Effect of endoscopic augmentation of the lower oesophageal sphincter (Gatekeeper reflux repair system) on intraoesophageal dynamic characteristics of acid reflux
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Background and aims: Improvements in symptoms following endoscopic procedures for gastro-oesophageal reflux disease (GORD) are seldom supported by normalisation of acid exposure time at the distal oesophagus. However, the distribution of gastric acid within the proximal oesophagus is a main determinant of symptom generation in GORD patients. In this study, our aim was to assess the effect of endoscopic insertion of hydrogel expandable prostheses into the oesophageal submucosa on spatiotemporal characteristics of gastro-oesophageal reflux.

Methods: Oesophageal manometry and multichannel ambulatory 24 hour pH monitoring were carried out in nine patients before and six months after the endoscopic procedure. Dynamic characteristics of gastro-oesophageal reflux in patients were also compared with those in 13 asymptomatic controls.

Results: Acid exposure time (AET) at the distal oesophagus decreased from 11.7% (95% confidence interval 6.1–21.8) at baseline to 7.7% (3.7–11.6) at follow up (NS). Of the nine patients, distal AET normalised in three. AET at the middle (7.6% (2.9–12.3)) and proximal (2.4% (0.1–4.8)) oesophagus decreased significantly in all patients (2.4% (0.3–4.5), p < 0.01; 1.2% (0.2–2.2), p=0.05 respectively). Proximal extent of acid events significantly decreased in all patients at follow up (37.3% v 9.5%), reaching values observed in asymptomatic controls. Median GORD health related quality of life scores significantly improved from 35.5 at baseline to 9.4.

Conclusions: Despite the lack of a significant improvement in traditional pH variables, endoscopic implant of hydrogel prostheses above the lower oesophageal sphincter significantly decreases proximal spread of acid reflux into oesophageal body. This effect would explain the improvement in symptoms in patients six months after therapy.

O ver the last few years, several endoscopic therapies have been proposed in the treatment of gastro-oesophageal reflux disease (GORD). In common with antireflux surgery, the majority of these endo therapies are designed to tighten the oesophago gastric junction in order to enhance the anatomical and/or mechanical resistance to gastric reflux. Currently available data concerning these new treatments still refer to limited patient populations, and long term outcomes are lacking. Furthermore, no comparative data versus medical and surgical approaches are available. Apart from these limitations, preliminary reports on endo therapy for GORD demonstrate a significant improvement in symptoms (heartburn), also reflected by quality of life and perception of acid reflux are affected by endoscopic augmentation of the LOS (Gatekeeper system), stationary oesophageal manometry and 24 hour multichannel pH monitoring were performed in GORD patients before and after the treatment. Dynamic characteristics of gastro-oesophageal reflux in patients were also compared with those in asymptomatic controls.

METHODS
The study was carried out in nine GORD patients (four males, five females; mean age 48 years) meeting the inclusion criteria for the Gatekeeper procedure: (a) typical GORD symptoms, (b) pathological AET of the distal oesophagus (24 hour pH <4 exceeding 4% of the total recording time), and (c) responsiveness to proton pump inhibitor (PPI) therapy. Exclusion criteria were: presence of Barrett oesophagus, hiatal hernia >3 cm, and erosive oesophagitis >II grade (Savary-Miller). The Gatekeeper procedure consists of positioning 3–6 prostheses endoscopically at the level of the Z line in the submucosal layer of the oesophagus. Prostheses are made of polyacrylonitrile based hydrogel (non-immunogenic, non-migratory, and biocompatible) with tantalium for radio-opacity. All procedures were performed under deep sedation with propofol. The Gatekeeper system (Medtronic Inc.) consists of a specially designed 16 mm overtube assembly with a 2.4 mm prosthesis delivery system. The system allows the insertion of the endoscope inside the overtube and the procedure is under endoscopic vision. The
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of the nine patients, five presented with erosive disease (grade I in three, grade II in two patients) and the remaining four patients with non-erosive reflux disease. All patients completed the GORD-HRQL (health related quality of life) questionnaire and underwent stationary oesophageal manometry and a 24 hour ambulatory pH study before (range 6–12 days) and six months after Gatekeeper therapy. Manometric and pH-metric pretreatment were compared with those of 13 asymptomatic hospital staff volunteers (five males, eight females; mean age 39 years) (healthy control group), all of whom were non-smokers. Oesophageal manometry was performed with a perfused device assembly that incorporated three distal openings for LOS pressure recording, radially oriented around the circumference, and side hole recording sites at 5, 10, and 15 cm above the distal opening. The catheter was passed transnasally and placed within the LOS according to the manometric findings, at the gastric level, 5 cm above the LOS, and 10 and 3 cm below the upper oesophageal sphincter. Patients and controls completed a diary card on which the times of meals, body position, and symptoms were reported.

