Apple polyphenol extracts prevent damage to human gastric epithelial cells in vitro and to rat gastric mucosa in vivo
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Background: Fresh fruit and vegetables exert multiple biological effects on the gastrointestinal mucosa. This study was designed: (1) to evaluate whether apple extracts counteract oxidative or indomethacin induced damage to gastric epithelial cells; and (2) to identify the mechanism responsible for any such protective effect. We decided to use cultured gastric epithelial cells as an experimental model, with the gastric epithelial lining being the first site where the interaction between endogenously generated ROS and dietary antioxidants takes place in vivo.

Methods: Apple extracts were obtained from freeze-dried apple flesh of the "Annurca" variety. Cell injury was induced by incubating MKN 28 cells with xanthine-xanthine oxidase or indomethacin and quantitated by MTT. In vivo gastric damage was induced by indomethacin 35 mg/kg (a well-known ulcerogen). Whether apple extracts prevent NSAID induced injury both in vitro and in the rat gastric mucosa in vivo, and chose indomethacin as an example of NSAID injury.

Results: (1) Apple extracts decreased xanthine-xanthine oxidase or indomethacin induced injury to gastric epithelial cells by 50%; (2) catechin or chlorogenic acid (the main phenolic components of apple extracts) were equally effective as apple extracts in preventing oxidative injury to gastric cells; and (3) apple extracts caused a fourfold increase in intracellular antioxidant activity, prevented its decrease induced by xanthine-xanthine oxidase, counteracted xanthine-xanthine oxidase induced lipid peroxidation, and decreased indomethacin injury to the rat gastric mucosa by 40%.

Conclusions: Apple extracts prevent exogenous damage to human gastric epithelial cells in vitro and to the rat gastric mucosa in vivo. This effect seems to be associated with the antioxidant activity of apple phenolic compounds. A diet rich in apple antioxidants might exert a beneficial effect in the prevention of gastric diseases related to generation of reactive oxygen species.

Abbreviations: ROS, reactive oxygen species; NSAIDs, non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; APE, apple polyphenol extracts; BHT, butylated hydroxytoluol; HPLC, high pressure liquid chromatography; CAT, catalase; CA, chlorogenic acid; ECAT, epicatechin; X, xanthine; XO, xanthine oxidase; MIT, 3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolliumbromide; LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; MDA, malondialdehyde.
MATERIAL AND METHODS

Preparation of apple extract
Freeze dried apple flesh of the “Annurca” variety (1 g) was extracted with 5 ml of methanol containing 1% butylated hydroxytoluol (BHT). Three successive extractions were carried out at 4°C for one hour in the dark. Methanol extracts were pooled and filtered on Millipore Millex-GN 0.2 μm before analysis by high pressure liquid chromatography (HPLC) and mass spectrometry. The same extract was assayed for antioxidant activity and for measuring the protective effects on cultured gastric cells.

Identification and quantitation of phenolic compounds in apple flesh extracts
Phenolic compounds were separated by HPLC (Shimadzu-CLASS M10) configured with LC-10AD pumps, SPD-M10A diode array detector, and Rheodyne injector. The mobile phase (flow rate 1 ml/minute) consisted of acidified water (0.01 M phosphoric acid, solvent A) and methanol (solvent B). Separation was obtained by the following gradient: at 0 minute 5% B; at 10 minutes 50% B; at 20 minutes 70% B; at 25 minutes 80% B; at 30 minutes 100% B; at 35 minutes 50% B; and at 40 minutes 5% B. Phenolic compounds determined were (+)-catechin (CAT), chlorogenic acid (CA), (−)-epicatechin (ECAT), caffeic acid, rutin, and phloridizin. Analytical separations were performed on a Luna reversed phase C18 (5 μm) column (25×4.6 mm ID). All solvents were for HPLC grade and degassed with helium at room temperature before use. CAT, ECAT, phloridizin, and rutin were detected at 280 nm and CA and caffeic acid at 325 nm. Phenolic compounds were expressed in mg/100 g of fresh weight. Identification of phenolic compounds present in APE was performed by HPLC-ESI-MS analysis, as described elsewhere.19 To obtain the molar concentration of APE, the sum of all peaks present in the chromatogram were considered and quantified using the calibration curve of CAT. Therefore, APE amount was expressed in CAT equivalents.

