mg/day and amantidine hydrochloride 100 mg orally twice a day in a small group of chronic hepatitis C patients who had not responded to a combination of interferon and ribavirin. We found a 50% end of treatment response after a treatment period of 12 months (see table 1). Half of the patients showed no effect on alanine aminotransferase or hepatitis C virus RNA, and in these patients treatment was discontinued after three months.

There are reports of good results with the use of amantidine in combination with interferon.4 Therefore, although the mechanism of action of amantidine in this setting is unclear, it is becoming obvious that there is an encouraging situation for these hard to treat patients and there may be light at the end of the tunnel. Due to lack of major sponsorship for amantidine from a large
pharmaceutical company however, it may take a while before this happens.
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Who should perform endoscopic procedures?

We read with great interest the report of Small et al on upper gastrointestinal endoscopy performed by nurses (Gut 2003;52:1090–4). This work shows a limited experience with specific endoscopy trained nurses that had no significant effect on diagnostic yield, patient discomfort, or patient satisfaction compared with medical staff. Many experiences, some of them mentioned in this report, have shown that nurses can successfully perform endoscopic procedures.

Of course, nurses require a training programme, similar to the one usually offered to our residents and trainees. We are certain that specifically trained nurses could also perform liver biopsies, abdominal ultrasound examination, diagnostic laparoscopy, and some surgical procedures, such as appendectomy or elective cholecystectomy. Any manual (surgical or endoscopic) procedure may be learnt by anyone, medical or non-medical, in common with many other forms of manual labour or craftsmanship. Frequently, and not so long ago, GPs performed some surgical procedures, such as caesarean section and appendectomy, and barbers once performed surgery. This could be the first step towards a new perspective for medical practice in which nurses might attend our gastroenterology wards and clinics in some circumstances.

We would like to doubt about the accuracy and seriousness of this report but, as the authors explain in the introduction, the main motivation for the study was economic. Public health systems, such as the British and Spanish systems, have a great deal of sanitary costs. In our day to day clinical practice, many strategies are tried with the aim of reducing costs. But some frequently overlooked ethical, scientific, and philosophical questions are implied. Nowadays, there are more restrictive conditions to teaching endoscopy to young gastroenterologists. Ethical and legal concerns make resident training more expensive and difficult, as our developed societies are increasingly demanding of healthcare quality and safety. Hence should we begin teaching endoscopy to non-medical staff? We do not believe so. We also believe that one essential function of medical practice is to provide investigation (an always limited field in terms of funds and time) in public hospitals' busy endoscopy wards. Then, should nurses have a specific training in investigation? The excellent work of Smale et al raises many other simple questions: (1) When to stop? (2) The main goal of this work was to have cheaper endoscopists, so why not try to minimise costs by teaching nurses or other physician tasks, such as physical examination or minor surgical procedures? (3) Should we begin training nurses instead of our residents in our endoscopy wards? (4) Why not begin to teach nurses other endoscopic procedures, such as endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography or endoscopic ultrasound and, in this event, what is the future role for physicians?

In summary, we believe our efforts should be directed towards better clinical practice, defining indications for different medical procedures, limiting costs in the many other aspects of endoscopy and gastroenterology, and trying to perform our specific role, nurse or medical, as scientifically based and accurate as possible.
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The diagnostic dilemmas in discrimination between pancreatic carcinoma and chronic pancreatitis

Early diagnosis to distinguish between malignant pancreatic tumours and chronic pancreatitis is still difficult, despite significant progress in imaging techniques. Moreover, patients with chronic pancreatitis have a higher risk of pancreatic cancer development.

The study of Malaka et al (Gut 2002;51:849–52) clearly confirms these difficulties, independently of rigorous selection criteria of patients with chronic pancreatitis. To exclude the possibility that chronic pancreatitis may be caused by early potentially premalignant lesions, the authors eliminated from their investigations even patients with chronic pancreatitis in whom pancreatic cancer was recognized during the first two years of follow up.

