Intestinal gas dynamics: mechanisms and clinical relevance
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Patients with functional gut disorders, irritable bowel disease, and related syndromes frequently attribute their symptoms to intestinal gas. While patients are usually convinced of their interpretation, the doctor has few arguments to confirm or refute it, and in this context intestinal gas has become a myth. Studies of intestinal gas dynamics have demonstrated subtle dysfunctions in intestinal motility. Hopefully, extension of these studies may help both in the classification of patients complaining of gas symptoms based on pathophysiological mechanisms, and in identification of objective markers to test mechanistically oriented treatment options.

In the precedent studies it became apparent that gas is moved along the gut independently of solids and liquids. Conceivably, large masses of low resistance gas are displaced by subtle changes in gut tone and capacitance, proximal contraction and distal relaxation, that do not affect solid-liquid contents. Gas transit is normally very effective but if a certain amount of gas is retained within the gut, subjects may develop abdominal distension and symptoms. Different experimental models of gas retention were used to show that while abdominal distension is related to the volume of gas within the gut, perception of abdominal symptoms depends on intestinal motor activity (gas is better tolerated when the gut is relaxed) and on the intraluminal distribution of gas (gas is better tolerated within the colon than within the long, but poorly compliant, small intestine).

Observations in patients complaining of gas symptoms

Using the same methodology it was shown that patients complaining of abdominal bloating, either with irritable bowel syndrome or functional bloating, have impaired gas transit and develop intestinal gas retention, abdominal distension, and/or abdominal symptoms in response to gas loads (12 ml/min jejunal gas infusion for 2–3 hours) that are well tolerated by healthy subjects. Interestingly, symptoms induced by the gas challenge test in patients by and large replicate their customary complaints. Scintigraphic studies using gas labelled with radioactive xenon produced striking data indicating that the small bowel is responsible for impaired gas transit in these patients, in contrast with the common idea of gas being retained in the colon. The ileocaecal region is an area with sphincteric function likely implicated in this dysfunction. However, very elaborate studies with gas infusion at various levels of the gut
showed that gas retention is due to impaired propulsion in more proximal parts of the small bowel because while jejunal gas loads were retained, clearance of gas directly infused into the distal ileum or caecum was normal.

‘The small bowel is responsible for impaired gas transit in these patients, in contrast with the common idea of gas being retained in the colon’

Impaired gas clearance in these patients is related to abnormal gut reflexes: the prokinetic effect of gut distension is impaired and the inhibitory effect of intestinal lipids is upregulated, and both effects, reduced stimulation and increased inhibition, contribute to delayed gas transit and retention.

FROM THE LAB TO THE CLINICAL ARENA
What is the clinical relevance of intestinal gas? In a small proportion of patients gas in the gut is very relevant to their symptoms but in the majority of patients complaining of gas symptoms the relation is not as clear.

The obvious gas related syndromes
Some clinical conditions, such as aerophagia, excessive or odoriferous flatus, and impaired anal evacuation, are clearly related to troubles with gas in the gut.

Aerophagia
Some patients complain of excessive eructation, as if their gastric production of gas is unlimited. Really, these patients inadvertently swallow air that accumulates in the stomach and is then released by belching, with patient satisfaction. Frequently, the process is triggered by a basal dyspeptic-type symptom of epigastric fullness that patients misinterpret as excessive gas in the stomach, and during repetitive and ineffective attempts of belching, air is introduced into the stomach with increasing discomfort. The patient’s misconception is reinforced by the partial relief experienced when eructation finally occurs. In most of the cases a clear explanation resolves the problem but in some patients psychological abnormalities may be involved, requiring special management.

Excessive and/or bad smelling flatus
These patients pass large volumes of sometimes odoriferous gas per anus. Gas evacuation depends on the volume of gas produced by colonic bacteria during fermentation of unabsorbed food residues arriving into the colon. Hence the volume of gas depends on diet, and most subjects may experience flatulence after eating foods rich in fermentable residues, such as beans. The amount of gas also depends on the composition of the colonic flora, which is very stable in each subject but exhibits high interindividual variations, so that some subjects are prone to excessive gas production and evacuation. These subjects do not complain of abdominal symptoms unless they have associated irritable bowel syndrome, because healthy subjects propel and evacuate large intraluminal gas loads without symptoms.

‘The amount of gas also depends on the composition of the colonic flora, which is very stable in each subject but exhibits high interindividual variations, so that some subjects are prone to excessive gas production and evacuation’

Since modification of colonic flora is not yet an effective option, treatment is focused on dietary instructions, helping the patient identify the high flatulogenic offending foodstuffs.

