Retarded release phosphatidylcholine benefits patients with chronic active ulcerative colitis
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Background and aims: We examined the hypothesis of an anti-inflammatory effect of phosphatidylcholine in ulcerative colitis.

Methods: A phase IIa, double blind, randomised, placebo controlled study was performed in 60 patients with chronic active, non steroid dependent, ulcerative colitis, with a clinical activity index (CAI) of ≥4. Retarded release phosphatidylcholine rich phospholipids and placebo were administered at a dose of 6 g daily over three months. The primary end point was a change in CAI towards clinical remission (CAI ≤ 3) or CAI improvement by ≥50%. Secondary end points included ≥50% changes in endoscopic activity index (EAI), histology, and quality of life scores.

Results: Induction of clinical remission (CAI ≤ 3) as the primary outcome variable was attained by 16 (53%) patients in the phosphatidylcholine treated group compared with three (10%) in the placebo group (p = 0.00001). The rate of clinical remission and CAI improvement was 90% in the phosphatidylcholine group and only 10% in the placebo group. A median drop of seven points in the CAI score (70% improvement) was recorded in the phosphatidylcholine group compared with no change in the placebo group. Secondary end point analysis revealed concomitant drops in EAI and histology scores (p = 0.00016 and p = 0.0067 compared with placebo, respectively). Improvement in quality of life was reported by 16 of 29 evaluated patients in the phosphatidylcholine group compared with two of 30 in the placebo group (p = 0.00005).

Conclusion: Retarded release oral phosphatidylcholine is effective in alleviating inflammatory activity caused by ulcerative colitis.

Ulcerative colitis (UC) is a chronic inflammatory condition of the colonic mucosa that, depending on the individual, can extend from the rectum to the caecum. The aetiology of the disease is unknown. One of the proposed hypotheses is that a disturbed mucosal barrier is an initiating factor, and subsequent attacks from colonic commensal bacterial flora lead to inflammation of the mucosa.1–4 Intestinal mucosal cells are protected against the attacks of luminal bacteria by a continuous, hydrophobic, and adherent mucus layer.4 Phospholipids are one of the components of mucus, consisting of up to 90% phosphatidylcholine (PC) and lysophosphatidylcholine (LPC).5–18 They are found as a continuous layer at the luminal and mucosal cell side of the mucus gel and within the mucus as liposome-like aggregates.11–12 PC is largely responsible for establishing a protective hydrophobic surface and therefore plays a key role in mucosal defence. A defective PC layer may contribute to the development of inflammation and ulceration, as previously shown in humans with human immunodeficiency virus and Helicobacter pylori infection. In these conditions the pathogenesis involves impairment of phospholipid barrier function as a result of high phospholipase activity.10–15 It has been reported that PC, when topically applied to the colon, protects laboratory animals against colitis induced by acetic or trinitrobenzenesulphonic acid.16–17 In addition, PC and other selected lipids have been shown to inhibit proinflammatory signalling in a phagosome model system derived from macrophages.18

Recent analysis of rectoscopically acquired mucus aliquots revealed a significant decrease in PC and LPC content in patients with UC compared with healthy controls or patients with Crohn’s disease.19 Moreover, we have shown in rat intestinal perfusion studies that PC was indeed actively secreted by jejunum and ileum whereas secretion in the colon was only marginal.20 We therefore hypothesised that PC integrates into the distal small intestinal mucus and then moves downwards to the colon. Thus deficiency of small intestinal PC secretion in UC would be consistent with a low colonic mucous PC content and with an increase in inflammatory activity from the rectum to the caecum.

Based on the above information, the hypothesis which forms the foundation for the current study is that a local increase in PC within the colonic mucus may improve intestinal barrier function and decrease inflammatory activity in UC. We therefore supplemented the colonic mucosa of UC patients with a PC rich phospholipid mixture. In order to avoid early reabsorption in the upper small intestine, an oral retarded release PC rich preparation was developed. By encapsulation with Eudragit S 100, pH dependent release into the distal ileum is permitted which allows integration of PC into the colonic mucus. Retarded release preparations of this type have been described previously (for example, for preparation of 5-aminosalicylate and budesonide).21 To evaluate the clinical effectiveness of this novel PC rich preparation, we performed a prospective, randomised, placebo controlled, double blind study in 60 patients with chronic, non-steroid dependent, active UC. Our results argue strongly that oral administration of PC has considerable therapeutic potential against UC.
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METHODS
Patients and study medication
Patients were considered eligible for this phase IIA study if they were 18 years of age and presented with long term UC (duration >2 years). They were characterised by a chronic active course of ≥4 months with a clinical activity index (CAI) of ≥4. The clinical course was followed for a three month period. The main criterion for exclusion was treatment with steroids and/or immunosuppressive agents within the three month period before entering the study. Therefore, only patients who refused to take steroids and/or immunosuppressives, or who had a history of severe side effects with these compounds, were recruited. None of the patients had a surgical history.

