INTRODUCTION

In 1990 we asked clinicians attending a symposium during the annual meeting of the American Gastroenterological Association how many were using psychopharmacological agents, specifically antidepressants, to treat functional gastrointestinal disorders. Very few raised their hands. Over the subsequent 15 years, these agents increasingly have become used in the management of functional gastrointestinal symptoms, despite a limited amount of scientific information supporting this practice. It is now estimated that at least 1 in 8 patients with irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) is offered an antidepressant. Nearly every comprehensive current review of management strategies for IBS and other mainstream functional gastrointestinal disorders mentions their use, and prescribing among gastroenterologists has become commonplace. In parallel with this change, primary care physicians have become sufficiently comfortable in using antidepressants for treating not only anxiety and depression but also a host of somatic symptoms and syndromes that their use has nearly tripled in the past decade.

Enhanced appreciation for the relative importance of central mechanisms (for example, signal processing alterations) in many if not most patients with IBS and other painful functional gastrointestinal disorders is an important factor responsible for prescribing shifts among gastroenterologists—more so than a new or improved understanding of the relationship of symptoms to anxiety or depression. The composite body of investigation over the past 15 years involving visceral stimulation, brain imaging, multidimensional clinical studies, and treatment trials designed to answer mechanistic questions has led us closer to understanding the pathways by which psychopharmacological agents may interrupt the symptomatic process in these common gastrointestinal disorders. Complemented by clinical observations, improved recommendations for their use have evolved. This review describes the types of psychopharmacological agents used in functional gastrointestinal disorders, new models for their potential benefits, a summary of the reported efficacy, and practical aspects surrounding treatment. The majority of the available information has been accrued in patients with IBS, although findings are similar when other pain or discomfort based functional gastrointestinal disorders have been studied.

BACKGROUND

Recounting observations instigating use of psychopharmacological agents in functional gastrointestinal disorders remains a useful exercise because their mechanism of effect remains unclear. The most conspicuous explanation for experimentation has been the high rate of psychiatric illness in patients with these gastrointestinal disorders. Interview methods demonstrate that nearly 70% of patients seeking care at secondary or tertiary locations meet diagnostic criteria for a psychiatric disorder, particularly anxiety states and major depression. These early observations have not changed in recent years. However, approximately one third of subjects conspicuously do not meet criteria, and response to psychopharmacological agents, particularly antidepressants, is neither predicated on the presence of an anxiety or depressive disorder nor consistently dependent on the intended psychiatric effects of the medications.

Such findings indicate that the relevance of psychiatric comorbidity is in its reflection of another underlying disorder or phenomenon that is responsive to psychopharmacological intervention. In this regard, several other observations have supported the use of psychopharmacological treatments (table 1), although none stands out as providing a singular dominant rationale for their need.

Recent advances have focused on the high degree of comorbidity with psychiatric disorders and non-gastrointestinal functional somatic syndromes in clarifying the role of psychopharmacological agents. Although gastroenterologists have been slow to embrace the concept that functional gastrointestinal disorders may be but one component of a broader polysymptomatic process with a unifying underlying mechanism, two lines of thought are developing that expand...
the psychopharmacological rationale. One incorporates the prevalent comorbidity of medically unexplained somatic syndromes with the functional gastrointestinal disorders under the umbrella of *somatisation*. This construct hypothesises that a central neurophysiological process, separate from anxiety and depression, promotes distorted signal processing, reporting of various distinct functional syndromes, and endorsement of many medically unexplained symptoms across multiple organ systems on symptom checklists.