Several studies indicate the value of circulating tumour marker evaluation as a simple, sensitive, and reliable test facilitating the differential diagnosis between chronic pancreatitis and cancer. To improve the effectiveness of serological diagnosis of patients with pancreatic disease, different tumour markers have been assessed, including CEAC, CA 242, CA 90, and CA 72-4. However, the sensitivity and specificity of these markers appeared to be insufficient for differentiation of pancreatic carcinoma from chronic pancreatitis. In 1996, CAM 17-1 was described as a new useful diagnostic marker in pancreatic carcinoma. It showed a sensitivity similar to that of CA 19-9 but higher specificity, giving only 10% false positive results in patients with chronic pancreatitis.

Tissue polypeptide specific antigen (TPS) is a different type of antigen that does not correlate with tumour mass but reflects tumour proliferative activity. Our study revealed that elevated levels of TPS detected preoperatively 100% of patients with pancreatic carcinoma. The introduction of 200 U/l as a decision criterion for TPS level allowed an increase in the specificity of this marker to 98% and eliminated all but 2% of the false positive results in patients with chronic pancreatitis. Moreover, TPS is useful for detection of the early stages of clinical advancement of pancreatic carcinoma.

It seems that measurement of TPS, using 200 U/l as the cut off value, should facilitate more precise discrimination between the early stages of pancreatic carcinoma and chronic pancreatitis.
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Table 1 Patients treated with interferon, ribavirin, and amantadine

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No</th>
<th>Age (y)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Duration of treatment (months)</th>
<th>HCV RNA level (millions)</th>
<th>HCV serotype</th>
<th>Biopsy grade</th>
<th>End of treatment response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2/3</td>
<td>Clear</td>
<td>10% false positive, 90% true positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3/4</td>
<td>No response</td>
<td>10% false positive, 90% true positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>1/3</td>
<td>Clear</td>
<td>10% false positive, 90% true positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>2/2</td>
<td>No response</td>
<td>10% false positive, 90% true positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1/0</td>
<td>No response</td>
<td>10% false positive, 90% true positive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>1/3</td>
<td>Clear</td>
<td>10% false positive, 90% true positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1/3</td>
<td>Clear</td>
<td>10% false positive, 90% true positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>Un typable</td>
<td>Clear</td>
<td>10% false positive, 90% true positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>3/1</td>
<td>Clear</td>
<td>10% false positive, 90% true positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>2/1</td>
<td>No response</td>
<td>10% false positive, 90% true positive</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Normal alanine aminotransferase and undetectable hepatitis C virus (HCV) RNA.
Small bowel malignancy in coeliac disease

We were interested to read the case report by Rampertab et al on small bowel neoplasia in coeliac disease (Gut 2003; 52:1211–14). The findings are very much in accord with ours from the British Society of Gastroenterology (BSG) National UK Survey published earlier this year.1 Over a two year period (1998–2000), we collected details of 175 cases of primary small intestinal adenocarcinoma, of which 13% were associated with coeliac disease and a further 7% with Crohn’s disease. With regard to coeliac associated adenocarcinoma, similar to Rampertab et al, we found a predominance of males (2:1) and an equal distribution between the duodenum and jejunum. Age range was 47–80 years. Fifty five per cent presented acutely, predominantly with obstruction, and 45% chronically with anaemia, weight loss, or abdominal pain. Mean time of symptoms prior to diagnosis was 14 months, which was reflected in a relatively poor 30 month overall survival of 58%. In 63%, coeliac disease had been diagnosed a mean of 8.2 years prior to the diagnosis of adenocarcinoma; in almost all of these patients there had been a good clinical and mucosal response to a gluten free diet. In 37%, coeliac disease was diagnosed at the same time as adenocarcinoma. Although 13% of small bowel adenocarcinoma being associated with coeliac disease implies that the risk of these cancers in coeliac disease is very high, such an increase translates into a very small absolute life time risk of less than 1%, as these tumours are rare and coeliac disease is very common. Nevertheless, we agree that coeliac patients require long term follow up for this and other complications. However, the best means of surveillance needs to be determined.