Eligible patients were randomly assigned to one of two parallel groups (PC or placebo) with 30 patients each. All had been given continuous standard oral therapy with 3–4 g aminosalicylates daily for at least four months. To avoid interference of endoscopic and histological evaluation of the rectal mucosa, local application of aminosalicylates was omitted. Treatment with steroids and/or immunosuppressives was not allowed during the study. Patients were free to withdraw from the study at any time during its course. The primary efficacy end point was defined at the end of the study at three months, on patient withdrawal, or after deterioration in clinical course. The final examinations were then performed. Fifty eight patients agreed to a final colonoscopy and two did not.

Due to the difficulty in meeting the selection criteria, patients from all over Germany had to be recruited. Forty eight patients were examined at the Department of Gastroenterology, Heidelberg University Hospital, by the principal investigator or a panel of five experienced endoscopists using the standard endoscopic activity index (EAI).21 For patients from other areas of Germany (12 patients) who were unable to visit our department, the local physician/endoscopist who cared for the patient performed the required clinical and endoscopic examinations according to the study protocol (CAI and EAI criteria). Site differences in examinations were not observed. According to the design as a single centre study, all patients were instructed and guided through the examinations by local physicians/endoscopists and the university principal investigator or a panel of five experienced endoscopists using the standard endoscopic activity index (EAI).21

Efficacy and safety analysis
CAI includes number of bowel movements, presence of blood in the stool, general well being, abdominal pain, extraintestinal manifestations, and fever (all of which were recorded in a patient diary card) as well as erythrocyte sedimentation rate and haemoglobin values.22 Further assessment criteria included EAI according to Rachmilewitz21 and life quality index (LQI), as measured using the inflammatory bowel disease questionnaire-Deutschland (IBDQ-D).22 Histology scores for biopsies at entry and at the end of the study were evaluated by an independent pathologist, blinded to the clinical information, using index parameters described by Truelove and Richards.23 Histological appearance in a given biopsy was scored from 0–4 as follows: 0 = normal; 1 = mild inactive; 2 = mild active; 3 = moderate active, and 4 = severe active inflammation. The score taken into account for evaluation was the value of the scored rectal/sigmoidal mucosal biopsies. Patients were further evaluated with regard to extension of disease.

Patients were observed and questioned regarding adverse events and were instructed to report any symptoms. All adverse events were recorded during the three month study period. As additional safety parameters, white blood cell count, creatinine, urea, lactate dehydrogenase, aspartate aminotransferase, alanine aminotransferase, gamma glutamyl transferase, alkaline phosphatase, lipase, amylose, and cholesterol were determined after 2, 6, and 12 weeks or when clinical conditions required control.

Statistical analysis
Quantitative results of PC determination during the preceding experiments were analysed using the t test for comparison between UC patients and healthy subjects, and the t test for paired groups of UC patients before and after PC rich phospholipid administration.

For the main study, the change in CAI was considered as the primary end point. Cut off values for the primary end point evaluation were: (a) number of patients with a reduction in CAI of ≥50% from baseline24 and (b) achievement of...
clinical remission (CAI < 3). Baseline CAI was defined as the mean activity in the week before the start of the study and was compared with the CAI at the end of the study. Secondary end points included the number of patients with >50% improvement in the following variables over the evaluation period: EAI, histology score of rectal/sigmoidal mucosal biopsies, and IBDO-D. Life quality was determined by the mean value of the 32 items of the IBDQ-D and by subgroup analysis. Furthermore, disease extension during the study period was evaluated. The study analysis was by intention to treat.

Statistical analysis was performed using SAS software (release 8.02; SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, North Carolina, USA). Non-parametric statistical methods were used to analyse study end points in both treatment groups, judged by the Shapiro-Wilk test. Comparison of changes in primary and secondary study end points between the two treatment groups was performed using Fisher’s exact test. The distribution of changes in scores obtained from the PC and placebo groups were analysed using the Mann-Whitney U test. Differences between scores obtained at entry and at the end of the study for each individual patient were compared within both treatment groups using Wilcoxon’s signed rank test. Study entry parameters were compared between the PC rich phospholipid group and the placebo group using the Mann-Whitney U test for continuous variables and Fisher’s exact test for categorical data. Continuous variables were expressed as median with interquartile range (IQR). The distribution of changes in CAI, EAI, and LQI at entry and at the end of the study was presented by box and whisker plots. Two sided p values were reported in all cases and an effect was considered statistically significant at a p value of <0.05.

