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Guidelines for the management of inflammatory bowel disease in adults
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1.0 INTRODUCTION
Ulcerative colitis (UC) and Crohn’s disease (CD) (collectively termed inflammatory bowel disease (IBD)) are complex disorders reflected by wide variation in clinical practice. These guidelines, commissioned by the Clinical Services’ Committee of the British Society of Gastroenterology (BSG) for clinicians and allied professionals caring for patients with IBD in the United Kingdom, provide an evidence based document describing good clinical practice for investigation and treatment. The guidelines are intended to bring consistency, but should not necessarily be regarded as the standard of care for all patients. Individual cases must be managed on the basis of all clinical data available for that case. Patient preferences should be sought and decisions jointly made between patient and health professional.

1.1 Development of guidelines
A comprehensive literature search was performed using electronic databases (Medline, PubMed, and Ovid; keywords: “inflammatory bowel disease”, “ulcerative colitis”, and “Crohn’s disease”) by Dr Carter. A preliminary document was drafted by Dr Carter, Dr Lobo, and contributing authors. This was summarised by Dr Travis and revised after circulation first to the committee and then to members of the IBD section of the BSG, before submission to the Clinical Services’ Committee.

1.2 Grading of recommendations
The guidelines conform to the North of England evidence based guidelines development project. The grading of each recommendation is dependent on the category of evidence supporting it:

- Grade A—requires at least one randomised controlled trial as part of a body of literature of overall good quality and consistency addressing the specific recommendation (evidence categories Ia and Ib).
- Grade B—requires the availability of clinical studies without randomisation on the topic of consideration (evidence categories IIa, IIb, and III).
- Grade C—requires evidence from expert committee reports or opinions or clinical experience of respected authorities, in the absence of directly applicable clinical studies of good quality (evidence category IV).

1.3 Scheduled review of guidelines
The content and evidence base for these guidelines should be revised within three years of publication, to take account of new evidence. We recommend that these guidelines are audited and request feedback from all users.

2.0 SERVICE DELIVERY

2.1 Impact of IBD on patients and society
Patients find symptoms of UC or CD embarrassing and humiliating. IBD can result in loss of education and difficulty in gaining employment or insurance. It can also cause psychological problems and growth failure or retarded sexual development in young people. Medical treatments such as corticosteroids or immunosuppressive drugs cause secondary health problems, and surgery may result in complications such as impotence or intestinal failure.

The impact of IBD on society is disproportionately high, as presentation often occurs at a young age and has the potential to cause lifelong ill health. A hospital serving a population of 300 000 would typically see 45–90 new cases per annum and have 500 under follow up, but many will be followed up in the community. There is a small increase in mortality for both UC (hazard ratio 1.44, 95% CI 1.31 to 1.58) and CD (HR 1.73, CI 1.54 to 1.96), largely dependent on age and distribution of disease.

2.2 Approach to care
It is important to recognise the high calibre of care that can be delivered in smaller hospitals, because this is greatly valued by individual patients, but this is dependent on high quality training of clinicians working in this environment. Larger centres should support district general hospitals through multidisciplinary facilities for managing complex IBD. The nature of the symptoms and complexity of IBD mean that facilities are necessary beyond those normally provided for outpatients or inpatients. Measurable standards of care would assist the process of change in submissions to Primary Care Trusts and Strategic Health Authorities. As there has been little objective research in this area, criteria for standards are proposed, but arbitrary targets avoided:

- Rapid access to clinic appointments for patients with symptoms of IBD.
- Rapid access to advice and clinic appointments for patients in the event of a relapse.
- Adequate time and space in outpatients and wards to meet the unpredictable pattern of disease, allow discussion, explanation or counselling, and provide information or education material.

Abbreviations: 5-ASA, 5-aminosalicylic acid; Aza, azathioprine; CD, Crohn’s disease; CRP, C reactive protein; CSA, cyclosporin; ESR, erythrocyte sedimentation rate; FBC, full blood count; IBD, inflammatory bowel disease; IFX, infliximab; MP, mercaptopurine; MTX, methotrexate; NNT, number needed to treat; TPMT, thiopurine methyl transferase; UC, ulcerative colitis.
3.4 Hospital management
Individuals with IBD strongly believe that in addition to the above, the following should apply:

- sufficient information to make a rational personal choice about treatment options;
- close integration of medical and surgical management;
- straightforward access to support services, including dieticians, psychological support, and social workers;
- clearly stated management plans on discharge with well defined roles and responsibilities.

3.5 Outpatient management
Patients consider that the following should be central features:

- continuity of care, both in hospital and in primary care. Patients dislike seeing different individuals at each visit;
- a system that allows a choice about appropriate long term follow up;
- direct telephone access;
- attention to physical, emotional, and quality of life issues;
- help with problems related to insurance, employment, or social security.

3.6 Hospital management

### Recommendation

3.6.1 That patient driven criteria be used as one criterion for auditing the quality of care at hospitals treating patients with inflammatory bowel diseases.

4.0 INFLAMMATORY BOWEL DISEASE

4.1 Definitions

Ulcerative colitis is characterised by diffuse mucosal inflammation limited to the colon. Disease extent can be broadly divided into distal and more extensive disease. “Distal” disease refers to colitis confined to the rectum (proctitis) or rectum and sigmoid colon (proctosigmoiditis). More extensive disease includes “left sided colitis” (up to the splenic flexure), “extensive colitis” (up to the hepatic flexure), and pancolitis (affecting the whole colon).

Crohn’s disease is characterised by patchy, transmural inflammation, which may affect any part of the gastrointestinal tract. It may be defined by location (terminal ileal, colonic, ileocolic, upper gastrointestinal), or by pattern of disease (inflammatory, fistulating, or stricturing). These variables have been combined in the Vienna classification. About 5% of patients with IBD affecting the colon are unclassifiable after considering clinical, radiological, endoscopic, and pathological criteria, because they have some features of both conditions. This can be termed indeterminate colitis (IC).

4.2 Epidemiology

The incidence of UC is approximately 10–20 per 100 000 per year with a reported prevalence of 100–200 per 100 000. The incidence remains stable, but the prevalence is likely to be an underestimate, because this implies an average disease duration (prevalence/incidence) of 10 years for a condition that is known to last for life. There are marked differences between ethnic groups with some (such as Ashkenazi Jews) having a particularly high incidence. The incidence of CD is around 5–10 per 100 000 per year with a prevalence of 50–100 per 100 000; the same considerations about...
underestimating prevalence apply. In contrast to UC however, the incidence of CD may be increasing. Both UC and CD are diseases of young people with a peak incidence between the ages of 10 and 40 years. They may, however, affect people of any age and 15% of people are over the age of 60 at diagnosis. Up to 240,000 people are affected by IBD in the UK.

4.3 Pathogenesis

The aetiologies of both UC and CD remain unknown. The consensus is that both diseases are a response to environmental triggers (infection, drugs, or other agents) in genetically susceptible individuals. The genetic component is stronger in CD than in UC. Smoking increases the risk of CD, but decreases the risk of UC through unknown mechanisms.

Theories and evidence for pathogenetic mechanisms are too complex to be considered in this document. The broad areas examined are epidemiology, the gut/environmental interface, the inflammatory process, and genetics of each disease. Epidemiological studies have considered diet, drug, and vaccination history, seasonal variation, water supply, and social circumstances. The gut/environmental interface includes work on luminal bacteria, biofilms, the epithelial glycocalyx and mucus, epithelial barrier function, epithelial remodelling, and immune/epithelial interactions. The inflammatory process has been examined through cell signalling pathways, cytokine profiles, eicosanoid and other inflamma-
matory mediators, lymphocyte trafficking, cell surface mol-
ecules, interactions between stromal and immune cells, and
neuroimmune communication. Genetics have adopted a
candidate gene approach, genome wide screening through
microsatellite markers and, most recently, studies on func-
tional gene expression. Mutations of one gene (CARD15/
NOD2), located on Chr 16, have been associated with small
intestinal CD in white (but not oriental) populations. Two
other genes (OCTN1 and 2 on Chr 5 and DLG5 on Chr 10)
have recently been associated with CD but these need to be
confirmed by independent studies. Other genes have yet to be
identified, although their existence is strongly suggested by
replicated linkage to a number of chromosomes.