Of most concern is the long delay in the diagnosis of small bowel adenocarcinoma, irrespective of whether or not coeliac disease is present. This leads to poor survival as 40% have metastasised by the time the diagnosis is made. A high index of suspicion is required by all gastroenterologists for this rare, but eminently treatable, type of adenocarcinoma.
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Figure 1 Erect radiographs from a dual contrast barium enema series. Submucosal tracking of gas is arrowed.
see my novel studies \(^1\) partially reproduced in patients with decompensated cirrhosis. I disagree with some of the results as the study involves substantial design, methodological, and analysis problems.

The authors said that advanced cirrhotic patients have ‘generalised vasodilatation’. Vasodilatation does occur in these patients but only in the splanchic and pulmonary beds. Immunoactivities by me and others have shown vasoconstriction in the brachial, femoral, cerebral, and renal territories, especially in advanced cirrhosis. \(^2\) Therefore, I would like to stress the point that with advancing cirrhosis, further activation of the neurohumoral systems occurs, with consequent peripheral vasoconstriction. However, blood pooling, particularly in the splanchic bed, lowers systemic vascular resistance.

A major criticism of the study of Vaughan et al is that they measured forearm blood flow (FFB) in only one arm. Changing levels of alertness and external stimuli produce similar fluctuations in blood flow of both arms, and lead to significant misleading alterations in the measured responses if unilateral measurements are used. Thus responses to intra-arterial infusions should have been measured in both arms with the results expressed as ratios of concurrent FFB in the infused and non-infused arms, where the latter serves as a contemporaneous control for the drug effects in the former. Further, BQ-123 FFB ratios are significantly more reproducible than unilateral FFB measurements both at rest and following infusion of vasoconstrictors. \(^3\)

The authors demonstrated a surprising increase in FBF (−33–40%) in response to infusion of a locally active dose of the potent vasoconstrictor endothelin-1 (ET-1), which reached its maximum within five minutes from the start. They attributed their finding to enhanced ETB receptor mediated vasodilatation. This needs to be tested by selectively blocking ETB receptors, using BQ-788. To date, upregulation of ETB receptors has been reported in the splanchic and pulmonary vascular beds, but not in the forearm. \(^4\) How can the maximum response to the slowly acting ET-1 be reached within five minutes? Also, dose-response curves of the effects of ET-1 and BQ-123 should have been performed.

Furthermore, the authors, ET receptor mediated responses were unaltered while those mediated by the ETB receptor were enhanced in patients with decompensated cirrhosis. Thus one would expect that blocking ETB receptors with BQ-123 would allow ET-1 to act unopposed on ETB receptors and produce enhanced vasodilatation. However, this was not the case (Fig 2 in the article). What adds to my surprise here is that BQ-123 produce enhanced vasodilatation. However, vasodilatation does occur in these patients but only in the splanchnic and pulmonary territories, especially in advanced cirrhosis. \(^4\)

In conclusion, the scientific contents of this article would have been greater if the authors had: (1) measured FBF in both arms; (2) presented their data as per cent change in the ratio of flows in both arms at every time point; (3) assessed plasma big ET-1 or preproendothelin mRNA concentrations; (4) examined the responses to an ETB receptor antagonist; (5) performed a dose-response curve; and (6) selected a comparable control group on similar medications as the patients.

---
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**Germline testing of mismatch repair genes is not aided by prescreening tumours for allelic loss**

Immunostaining and microsatellite testing of tumours is increasingly being used to guide germline testing in individuals with suspected hereditary non-polyposis colorectal cancer (HNPCC). \(^1\) While the aim of these prescreening tests is to falsify and maximise the chance of identifying a pathogenic germline change, it is clear that neither alone is ideal. In clinical practice, germline testing can often only be justified where an individual has developed a tumour which is microsatellite unstable, and which fails to express a mismatch repair protein. Clearly, this approach is imperfect as not all pathogenic germline mutations are associated with failure of expression of the mismatch repair proteins. The aim of this pilot study was to retrospectively assess the utility of loss of heterozygosity studies in predicting the matched mismatch repair gene.