RESULTS

Patient characteristics

Between April 2000 and October 2002, 60 patients were recruited into the study and randomly assigned to one of the two groups of 30 patients each. Patients were comparable in age, sex, extension of disease, EAI, histology, and life quality scores (table 1). For CAI, the PC group had a significantly higher score compared with the placebo group (p = 0.0071). This was due to a higher blood in stool content in the PC group (median score 2 (IQR 2–3) v 2 (IQR 1–2) in the placebo group; p = 0.0003). For all other CAI parameters there were no significant differences between the two groups.

Treatment efficacy

Primary end point analysis

Clinical activity index

Two cut off values for primary end point analysis were chosen: (1) the absolute threshold value of CAI < 3, defining clinical remission and (2) the relative improvement in CAI >50% (table 2). Clinical remission was observed in 16 PC treated patients (53%) but only in three (10%) patients in the placebo group (p = 0.00063). Improvement in CAI >50% was recorded in 27 of 30 PC patients but only in three of 30 placebo patients (all three also achieved clinical remission) (p(<0.0001). With regard to the magnitude of change over the study period, median CAI in the PC group decreased from 10 (IQR 7–12) to 3 (1–5) (p(<0.0001). In the placebo group, CAI increased from 7 (IQR 5–9) to 9 (5–11) (p = 0.139) (see also fig 1A).

Secondary end point analysis

Endoscopic activity index and histology score

In parallel with the clinical results there was an improvement in EAI in 11 of 29 evaluated PC patients compared with none of 29 placebo patients (table 2). This corresponded to a median reduction in score from 7 (IQR 6–8) to 4 (3–5) (p(<0.0001) compared with no difference in the placebo group (6.5 (IQR 6–8) v 7 (6–9); p = 0.144) (see also fig 1B). An improvement in histology score was also noted in 13 of 25 evaluated patients in the PC group versus three of 23 of the placebo group (p = 0.0067) (table 2). An initial median score of 3 (IQR 2–4) decreased to 2 (1–2) (<0.0001) in the PC group (median drop of 1 point; p = 0.0056) while with placebo unchanged median values of 2 (IQR 2–4) and 2 (1–4) (p = 0.406) were obtained.

Disease extension

With regard to extension of disease, 19 of 30 patients (63.3%) in the PC group showed a median reduction in the length of the affected area of 40 cm. In the placebo group, this parameter remained unchanged in the majority of patients.
Five of 29 placebo patients (17.2%) demonstrated a marginal increase in this parameter (that is, from 5 to 10 cm).

Quality of life
Treatment efficacy was reflected in all subjective quality of life parameters, including bowel symptoms, systemic symptoms, and emotional and social functions, each of which improved (p < 0.00001) with PC treatment compared with placebo. A >50% increase in total LQI was recorded by 16 of 29 evaluated patients receiving PC. Placebo treated patients continued to experience poor to moderate quality of life, with only two of 28 patients responding in a positive manner (table 2). In the PC group, median LQI score increased from 3.0 (IQR 2.3–3.5) to 5.1 (4.4–5.8) (p < 0.0001) compared with no change in the placebo group (see also fig 1C).

Study withdrawal
Only one patient in the PC group withdrew from the study prematurely due to psychological decompensation while in the placebo group nine patients withdrew due to deterioration in clinical condition (p = 0.0122). The reasons for discontinuation varied among individual patients. In five placebo patients, although the overall CAI did not deteriorate, the incentive to complete the study was lacking as subjective expectations of improvement were not met. Additionally, four patients withdrew due to CAI deterioration. In these patients, CAI increased by 5–11 points compared with baseline values, suggesting acute exacerbation of the disease, which was not alleviated by standard therapy with aminosalicylates alone.

Adverse events
During the study approximately 50% of patients experienced tolerable bloating, corresponding to grade 1 of the SAE grading (NCI common terminology criteria for adverse events). However, no significant differences were found between the incidence in the PC and placebo groups. No other major adverse or side effects were observed. Additional biochemical and haematological safety tests also did not reveal significant changes.