Before each study, the pH probes were calibrated in buffer solutions of pH 7 and pH 1. The four pH sensors were placed, according to the manometric findings, at the gastric level, 5 cm above the LOS, and 10 and 3 cm below the upper oesophageal sphincter. Patients and controls completed a diary card on which the times of meals, body position, and type of symptoms were reported.

A reflux episode was defined as a pH drop below 4 units at the distal oesophageal sensor, lasting >4 seconds. If the pH dropped to below 4 in the middle or in both the middle and proximal oesophagus and occurred simultaneously with a similar pH drop in the distal oesophagus, the reflux episode was defined as proximal (propagated reflux). To assess proximal propagation of each reflux episode, analysis of the pH tracing was performed by the same operator. Duration of each reflux episode was assessed at the three oesophageal sensors.

Analysis of data
A reflux episode was defined as a pH drop below 4 units at the distal oesophageal sensor, lasting >4 seconds. If the pH dropped to below 4 in the middle or in both the middle and proximal oesophagus and occurred simultaneously with a similar pH drop in the distal oesophagus, the reflux episode was defined as proximal (propagated reflux). To assess proximal propagation of each reflux episode, analysis of the pH tracing was performed by the same operator. Duration of each reflux episode was assessed at the three oesophageal sensors.

AET was defined as pathological, at the level of the distal oesophagus, if the percentage of time during which pH <4 exceeded the upper limits of normal values (5%) for the total recording time. Reflux episodes were classified as symptom related if they occurred <2 minutes before the onset of symptom. Heartburn and/or acid regurgitation were considered in the analysis of symptoms. Symptom index and symptom association probability index were calculated at each oesophageal level (Software Medtronic, Minneapolis, Minnesota, USA) according to the formula described previously.
Gatekeeper reflux repair system and acid reflux

Table 4 Dynamic characteristics of acid reflux in gastro-oesophageal reflux disease patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reflux episodes (n)</th>
<th>Duration (minutes)†</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pretreatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distal oesophagus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upright</td>
<td>469</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supine</td>
<td>1.6 (1.3–1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle oesophagus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upright</td>
<td>312</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supine</td>
<td>1.5 (1.2–1.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proximal oesophagus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upright</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supine</td>
<td>1.4 (0.8–1.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†Values are mean (95% confidence interval).
***p<0.001 versus pretreatment.

Figure 2 Proximal reflux before treatment and after six months of follow up.

Statistical analysis
Data are expressed as mean and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI). The Wilcoxon test was used to compare intra-subject differences in reflux events.

RESULTS
Symptoms
The GORD-HRQL (heartburn and regurgitation) score, off PPI, significantly improved from a value of 35.5 (95% CI 25–46) at baseline to 9.4 (95% CI 0–19) at six months (p<0.01) (table 1). All patients except one showed significant improvement in the symptom score after six months of follow up.

Manometric data
No significant differences were found for resting and residual LOS pressure, LOS length (table 2), or motor patterns of the oesophageal body between baseline and the six month follow up. Simultaneous waves and/or abnormal amplitude waves (<30 mm Hg or >180 mm Hg) were not observed pre or post therapy in patients or controls.

pHmetric data
Spatiotemporal characteristics of the reflux events in healthy controls and in patients before and at six months after treatment are shown in tables 3 and 4.