4.4 Clinical features and pattern of disease

The cardinal symptom of UC is bloody diarrhoea. Associated
symptoms of colicky abdominal pain, urgency, or tenesmus
may be present. UC is a severe disease that used to carry a
high mortality and major morbidity. With modern medical
and surgical management, the disease now has a slight
mortality of CD is slightly higher than the normal population
and is greatest in the 2 years after diagnosis or in those with
upper gastrointestinal disease. The clinical course of CD is
also characterised by exacerbations and remission. CD tends
to cause greater disability than UC with only 75% of patients
fully capable of work in the year after diagnosis and 15% of
patients unable to work after 5–10 years of disease.

4.5 Diagnosis and investigation

The diagnosis of IBD is confirmed by clinical evaluation and a
combination of biochemical, endoscopic, radiological, histo-
logical, or nuclear medicine based investigations. In the case
of UC the diagnosis should be made on the basis of clinical
suspicion supported by appropriate macroscopic findings on
sigmoidoscopy or colonoscopy, typical histological findings
on biopsy, and negative stool examinations for infectious
agents. For CD the diagnosis depends on demonstrating
focal, asymmetric, and often granulomatous inflammation
but the investigations selected vary according to the present-
mg manifestations, physical findings, and complications.

4.5.1 History and examination

A full history should include recent travel, medication,
smoking, and family history. Details should include the stool
colour, frequency and consistency, urgency, rectal bleeding, and
symptoms of extraintestinal (joint, cutaneous, and eye) manifesta-
tions of IBD. General examination includes general wellbeing, pulse
rate, blood pressure, temperature, checking for anaemia, fluid
depletion, weight loss, abdominal tenderness or distension,
palpable masses, and perineal examination.

4.5.2 Initial investigations

Laboratory investigations should include full blood count
(FBC), U&Es, liver function tests, and erythrocyte sediment-
ation rate (ESR) or C reactive protein (CRP), as well as
microbiological testing for infectious diarrhoea including
Clostridium difficile toxin. Additional tests may be needed for
patients who have travelled abroad. Abdominal radiography
is essential in the initial assessment of patients with
suspected severe IBD: it excludes colonic dilatation and
may help assess disease extent in UC or identify proximal
constipation. In CD abdominal radiography may give an
impression of a mass in the right iliac fossa, or show evidence
of small bowel dilatation.

4.5.3 Sigmoidoscopy

For all patients presenting with diarrhoea, rigid sigmoido-
scopy should be performed unless there are immediate plans
to perform flexible sigmoidoscopy. Macroscopic features of
UC are loss of the vascular pattern, granularity, friability, and
ulceration of the rectal mucosa. A rectal biopsy is best taken
for histology even if there are no macroscopic changes.

4.5.4 Colonoscopy

For mild to moderate disease, colonoscopy is usually
preferable to flexible sigmoidoscopy, because the extent of
disease can be assessed, but in moderate to severe disease
there is a higher risk of bowel perforation and flexible
sigmoidoscopy is safer. It is appropriate to defer investiga-
tions until the clinical condition improves. For suspected CD,
colonoscopy to the terminal ileum and small bowel barium
studies to define extent and site of disease are appropriate.
A terminal ileal biopsy performed at colonoscopy documents
the extent of examination and may find microscopic evidence
of CD.
4.5.5 Other investigations
Double contrast barium enema is usually inferior to colonoscopy because it does not allow mucosal biopsy and may underestimate the extent of disease. Small bowel radiology by follow through or intubation (small bowel enema) is the current standard for assessing the small intestine. Other conditions (including tuberculosis, Behcet’s, lymphoma, vasculitis) may also cause ileal disease. The role of capsule endoscopy is present unclear. White cell scanning is a safe, non-invasive investigation, but lacks specificity. Ultrasound in skilled hands is a sensitive and non-invasive way of identifying thickened small bowel loops in CD and may identify abscesses or free fluid in the peritoneum. Computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging, especially of the perineum, help evaluate activity and complications of disease. Laparoscopy may be necessary in selected patients, especially where the differential diagnosis of intestinal tuberculosis is being considered.

4.5.6 Assessment of disease extent
After the diagnosis of UC or CD has been defined, the disease extent should be defined, because it determines the best route for therapy. For UC the extent is defined as the proximal margin of macroscopic inflammation, because this is most clearly related to the risk of complications, including dilatation and cancer. The implications of limited macroscopic disease with extensive microscopic inflammation remain unclear. For CD both small bowel and colon should be assessed.

4.6 Histopathology
Pathological examination of biopsy specimens should be carried out histologically according to the BSG document A Structured Approach to Colorectal Biopsy Assessment (Guidelines in Gastroenterology No 9). There should be an attempt to define the type of IB, to mention other co-existent diagnoses or complications, and to mention the presence or absence of dysplasia.

4.7 Imaging
It is desirable that clinicians discuss imaging with an appropriate radiologist, to avoid unnecessary exposure to ionising radiation. There should be a forum to review the results of imaging in the context of the clinical history so that appropriate management can be planned.

4.8 Drugs used in the treatment of IB
Therapy for IB is a rapidly evolving field, with many new biological agents under investigation that are likely to change therapeutic strategies radically in the next decade. Details of the principal drugs can only be summarised in this document.

4.8.1 Aminosalicylates
(Including mesalazine or 5-aminosalicylic acid (5-ASA), “mesalazine” in the USA.) Different formulations deliver millimolar concentrations to the gut lumen. Aminosalicylates are available as oral tablets, sachets or suspension, liquid or foam enemas, or suppositories. They act on epithelial cells by a variety of mechanisms to moderate the release of lipid mediators, cytokines, and reactive oxygen species. Oral forms include:

- pH dependent release/resin coated (Asacol, Salofalk, or Ipocol, Mesren);
- time controlled release (Pentasa);
- delivery by carrier molecules, with release of 5-ASA after splitting by bacterial enzymes in the large intestine (sulphasalazine (Salazopyrin), olsalazine (Dipentum), balsalazide (Colazide)).

Maintaining remission
The main role for 5-ASA is maintenance of remission in UC. All 5-ASA derivatives show comparable efficacy to sulphasalazine, but in a meta-analysis the parent compound had a modest therapeutic advantage for maintaining remission (odds ratio 1.29, confidence interval 1.08 to 1.57). The choice of 5-ASA is debated, but is influenced by tolerability (mesalazine is tolerated by 80% of those unable to tolerate sulphasalazine), dose schedule (twice daily dosing is associated with better compliance) and cost. Efficacy may depend more on adherence with the prescribed dose than the delivery system. If the delivery system is considered important, then the drug is best matched to the site of disease, by using azo-bonded compounds for distal disease. Maintenance therapy with all 5-ASA drugs may reduce the risk of colorectal cancer by up to 75% (OR 0.25, CI 0.13 to 0.48). This favours long term treatment for patients with extensive UC. 5-ASA is less effective for maintaining remission in CD. Mesalazine >2 g/day reduces relapse after surgery (NNT = 8), especially after small bowel resection (40% reduction at 18 months). It is ineffective after steroid induced remission, except for those at high risk of relapse given 4 g/day (relapse risk on placebo 2.0, CI 1.0 to 3.8).