Cell culture
MKN 28 cells were derived from a human well differentiated gastric tubular adenocarcinoma and showed gastric-type differentiation.20 Cells were grown as monolayers in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum and 1% antibiotic-antimycotic solution (Life Technologies Inc., Gaithersburg, Maryland, USA) at 37˚C and centrifuged (300 × g) for five minutes. After aspirate of supernatant, cells were lysed and solubilised by addition of 100 μl of 0.04 N HCl containing isopropanol. Absorbance of each sample was analysed at 590 nm using Microplate Reader. Cell viability (%) was calculated by dividing the absorbance of samples obtained from cells incubated with test drugs by the absorbance of samples obtained from cells incubated with tissue culture medium only (control) and multiplying this ratio by 100. Data are presented as the mean (SD) of three experiments run in duplicate. In addition, cells were assayed for release of the cytoplasmic enzyme lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) as a marker of cytotoxicity, as previously described by Hiraishi and colleagues.21 Because the presence of oxidants may interfere with the measurement of LDH released,22 after incubation with X and XO cells were gently washed twice and further incubated in serum free medium for five hours under culture conditions. A sample of the supernatant (100 μl) was collected by centrifugation at 10 000 revolutions/min in a Beckman microcentrifuge for one minute and the supernatant was stored at −20°C until assay. LDH was measured spectrophotometrically at 340 nm using a Sigma assay kit. Total LDH content was determined in wells incubated in 0.2% Triton X-100 and the mean was taken as total LDH. Specific LDH release was expressed as

\[(A-B)/(C-B)\times100\]

where A represents LDH released after exposure to X-XO, B represents spontaneous LDH release (that is, LDH release from control untreated cells), and C represents total LDH release. Spontaneous LDH release was approximately 10% of total LDH after five hours of incubation.

Quantitation of phenolic compounds in MKN 28 cells
Pellets (600 000 cells/ml) washed extensively with phosphate buffered saline were extracted using 300 μl of methanol (0.01% BHT) in an ultrasonic bath for 30 minutes at 4°C. The final extract was centrifuged at 13 000 g and the supernatant was used for HPLC analysis under the same conditions as described for apple flesh extract. In this case, phenolic compounds were expressed as ng/10⁶ cells.

Measurement of antioxidant activity
Determination of antioxidant activity of the methanol extracts was performed by the ABTS method, as described by Pellegrini and colleagues,23 using the same extracts prepared for HPLC analysis. Determination of total antioxidant activity is an indirect measure of oxidative stress and can be applied to biological substances as an index of the oxidative stress/antioxidant balance. In brief, 100 μl of a 1:80 dilution of extract dissolved in CH₃OH were tested. Antioxidant activity was expressed as μM trolox/100 g of fresh weight. Antioxidant activity was also measured on cellular pellets after each treatment; in this case antioxidant activity was expressed as μM trolox/10⁶ cells.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Quali-quantitative analysis of the main phenolic compounds in apple flesh (Annurca variety)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Polyphenol compound</td>
<td>Concentration (mg/100 g of apple flesh)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorogenic acid</td>
<td>9.06 (1.09)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caffeic acid</td>
<td>0.18 (0.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catechin</td>
<td>11.93 (1.23)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epicatechin</td>
<td>4.34 (1.85)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rutin</td>
<td>0.15 (0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phloridizin</td>
<td>1.09 (0.31)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean (SD) from three separate experiments. Analysis was carried out with high pressure liquid chromatography and peaks were monitored at 280 nm (catechin, epicatechin, rutin, and phloridizin) and at 325 nm (chlorogenic acid and caffeic acid).
from three separate experiments run in duplicate. Mean (SD) from three separate experiments run in duplicate. *p<0.05 versus control.