Impaired anal evacuation
Self restraint anal gas evacuation in healthy subjects produces gas retention, and this mechanism may also operate in patients with impaired anal evacuation due to functional outlet obstruction. Furthermore, faecal retention would prolong the fermentation process, increasing gas production. In contrast with patients with excessive flatus, these patients complain of difficult evacuation and abdominal gas retention, and the problem can be resolved by biofeedback retraining.

Abdominal gas symptoms in irritable bowel syndrome and related syndromes
Gas related symptoms are the most frequent and troublesome complaints in patients with functional intestinal disorders, particularly irritable bowel syndrome and functional bloating, but the situation here is far less clear than in the conditions described above. Experimental studies in these patients have demonstrated a series of abnormalities in intestinal handling of gas loads but how do these abnormalities relate to symptoms? The clinical relevance of intestinal gas in this context can be established by addressing a series of questions.

Do these patients produce more intestinal gas?
Gas production was initially measured by Levitt’s group using a washout technique, and was found to be normal in patients. Hydrogen, which accounts for a large proportion of colonic gas production, is partly absorbed into the blood and excreted by breath. A more recent and largely quoted study measured gas excretion (breath plus anal) by indirect calorimetry in irritable bowel syndrome patients on a standard diet and showed that hydrogen excreted was increased but the total gas volume excreted (hydrogen plus methane) was not different than in healthy controls. Indirect evaluation of hydrogen production by breath tests has shown either normal production or increased production, attributed to various causes, such as hyperactive gas producing colonic flora, small bowel bacterial overgrowth, or small bowel malabsorption. The level of evidence supporting these interpretations is questionable. Nevertheless, it seems that the total volume of gas produced in these patients is not much larger than in healthy subjects.

Since modification of colonic flora is not yet an effective option, treatment is focused on dietary instructions, helping the patient identify the high flatulogenic offending foodstuffs.
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Do these patients have more gas within the gut?

Three independent studies showed that the gas surface in plain abdominal radiographs was 28%–118% larger in irritable bowel syndrome patients than in controls.20–22 As the normal volume of intestinal gas is approximately 200 ml,23–25 this difference would hardly justify the symptoms. Furthermore, other studies using computed tomography26 or the washout technique23–24 could not detect differences between patients complaining of bloating and healthy controls.

Hence impaired gas transit in these patients does not result in global gas retention. Conceivably, the abnormalities detected by the gas transit studies affect intraluminal gas distribution and result in segmental gas pooling and focal distension, without net increments in total gas volume.

Is abdominal distension a fact?

Abdominal distension is the most common gas symptom in patients with functional intestinal disorders. However, this patient claim is difficult to verify. Frequently, these patients report that distension develops during the day and resolves after overnight rest, and this variability may be the key to substantiate the subjective sensation. Several studies measuring girth changes with a tape measure,21,22 computed tomography,23 and, more recently, inductance plethysmography,24 have shown that, indeed, the subjective sensation is associated with objective abdominal distension.

“Patients with bloating do have objective abdominal distension but it may not necessarily be due to a true increment in intra-abdominal volume”

The abdominal wall normally adapts to its content. It has been recently shown that an intra-abdominal volume load, produced by colonic gas infusion, induces in healthy subjects an increment in tonic activity of the abdominal muscles that can be measured by electromyography,24 and this response is probably mediated via viscero somatic reflexes.25 This adaptation of the abdominal wall to intra-abdominal volume loads is impaired in patients complaining of bloating who fail to contract their abdominal muscles, and this abnormal response is associated with exaggerated abdominal distension and bloating.25 Hence patients with bloating do have objective abdominal distension but it may not necessarily be due to a true increment in intra-abdominal volume, but to abdominal wall dystony with abdominal redistribution and protrusion of the anterior wall.

The ultimate question: is it really gas that matters?

Gas transit studies have consistently shown that patients complaining of intestinal gas symptoms have impaired handling of intestinal contents, related to abnormal gut reflexes, which may result in segmental pooling and focal gut distension (fig 1). Additional evidence indicates that these patients also have a sensory dysfunction with increased perception of intraluminal stimuli.26–29 As described above, recent data further suggest that visceral somatic reflexes controlling abdominal wall tone may also be affected, so that segmental pooling within the gut may lead to abdominal wall dystony and distension. However, this does not imply that gas is necessarily the offending element, but rather other intraluminal components could trigger the abnormal responses and thus be responsible for the abdominal symptoms that patients misinterpret and attribute to intestinal gas. The main contribution of gas studies has been demonstration of subtle dysfunctions of intestinal motility that were missed, or at least not consistently observed, with conventional methodologies. Hopefully, extension of these studies may help both in the classification of patients complaining of gas symptoms based on pathophysiological mechanisms, and in identification of objective markers to test mechanistically oriented treatment options.
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