Active disease
Higher doses of 5-ASA (4 g/day) are more effective than placebo for inducing remission in mild UC or CD. For ulcerative colitis, greater clinical improvement (but not necessarily remission) is associated with doses >3 g/day. Clinical improvement characteristically occurs at twice the remission rate. In a meta-analysis of oral 5-ASA for active UC, of 19 trials involving 2032 patients, nine were placebo controlled and 10 compared mesalazine with sulphasalazine. The outcome of interest on an intention to treat principle was the failure to induce remission, so that a pooled odds ratio <1.0 indicates one treatment to be more effective than another. Mesalazine was more than twice as effective as placebo (OR 0.39; CI 0.29 to 0.52, but not significantly better than sulphasalazine (OR 0.87; CI 0.63 to 1.20). In active Crohn’s ileocolitis, a meta-analysis of the three placebo controlled trials of Pentasa 4 g daily for 16 weeks in a total of 615 patients, showed a mean reduction of the CDAI from baseline of -63 points, compared with -45 points for placebo (p = 0.04). While this confirms that Pentasa 4 g/day is superior to placebo in reducing CDAI, the clinical significance is not clear. Subgroup analyses do not provide sufficiently clear answers to whether one group of patients benefit more than another.

Adverse effects of 5-ASA
Side effects of sulphasalazine occur in 10–45%, depending on the dose. Headache, nausea, epigastric pain, and diarrhoea are most common and dose related. Serious idiosyncratic reactions (including Stevens Johnson syndrome, pancreatitis, agranulocytosis, or alveolitis) are rare. Mesalazine intolerance occurs in up to 15%. Diarrhoea (3%), headache (2%), nausea (2%), and rash (1%) are reported, but a systematic review has confirmed that all new 5-ASA agents are safe, with adverse events that are similar to placebo for mesalazine or olsalazine. No comparison between balsalazide and placebo has been published, but events were lower than with sulphasalazine. Acute intolerance in 3% may resemble a flare of colitis as it includes bloody diarrhoea. Recurrence on rechallenge provides the clue. Renal impairment (including interstitial nephritis and nephrotic syndrome) is rare and
4.8.2 Corticosteroids

(Oral prednisolone, prednisone, budesonide (among others), or intravenous hydrocortisone, methylprednisolone.) Topical suppositories, foam or liquid enemas include hydrocortisone, prednisolone metasulphobenzoate, betamethasone, budeso-

nide). Many strategies attempt to maximise topical effects while limiting systemic side effects of steroids. Budesonide (Entocort, Budenofalk) is a poorly absorbed corticosteroid with limited bioavailability and extensive first pass metabol-

ism that has therapeutic benefit with reduced systemic toxicity in ileocaecal CD, or UC.

Choice and mechanism

Corticosteroids are potent anti-inflammatory agents for moderate to severe relapses of both UC and CD. They have no role in maintenance therapy for either disease. They act through inhibition of several inflammatory pathways—

suppressing interleukin transcription, induction of iκB that stabilises the NFκB complex, suppression of arachidonic acid metabolism, and stimulation of apoptosis of lymphocytes within the lamina propria of the gut.

Efficacy for active UC

Trials are all over 30 years old, but results are consistent. Oral prednisolone (starting at 40 mg daily) induced remission in 77% of 118 patients with mild to moderate disease within 2 weeks, compared with 48% treated with 8 g/day sulphasal-

azine. A combination of oral and rectal steroids is better than either alone. Adverse events are significantly more frequent at a dose of 60 mg/day compared with 40 mg/day, without added benefit, so 40 mg appears optimal for outpatient management of acute UC. Too rapid reduction can be associated with early relapse and doses of prednisolone ≤15 mg day are ineffective for active disease.

Efficacy for active CD

Two major trials established corticosteroids as effective therapy for inducing remission in CD. The National Co-

operative Crohn’s Disease Study randomised 162 patients, achieving 60% remission with 0.5–0.75 mg/kg/day predni-

sone (the higher dose for more severe disease) and tapering over 17 weeks, compared with 30% on placebo (NNT = 3). The comparable European Co-operative Crohn’s Disease Study on 105 patients achieved 83% remission on prednisone 1 mg/kg/day compared with 38% on placebo (NNT = 2) over 17 weeks, compared with 30% on placebo (NNT = 3). This equates to a number needed to treat (NNT) of 5 and a number needed to harm (NNH) of 14. Their efficacy at maintaining remission is confirmed in another Cochrane review (OR 2.16 (CI 1.35 to 3.47), NNT = 7). Thiopurines are effective as maintenance therapy for CD for up to 4 years. In a prospective trial, 83 patients with CD who had been in remission for 3.5 years on AZA were randomised to continue AZA or placebo and followed for 18 months. Relapse rates were 21% and 8% in placebo and AZA groups respectively (p = 0.0195). Practical advice for patients with either CD or UC who are started on AZA is to continue treatment for 3–4 years and then stop, except in those with evidence of continuing disease activity. For the 20% who relapse, AZA can be restarted and continued. No direct comparisons of the efficacy of AZA and MP in IBD exist. Some patients who are intolerant of AZA may tolerate MP.

Indications for thiopurines

The main role for thiopurines is steroid sparing (NNT = 3). For arbitrary, but practical, purposes this also applies to UC. Thiopurines should be considered for patients who require two or more corticosteroid courses within a calendar year; those whose disease relapses as the dose of steroid is reduced below 15 mg; relapse within 6 weeks of stopping steroid therapy; or postoperative prophylaxis of complex (fistulating or extensive) CD.

Deciding to treat with steroids

Efficacy should be balanced against side effects, but decisive treatment of active disease in conjunction with a strategy for complete withdrawal of steroids is often appreciated by a patient suffering miserable symptoms. Regimens of steroid therapy vary between centres. A standard weaning strategy helps identify patients who relapse rapidly or do not respond and need adjunctive therapy with thiopurines or as an inpatient.

Adverse effects of steroids

Three broad groups can be identified, although 50% of patients report no adverse event. Early effects due to supraphysiological doses include cosmetic (acne, moon face, oedema), sleep and mood disturbance, dyspepsia, or glucose intolerance. Effects associated with prolonged use (usually >12 weeks, but sometimes less) include posterior subcap-

ular cataracts, osteoporosis, osteonecrosis of the femoral head, myopathy, and susceptibility to infection. Effects during withdrawal include acute adrenal insufficiency (from sudden cessation), a syndrome of myalgia, malaise, and arthralgia (similar to recrudescence of CD), or raised intracranial pressure. Complete steroid withdrawal is facilitated by early introduction of azathioprine, adjuvant nutritional therapy, or timely surgery.

4.8.3 Thiopurines

(Azathioprine (AZA) and mercaptopurine (MP), unlicensed therapy for IBD.) Purine antimetabolites inhibit ribonucleo-

tide synthesis, but the mechanism of immunomodulation is by inducing T cell apoptosis by modulating cell (Rac1) signalling. Azathioprine is metabolised to mercaptopurine and subsequently to 6-thioguanine nucleotides. Th(1)io
guanine has been used for treatment of IBD, but caution is appropriate because of potential hepatotoxicity.

Efficacy

Thiopurines are effective for both active disease and main-

taining remission in CD and UC. A Cochrane review of the efficacy of AZA and MP for inducing remission in active CD demonstrated a benefit for thioupeptide therapy compared with placebo with an odds ratio of 2.36 (95% CI 1.57 to 3.53). This equates to a number needed to treat (NNT) of 5 and a number needed to harm (NNH) of 14. Their efficacy at maintaining remission is confirmed in another Cochrane review (OR 2.16 (CI 1.35 to 3.47), NNT = 7). Thiopurines are effective as maintenance therapy for CD for up to 4 years. In a prospective trial, 83 patients with CD who had been in remission for 3.5 years on AZA were randomised to continue AZA or placebo and followed for 18 months. Relapse rates were 21% and 8% in placebo and AZA groups respectively (p = 0.0195). Practical advice for patients with either CD or UC who are started on AZA is to continue treatment for 3–4 years and then stop, except in those with evidence of continuing disease activity. For the 20% who relapse, AZA can be restarted and continued. No direct comparisons of the efficacy of AZA and MP in IBD exist. Some patients who are intolerant of AZA may tolerate MP.