**Determination of lipid peroxidation**

Lipid peroxidation was determined by measuring cellular malondialdehyde (MDA). Determination of cellular MDA was performed by HPLC with fluorometric detection, according to the method of Bergamo and colleagues.26 Cellular pellets were extracted with 250 μl of Milli-Q water in an ultrasonic bath for 30 minutes after addition of 250 μl of cold 10% TCA. Samples were vigorously mixed (three minutes) and centrifuged (five minutes, 10 000 g). The supernatant was added to 700 μl of thiobarbituric acid prepared using thiobarbituric acid in 2 M acetate buffer at pH 3, degassing by a vacuum pump (five minutes), and flushing the final solution with nitrogen for 10 minutes. The mixtures were degassed and then incubated for 30 minutes at 90°C. At the end of the incubation period, samples were cooled, centrifuged (five minutes, 10 000 g) to remove particulate material and, finally, sample aliquots (20 μl) were analysed by HPLC. Quantitation of MDA was obtained from a calibration curve constructed by injecting increasing amounts of standard MDA. MDA concentration was expressed as μg/10^6 cells.

**Induction of gastric mucosal damage**

Male Wistar rats weighing 180–220 g were randomly divided into four groups of eight rats each and housed in wire bottomed cages with free access to water and standard laboratory rat food. Animals were obtained from Morini (Italy) and maintained under controlled temperature conditions of 22 ± 1°C with a 12 hour light-dark cycle. Rats were fasted 20 hours before the experiments and allowed free access to water. The experiments were approved by the university ethics committee. Sixteen animals (eight per each study group) were treated with APE 10^-4 M or vehicle (control) for 10 days, administered as drinking beverage, and then given indomethacin 35 mg/kg subcutaneously. Another group of 16 rats (eight per each study group) received APE 10^-3 M (2 ml) or vehicle (control) by gavage one hour before indomethacin. Animals were sacrificed four hours after indomethacin administration under anaesthesia. The stomachs were removed and opened along the greater curvature, rinsed in cold saline and inspected for macroscopic damage. Then, the area of haemorrhagic lesions developed in the corpus mucosa was measured under a dissecting microscope with a square grid (x10), summed per stomach, and used as a lesion score.27 Samples for histology were taken. The investigator measuring the lesions (GD) was unaware of the treatments given to the animals.

**Histology**

Samples for light microscopy were excised from the gastric glandular epithelium at a region located 2 mm below the limiting ridge that separates the stomach from the glandular epithelium along the greater curvature of the stomach. Blocks were removed at right angles to the long axis of the stomach. A light microscopic sample measuring ~1 mm x 10 mm was removed. The same procedure was performed on a second sample that was removed at ~0.5 cm distal to the first sampling area.28 Thus two light microscopic blocks were removed, fixed in formalin, and processed for each tissue. Using haematoxylin and eosin stained paraffin embedded sections of tissue, the extent of mucosal injury was quantified. Slides were examined in a blinded fashion by coding them in such a way so that the examiner was unfamiliar with the experimental protocol. Only after the examination was complete were they decoded. Using a graded micrometer eyepiece, within each tissue block pair from each stomach the overall length of tissue section was measured and the corresponding percentage of length of mucosal surface injured was determined. The mucosa was considered injured if one or more of the following criteria were present: discontinuous surface, dilated gland, haemorrhage, or damage to superficial cells.

**Statistical analysis**

Data are expressed as mean (SD). Significance of differences was assessed by one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and (when the F value was significant) by the Tukey-Krammer test for multiple comparisons or by the Student’s t test for comparison between two means.29 Differences were considered to be significantly different if p<0.05.

**RESULTS**

**Identification and concentration of apple phenolic compounds**

Apple phenolic compounds present in flesh extract and their concentrations are listed in table 1. Chlorogenic acid was present in the highest concentration, followed by CAT, ECAT, and phloridzin. This is in agreement with previous data reported in the literature.30 31 Caffeic acid and other phenolic acid were only present at low concentrations.