In support of this, high rates of somatisation disorder, an extreme example of *somatisation* defined by DSM-IV criteria, were detected recently in two studies of female IBS patients seeking care at a university clinic. The rates (30–42% of patients meeting or nearly meeting criteria) were substantially higher than reported previously because physician interviewers and carefully executed chart review were used for establishing the diagnosis. Likewise, *somatisation* tendency, as measured by somatic symptom ratings on self report measures, is prevalent among patients with functional gastrointestinal disorders and has proved to be an important independent predictor of a variety of clinical and experimental characteristics associated with them, including sensitivity to balloon distension in the gut, linkage of abuse history to subsequent functional gastrointestinal and non-gastrointestinal symptoms, and likelihood of lingering IBS symptoms following gut infection. The relevance of these observations to the “average” patient is highlighted by the remarkably high prevalence rates of multiple gastrointestinal and non-gastrointestinal syndromes, and likelihood of lingering IBS symptoms following gut infection. The rates of IBS patients meeting or nearly meeting criteria were substantially higher than reported previously because physician interviewers and carefully executed chart review were used for establishing the diagnosis. The rates (30–42% of patients meeting or nearly meeting criteria) were substantially higher than reported previously because physician interviewers and carefully executed chart review were used for establishing the diagnosis. Likewise, somatisation tendency, as measured by somatic symptom ratings on self report measures, is prevalent among patients with functional gastrointestinal disorders and has proved to be an important independent predictor of a variety of clinical and experimental characteristics associated with them, including sensitivity to balloon distension in the gut, linkage of abuse history to subsequent functional gastrointestinal and non-gastrointestinal syndromes, and likelihood of lingering IBS symptoms following gut infection. The relevance of these observations to the “average” patient is highlighted by the remarkably high prevalence rates of multiple gastrointestinal and non-gastrointestinal syndromes, and likelihood of lingering IBS symptoms following gut infection. The rates of IBS patients meeting or nearly meeting criteria were substantially higher than reported previously because physician interviewers and carefully executed chart review were used for establishing the diagnosis.

Another recent observation demonstrates that the excess anxiety and affective disorders previously associated with IBS also segregate predominantly to the subgroup showing high degrees of somatisation. Thus this group of self report based syndromes may be reflective of an underlying symptom reporting tendency, being “psychoform” rather than precisely representing the primary psychiatric disorders. These intriguing possibilities may provide support for the modest dosages of psychopharmacological agents commonly employed for functional gastrointestinal disorders, as the response may differ compared with management of primary affective or anxiety disorders encountered in psychiatric practices. Management of somatisation, therefore, may represent another rationale for the use of psychopharmacological agents. Determining its importance to the individual patient with a functional gastrointestinal disorder is an area of flourishing investigation.

A second line of thought links specific medical and psychiatric syndromes, including IBS, fibromyalgia, and migraine, as representing *affective spectrum disorders*. The disorders are not thought to be manifestations of depression; the term is meant to imply their responsiveness to antidepressant therapy or possibly their representation of a unique type of affective disorder with heritable characteristics. Again, a unifying central neurophysiological process is speculated, a process that differs potentially from somatisation and segregates this cluster from somatisation disorder. Both lines of thought have merit in expanding previously narrow vistas of the rationale for psychopharmacological agents in functional gastrointestinal disorders.

Although treatment is selected today using conventional clinical indicators, including refractoriness of symptoms, severity of manifestations, and risk-benefit ratios, it seems likely that better characterisation of the functional gastrointestinal disorder patient within broader constructs reflecting activity of these suspected central mechanisms will refine management algorithms. Functional brain imaging eventually may complement clinical indicators (such as medical histories of other functional syndromes or self report symptom checklists) in further improving the identification of subjects most likely to benefit from psychopharmacological interventions. At a minimum, investigative use of these techniques may refine the clinically based selection process. Finally, better stratification of patients based on the extent and type of comorbidities may improve selection of existing psychopharmacological agents as well as assist in the development of new medications specifically directed at central mechanisms behind functional symptoms rather than being targeted primarily at anxiety and depression.