Seven individuals with germline mutations in hMSH2 were identified from the family cancer clinic at St Vincent’s Hospital, Sydney. The tumours from each of these individuals were microsatellite unstable and failed to express hMSH2, but demonstrated normal expression of hMLH1. For loss of heterozygosity (LOH) analysis, we used microsatellite markers D1S180 and D1S235 (for Exon1), PMS1.1, D2S118, and D2S155 (for PMS1), D2S21153, D2S2156, D2S2292, D2S2369, and D2S378 (for hMSH2 and hMLH1) and D2S1447 and D3S3685 (for hMLH1). Only heterozygous loci were regarded as informative and LOH was scored when there was a major reduction (at least 50%) or total loss of one allele in the tumour compared to normal tissues.

Of the seven tumours examined in this study, six showed allelic loss of hMSH2, suggesting that the residual normal allele was silenced by LOH (Fig 1). In five tumours, allelic loss of hMSH2 occurred in association with LOH in at least one other mismatch repair gene. Only one tumour had retained heterozygosity at all assessable loci, possibly indicating that a mutation had caused the second hit in this tumour.

Allelic loss of hMSH2 often occurs in association with germline mutations but it is clear that loss of the other mismatch repair genes is also a frequent finding. Screening tumours for LOH should not be employed to select patients for mutation analysis of mismatch repair genes, The use of immunohistochemistry and microsatellite testing remain the best available prescreening tools.

---

**Figure 1** Loss of heterozygosity analysis of four mismatch repair genes tumours from seven individuals with germline mutations in hMSH2. White areas, retention of heterozygosity; grey areas, not informative; black areas, loss of heterozygosity.
Mild respiratory distress after wireless capsule endoscopy
A 74 year old male patient was seen in our clinic for chronic diarrhoea. Duodenal biopsies revealed the presence of coeliac disease; upper and lower endoscopies were otherwise unremarkable. As he also presented with marked anaemia and weight loss, he underwent wireless capsule endoscopy (M2A capsule; Given Imaging) in order to exclude additional small bowel pathology.

On the second day after application of the capsule (and before analysis of the pictures), he complained of mild respiratory distress while walking, which had started “right after swallowing the capsule”. Physical examination revealed quiet inspiratory and expiratory wheezing, most audible over the central part of the right lung. A chest x-ray was obtained (fig 1) which showed aspiration of the video capsule into the right main bronchus. Because of the smooth surface of the capsule, its removal by flexible bronchoscopy proved to be rather difficult, but eventually it was successfully recovered from the bronchial tree. The patient made an uneventful recovery.

He had a history of ankylosing spondylitis with involvement of the cervical spine. Although he reported no symptoms of dysphagia and recalled swallowing the capsule as uneventful, it may be possible that the cervical spine disease contributed to aspiration of the capsule.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first published case of aspiration of an M2A capsule since this diagnostic method has become available to general clinical practice. It underlines the recommendations of the manufacturer for cautious use in patients with known or possible swallowing disorders (http://www.givenimaging.com).

Late development of cholangiocarcinoma after hepaticojejunostomy due to ampullary carcinoma
We read with great interest the article by Bettschart et al (Gut 2002;50:128–9) which found an increase in cholangiocarcinoma incidence after biliary-enteric drainage for benign disease.

In their hypothesis, changes in biliary epithelium were induced by toxic carcinogens due to reflux of intestinal contents and bile stasis. However, this chronic irritation and carcinogenesis of the biliary mucosa after biliary-enteric anastomosis has not been reported after surgery for malignant disease. We present a case of a 65 year old woman who developed a cholangiocarcinoma eight years after duodenopancreatectomy for an ampullary carcinoma, stage I. The patient was referred to our department because of obstructive jaundice and cholangitis. Computed tomography scan showed that the patient was disease free. Percutaneous transhepatic cholangiography showed biliary-enteric anastomosis stricture and a diffuse biliary stenosis.