**Effect of oxidative stress on MKN 28 cell viability**

We first sought to investigate whether MKN 28 cells were susceptible to oxidative stress induced damage. Oxidative stress was induced by incubating epithelial cell monolayers with XO (10–100 μM) in the presence of its substrate X (1 mM) for periods of up to three hours.21 22 Two hour incubation with X (1 mM) and XO (10–100 μM) caused a dose dependent and significant (p<0.05) reduction in cell viability, as assessed by the MTT assay (fig 1). For the
following experiments a concentration of X (1 mM) and XO (50 mU/ml) were selected which led to a decrease in cell viability close to 60% (fig 1). We obtained comparable results using the LDH assay (data not shown) and therefore in the following experiments cell viability was determined only by the MTT assay.

**Effect of APE on X-XO induced cell damage**
We then examined whether pretreatment with APE counteracted X-XO induced injury to MKN 28 cells. APE, used at concentrations which did not alter cell viability, prevented X-XO induced cell injury in a dose dependent manner (fig 2). In particular, pretreatment with APE 10⁻⁴ M three hours before X-XO caused a significant increase in cell viability compared with that of cells exposed to X-XO only (that is, 70% vs 40%, respectively; p<0.05). Moreover, the time course of the APE 10⁻⁴ M protective effect showed maximal protection at three hours which declined over time and became almost negligible at 20 hours (table 2).

**Effect of APE components on X-XO induced cell injury**
We tested whether CAT or CA, the major phenolic components of APE, prevented X-XO induced injury to MKN 28 cells. Three hour pretreatment with CAT 10⁻⁴ M or CA 10⁻⁴ M did not affect cell viability per se but had a protective effect against X-XO injury comparable with that obtained with APE 10⁻⁴ M (fig 3). In particular, CA seemed more effective than CAT (70% vs 60% cell viability, respectively) even though this was not statistically different. Therefore, pure CAT or CA are equally effective as APE in preventing X-XO induced injury to MKN 28 cells.

**Effect of X-XO or APE on phenolic compound concentration in MKN 28 cells**
In order to elucidate the mechanism of the protective effect exerted by APE, we determined whether apple phenolic compounds were able to enter MKN 28 cells by measuring the intracellular concentration of the major apple phenolic compounds (that is, CAT, CA, and ECAT) following incubation with APE 10⁻⁴ M. CAT, CA, or ECAT were undetectable in untreated (control) MKN 28 cells (data not shown). Incubation with APE resulted in a marked increase in intracellular concentration of CAT, CA, and ECAT (table 2), thus indicating that these compounds are able to penetrate cell membranes. Exposure of cells to X-XO for two hours following pretreatment with APE caused a significant reduction in APE induced increase in CAT, CA, or ECAT concentration (table 3). This suggests that apple phenolic compounds are consumed to counteract the oxidative stress induced by X-XO generated ROS. Another possibility is that as cells are becoming less viable, these compounds may be extruded due to increased cellular permeability. To rule out this, we determined phenolic compound concentrations in the culture medium of cells pretreated with APE and then exposed to X-XO or serum free medium (control) and found no significant difference (data not shown).

**Effect of APE or X-XO on intracellular antioxidant activity in MKN 28 cells**
To further elucidate the mechanism of the protective effect of APE against oxidative stress induced cell injury, we first investigated whether X-XO decreased intracellular

---

**Table 2** Time course of apple polyphenol extracts (APE) protection against xanthine-xanthine oxidase (X-XO) induced cell damage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell viability (%)</th>
<th>1 h</th>
<th>3 h</th>
<th>6 h</th>
<th>12 h</th>
<th>20 h</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>X-XO</td>
<td>38.5 (1.8)*</td>
<td>39.9 (2.6)*</td>
<td>37.5 (2.4)*</td>
<td>37.9 (1.8)*</td>
<td>41.4 (1.7)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APE</td>
<td>99 (1.0)</td>
<td>100 (2.9)</td>
<td>102 (3.6)</td>
<td>96 (2.7)</td>
<td>97 (3.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APE, X-XO</td>
<td>35.2 (2.5)</td>
<td>70.2 (1.5)†</td>
<td>50 (2.5)†</td>
<td>48.8 (1.2)†</td>
<td>40.4 (0.9)†</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean (SD) from three separate experiments run in duplicate.
Control, cells treated with serum free medium for 1–20 hours and then with vehicle for X-XO for two hours.
X-XO, cells treated with serum free medium for 1–20 hours and then with X (1 mM)-XO (50 mU/ml) for two hours.
APE, cells treated with APE (10⁻⁴ M) for 1–20 hours and then with vehicle for X-XO for two hours.
APE, X-XO, cells treated with APE (10⁻⁴ M) for 1–20 hours and then with X (1 mM)-XO (50 mU/ml) for two hours.