**PSYCHOPHARMACOLOGICAL AGENTS USED FOR FUNCTIONAL GASTROINTESTINAL DISORDERS**

As a result of the above observations and their perceived utility in clinical practice, anxiolytics and antidepressants are the psychopharmacological agents most commonly used for functional gastrointestinal disorders. Early reports described some success with phenothiazines and related antipsychotic agents. Benefits were not related conspicuously to antipsychotic effects of the drugs, side effects from long term use appeared unwarranted, and such agents are no longer recommended. Contemporary antipsychotic agents have not been tested. Anxiolytics, including benzodiazepines and buspirone, have also been used both for their anxiolytic properties and for effects that may be targeted more specifically at functional gastrointestinal symptoms. Clinical experience suggests that benzodiazepines share many benefits observed from antidepressants but their

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1</th>
<th>Observations supporting the testing of psychopharmacological agents for functional gastrointestinal disorders</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High lifetime and current rates of anxiety disorders and depression</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High self reported distress</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased sexual and physical abuse histories</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response of other functional syndromes to antidepressants</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Response of neuropathic pain syndromes to antidepressants</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**New observations**

- Psychopharmacological agents, particularly antidepressants, commonly are used today for patients with functional gastrointestinal disorders.
- Central nervous system neurophysiological processes, such as somatisation, help explain the high prevalence of comorbid functional somatic syndromes and psychiatric illnesses with functional gastrointestinal disorders.
- Such central processes participate in global distress and morbidity associated with functional gastrointestinal disorders and are responsive to psychopharmacological treatment.
- Psychopharmacological agents have greater effect on global measures than specific gastrointestinal symptoms.
pharmacokinetics and potential for dependency make them undesirable but for short term symptom management.

By far the greatest reported experience has been with antidepressants, especially the tricyclic antidepressants (TCAs) (table 2). TCAs have broad effects on neurotransmitter physiology, a feature that potentially explains their non-antidepressant benefit in functional gastrointestinal disorders as well as their expanded side effect profile. Direct comparisons of TCAs in managing functional gastrointestinal symptoms are lacking, and no agent appears conspicuously superior to another in open label observation. More recent studies report outcomes from selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) in functional gastrointestinal syndromes. Although one approach had been to reserve SSRIs for TCA failures, for patients with suspected primary anxiety or affective disorders that might be influencing symptom presentation, or for patients with anxiety or depression symptoms that persist despite improvement in functional gastrointestinal symptoms, and no agent appears conspicuously superior to another in open label observation. More recent studies report outcomes from selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) in functional gastrointestinal syndromes. Although one approach had been to reserve SSRIs for TCA failures, for patients with suspected primary anxiety or affective disorders that might be influencing symptom presentation, or for patients with anxiety or depression symptoms that persist despite improvement in functional gastrointestinal symptoms, and no agent appears conspicuously superior to another in open label observation. More recent studies report outcomes from selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) in functional gastrointestinal syndromes. Although one approach had been to reserve SSRIs for TCA failures, for patients with suspected primary anxiety or affective disorders that might be influencing symptom presentation, or for patients with anxiety or depression symptoms that persist despite improvement in functional gastrointestinal symptoms, and no agent appears conspicuously superior to another in open label observation. More recent studies report outcomes from selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) in functional gastrointestinal syndromes. Although one approach had been to reserve SSRIs for TCA failures, for patients with suspected primary anxiety or affective disorders that might be influencing symptom presentation, or for patients with anxiety or depression symptoms that persist despite improvement in functional gastrointestinal symptoms, and no agent appears conspicuously superior to another in open label observation. More recent studies report outcomes from selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) in functional gastrointestinal syndromes. Although one approach had been to reserve SSRIs for TCA failures, for patients with suspected primary anxiety or affective disorders that might be influencing symptom presentation, or for patients with anxiety or depression symptoms that persist despite improvement in functional gastrointestinal symptoms, and no agent appears conspicuously superior to another in open label observation. More recent studies report outcomes from selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) in functional gastrointestinal syndromes. Although one approach had been to reserve SSRIs for TCA failures, for patients with suspected primary anxiety or affective disorders that might be influencing symptom presentation, or for patients with anxiety or depression symptoms that persist despite improvement in functional gastrointestinal symptoms, and no agent appears conspicuously superior to another in open label observation. More recent studies report outcomes from selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) in functional gastrointestinal syndromes. Although one approach had been to reserve SSRIs for TCA failures, for patients with suspected primary anxiety or affective disorders that might be influencing symptom presentation, or for patients with anxiety or depression symptoms that persist despite improvement in functional gastrointestinal symptoms, and no agent appears conspicuously superior to another in open label observation. More recent studies report outcomes from selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) in functional gastrointestinal syndromes. Although one approach had been to reserve SSRIs for TCA failures, for patients with suspected primary anxiety or affective disorders that might be influencing symptom presentation, or for patients with anxiety or depression symptoms that persist despite improvement in functional gastrointestinal symptoms, and no agent appears conspicuously superior to another in open label observation. More recent studies report outcomes from selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) in functional gastrointestinal syndromes. Although one approach had been to reserve SSRIs for TCA failures, for patients with suspected primary anxiety or affective disorders that might be influencing symptom presentation, or for patients with anxiety or depression symptoms that persist despite improvement in functional gastrointestinal symptoms, and no agent appears conspicuously superior to another in open label observation. More recent studies report outcomes from selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) in functional gastrointestinal syndromes. Although one approach had been to reserve SSRIs for TCA failures, for patients with suspected primary anxiety or affective disorders that might be influencing symptom presentation, or for patients with anxiety or depression symptoms that persist despite improvement in functional gastrointestinal symptoms, and no agent appears conspicuously superior to another in open label observation. More recent studies report outcomes from selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) in functional gastrointestinal syndromes. Although one approach had been to reserve SSRIs for TCA failures, for patients with suspected primary anxiety or affective disorders that might be influencing symptom presentation, or for patients with anxiety or depression symptoms that persist despite improvement in functional gastrointestinal symptoms, and no agent appears conspicuously superior to another in open label observation. More recent studies report outcomes from selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) in functional gastrointestinal syndromes. Although one approach had been to reserve SSRIs for TCA failures, for patients with suspected primary anxiety or affective disorders that might be influencing symptom presentation, or for patients with anxiety or depression symptoms that persist despite improvement in functional gastrointestinal symptoms, and no agent appears conspicuously superior to another in open label observation. More recent studies report outcomes from selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) in functional gastrointestinal syndromes. Although one approach had been to reserve SSRIs for TCA failures, for patients with suspected primary anxiety or affective disorders that might be influencing symptom presentation, or for patients with anxiety or depression symptoms that persist despite improvement in functional gastrointestinal symptoms, and no agent appears conspicuously superior to another in open label observation. More recent studies report outcomes from selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) in functional gastrointestinal syndromes. Although one approach had been to reserve SSRIs for TCA failures, for patients with suspected primary anxiety or affective disorders that might be influencing symptom presentation, or for patients with anxiety or depression symptoms that persist despite improvement in functional gastrointestinal symptoms, and no agent appears conspicuously superior to another in open label observation.