Percutaneous transhepatic anastomosis dilatation was performed but was ineffective. The patient was operated on and extensive fibrosis and inflammation of the biliary-enteric anastomosis and biliary duct were detected (fig 1). Resection of the stricture and hepatopancreatoduodenectomy were performed. In addition to fibrotic and inflammatory tissue, histological examination showed a poorly differentiated cholangiocarcinoma with invasion of all levels of the right hepatic duct wall. Surgical margins were free of disease. The patient was discharged on the 10th postoperative day. She died 10 months after surgery.

In common with the authors, we support the hypothesis that reflux of intestinal contents, bacterial translocation, and pancreatic juice can trigger biliary mucosal changes and the carcinogenesis process. We believe that apart from those predisposing factors causing chronic cholangitis, there must be susceptibility in these patients due to genetically altered enzymes that are involved in detoxifying carcinogenic products. This is the first case report of malignant transformation in the biliary epithelium after biliary-enteric anastomosis for malignant disease. As there are no markers to identify patients in the early stage of development of malignant transformation, we agree with the authors about monitoring all patients who develop cholangitis after biliary-enteric anastomosis for benign disease and also patients with malignant disease who are in remission.
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Adoptive transfer of genetic susceptibility to Crohn’s disease

We read with interest the stimulating case report on fulminant Crohn’s colitis following allogenic cell transplantation by Sonwalkar et al. (Gut 2003;52:1518–21) and the respective editorial. The authors and the editorialists hypothesised on whether the colitis might be ascribed to the adoptive transfer of stem cells displaying genetic alterations which are associated with Crohn’s disease. However, the ileal sparing disease localisation and course of the colitis which finally necessitated urgent colectomy is rather unusual for Crohn’s colitis. In addition, the genetic mismatch between donor and recipient is hardly compatible with the outlined hypothesis.

According to the cited study by Lesage and colleagues, the allele difference at position −1041 of the TRX NOD2 gene is not regarded as “a disease causing mutation”. In line with this concept is the fact that the donor and his first degree relatives did not suffer from Crohn’s disease. Apart from this observation, the authors did not describe in detail which particular genetic mutations or polymorphisms differed between the donor and recipient. However, some of the described genes are simply not associated with inflammatory bowel disease. As shown by some of the authors and ourselves, polymorphisms in the MICB gene (which is not situated within the HLA class III but the HLA class I region) are not associated with Crohn’s disease. The same holds true for polymorphisms of the HSP70 gene which were weakly associated with a more severe course of Crohn’s disease in Japanese patients but not with the disease itself. To support our knowledge, data on possible associations between mutations of the LMP2, LMP7, and NOTCH4 gene and Crohn’s disease are completely lacking. In conclusion, at best only an extremely weak genetic predisposition can be extracted from the extensive genotyping and thus the postulated transfer of genetic susceptibility remains highly speculative.

The increased incidence of inflammatory bowel disease in patients with constitutional immune defects and the recently described increased adherence of bacteria to the intestinal mucosa, which might particularly be facilitated in the presence of mutated NOD2 protein, suggest that the initial event in the complex pathophysiological process in Crohn’s disease is compatible with impaired mucosal clearing function which precedes an excessive largely T cell driven immunological activity. This hypothesis is further sustained by various genetically engineered animal models which are protected from the development of enterocolitis under germ free conditions, and therapeutic approaches, such as the use of immunomodulatory substances or biological therapy (for overview see Falwczyni and colleagues). Thus a complementary explanation for the described phenotype might be the persistent immune suppression therapy the donor had received.
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BOOK REVIEWS