* p<0.05 versus control; † p<0.05 versus X-XO.

**Table 3** Effect of apple polyphenol extracts (APE) or APE followed by xanthine-xanthine oxidase (X-XO) on endocellular concentrations of the main apple phenolic compounds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phenolic Compound</th>
<th>APE (10⁻⁴ M), X (1 mM)-XO (50 mU/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Catechin (ng/10⁶ cells)</td>
<td>3.196 (0.098)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epticatechin (ng/10⁶ cells)</td>
<td>0.764 (0.002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorogenic acid (ng/10⁶ cells)</td>
<td>5.62 (0.867)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cells were incubated with APE (10⁻⁴ M) for three hours and then with serum free medium or X (1 mM)-XO (50 mU/ml) for two hours.
Mean (SD) from three separate experiments run in duplicate.
nd, not detectable.

---

**Figure 3** Protective effect of apple polyphenol extracts (APE), catechin (CAT), or chlorogenic acid (CA) against xanthine-xanthine oxidase (X-XO) induced cell injury. Cells were incubated with serum free medium (control) or with APE (10⁻⁴ M), CAT (10⁻⁴ M), or CA (10⁻⁴ M) for three hours and then, after washing, with X (1 mM)-XO (50 mU/ml) or serum free medium (control) for two hours. Mean (SD) from three separate experiments run in duplicate. * p<0.05 versus control; † p<0.05 versus X-XO.
antioxidant activity in MKN 28 cells and whether APE were able to counteract any such effect. APE 10^{-4} M caused an approximate 4.0-fold increase in intracellular antioxidant activity whereas X-XO caused an approximate 2.0-fold decrease in intracellular antioxidant activity compared with untreated (control) cells (fig 4A). APE 10^{-4} M completely and significantly counteracted the X-XO induced decrease in intracellular antioxidant activity (fig 4A). A similar increase in intracellular antioxidant activity was also obtained with pure CAT or CA (data not shown). This suggests that the protective effect exerted by APE is at least in part associated with its antioxidant activity.

**Effect of APE on X-XO induced lipid peroxidation in MKN 28 cells**

ROS induced cell damage is associated with cell membrane disruption due to lipid peroxidation. We therefore hypothesised that APE might prevent lipid peroxidation brought about by X-XO generated ROS. To address this issue, we evaluated whether X-XO increased cellular MDA, a marker of lipid peroxidation, and whether APE pretreatment was able to counteract any such effect. Exposure of MKN 28 cells to X-XO caused a twofold increase in cellular MDA concentration compared with control untreated cells (fig 4B). Moreover, pretreatment with APE 10^{-4} M significantly prevented X-XO induced increase in cellular MDA. This suggests that the protective effect of APE is partially due to inhibition of ROS induced lipid peroxidation.

**Effect of APE on indomethacin induced gastric mucosa cell injury**

NSAID induced injury to the gastric mucosa is partly attributable to generation of ROS.37 We therefore evaluated whether APE protected gastric cells in culture against damage caused by indomethacin. Indomethacin 2.5 mM or 5 mM caused dose dependent damage to MKN 28 cells, decreasing cell viability to 80% and 20%, respectively (fig 5). Pretreatment with APE 10^{-4} M significantly prevented indomethacin induced injury (fig 5). In particular, APE completely prevented the damaging effect exerted by indomethacin 2.5 mM and significantly decreased the extent of cell injury induced by indomethacin 5 mM (fig 5), increasing cell viability from 20% to 50%.