Indications for thiopurines

The main role for thiopurines is steroid sparing (NNT = 3). For arbitrary, but practical, purposes this also applies to UC. Thiopurines should be considered for patients who require two or more corticosteroid courses within a calendar year; those whose disease relapses as the dose of steroid is reduced below 15 mg; relapse within 6 weeks of stopping steroid therapy; or postoperative prophylaxis of complex (fistulating or extensive) CD.

Dosing

Tailoring or optimisation of thiopurine therapy can occur before or during treatment. Clinicians should aim for a maintenance dose of AZA of 2–2.5 mg/kg/day and 6-MP of 1–1.5 mg/kg/day in both UC and CD. The “maximum” dose will differ between individuals and effectively means that level at which leucopenia develops. Leucopenia is a
myelotoxic side effect of thiopurines and the metabolic phenotype of the individual can be defined by measuring thiopurine methyl transferase (TPMT) activity or the TPMT genotype.

**Is measurement of TPMT necessary?**

Patients with leukaemia who are TPMT deficient are at increased risk of myelotoxicity. This does not necessarily apply in IBD. In one study the majority (77%) of 41 IBD patients with AZA induced bone marrow suppression did not carry a TPMT mutation. Evidence that TPMT activity predicts other side effects or outcome is limited. It cannot yet be recommended as a prerequisite to therapy, because decades of experience has shown clinical AZA to be safe in UC or CD.

**Monitoring thiopurine therapy**

Manufacturers recommend weekly FBCs for the first 8 weeks of therapy followed by blood tests at least every 3 months. There is no evidence that this is effective. Less frequent monitoring (within 4 weeks of starting therapy and every 6–12 weeks thereafter) may be sufficient. It is just as important to advise patients to report promptly should a sore throat or other sign of infection occur.

**Side effects**

The most common cause of intolerance (affecting up to 20%) are flu-like symptoms (myalgia, headache, diarrhoea) that characteristically occur after 2–3 weeks and cease rapidly when the drug is withdrawn. Profound leucopenia can develop suddenly and unpredictably in between blood tests, although it is rare (around 3%). Hepatotoxicity and pancreatitis are uncommon (<5%). Although azathioprine is the best agent for maintaining remission, 28% of 622 patients experienced side effects. Fortunately when the drug is tolerated for 3 weeks, long term benefit can be expected. Thiopurines can reasonably be continued during pregnancy if UC or CD has been refractory. In a study of 155 men and women with IBD who were parents of 347 pregnancies while taking MP there was no difference in miscarriage, congenital abnormality, or infection rate in the thiopurine group compared with a control group. The risk of malignancy related to thiopurine is at best small. Large audits of up to 755 patients have shown no increased risk of lymphoma or other cancers in IBD patients treated with AZA. A primary care prescribing database study of nearly 1500 IBD patients who received at least one prescription of AZA/6-MP also showed no overall risk (relative risk 1.6 (95% CI 0.1 to 8.8)) of lymphoma but little is known about the duration or dose of therapy of this cohort. Decision analysis suggests that the benefits of AZA outweigh any risk of lymphoma in IBD.

**4.8.4 Methotrexate**

(Oral, subcutaneous or intramuscular injection, unlicensed therapy for IBD.) Polyglutamated metabolites of methotrexate inhibit dihydrofolate reductase, but this cytotoxic effect does not explain its anti-inflammatory effect. Inhibition of cytokine and eicosanoid synthesis probably contribute.

**Efficacy**

Methotrexate (MTX) is effective for inducing remission or preventing relapse in CD. At present, the role of MTX is in the treatment of active or relapsing CD in those refractory to or intolerant of AZA or MP. In a controlled study, 141 steroid dependent patients were randomised to either 25 mg/week of intramuscular MTX or placebo for 16 weeks, with a concomitant daily dose of prednisolone (20 mg at initiation) that was reduced over a 3 month period. More patients in the MTX treated group were able to withdraw steroids and enter remission compared with placebo (39% vs 19%; p = 0.025). It is effective for preventing relapse after remission has been induced by MTX. MTX was superior to placebo in 76 patients randomised to 15 mg/week of MTX or placebo for 9 months (65% vs 39% in remission at week 40; p = 0.04). The need for steroids was reduced (p = 0.01). No comparable trials have addressed the role of MTX in the induction or maintenance of remission in UC.

**Dose, delivery, and duration**

Unlike rheumatoid arthritis, doses of <15 mg/week are ineffective for CD and 25 mg/week is standard. For practical reasons relating to the reconstitution of parenteral cytotoxic drugs, oral dosing is most convenient, although parenteral administration may be more effective. Subcutaneous administration may be reserved for patients with small intestinal CD who do not absorb oral MTX. Duration of therapy is debated. The 3 year remission rate for methotrexate in one series was 51%, which compares with data on azathioprine from the same centre (69% 3 year remission rate for azathioprine).

**Monitoring therapy**

Measurement of full blood count and liver function tests are advisable before and within 4 weeks of starting therapy, then monthly. The same caveats as for monitoring thiopurine therapy apply. Patients should remain under specialist follow up.

**Side effects**

Early toxicity from methotrexate is primarily gastrointestinal (nausea, vomiting, diarrhoea, and stomatitis) and this may be limited by co-prescription of folic acid 5 mg two or three days apart from the MTX. Treatment is discontinued in 10–18% of patients because of side effects. The principal concerns are hepatotoxicity and pneumonitis. A study of liver biopsies in IBD patients taking MTX showed mild histological abnormalities, despite cumulative doses of up to 5410 mg. Surveillance liver biopsy is not warranted, but if the AST doubles then it is sensible to withhold MTX until it returns to normal, before a rechallenge. The prevalence of pneumonitis has been estimated at two to three cases per 100 patient years of exposure, but large series have not reported any cases.

**4.8.5 Ciclosporin**

(Oral or intravenous, unlicensed therapy for UC.) Ciclosporin (CsA) is an inhibitor of calcineurin, preventing clonal expansion of T-cell subsets. It has a rapid onset of action and is effective in the management of severe UC.

**Role of CsA**

Intravenous CsA is rapidly effective as a salvage therapy for patients with refractory colitis, who would otherwise face colectomy, but its use is controversial because of toxicity and long term failure rate. Toxicity can be reduced by using lower doses (2 mg/kg/day intravenously), by oral microemulsion ciclosporin, or by monotherapy without corticosteroids. The drug should rarely be continued for more than 3–6 months and its main role is a bridge to thiopurine therapy. A meta-analysis of four randomised controlled trials showed that CsA has no therapeutic value in UC.

**Monitoring therapy**

Measurement of blood pressure, full blood count, renal function, and CsA concentration (aim 100–200 ng/ml) are advisable at 0, 1, and 2 weeks, then monthly. Measurement of blood cholesterol and magnesium are appropriate before starting therapy (below).
Aspergillus of therapy, but other opportunistic infections (for example, pneumonia is an individual decision dependent on nutritional circumstances. Prophylaxis against (treatment of fistulising CD with IFX is not currently treatment, compared with 19% on placebo (p = 0.009). fistulae closed) was observed in 36% of patients on active of the patients on active retreatment had a fistula response from 5 to 10 mg/kg. At the end of the 12 month trial, 46% who lost response were switched from placebo to active maintenance infusions or placebo every 8 weeks. Patients (69%) responded and these were randomised to 5 mg/kg infusions of IFX 5 mg/kg at weeks 0, 2, and 6. Of the 306, 195 enterocutaneous fistulae were treated with three induction conducted. A total of 306 patients with actively draining was in most cases limited to only 3 or 4 months. A large number of draining fistulas at two or more consecutive visits the 10 mg/kg group experienced a 50% reduction in the was examined. The protocol was complex. In broad terms, patients were treated with placebo, 5 mg/kg or 10 mg/kg every 8 weeks until week 46. At week 30, 21% of the placebo treated patients were in remission compared with 39% of the patients treated with 5 mg/kg infusions (p = 0.003) and 45% of those treated with 10 mg/kg infusions (p = 0.0002). IFX is licensed but not yet approved by NICE for maintenance therapy of CD in the UK.