Self Assessment Colour Review of Hepatobiliary Medicine


Roger Chapman and Henry Bodenheimer have produced a useful addition to the libraries of gastroenterologists with an interest in liver disease. Hepatobiliary Medicine provides 189 quick reference answers in 190 pages covering a wide range of hepatobiliary problems. The book will fit in a white coat pocket and is printed on high quality glossy paper. The questions comprise case histories illustrated with laboratory test results and photographs of histology and imaging investigations. Unfortunately, the reproduction does not allow readers to recognise some of the histological and imaging abnormalities referred to in the text, but most can be discerned with the benefit of hindsight (and the answers). The authors have done an excellent job in assembling a diverse collection of cases with relevant images and laboratory data. The questions are presented on one side of the page and the answers are on the reverse, allowing the reader to formulate their own responses without “cheating.” The subject matter of the book encompasses the full range of liver diseases, including a fair smattering of rarities that are only likely to be encountered more than once by specialist hepatologists. Indeed, the content overviews many of the cases will test experts. Hepatobiliary Medicine fulfils the remit of the series, as declared in the book’s header, to help readers “learn, revise, reinforce.” Inclusion of a number of paediatric cases will be particularly helpful to adult gastroenterologists/hepatologists who are occasionally asked to see paediatric cases.

The authors have included an index and a list of cases classified by diagnosis. This is extremely useful when using the book for revision or reinforcement training programmes. The classification of cases reveals some surprising choices of emphasis. Eight questions on primary sclerosing cholangitis, seven on Wilson’s disease, and one on fatty liver disease hardly reflects the distribution of cases that the general gastroenterologist might encounter but the selection of cases will educate and inform, and the choices reflect the difficulty of diagnostic conundrums rather than disease prevalence.

Publication of this short textbook is timely with the growth of hepatology as a subspecialty and the shortening of training programmes reducing the opportunities for trainees to learn in a knowledge based experience. This book will be particularly useful for trainees in gastroenterology and hepatology.

However, there are a few caveats and some things which could have been improved. Hepatobiliary Medicine does not claim to be a textbook but the authoritative voice used in the answers carries an air of certainty. In the vast majority of cases, little fault can be found with the information contained in the answers although the level of knowledge assumed by the authors and that offered to the readers is variable. As a result, some of the information contained in the answers is superficial and some is out of date. Inclusion of key references and a recommended reading list would have been helpful. A compact disc or website presenting the photographic images might enhance the visual aspects of the book.

This book will be useful to trainees in gastroenterology and hepatology, to specialists who are asked to consult on difficult hepatobiliary cases, and even to specialist hepatologists seeking reinforcement of problems they rarely encounter. Self Assessment Colour Review of Hepatobiliary Medicine is a useful addition to the gastroenterologist’s library.

W Rosenberg

The Inflammatory Bowel Disease Yearbook 2003


This is the first in a planned yearly series of updates on the latest topics in inflammatory bowel disease clinical practice and research. I was initially sceptical that the hard backed book format could provide a reasonable current overview but was pleasantly surprised that the reviews were topical and cited papers from early 2003 (including for example, the natalizumab trial and wireless endoscopy data). These are aimed at the general gastroenterologist, and those with a more in depth clinical or research interest in inflammatory bowel disease.

Six key current areas are reviewed by experts in the field: conventional drug therapy, the newer biological therapies, serodiagnosis, genetics, imaging developments, and...
probiotics. These topics are written by authors expert in the field, and there is little overlap between the chapters—often a problem in the multiauthor format. I would have preferred more detail in a few areas (for example, pharmacology/adverse response prediction with azathioprine) and a bit less in others (for example, some of the genetics chapter is too detailed, and it was not easy to differentiate replicated from preliminary findings). All chapters are well referenced, with good tables and figures of key points providing clarity.

The Yearbook disappeared once from my shelf while I was trying to review it—to provide preparation for a colleague’s brush with the media spotlight—so I would definitely recommend it as a useful update. It might be especially helpful if one had missed out on attending a recent gastroenterology conference. Finally, Remedia might be able to further promote the Yearbook with a prize for guessing the nature and relevance of the weird industrial plumbing on the cover.

D van Heel

Fast Facts: Irritable Bowel Syndrome, 2nd edn


Functional gastrointestinal disorders in general, and irritable bowel syndrome in particular, have long been a minefield of misunderstanding and mismanagement which has caused confusion not only to clinicians but also to patients.