**Effect of APE on indomethacin induced injury in rats**

Our in vitro experiments suggest that the protective effect of APE is at least in part independent of vascular, hormonal, and neural factors. We then sought to investigate whether APE exerted a similar protective effect in vivo. Indomethacin (35 mg/kg subcutaneously) induced the appearance of multiple visible gastric erosions, ranging from 2 to 10 mm in length and about 1 mm in width (fig 6A). APE 10^{-4} M in drinking water for 10 days prior to indomethacin prevented macroscopic injury to the rat glandular stomach (fig 6B). Figure 6C–E shows representative photomicrographs that display the protective effect of APE on indomethacin induced injury. The typical appearance of the normal gastric mucosa is shown in fig 6C. Indomethacin extensively damaged the superficial epithelium and caused necrosis in the region of the gastric glands (fig 6 D). In contrast, APE treatment partially prevented microscopic injury caused by indomethacin to the rat stomach decreasing the extent of damage to the superficial epithelium and to the glandular area (fig 6E). Quantitatively, APE pretreatment caused an approximate 45% decrease in the extent of microscopic injury compared with control untreated rats (p<0.05, fig 7A) and reduced the extent of microscopic injury by approximately 40% (p<0.05, fig 7B). Comparable results, both at the macroscopic and histological level, were obtained in rats treated with APE 10^{-4} M given intragastrically one hour prior to indomethacin injection (data not shown).

**DISCUSSION**

Several studies indicate that naturally occurring antioxidants exert protective biochemical effects in a number of biological experimental systems. In particular, phenolic antioxidants, which are widely distributed in vegetable foods, are considered to play an important role in the prevention of oxidative damage in living systems.32 Because generation of
ROS in the stomach greatly contributes to the damaging effect exerted by a number of ulcerogens. We sought to investigate whether APE prevented oxidative stress induced injury to cultured gastric mucous cells in vitro, under conditions independent of acid secretion or systemic factors, including blood flow.

Our data indicate that APE counteract the damaging effect of X-XO generated ROS in gastric epithelial cells and that this protective effect seems to be mainly attributable to the major components of APE (that is, CAT and CA). This effect is associated with permeation of gastric cells by apple phenolic compounds and seems to be due to their antioxidant activity. In fact, intracellular concentrations of apple phenolic compounds are significantly decreased by exposure of cells to X-XO, suggesting that these compounds are consumed by the cells to counteract the oxidative stress induced by X-XO. This hypothesis is further supported by the lack of an increase in the concentration of phenolic compounds in the culture medium of cells following treatment with X-XO, which rules out the possibility that the decrease in intracellular concentration of apple phenolics is due to increased leakage through damaged cell membranes. That APE significantly increased the intracellular antioxidant activity of gastric cells and prevented its decrease caused by exposure of cells to oxidative stress further supports the concept that the protective effect of APE is associated with the antioxidant activity of its phenolic compounds. Moreover, APE significantly prevented the increase in cellular MDA concentration (an index of lipid peroxidation) induced by X-XO. Because NSAID induced injury to the gastric mucosa is in part attributable to generation of ROS, we evaluated whether APE were able to protect gastric cells in culture against damage brought about by indomethacin. Our data showed that pretreatment with APE significantly prevented indomethacin induced injury to human gastric epithelial cells.

We then sought to investigate whether APE might exert similar protective effects in vivo and found that chronic or acute treatment with APE significantly decreased the extent of gastric mucosal damage induced by indomethacin. These findings further support the concept that APE might be a potential therapeutic agent for the prevention and treatment of gastric disorders associated with oxidative stress.
of macroscopic and microscopic injury induced by indomethacin to the rat gastric mucosa. These in vitro and in vivo observations further strengthen the concept that dietary antioxidants may exert potential beneficial effects in the prevention of exogenously induced gastric injury. In partial support of this concept, Singh and colleagues reported that melatonin and β-carotene were protective against indomethacin induced gastric injury in the rat and that this effect was mediated by scavenging of oxygen derived free radicals. Similarly, Alarcon de la Lastra and colleagues showed that extra virgin olive oil enriched diets prevented indomethacin induced gastric damage in rats. Also, several flavonoids have been reported to exert antilucerogenetic effects and this has been related to their ability to inhibit lipid peroxidation, to scavenge ROS, and/or to modulate leukocyte function. Our study suggests that inhibition of lipid peroxidation together with the ability to increase intracellular antioxidant activity might be how APE exerts its protective effect. However, dietary antioxidants have also been demonstrated to significantly increase both glutathione peroxidase activity and total glutathione content in cultured rat hepatocytes and in gastric epithelium. This suggests that APE mediated cellular protective effect might also be due to enhancement of the glutathione antioxidant defence system. In agreement with this, we have previously shown that exogenous administration of reduced glutathione significantly prevented ethanol induced gastric mucosal injury in humans by preventing the ethanol induced decrease in the mucosal concentration of glutathione and its precursor cysteine.