**Evidence for fistulising CD**

IFX is the first agent to show a therapeutic effect for fistulising CD in a controlled trial. Ninety four patients with draining abdominal or perianal fistulas of at least 3 months' duration were treated. 68% in the 5 mg/kg group and 56% in the 10 mg/kg group experienced a 50% reduction in the number of draining fistulas at two or more consecutive visits compared with 26% given placebo (p = 0.002 and p = 0.02, respectively). The problem is that the duration of this effect was in most cases limited to only 3 or 4 months. A large retreatment trial for fistulating CD (ACCENT-II) has been conducted. A total of 306 patients with actively draining enterocutaneous fistulae were treated with three induction infusions of IFX 5 mg/kg at weeks 0, 2, and 6. Of the 306, 195 (69%) responded and these were randomised to 5 mg/kg maintenance infusions or placebo every 8 weeks. Patients who lost response were switched from placebo to active treatment at 5 mg/kg, or the retreatment dose increased from 5 to 10 mg/kg. At the end of the 12 month trial, 46% of the patients on active retreatment had a fistula response versus 23% on placebo (p = 0.001). Complete response (all fistulas closed) was observed in 36% of patients on active treatment, compared with 19% on placebo (p = 0.009). Treatment of fistulising CD with IFX is not currently approved by NICE unless criteria for severe active disease are also met.

**Selection**

National guidelines govern its use. In the UK, it is limited to patients with severe active CD (Harvey Bradshaw index >8, CD activity index >300) refractory to or intolerant of steroids and immunosuppression, for whom surgery is inappropriate. Retreatment is often necessary, after a variable interval (most commonly 8–16 weeks). All patients should receive an immunomodulator (AZA, MP, or MTX) unless these cannot be tolerated, as these probably extend the interval and reduce development of antibodies to IFX that in turn reduce efficacy and increase side effects. Because IFX is associated with a four- or fivefold increase in risk of tuberculosis, all patients should have a chest xray to exclude past or present infection and be asked about previous BCG vaccination before IFX infusion. Tuberculin testing can be limited to those who have not had BCG and who are not on immunomodulators. Patients with evidence of previous tuberculosis should be seen by a thoracic physician. Guidelines for chemoprophylaxis are being produced by the British Thoracic Society (2004).

**Side effects**

Treatment with IFX is relatively safe if used for appropriate indications. Infusion reactions (during or shortly after infusion) are rare and respond to slowing the infusion rate or treatment with antihistamines, paracetamol, and sometimes corticosteroids. Anaphylactic reactions have been reported. A delayed reaction of joint pain and stiffness, fever, myalgia, and malaise may occur if there has been an interval >1 year following a previous infusion and can be limited by pretreatment with hydrocortisone. Infection is the main concern. Active sepsis (for example, an abscess) is an absolute contraindication, as this risks overwhelming septicaemia. Reactivation or development of tuberculosis has been reported in 24/100 000 patients with rheumatoid arthritis given anti-TNF therapy, compared with 6/100 000 not given such treatment. IFX may exacerbate existing cardiac failure. The theoretical risk of lymphoproliferative disorders or malignancy (in view of the role of endogenous TNF in tumour suppression) has not been confirmed in post-marketing surveillance, but follow up is short. IFX is best avoided in those with a history of malignancy.

**Efficacy for inflammatory CD**

A multicentre, double blind study in 108 patients with moderate to severe CD refractory to 5-ASA, corticosteroids, and/or immunomodulators, demonstrated an 81% response rate at 4 weeks after 5 mg/kg IFX compared with 17% given placebo. The duration of response varied, but 48% who had received 5 mg/kg still had a response at week 12. The ACCENT-I study was the definitive retreatment trial. Maintenance of remission in 335 responders to a single infusion of IFX 5 mg/kg for active CD (out of an initial 573) was examined. The protocol was complex. In broad terms, patients were treated with placebo, 5 mg/kg or 10 mg/kg every 8 weeks until week 46. At week 30, 21% of the placebo treated patients were in remission compared with 39% of the patients treated with 5 mg/kg infusions (p = 0.003) and 45% of those treated with 10 mg/kg infusions (p = 0.0002). IFX is licensed but not yet approved by NICE for maintenance therapy of CD in the UK.

**5.0 MEDICAL MANAGEMENT OF ULCERATIVE COLITIS**

Therapeutic decisions depend on disease activity and extent. Disease activity is best evaluated objectively using a clinical activity index (the Truelove & Witts or the Simple Clinical Colitis indices are recommended). Patients with severe disease require hospital admission, whereas those with mild/moderate disease can generally be managed as outpatients. Disease extent can broadly be divided into distal and more extensive disease. Topical management is appropriate for some patients with active disease. This is usually the case for those with proctitis and often the case if the disease extends into the sigmoid. For those with more extensive disease, oral or parenteral therapy are the mainstays of treatment, although some of these patients may get additional benefit from topical therapy.

**5.1. Active left sided or extensive UC**

For the purposes of these guidelines, “left sided” disease is defined as disease extending proximal to the sigmoid descending junction up to the splenic flexure and “extensive” UC as extending proximal to the splenic flexure. Disease activity should be confirmed by sigmoidoscopy and infection excluded, although treatment need not wait for microbiological analysis.
For the treatment of active, left sided, or extensive UC:

- Mesalazine 2–4 g daily or balsalazide 6.75 g daily are effective first line therapy for mild to moderately active disease (grade A).
- Olsalazine 1.5–3 g daily has a higher incidence of diarrhoea in pancolitis (grade A) and is best for patients with left sided disease, or intolerance of other 5-ASA.
- Sulphasalazine has a higher incidence of side effects compared with newer 5-ASA drugs (grade A). Selected patients, such as those with a reactive arthropathy, may benefit.
- Prednisolone 40 mg daily is appropriate for patients in whom a prompt response is required, or those with mild to moderately active disease, in whom mesalazine in appropriate dose has been unsuccessful (grade B).
- Prednisolone should be reduced gradually according to severity and patient response, generally over 8 weeks. More rapid reduction is associated with early relapse (grade C).
- Long term treatment with steroids is undesirable. Patients with chronic active steroid dependent disease should be treated with azathioprine 1.5–2.5 mg/kg/day or mercaptopurine 0.75–1.5 mg/kg/day (grade A).
- Topical agents (either steroids or mesalazine) may be added to the above agents. Although they are unlikely to be effective alone, they may benefit some patients with troublesome rectal symptoms (grade B).
- Ciclosporin may be effective for severe, steroid refractory colitis (grade A) (see section 5.3).

**Recommendations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.1.1 Active left sided or extensive ulcerative colitis should be treated with oral aminosalicylates or corticosteroids to give prompt relief of symptoms, after the different options have been discussed and the views of the patient taken into account.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1.2 There is insufficient evidence to recommend the use of other agents outside trials or specialist centres.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**5.2 Active distal UC**

The term “distal colitis” applies to disease up to the sigmoid descending junction, including “proctitis”, meaning disease limited to the rectum. Patient preference has a greater influence on management than for extensive colitis, in view of the option of topical or systemic therapy. Choice of topical formulation should be determined by the proximal extent of the inflammation (suppositories for disease to the recto-sigmoid junction, foam or liquid enemas for more proximal disease) along with patient preference, such as ease of insertion or retention of enemas.

For the treatment of active, distal UC:

- In mild to moderate disease, topical mesalazine 1 g daily (in appropriate form for extent of disease) combined with oral mesalazine 2–4 g daily, olsalazine 1.5–3 g daily, or balsalazide 6.75 g daily, are effective first line therapy (grade A).
- Topical corticosteroids are less effective than topical mesalazine, and should be reserved as second line therapy for patients who are intolerant of topical mesalazine (grade A).
- Patients who have failed to improve on a combination of oral mesalazine with either topical mesalazine or topical corticosteroids should be treated with oral prednisolone 40 mg daily. Topical agents may be used as adjunctive therapy in this situation (grade A).
- Prednisolone should be reduced gradually according to severity and patient response, generally over 8 weeks (grade C).
- Sulphasalazine 2–4 g daily has a higher incidence of side effects compared with newer 5-ASA drugs (grade A). Selected patients, such as those with a reactive arthropathy, may benefit.
- Topical mesalazine alone or oral mesalazine alone are effective, but less effective than combination therapy, so combination therapy is appropriate (grade B).
- Proximal constipation should be treated with stool bulking agents or laxatives (grade C).