In recent years, an international working team have attempted to resolve this babel—like tower of confusion by forming the now famous Rome group and producing Rome criteria which have served to harmonise clinical terms and facilitate trials of therapy. However, in general, ex cathedra statements and weighty tomes from the Vatican have disappeared once from my hymnal provided by two experienced practitioners with many decades of practical experience and a “cardinal” understanding of the issues of irritable bowel syndrome, now provides just what is necessary to bring the word to the people.

The book’s mission is to review in a simple and balanced way what we know (and what we do not know) about the nature of symptoms, and their causes, and how, in an equally simple and practical way, both the primary care practitioner and patients can help themselves to cope with what is often a disturbing chronic set of problems. Their communication style is clear and concise, and without any tendency to pontificate. While its target readership is stated to be the “family doctor”, I am sure that it is equally relevant for the gastroenterologist and for the trainee in gastroenterology for whom the standard textbooks on the subject do not offer much practical guidance in the clinic.

Broadsheet reading patients would also benefit from the balanced view provided by the authors and with luck might in turn reduce their uptake of the increasingly bizarre non-orthodox therapies which are now appearing.

The books first edition appeared in 1999, and has now, by popular demand, been re-published in an extensively updated version. It clearly reflects current understanding of the condition and provides a balanced and pragmatic view of its management.

In short, an excellent and up to date pocket psalter for the practitioner.

D Thompson

NOTICES

British Society of Gastroenterology Paul Brown Travel Fellowships

The Paul Brown Travel Fellowships are awarded by the Endoscopy Committee of the BSG. They are intended to assist trainee gastroenterologists and established consultants in visits to units outside the United Kingdom for specialist experience and training in endoscopy.

Specialist registrars who have not achieved their CCST are expected to have the approval of their Postgraduate Dean and their Regional Training Director when they apply for a Travel Fellowship. Applicants are expected to provide confirmation that they have been accepted for training in the unit that they wish to visit.

Successful applicants will be expected to provide a brief written report to the Endoscopy Committee of the outcome of their visit.

Application forms are available from the British Society of Gastroenterology Office, 3 St Andrew’s Place, London NW1 4LB. Email: bsg@mailbox.ulcc.ac.uk

PET/CT and SPECT/CT Imaging in Medical, Radiation, Surgical and Nuclear Oncology

This continuing medical education programme will take place on 19–20 March 2004 at Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland, USA. Further details: Office of Continuing Medical Education, Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine, Turner 20, 720 Rutland Avenue, Baltimore, Maryland 21205-2195. Tel: +1 410 955 2959; fax: +1 410 955 0807; email: cmenet@jhmi.edu; website: www.hopkinscmc.org

39th Annual Meeting of the European Association for the Study of the Liver

This meeting will be held on 15–19 April 2004 in Berlin, Germany. Further details: Secretariat, c/o Knes International, 17 rue du Cendrier, PO Box 1726, CH-1211 Geneva, Switzerland. Tel: +41 22 908 0488; fax: +41 22 732 2850; email: info@easl.ch; website: www.easl.ch/easl2004

• Deadline for receipt of abstracts: 16 November 2003
• Deadline for early registration 10 February 2004

14th International Workshop of Digestive Endoscopy, Ultrasonography and Radiology

The 14th International Workshop of Digestive Endoscopy, Ultrasonography and Radiology will be held in Marseille on 27–28 May 2004. For further information, please contact: Nathalie Fontant, Atelier Phenix, 41 rue Docteur Morruci, 13006 — Marseille (tel: (33) 04-91-37-50-83; fax: (33) 04-91-57-15-28; e-mail: nfontant@aphenix.com).

European Postgraduate Gastro-surgical School (EPGS) Courses 2004

The EPGS at the Academic Medical Center of the University of Amsterdam will be holding the following courses during the year: ‘Benign Hepato-Biliary Disorders’ will be held on 22 & 23 April 2004, ‘Endosonography live in Amsterdam’ will be held on 2, 3 & 4 June 2004, and ‘Update in Coloproctology’ will be held on 28 & 29 October 2004. For further information, please contact: J Goedkoop (tel: (31) 566 3926; fax: (33) 267 5394; e-mail: j.goedkoop@amc.uva.nl; website: www.epgs.nl).