DISCUSSION
The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of insertion of expandable prostheses into the submucosa of the distal oesophagus on spatiotemporal characteristics of gastro-oesophageal reflux. For this purpose, prolonged multichannel pH monitoring was performed. Indeed, although the main determinants of GORD symptoms still remain to be fully elucidated, studies using intraluminal impedance monitoring in combination with pH and bile monitoring have confirmed the hypothesis that of the gastric contents, acid reflux plays a major role in eliciting symptoms and, at the same time, is related to disease complications. The results of the present study concerning symptoms and pH profile of GORD patients showed, in agreement with the findings of an ongoing multicentre study, that the satisfactory improvement in symptoms following therapy was not associated with normalisation of acid exposure of the distal oesophagus in the majority of patients. In our series, after six months of follow up, despite a positive subjective response to the treatment in almost all patients, AET at the distal oesophagus normalised.
in only three of our nine patients. Furthermore, lack of significant improvement in manometric findings (that is, LOS tone and post deglutitive motor patterns) did not support the subjective improvement, reported in terms of GORD-HRQL score and the need for antiseptic drugs.

It has recently been shown that proximal oesophageal extent of gastric acid is a common finding in GORD and a main determinant of reflux perception, irrespective of AET of the distal oesophageal mucosa. Our results on intraoesophageal patterns of acid reflux demonstrated a significant decrease, post therapy, of ascending (proximal) reflux at the middle and proximal oesophageal levels. The decreased acid exposure of the proximal oesophageal mucosa occurred in all patients and, at follow up reached values observed in asymptomatic controls, in terms of AET as well as percentage of proximal extent. Others have previously reported 24 hour pH data for the proximal oesophagus in asymptomatic subjects, and our findings are in agreement with these, in terms of AET as well as percentage of proximal oesophagus in asymptomatic controls, in terms of AET as well as percentage of proximal oesophagus in asymptomatic controls.

Furthermore, it has recently been shown that GORD patients, while in an upright position but mean duration is longer in the supine position. The longer duration of reflux events in the supine position, reported to be a pathophysiological marker of GORD patients, was no longer present at follow up.

The correlation between gastro-oesophageal reflux and GORD symptoms remains to be fully elucidated but increasing evidence supports the role of gastric acid, and possibly of its intraoesophageal extent, on histological and ultrastructural changes in the oesophageal mucosa (basal cell hyperplasia, papillary elongation, and intercellular space dilation) which may lead to increased chemoreceptor activation, even in the absence of erosive oesophagitis. It is tempting to hypothesise that the reduced contact time of gastric acid on the entire oesophageal mucosa, due to enhanced reflux resistance at the level of the oesophagogastric junction, may play a role in symptom improvement, irrespective of acid exposure at the distal oesophagus alone. Furthermore, it has recently been shown that GORD patients, particularly those with a hiatus hernia, are characterised by a wider opening of the relaxed oesophagogastric junction and it has been speculated that endoscopic therapies may be beneficial by decreasing oesophagogastric junction compliance and, most probably, the volume of the refluxate. Our study could not evaluate this effect as reflux measurement gives no indication of the volume of the refluxate.

In the present study, the effect of the Gatekeeper procedure on the dynamic pattern of acid reflux was assessed after a short follow up period in a small group of patients. Long term observations are now needed to establish whether and for how long these changes persist, as well as their long term relationship with the symptoms and complications of the disease. Pathophysiological studies carried out on larger series undergoing endoluminal therapies may support our findings and would offer objective parameters for the outcome of these new therapeutic approaches for GORD.

In conclusion, despite the lack of a significant improvement in traditional pH variables, endoscopic insertion of hydrogel prostheses above the LOS significantly decreased proximal spread of acid reflux within the oesophageal body. This effect would explain the significant improvement in symptoms in GORD patients, six months after this therapy.
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