Antidepressants have a spectrum of actions that potentially could help patients with functional gastrointestinal disorders (table 3). Effects on gut motility, including transit, are

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Common antidepressants and usual psychiatric dosages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Class</td>
<td>Agent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCAs</td>
<td>Tertiary amine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Secondary amine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSRIs</td>
<td>Citoxetine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other newer antidepressants</td>
<td>Bupropion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TCAs, tricyclic antidepressants; SSRIs, selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors; NE, norepinephrine; 5-HT, 5-hydroxytryptamine. *Dosage ranges for adults in good health not taking confounding medications; geriatric dosages may be required. Adapted from Rush AJ. Mood disorders: treatment of depression. In: Sadock BJ, Sadock VA. Kaplan and Sadock's comprehensive textbook of psychiatry, 8th edn. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams and Wilkins, 2005:1652–61.

POTENTIAL BENEFITS OF ANTIDEPRESSANTS IN FUNCTIONAL GASTROINTESTINAL DISORDERS

Antidepressants have a spectrum of actions that potentially could help patients with functional gastrointestinal disorders (table 3). Effects on gut motility, including transit, are

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3</th>
<th>Antidepressant actions that could influence symptom reporting in functional gastrointestinal disorders</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Central actions</td>
<td>Depression remission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anxiety relief</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Generalised effect on unexplained symptom reporting (anti-somatization effect)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep restoration</td>
<td>Analgesia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Modulation of visceral pain perception (inconsistent findings)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral actions</td>
<td>Anticholinergic effects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Altered gastrointestinal transit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gastric fundic relaxation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Peripheral analgesic effect</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
probably of secondary importance in many patients, considering that most patients who are candidates for antidepressants already have failed conventional treatments. However, although recognised for their analgesia in management of neuropathic pain, impressive effects of antidepressants on visceral hypersensitivity have not been demonstrated.

