Relevance of volume and proximal extent of reflux in gastro-oesophageal reflux disease
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In patients with gastro-oesophageal reflux disease (GORD), oesophageal and extraoesophageal symptoms are traditionally attributed to increased contact time between the mucosa and refluxates. However, the volume of reflux may be important by increasing the total amount of highly concentrated damaging substances, either by prolonging distal mucosal exposure or by expanding to more proximal areas. To date, it has not been possible to accurately measure the volume of gastro-oesophageal reflux. Determination of the volume of reflux will help to better understand the pathophysiology of GORD and to evaluate the efficacy of antireflux treatments.

In patients with gastro-oesophageal reflux disease (GORD), oesophageal and extraoesophageal symptoms are traditionally attributed to increased contact time between the mucosa and refluxates. Such exposure is expressed as percentage of time the mucosa is exposed to a predetermined concentration of H+, bile acids, or pepsin without considering the volume of the refluxate. It is a popular belief that some patients with GORD have large volume reflux events, mostly in the supine position, whereas other patients have predominantly upright small volume reflux events. This concept comes from endoscopic and surgical observations in patients with a permanent open cardia, or from interpretation of pH-metry studies with prolonged clearance of acid. However, endoscopic and surgical observations are unlikely to represent spontaneous reflux events under physiological conditions, and prolonged acid clearance during pH-metry may be due to superimposed small volume reflux events.

Technical difficulties have precluded accurate estimation of reflux volume. Instead, multiple studies have tried, as a surrogate, to associate extraoesophageal symptoms of GORD with detection of acid reflux in the proximal oesophagus or pharynx. Proximal oesophageal and hypopharyngeal acid exposure were increased in patients with laryngeal signs of GORD. However, proximal oesophageal reflux may occur in normal subjects and this measurement has low sensitivity and reproducibility. Moreover, in a retrospective study by Cool et al, putatively GORD related respiratory and ENT symptoms did not occur more frequently in patients with abnormal proximal acid reflux. Recent studies using pharyngeal impedance-pH recordings showed that gas reflux with weak acidity is frequent in patients with laryngeal lesions. Asthma patients with asymptomatic reflux had high amounts of proximal oesophageal acid whereas in patients with upper airway and additional GORD symptoms, proximal reflux episodes were frequent and correlated well with nocturnal cough. Abnormal proximal acid exposure was also found in 44% of 34 patients with non-cardiac chest pain.

"Technical difficulties have precluded accurate estimation of reflux volume"

Direct estimation of reflux volume has been attempted using either oesophageal continuous aspiration or oesophageal scintigraphic studies. Aspiration studies could not measure the volume of individual reflux events but collected 2–7 ml refluxate/h during fasting and 9–15 ml refluxate/h in the postprandial period. These studies failed to show substantial differences in postprandial aspirated volumes between healthy controls and patients with GORD. In combined pH-metry-scintigraphic studies, reflux volume was inferred based on calculation of the increment in oesophageal isotopic counts above baseline and was marginally higher in patients with GORD compared with normal controls.

Parameters measured using other techniques allow speculation on the volume of reflux. Examples of these are measurement of the proximal extent of reflux by multiple pH-metry or oesophageal intraluminal impedance; extension of the manometric common cavity phenomenon and, more recently, measurement of the cross sectional area of the oesophageal lumen using high frequency ultrasound.

The proximal extent of reflux has been measured using multiple pH sensors. Analysis of orally progressing changes in oesophageal pH provides an idea of velocity and proximal spreading of acid reflux. Weusten et al first suggested that perception of reflux symptoms might depend on the proximal extent of the refluxate. In GORD patients, symptomatic reflux events had a higher median proximal extent than asymptomatic reflux. The same investigators found that more reflux events have a longer distal duration and reach a higher proximal level in patients with GORD than in patients without GORD.
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normal controls. 20 They suggested a correlation between these two parameters and hypothesised that a relatively large volume of the refluxate might account for both observations. 

More recently, Cicala et al confirmed the higher proximal extent of reflux in patients with GORD; particularly interesting was the increased rate and perception of proximal reflux in patients with non-erotic GORD with otherwise normal distal acid exposure.23

“The proximal extent of reflux has been measured using multiple pH sensors”

Recent studies using intraluminal ultrasound measured the distal oesophageal cross sectional area during distensions by water swallows or spontaneous reflux events. The maximal cross sectional areas of the distal oesophagus during water swallows with boluses of 1, 5, 10, 15, and 20 ml were 54, 101, 175, 235, and 246 mm², respectively, whereas the median cross sectional area during liquid gastro-oesophageal reflux was 44.1 mm², suggesting that distension of the oesophagus during physiological gastro-oesophageal reflux is relatively small.27 In patients with GORD, however, reflux events associated with symptoms (heartburn or regurgitation) induced larger distal distensions than asymptomatic reflux events. 25–26

The volume and proximal extent of reflux probably results from a balance between different factors, including characteristics of the gastric contents, permissive role or compliance of the oesophagogastric junction, gastro-oesophageal pressure gradient, physical properties of the refluxate, and the resistance opposed by gravity and/or motor activity in the oesophageal body.