Whether phenolic compounds exert exclusively antioxidant activity in cell systems is controversial. In fact, phenolic compounds have been found to be pro-oxidants and cytotoxic in a few studies. It is possible that pro-oxidant effects on cultured cells by flavonoids and phenolic compounds derive from artificial generation of oxidative stress. Phenolic compounds may indeed react with other components of the cell culture medium (such as metal ions) producing cytotoxic metabolites that have pro-oxidative effects. In our study, we tested cell viability of cells incubated with APE or its phenolic compounds and found no difference from control untreated cells.

H pylori related gastric mucosal damage is associated with generation of ROS in the stomach. Generation of ROS seems to play a crucial role in the multistep process of H pylori related gastric carcinogenesis. Based on the results of this study, we postulate that a diet rich in polyphenol compounds (from apple or other dietary source) due to their antioxidant activity might be suggested for the prevention of gastric adenocarcinoma. In partial support of this hypothesis, Serafini et al demonstrated that the intake of dietary antioxidant equivalents was inversely associated with the risk of gastric cancer.

Considering that rats were consuming approximately 10 ml of APE 10-4 M/day, the amount of phenolics consumed each day by each rat was about 0.9 mg/kg. This is comparable with the amount of phenolic compounds consumed by a person of 70 kg in weight eating two “Annurca” apples per day (that is, 0.80 mg/kg). Our data must be interpreted with caution as the cells used in this study were from an adenocarcinoma and the effects observed could potentially reflect the biology of a tumour cell more than that of normal non-transformed cells. However, we have previously compared MKN 28 cells with human gastric epithelial cells in primary culture and found comparable results with respect to their damaging and protective agents in vitro. Moreover, phenolic compounds have also been shown to exert a protective effect against oxidative stress in non-transformed rat hepatocytes in primary culture as well as in vivo studies.

In conclusion, (1) MKN 28 cells are suitable in vitro system for screening vegetable compounds with antioxidative properties and for elucidating their mechanism of action; (2) APE prevent ROS induced injury to gastric epithelial cells by permeating cell membranes, increasing intracellular antioxidant activity, and inhibiting ROS dependent lipid peroxidation; and (3) APE significantly prevent indomethacin injury both in vitro and in vivo. These results suggest that a diet rich in apple antioxidants might exert a beneficial effect in the prevention of gastric diseases related to the generation of ROS.
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EDITOR'S QUIZ: GI SNAPSHOT

Answer

From question on page 178

Contrast enhanced computed tomography (fig 1A) revealed small, multiple, spherical, non-enhancing hypodense lesions in both lobes of the liver, suggestive of metastases. The colonoscopy image (fig 1B) showed a caecal amoeboma. Histopathological examination of the colonic lesion revealed ulceration and necrosis. The lamina propria was heavily infiltrated with neutrophils, eosinophils, lymphocytes, and plasma cells. No dysplastic or malignant cells were seen. Granulomas or trophozoites of amoeba were absent even after PAS staining. However, serum entamoeba histolytica antibodies were markedly elevated. The patient responded well to metronidazole treatment for 21 days. Hepatic scan a year later revealed disappearance of the liver lesions.

Amoebomas are tumour-like lesions of the colonic wall and may be multiple. Metastatic hepatic abscesses occur when amoebae reach the liver in the portal vein from the gut. In the tropics, this condition may be indistinguishable from colonic cancer with malignant secondary deposits in the liver. Amoebic serology and colonic biopsies will clarify the diagnosis.
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