**5.3 Severe UC**

Patients who have failed to respond to maximal oral treatment with a combination of mesalazine and/or steroids with or without topical therapy, or those who present with severe disease defined by the Truelove and Witts’ criteria18 should be admitted for intensive intravenous therapy (below). Monitoring of pulse rate, stool frequency, C reactive protein, and plain abdominal radiograph help identify those who need colectomy. Close liaison with a surgeon who specialises in the management of patients with UC should be maintained. Acute onset UC is sometimes difficult to distinguish from infective colitis, but treatment with corticosteroids should not be delayed until stool microbiology results are available.

The approach to treatment of severe UC involves:

- Physical examination daily to evaluate abdominal tenderness and rebound tenderness. Joint medical and surgical management is appropriate.
- Recording of vital signs four times daily and more often if deterioration noted.
- A stool chart to record number and character of bowel movements, including the presence or absence of blood and liquid versus solid stool.
- Measurement of FBC, ESR, or CRP, serum electrolytes, serum albumin, and liver function tests every 24–48 hours.
- Daily abdominal radiography if colonic dilatation (transverse colon diameter ≥5.5 cm) is detected at presentation. If not dilated, there should be a low threshold for further radiological assessment if there is clinical deterioration.
- Intravenous fluid and electrolyte replacement to correct and prevent dehydration or electrolyte imbalance, with blood transfusion to maintain a haemoglobin >10 g/dl.
- Subcutaneous heparin to reduce the risk of thromboembolism (grade B).
- Nutritional support (by enteral or parenteral route) if the patient is malnourished (grade C).
● Intravenous corticosteroids (hydrocortisone 400 mg/day or methylprednisolone 60 mg/day) (grade B). Higher doses of steroids offer no greater benefit, but lower doses are less effective (grade A).
● Withdrawal of anticholinergic, anti diarrhoeal agents, NSAID and opioid drugs, which risk precipitating colonic dilatation (grade B).
● Continuation of aminosalicylates once oral intake resumes, although these have not been studied in severe disease (grade C).
● Topical therapy (corticosteroids or mesalazine) if tolerated and retained, although there have been limited studies in acute severe disease (grade C).
● Intravenous antibiotics only if infection is considered, or immediately before surgery (grade C). Controlled trials of intravenous metronidazole and oral vancomycin in acute severe UC have shown no significant benefit (grade A).
● Immediate surgical referral if there is evidence of toxic megacolon (diameter >5.5 cm, or caecum >9 cm). The urgency with which surgery is undertaken after recognition of colonic dilatation depends on the condition of the patient: the greater the dilatation and the greater the degree of systemic toxicity, the sooner surgery should be undertaken, but signs may be masked by steroid therapy (grade C). In selected patients with mild dilatation, expectant management may be undertaken. Any clinical, laboratory, or radiological deterioration mandates immediate colectomy (grade C).
● Objective re-evaluation on the third day of intensive treatment. A stool frequency of >8/day or CRP >45 mg/l at 3 days appears to predict the need for surgery in 85% of cases. Surgical review and input from specialist colorectal nurse or stomatherapist is appropriate at this stage. There is no benefit from intravenous steroids beyond 7–10 days (grade B).
● Consideration of colectomy or intravenous ciclosporin 2 mg/kg/day if there is no improvement during the first 3 days (grade A). Following induction of remission, oral ciclosporin for 3–6 months is appropriate (grade B). Intravenous ciclosporin alone may be as effective as methylprednisolone, but potential side effects mean that it is rarely an appropriate single first line therapy (grade A).

**Recommendations**

5.3.1 Severe ulcerative colitis should be managed jointly by a gastroenterologist in conjunction with a colorectal surgeon.
5.3.2 Patients should be kept informed of treatment and prognosis, including a 25–30% chance of needing colectomy.
5.3.3 Further controlled trials should be conducted in the medical treatment of severe ulcerative colitis.

**Recommendation**

5.4.1 Patients with ulcerative colitis should normally receive maintenance therapy with aminosalicylates, azathioprine, or mercaptopurine to reduce the risk of relapse.

6.0 MEDICAL MANAGEMENT OF CROHN’S DISEASE

The severity of CD is more difficult to assess than UC. The general principles are to consider the site (ileal, ileocolic, colonic, other), pattern (inflammatory, stricturing, fistulizing) and activity of the disease before treatment decisions are made in conjunction with the patient.

An alternative explanation for symptoms other than active disease should be considered (such as bacterial overgrowth, bile salt malabsorption, fibrotic strictures, dysmotility, gall
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stones) and disease activity confirmed (usually by CRP or ESR) before starting steroids. Individuals with CD have many investigations over their lifetime and imaging (colonoscopy, small bowel radiology) should not be repeated unless it will alter management or a surgical decision depends on the result.

6.1 Active ileal/ileocolonic/colonic disease

Patients should be encouraged to participate actively in the decision to treat with high dose aminosaliclyates, different corticosteroids, nutritional therapy, antibiotics, new biological agents, or surgery. Infliximab is considered in section 6.5.

- In mild ileocolonic CD, high dose mesalazine (4 g/daily) may be sufficient initial therapy (grade A).
- For patients with moderate to severe disease, or those with mild to moderate ileocolonic CD that has failed to respond to oral mesalazine, oral corticosteroids such as prednisolone 40 mg daily is appropriate (grade A).
- Prednisolone should be reduced gradually according to severity and patient response, generally over 8 weeks. More rapid reduction is associated with early relapse (grade C).
- Budesonide 9 mg daily is appropriate for patients with isolated ileo-caecal disease with moderate disease activity, but marginally less effective than prednisolone (grade A).
- Intravenous steroids (hydrocortisone 400 mg/day or methylprednisolone 60 mg/day) are appropriate for patients with severe disease (grade B). Concomitant intravenous metronidazole is often advisable, because it may be difficult to distinguish between active disease and a septic complication.
- Elemental or polymeric diets are less effective than corticosteroids, but may be used to induce remission in selected patients with active CD who have a contraindication to corticosteroid therapy, or who would themselves prefer to avoid such therapy (grade A).
- Elemental or polymeric diets are appropriate adjunctive therapy (grade C).
- Total parenteral nutrition is appropriate adjunctive therapy in complex, fistulizing disease (grade B).
- Sulphasalazine 4 g daily is effective for active colonic disease, but cannot be recommended as first line therapy in view of a high incidence of side effects. It may be appropriate in selected patients (grade A).
- Metronidazole 10–20 mg/kg/day, although effective, is not usually recommended as first line therapy for CD in view of the potential for side effects (grade A). It has a role in selected patients with colonic or treatment resistant disease, or those who wish to avoid steroids.
- Topical mesalazine may be effective in left sided colonic CD of moderate to moderate activity (grade B).
- Azathioprine 1.5–2.5 mg/kg/day or mercaptopurine 0.75–1.5 mg/kg/day may be used in active CD as adjunctive therapy and as a steroid sparing agent. However, its slow onset of action precludes its use as a sole therapy (grade A).
- Infliximab 5 mg/kg is effective (grade A), but is best avoided in patients with obstructive symptoms (see section 6.5).
- Surgery should be considered for those who have failed medical therapy and may be appropriate as primary therapy in patients with limited ileal or ileo-caecal disease (grade C).

6.2 Fistulating and perianal disease

Active perianal disease or fistulae are often associated with active CD elsewhere in the gastrointestinal tract. The initial aim should be to treat active disease and sepsis. For more complex, fistulizing disease, the approach involves defining the anatomy, supporting nutrition, and potential surgery. For perianal disease, MRI and examination under anaesthetic are particularly helpful.