“The volume and proximal extent of reflux probably results from a balance between different factors”

Recent analysis of ambulatory pH-impedance recordings from our laboratory illustrated the relationship between the degree of gastric fullness and proximal extent of reflux. During the first hour after a meal, 20% more reflux episodes reach a higher proximal extent than during the fasting period (personal observation). The viscosity of gastric contents is higher early after a meal. 27–28 The use of thickened milks in babies with GORD reduces both the number and proximal extent of reflux.29–32 Increased compliance of the oesophagogastric junction, observed in patients with a hiatus hernia, may facilitate gastro-oesophageal flow even with a low gastro-oesophageal pressure gradient. 33–34 Ambulatory manometric-pH studies showed occurrence of acid reflux during increased gastro-oesophageal pressure gradient (straining). Straining significantly increases the likelihood of reflux during transient lower oesophageal sphincter (LOS) relaxation. 35–36 In GORD patients, straining plays a significant role, particularly in the presence of a hiatus hernia and more severe degrees of oesophagitis.37–39 Interestingly, although the presence of gas in the refluxate might increase the total volume and contribute to oesophageal distension, it does not influence the proximal extent of liquid reflux. 40

Gravity appears to be a less significant parameter with regard to migration of spontaneous acid reflux events. In 24 hour multiple pH studies, the percentage of reflux episodes that reached 15 cm above the LOS was similar in the upright and supine positions. 20, 22 Similarly, recent pH-impedance studies failed to demonstrate a higher proximal extent of supine reflux episodes. 40

Oesophageal body motility may be relevant for the initial proximal extent of reflux and the time that the refluxate remains in the proximal oesophagus (proximal clearance). Two major components of oesophageal body motility should be considered: peristalsis and tone. Acid reflux can reach 15 cm above the LOS in approximately 6.8–21 seconds. 23 On the other hand, the time from acid reflux to first peristaltic contractions was described as approximately 21–64 seconds in normal subjects and 55–119 seconds in patients with GORD. 41, 42 These data suggest that primary or secondary peristalsis has less impact on the initial proximal extent of reflux but determines the permanence of the refluxate in the proximal oesophagus. On the other hand, oesophageal tone may influence the initial proximal extent of reflux. Recent studies in subjects without GORD have shown that after eating, highly acidic unbuffered gastric juice is present at the gastro-oesophageal junction.43 Furthermore, the number of acid reflux events and acid exposure at 0.5 cm above the squamocolumnar junction is 5–10 times higher than 5 cm more proximally.44 The mechanism that restricts gastric contents to this area is not completely clear. In normal subjects, distal acid reflux either causes no change or provokes a slight increase in oesophageal tone more proximally. In patients with oesophagitis, such an increase in tone is less common. 45 These data suggest that restriction of gastric contents to the oesophagogastric junction area may be helped by normal tone in the distal oesophageal body. The distal oesophagus has a higher resting tone but is more compliant to further distension than the proximal striated muscle oesophagus. These factors may influence the initial proximal extent, accumulation of the refluxate in different oesophageal areas, and perception of reflux. Theoretically, patients with a more tonic oesophagus may have homogeneous proximal migration and distribution of the refluxate to the proximal oesophagus whereas patients with a more compliant distal oesophagus may accumulate the same volume of refluxate more distally. Different compliance and regional variations in sensory innervation may also explain the increased perception of distension of the proximal oesophagus. For example, patients with non-erotic GORD have an increased perception of proximal acid reflux compared with patients with distal oesophagitis. 21

“Oesophageal tone may influence the initial proximal extent of reflux”

Several experimental studies have assessed the effect of reflux volume on proximal extent, oesophageal clearance, and symptom perception. Vicente et al demonstrated in piglets that oesophageal acid clearance is more volume dependent than motility dependent. 46 Thompson et al measured secondary peristaltic and upper oesophageal sphincter responses during intraluminal infusion of increasing volumes of HCl, saline, or balloon distensions. All of these stimuli produced similar responses, suggesting that the principal stimulus for upper oesophageal clearance is intraluminal distension. 47 Orr et al simulated reflux in healthy subjects by perfusing 1 and 3 ml of acid into the distal oesophagus. Both the higher volume and sleep increased the incidence of proximal migration. 48 The relevance of reflux volume on symptom perception can be inferred from studies with intrarecophageal balloon distensions. 49 The oesophageal sensation increases linearly with increasing balloon volumes. 50 However, reflux volume is not the only mechanism for symptoms perception. Hypersensitivity and hyperalgesia play significant roles 51, 52 and central sensitisation has been proposed as an important mechanism contributing to gastro-oesophageal reflux induced symptoms. 53

Quantification of gastro-oesophageal reflux volume and/or proximal extent can be helpful to assess the severity of
functional and/or anatomical abnormalities of the antireflux barrier and to evaluate the efficiency of antireflux therapies.