DISCUSSION
The aim of the present study was to evaluate the efficacy of retarded release PC in inflammatory activity in UC. A retarded release preparation given orally is more suitable for integration into the colonic mucus compared with rectal instillation, in which superficial and short exposure of PC is expected.

The retarded release PC rich phospholipid preparation administered at a dose of 6 g/day reached the rectal mucus, resulting in higher PC concentrations (see methods). These levels of PC even surpassed concentrations seen in healthy individuals. Although this justifies the dose of PC administered in this phase IIA study, a formal dose finding study (phase IIB) is pending to determine the optimal dose for efficacy. Interestingly, PC concentrations measured in non-treated UC patients were lower than in healthy controls. This supports our earlier findings: in a larger cohort of inactive UC patients, a significantly lower PC concentration was detected in the rectal mucus compared with healthy controls and patients with Crohn’s disease.10 This may be of potentially pathophysiological significance. The underlying molecular mechanisms need to be explored.

For efficacy evaluation, it was essential to minimise spontaneous remission and maximise study drug related remission. Therefore, only patients with longstanding UC (>2 years) and chronic active disease were included in the study. Patients receiving steroids and/or immunosuppressive agents were not included for similar reasons. However, standard therapy with aminosalicylates was provided to both groups to ensure some protective. To enable detection of significant clinical changes, only patients with a CAI >4 were included. Median baseline CAI scores in the PC and placebo groups were 10 and 7, respectively. This and the other patient characteristics indicate that moderate to severe disease activity was indeed present at entry to the study (table 1).

### Table 2 Rates of response over the study period in the phosphatidylcholine (PC) and placebo groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment group</th>
<th>PC group (n = 30)</th>
<th>Placebo group (n = 30)</th>
<th>p Value*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Primary end point analysis</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical remission/improvement (&gt;50%)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical remission (CAI &lt; 3)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.00063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>△CAI (&gt;50%)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decreased</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unchanged</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not determined</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>△Histology score (&gt;50%)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decreased</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unchanged</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not determined</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>△Life quality index (&gt;50%)</td>
<td>Increased</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unchanged</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decreased</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not determined</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CAI, clinical activity index; EAI, endoscopic activity index.
*Fisher’s exact test.
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Primary end point analysis revealed induction of clinical remission in 16 (53%) PC treated patients compared with only three (10%) in the placebo group. Moreover, the rate of clinical remission/improvement (≥50%) was 90% in the PC group compared with 10% in placebo patients. While it is more difficult for the higher CAI group to reach the clinical remission margin (CAI ≤ 3), it is easier to achieve improvement of ≥50%, and vice versa for patients with a low CAI. The use of both of these cut off values counterbalanced differences in baseline CAIs in the PC and placebo group (see table 1). Apart from the significant number of responders in the PC group, the magnitude of CAI improvement (70%) was impressive (fig 1). PC treated patients also demonstrated improvement in all other secondary end points assessed. This included EAI and histology score, reduction of disease extension, as well as LQI, with all of its subcategories. The only side effect noted was bloating which was observed in the PC and placebo groups. This may be due to Eudragit S 100 encapsulation.

In contrast with the rapid anti-inflammatory effects usually observed with steroids, improvement with retarded release PC rich phospholipids was gradual and was first seen after 2–4 weeks, as documented in the patient diary cards. The design of the study did not allow a more accurate time response analysis. An ongoing dose finding study with a homogeneous study population with regard to disease extent and activity will provide data on the time course of improvement.

The anti-inflammatory effect of PC in UC could be attributed to the fact that it is lacking in colonic mucus.10 Thus supplementation with PC may help to reconstitute the structure and density of the mucus to serve as a protective mechanical shield. In addition, PC as a hydrophobic lipid may exert a general defensive action by preventing attacks from commensal colonic flora. Alternatively, PC could also be incorporated into mucosal cell membranes where it influences signalling processes involved in inflammation. Recent studies using an in vitro phagosomal test model system support the notion that PC is involved in signalling networks that inhibit proinflammatory signalling “states” in membranes.11 Accordingly, it would be most interesting to test purified phospholipids for their potential to inhibit mucosal inflammation which could be the basis for an effective and harmless lipid based therapy in IBD.

Although larger studies are needed for definitive confirmation, our results from the present proof of concept study (phase IIA) indicate that oral retarded release PC is safe and clinically useful in UC patients, as reflected by the decrease in overall inflammatory activity with an associated significant increase in quality of life. Long term PC application may be able to maintain clinical remission without the considerable adverse effects seen following steroid and immunosuppressive therapy.
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