Meta-analyses and systematic reviews identify both global improvement and pain reduction as potential benefits of antidepressants in IBS and other functional gastrointestinal disorders. However, a recent well designed trial of desipramine in women with painful functional bowel disorders found that treatment satisfaction realised by TCA treatment was not related significantly to a reduction in pain ratings. Similarly, longitudinal evaluation of paroxetine (an SSRI) in IBS demonstrated improved functional outcomes, yet no distinct advantage of the antidepressant in pain relief. These findings were corroborated in a subsequent double blind placebo controlled trial of paroxetine in IBS patients who failed to respond to fibre supplementation alone.

The fact that antidepressants are more consistent in improving global measures than specific gastrointestinal symptoms has raised some concerns. Do antidepressants provide purely a “band-aid” approach to management or are mechanisms of action more directly targeted at the underlying pathophysiology? A recent advance towards understanding factors responsible for global well being in various gastrointestinal disorders addresses this point. Preliminary data from patients with functional and non-functional gastrointestinal disorders attending a university based clinic revealed discrepancies in the contribution of principle gastrointestinal symptoms towards global well being. In patients with non-functional disorders, principle gastrointestinal symptoms were strong predictors of pretreatment global well being, and symptom changes with treatment correlated well with changes in global measures. In contrast, principle gastrointestinal symptoms in patients with functional gastrointestinal disorders were poor predictors of global well being at baseline, and changes in their ratings were only weak predictors of changes in well being following treatment with TCAs. Drossman et al also found that treatment induced changes in abdominal pain in patients with painful functional bowel disorders did not contribute significantly to treatment satisfaction. Most recently, Spiegel et al showed that non-gastrointestinal symptoms rather than traditionally elicited gastrointestinal symptoms were the strongest predictors of health related quality of life in patients with IBS. These authors encouraged a focus on physiological features such as stool characteristics and subtypes of IBS in favour of gauging global symptom severity in planning management.

Consequently, antidepressants may address mechanisms more specifically related to global distress than to correction of gut physiological abnormalities or individual gastrointestinal complaints, mechanisms presumably of more importance in functional gastrointestinal disorders than has been appreciated (fig 1). The relevance of this lies in the fact that morbidity associated with functional gastrointestinal disorders is linked to social impairment, work absenteeism, and other functional limitations—morbidity often resulting from the degree of global distress. Although gut events (for example, infection, inflammation, noxious stimuli) presumably are responsible for the initiation and possibly perpetuation of the syndromes, they become overshadowed by antidepressant responsive features in many subjects.

Efficacy of Antidepressants in Functional Gastrointestinal Disorders

A small number of placebo controlled antidepressant trials has been reported. One limiting factor restricting the body of information has been the lack of pharmaceutical industry support for large scale or multicentre investigations. Meta-analyses of existing trials acknowledge the limited quality of many investigations. Three separate meta-analyses, either restricted to IBS or encompassing all functional gastrointestinal disorders, concluded that antidepressants demonstrate

---

**Recent treatment advances**

- Success with antidepressants is best measured in terms of global well being, improved quality of life, and treatment satisfaction.
- Active depression symptoms interfere with outcome, represent either primary psychiatric comorbidity or neurophysiological processes underlying functional gastrointestinal disorders, and may need specific attention.
- Many antidepressant side effects reflect these same symptom promoting mechanisms and can be attenuated with low initial dosages and slow incrementation, especially in patients with prominent features of somatisation.