In this issue of Gut, Cicala and colleagues used multiple pH measurements to assess the proximal spread of reflux in nine patients with GORD before and six months after an endoscopic antireflux procedure (Gatekeeper) (see page 183). They found significant improvement in symptom scores without normalisation of distal acid exposure. However, the middle and proximal number of reflux events and acid exposure decreased significantly in all patients at six months. Did the “Gatekeeper” procedure reduce the volume of reflux? Probably yes. Although pH-meetry does not measure volume of reflux, a consistent reduction in proximal detection of acid without a significant decrease in distal acid exposure would suggest so. Either the volume of reflux was smaller or the refluxate accumulated distally. It is possible that the intramural material injected with the antireflux procedure decreased oesophagogastric junction compliance, resulting in increased resistance to retrograde flow of gastric material. Preliminary data from our institution suggest decreased distensibility of the oesophagogastric junction measured with a barostat after the antireflux radiofrequency Stretta procedure.

“Determination of the volume of reflux will help to better understand the pathophysiology of GORD and to evaluate the efficacy of antireflux treatments”

In summary, the volume of reflux may be important by increasing the total amount of highly concentrated damaging substances, either by prolonging distal mucosal exposure due to slow clearance or by expanding to more proximal areas. Furthermore, volume by itself may be relevant due to substances, either by prolonging distal mucosal exposure due to slow clearance or by expanding to more proximal areas. Thus, the total amount of refluxate may be relevant for reflux disease.
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EDITOR’S QUIZ: GI SNAPSHOT

Caecal tumour with hepatic metastases?

Clinical presentation
A 57 year old farmer presented with a four day history of jaundice, fever, chills, nausea, and right upper and lower quadrant pain. He had just returned from India a month earlier. There was no relevant past medical, surgical, family, or social history. Clinically, he looked wasted and had a temperature of 38.5°C. Tenderness was elicited in the right hypochondrium and there was a palpable tender colon in the right iliac fossa. Blood investigations revealed a raised erythrocyte sedimentation rate of 73 and abnormal liver function tests (bilirubin 47 μmol/L, alkaline phosphatase 314 U/L, gamma glutamyl transferase 263 U/L, aspartate aminotransferase 66 U/L, and alanine aminotransferase 108 U/L). Blood and urine cultures revealed no growth. Liver function tests (bilirubin 47 μmol/L, alkaline phosphatase 314 U/L, gamma glutamyl transferase 263 U/L, aspartate aminotransferase 66 U/L, and alanine aminotransferase 108 U/L). Blood and urine cultures revealed no growth. Liver function tests (bilirubin 47 μmol/L, alkaline phosphatase 314 U/L, gamma glutamyl transferase 263 U/L, aspartate aminotransferase 66 U/L, and alanine aminotransferase 108 U/L). Blood and urine cultures revealed no growth. Liver function tests (bilirubin 47 μmol/L, alkaline phosphatase 314 U/L, gamma glutamyl transferase 263 U/L, aspartate aminotransferase 66 U/L, and alanine aminotransferase 108 U/L). Blood and urine cultures revealed no growth. Liver function tests (bilirubin 47 μmol/L, alkaline phosphatase 314 U/L, gamma glutamyl transferase 263 U/L, aspartate aminotransferase 66 U/L, and alanine aminotransferase 108 U/L). Blood and urine cultures revealed no growth. Liver function tests (bilirubin 47 μmol/L, alkaline phosphatase 314 U/L, gamma glutamyl transferase 263 U/L, aspartate aminotransferase 66 U/L, and alanine aminotransferase 108 U/L). Blood and urine cultures revealed no growth. Liver function tests (bilirubin 47 μmol/L, alkaline phosphatase 314 U/L, gamma glutamyl transferase 263 U/L, aspartate aminotransferase 66 U/L, and alanine aminotransferase 108 U/L). Blood and urine cultures revealed no growth. Liver function tests (bilirubin 47 μmol/L, alkaline phosphatase 314 U/L, gamma glutamyl transferase 263 U/L, aspartate aminotransferase 66 U/L, and alanine aminotransferase 108 U/L). Blood and urine cultures revealed no growth.

Question
How do the computed tomography scan of the abdomen and colonoscopy images help in the diagnosis (fig 1)?

See page 200 for answer
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Figure 1  Computed tomography scan (A) and colonoscopy image (B) of the abdomen.