- Metronidazole 400 mg tds (grade A) and/or ciprofloxacin 500 mg bd (grade B) are appropriate first line treatments for simple perianal fistulae.
- Azathioprine 1.5–2.5 mg/kg/day or mercaptopurine 0.75–1.5 mg/kg/day are potentially effective for simple perianal fistulae or enterocutaneous fistulae where distal obstruction and abscess have been excluded (grade A).
- Infliximab (three infusions of 5 mg/kg at 0, 2, and 6 weeks) should be reserved for patients whose perianal or enterocutaneous fistulae are refractory to other treatment and should be used as part of a strategy that includes immunomodulation and surgery (grade A).
- Surgery (section 7), including Seton drainage, fistulotomy, and the use of advancement flaps is appropriate for persistent or complex fistulae in combination with medical treatment (grade C).
- Elemental diets or parenteral nutrition have a role as adjunctive therapy, but not as sole therapy (grade B).
- There is insufficient evidence to recommend other agents outside clinical trials or specialist centres.

6.3 Other sites

The same general principles apply, although there are no randomised controlled trials in the treatment of gastroduodenal or diffuse small bowel disease.

- Oral Crohn’s disease. This is best managed in conjunction with a specialist in oral medicine. Topical steroids, topical tacrolimus, intra-lesional steroid injections, enteral nutrition, and infliximab may have a role in management but there are no randomised controlled trials.
- Gastroduodenal disease. Symptoms are often relieved by proton pump inhibitors. Surgery is difficult and may be complicated by fistulation.
- Diffuse small bowel disease. Stricture dilatation or strictureplasty with or without triamcinolone injection should be considered. Nutritional support before and after surgery is
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usually essential. Other approaches, including the combination of infliximab with surgery for residual strictures, are evolving.

6.4 Maintenance of remission

The efficacy of drug therapy appears to depend on whether remission was achieved with medical or surgical therapy, on the risk of relapse, and site of disease. Smoking cessation is probably the most important factor in maintaining remission. To reduce the risk of relapse in CD:

- All smokers should be strongly advised to stop (grade A), with help (counselling, nicotine patches, or substitutes) offered to achieve this.
- Mesitylene has limited benefit and is ineffective at doses <2 g/day, or for those who have needed steroids to induce remission (grade A).
- Azathioprine 1.5–2.5 mg/kg/day or mercaptopurine 0.75–1.5 mg/kg are effective, but reserved as second line therapy because of potential toxicity (grade A).
- Methotrexate (15–25 mg IM weekly) is effective for patients whose active disease has responded to IM methotrexate (grade A). It is appropriate for those intolerant of, or who have failed, azathioprine/mercaptopurine therapy (grade B) once potential toxicity and other options, including surgery, have been discussed with the patient. Folic acid 5 mg once a week, taken 3 days after methotrexate, may reduce side effects. Subcutaneous or oral therapy may be effective (grade B).
- Infliximab is effective at a dose of 5–10 mg/kg every 8 weeks in patients who have responded to an initial infusion 12 weeks earlier, for up to 44 weeks (grade A). It is best used as part of treatment strategy including immunomodulation once other options, including surgery, have been discussed with the patient (grade B).
- Sulphasalazine cannot be recommended (grade A).
- Corticosteroids, including budesonide, are not effective (grade A), although some patients have chronic active disease who appear steroid dependent (below).

6.5 Chronic active and steroid dependent disease

Long term treatment with steroids is undesirable. Patients who have a poor response to steroids can be divided into steroid refractory and steroid dependent. Steroid-refractory disease may be defined as active disease in spite of an adequate dose and duration of prednisolone (≥20 mg/d for ≥2 weeks) and steroid dependence as a relapse when the steroid dose is reduced below 20 mg/day, or within 6 weeks of stopping steroids. Such patients should be considered for treatment with immunomodulators if surgery is not an immediate consideration.

- Azathioprine 1.5–2.5 mg/kg/day, or mercaptopurine 0.75–1.25 mg/kg/day are the first line agents of choice for steroid dependent disease (grade A).
- Monitoring the FBC to detect neutropenia is advisable, although there is no evidence that this is effective because profound neutropenia and sepsis can develop rapidly. The FBC is best checked within 4 weeks of starting therapy and every 6–12 weeks thereafter, although may be done more frequently. Routine measurement of thiopurine methyltransferase activity before treatment, which may identify some (but not all) patients at risk of neutropenia, cannot yet be recommended but is debated. Large published series report safe use of azathioprine without TPMT assay.
- Methotrexate IM 25 mg weekly for up to 16 weeks followed by 15 mg weekly is effective for chronic active disease (grade A). Oral dosing is effective for many patients (grade B).
- Infliximab (5 mg/kg) should be reserved for patients with moderate to severe CD, who are refractory to or intolerant of treatment with steroids, mesalazine, azathioprine/mercaptopurine, and methotrexate, and where surgery is considered inappropriate (grade A).

6.5.1 Immunomodulation with azathioprine, mercaptopurine, or methotrexate should be tried if steroids cannot be withdrawn without deterioration in disease activity.

7.0 SURGERY FOR INFLAMMATORY BOWEL DISEASE

7.1 General principles

For UC, surgery should be advised for disease not responding to intensive medical therapy. The decision to operate is best taken by the gastroenterologist and colorectal surgeon in conjunction with the patient. Other patients with dysplasia or carcinoma, poorly controlled disease, recurrent acute on chronic episodes of UC, or those with a retained rectal stump following previous colectomy should be counselled regarding surgical options.

For CD, surgery should only be undertaken for symptomatic rather than asymptomatic, radiologically identified disease, because it is potentially panenteric and usually recurs following surgery. Resections should be conservative. There are few randomised controlled trials of surgical options and practice in IBD. General principles are as follows:

- Patients requiring surgery for IBD are best managed under the joint care of a surgeon and a gastroenterologist with an interest in IBD (grade C).
- Preoperative counselling and marking of stoma sites should be performed by a clinical colorectal nurse specialist in stoma therapy (grade C).
- Midline incisions should usually be employed for IBD patients requiring laparotomy (grade B).
- The procedure of choice in acute fulminant UC or CD is a subtotal colectomy leaving a long rectal stump, either incorporated into the lower end of the abdominal wound or exteriorised as a mucus fistula, to facilitate later rectal excision and minimise the risk of intraperitoneal dehiscence (grade B).
- Patients requiring elective surgery for UC should be counselled regarding all surgical options, including ileal pouch where appropriate (grade C).
- Resections in CD should be limited to macroscopic disease (grade A).
- Primary anastomosis should not be performed in the presence of sepsis and malnutrition (grade B).
7.2 Preventing postoperative recurrence

For patients who smoke, cessation significantly reduces postoperative relapse. Additional medical therapy should be considered for at least 18 months after surgery, especially if disease has frequently relapsed prior to surgery, or after fistulas for fistulating disease, or after a second operation.

- All smokers should be strongly advised to stop (grade B), with help offered to achieve this.
- Mesalazine (≥2 g/day) lowers postoperative recurrence in small bowel disease (grade A), but is ineffective after colonic resection.
- Azathioprine 1.5–2.5 mg/kg/day or mercaptopurine 0.75–1.5 mg/kg/day may be used for preventing postoperative recurrence and may be better than mesalazine (grade B).
- Metronidazole (20 mg/kg/day for 3 months) effectively delays recurrence after ileocolic resection for up to 18 months (grade A), but potential side effects include peripheral neuropathy.

7.3 Pouchitis

Recommendations

- Patients who smoke should be strongly advised to stop (grade B), with offered help to achieve this.
- Postoperative adjuvant treatment should be considered in all patients and normally be used for patients who have frequently relapsed before surgery.

Up to 45% of patients who undergo ileal pouch surgery for UC suffer from pouchitis. Pouchitis is a new disease and diagnostic criteria have only recently been proposed. Conditions that mimic pouchitis (cuffitis, pelvic sepsis, pre-pouch ileitis, irritable pouch) should be considered. There are few trials of treatment:

- Metronidazole 400 mg tds (grade A) or ciprofloxacin 250 mg bd (grade B) for 2 weeks is the first line therapy of choice for pouchitis.
- Mesalazine or corticosteroids may be used in acute pouchitis if antibiotics are ineffective (grade C).
- Long term, low dose metronidazole or ciprofloxacin are potentially effective for chronic pouchitis (grade B).
- VSL3 probiotic therapy (although not widely available) may be used for chronic pouchitis (grade A).

8.0 ASSOCIATED ASPECTS OF INFLAMMATORY BOWEL DISEASE

8.1 Pain management

Abdominal pain is a common but under-researched feature of IBD. There are many potential mechanisms. These include acute and subacute obstruction in CD due to disease or adhesions, serosal and mucosal inflammation, visceral hypersensitivity, secondary irritable bowel syndrome, proctalgia fugax, the likely impact of emotional factors on pain thresholds, and visceral distension where there is dilation. Gall stones, renal calculi, and chronic pancreatitis should be considered. In addition, arthritis, iritis, and painful skin complications require analgesia in many patients. Most analgesics are relatively ineffective and have the potential to worsen underlying disease. Where possible, treatment is of the underlying cause (including corticosteroids and if appropriate, treatment of associated irritable bowel syndrome). Where non-specific pain relief is needed, an opioid that has less effect on motility such as tramadol may help.

- It is advisable that patients with UC should have a colonoscopy after 8–10 years to re-evaluate disease extent (grade C). Whether patients with previously extensive disease whose disease has regressed benefit from surveillance is unknown.
- For those with extensive colitis opting for surveillance, colonoscopies should be conducted every 3 years in the second decade, every 2 years in the third decade, and annually in the fourth decade of disease (grade C).
- Four random biopsies every 10 cm from the entire colon are best taken with additional samples of suspicious areas (grade C).
- Patients with primary sclerosing cholangitis appear to represent a subgroup at higher risk of cancer, and they should have more frequent (perhaps annual) colonoscopy (grade C).
- If dysplasia (of any grade) is detected, the biopsies should be reviewed by a second gastrointestinal pathologist and if confirmed, then colectomy is usually advisable (grade C).

8.3 Management of pregnancy

As both UC and CD often occur in young adults, managing IBD in pregnancy is not uncommon. It has been estimated that approximately 25% of female patients conceive after the diagnosis of IBD has been made. Maintaining adequate disease control during pregnancy is essential for both maternal and fetal health.
Nutritional support is appropriate as disease modifying therapy for growth failure in children or adolescents with active small bowel disease (grade A). After detailed discussion it may be used in preference to steroids, immunomodulators, or surgery for any patient with active disease (grade B), or for those unresponsive to mesalazine or in whom corticosteroids are contraindicated (grade C).

Nutritional support is appropriate as adjunctive therapy for any malnourished patients (grade C), or for those who have difficulty maintaining normal nutritional status (grade C).

If planning pregnancy, patients should be counselled to conceive during remission and advised to continue their maintenance medication. Before conception, patients should be well nourished and take folate supplements.

Flexible sigmoidoscopy may be used safely where the information provided will significantly alter management. The least extensive procedure possible should be employed (grade B).

Patients with acute severe colitis or other life threatening complications of disease should be managed as for the non-pregnant patient, including abdominal radiograph. The best interests of the fetus are served by optimal management of maternal IBD (grade B).

The mode of delivery should be carefully considered. It may be best for patients with perianal CD or ileoanal pouch formation to have a Caesarian section to avoid the risk of damage to the anal sphincter.

Sulphasalazine should be stopped if there is suspected neonatal haemolysis (grade B).

Azathioprine should in general be continued during pregnancy, as the risks to the fetus from disease activity appear to be greater than continued therapy. Babies born to mothers on azathioprine may be lighter than normal and the risk-benefit ratio should be discussed with patients (grade B).

Corticosteroids can be used for active disease, as the risks to the pregnancy from disease activity are greater than from continued therapy (grade B).

Methotrexate is absolutely contraindicated in pregnancy (grade B).

Absolute indications for surgery are unaltered by pregnancy and surgery should only be delayed where aggressive medical therapy may allow critical fetal maturation (grade C).

Intestinal resection should be covered by a defunctioning stoma. Primary anastomosis is best avoided (grade B).

8.4 Nutrition

There is little evidence to implicate dietary components in the aetiology or pathogenesis of UC. However, patients are prone to malnutrition and its detrimental effects. There is no evidence that artificial nutritional support alters the inflammatory response in UC, in contrast to CD.

For CD, nutrition should be considered an integral component of the management of all patients. Malnutrition is common and multifactorial in origin. Nutritional status (including body mass index) is best assessed at diagnosis and periodically thereafter. As a minimum, patients should be weighed on outpatient attendance or in primary care. In children and adolescents, regular monitoring with height and weight centile charts are mandatory. Specific attention should be paid to vitamin B12 status, especially after ileal resection.

Nutritional support is appropriate as disease modifying therapy for growth failure in children or adolescents with active small bowel disease (grade A). After detailed discussion it may be used in preference to steroids, immunomodulators, or surgery for any patient with active disease (grade B), or for those unresponsive to mesalazine or in whom corticosteroids are contraindicated (grade C).

Nutritional support is appropriate as adjunctive therapy for any malnourished patients (grade C), or for those who have difficulty maintaining normal nutritional status (grade C).

Nutritional support is appropriate for those with intestinal partial obstruction awaiting surgery (grade C), or severely symptomatic perianal disease (grade C), or those with postoperative complications. Enteral nutrition is preferred when the patient’s condition permits (grade C).

Serum vitamin B12 is best measured annually in patients with ileal CD (grade C).

8.5 Management of extraintestinal manifestations

Extraintestinal manifestations are found in both CD and UC. Those that are associated with active intestinal disease largely respond to therapy aimed at controlling disease activity, whereas those that occur whether disease is inactive or quiescent run a course independent of therapy for intestinal disease. Extraintestinal manifestations are more common in Crohn’s colitis and ileocolitis than in exclusively small bowel disease.

8.6 Osteoporosis

Osteoporosis is common in patients with IBD (see BSG Guidelines for osteoporosis in coeliac disease and inflammatory bowel disease), although the absolute fracture risk, contribution of steroids and role of prophylaxis remain a subject for debate.

8.7 The role of the IBD nurse specialist

The IBD clinical nurse specialist represents a new role for the management of patients with IBD. Nurse specialists are widely appreciated by patients, relatives, and medical staff. Evolving evidence confirms their cost effectiveness (grade C). The role of the IBD specialist nurse needs defining, but may encompass:

- liaising with all members of the MDT, patients, primary care, and other agencies;
- support of patients and carers both in hospital and the community in all aspects of care of IBD;
- establishment of nurse-led services, including clinics, telephone helplines, and follow up, rapid access for patients, and referral to other professionals;
- development of systems to enable audit and participation in research projects promoting the care of IBD patients;
- developing and leading teaching plans for patients and other healthcare professionals involved in IBD management.

8.8 Sources of patient information

Many sources of information are available to complement explanations or advice given by clinical staff. Patients usually welcome further information, but it should be appropriate and relevant to their condition. In general, patients should be offered advice on where additional information may be obtained and help in interpreting information where the need arises. Sources are too many to provide a comprehensive list. The following provide access to both general and more detailed information:

- NACC: The National Association for Colitis and Crohn’s disease, 4 Beaumont House, Sutton Road, St Albans, Herts AL1 5HH, UK. Information Line: 01727 844296; website: www.nacc.org.uk
- CCFA: The Crohn’s and Colitis Foundation of America; website: www.ccfa.org
- CORE/DDF: Digestive Diseases Foundation, PO Box 251, Edgware, Middlesex, HA8 6HG, UK.
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