---

**Figure 1** New paradigms for understanding global impairment in functional gastrointestinal disorders and the potential effects of antidepressants. Factors other than gastrointestinal (GI) symptoms alone (for example, somatisation, other underlying neurophysiological mechanisms) may (A) coexist with functional gastrointestinal disorders or (B) underlie the presentation of functional gastrointestinal symptoms and have important independent effects on global well being. Antidepressants could block the independent effects of other factors on global well being or influence both the manifestation of functional gastrointestinal symptoms (for example, pain) and global well being through somewhat separate mechanisms. (Dual actions may be more representative of tricyclic antidepressants than selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors.) The effect of antidepressants on global well being is not mediated through an action on gastrointestinal symptoms alone.
efficacy on at least one outcome measure, typically a global rating or pain.\(^{15} 49\) The most recent meta-analysis by Lesbros-Pantoflickova et al found a significant effect of antidepressants in IBS on overall improvement, with an odds ratio of 2.6 (confidence interval (CI) 1.9–3.5).\(^{30}\) When the analysis was restricted to higher quality studies, the odds ratio was 1.9 (CI 1.3–2.7) in favour of antidepressants (fig 2). Odds ratios are greater for antidepressants when other functional gastrointestinal disorders are included in the evaluation but many of these additional studies are of low quality.\(^{49}\) The number needed to treat (NNT) estimate for antidepressant efficacy in functional gastrointestinal disorders has been as low as 3.2; as many as 80% of IBS patients appear to have moderate or greater physician rated benefits in open label clinical practice, and adherence to antidepressants is higher than for other treatments.\(^{15} 28\)

Although cumulative findings from mixed quality trials, meta-analyses, and clinical experience almost uniformly support the value of antidepressants, important recent advances come from examining a well constructed two centre trial of the TCA desipramine in women with painful functional bowel disorders.\(^{49}\) The large number of participants had criteria defined IBS or functional abdominal pain syndrome and were offered up to 150 mg desipramine per day in a placebo controlled study. In the intention to treat analysis, desipramine was not significantly superior to placebo, although there was a 13% margin in treatment satisfaction \((p = 0.01)\). Inclusion of patients with functional abdominal pain syndrome, a rare and more refractory functional gastrointestinal disorder, may have attenuated the response to the TCA. Of note, 28% of subjects in the desipramine arm failed to complete the trial, most commonly because of side effects. An additional 12% of subjects had undetectable blood levels of desipramine, suggesting that they did not take the medication. When a post hoc analysis was performed in subjects who actually adhered to the study protocol, the agent was indeed effective with a 24% margin over placebo \((p<0.01)\). This study demonstrates the limitations imposed by side effects and possibly the stigmata associated with the use of psychopharmacological agents for somatic symptoms. It underscores the importance of a preemptive plan towards side effect reduction and education regarding use of antidepressants for their ability to reverse global impairments associated with functional gastrointestinal disorders independent of traditional psychiatric effects (anxiolytic, antidepressant).\(^{48}\)
INITIATING ANTIDEPRESSANT THERAPY

Antidepressants are indicated for patients who meet criteria for a functional gastrointestinal disorder and who fail conventional low risk interventions, including reassurance and pharmacological and non-pharmacological therapies (for example, diet, fibre) directed at specific gut symptoms. Sufficient interference with global well being and functional capacity is also expected such that the risk/benefit ratio is acceptable. The clinician should feel confident in offering an antidepressant in this situation.

Although candidate selection is not predicated on the presence or absence of anxiety or depression, the initiation protocol can be affected by the degree of comorbidity and complexity of functional complaints expressed by the patient (that is, the degree of suspected somatisation) (fig 3). Patients with high degrees of somatisation can be detected by patient endorsement of many symptoms on a review of systems checklist or from features of somatisation disorder in the medical history. Contemporary antidepressants include the selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs). Monitoring for toxicity with tricyclic antidepressant (TCA) levels is required if the TCA is used in conjunction with medications that interfere with normal cytochrome p450 activity, such as SSRIs. Modified from Clouse.

Patient characteristics that indicate a high degree of somatisation

- History of multiple functional disorders and drug sensitivities.
- Positive response to at least seven symptoms on a 15 item screening tool for somatisation (find this tool at http://psy.psychiatryonline.org/cgi/content/full/39/3/263).*
- Features of somatisation disorder (complicated medical history beginning before the age of 30; history of pain related to at least four sites or functions; two gastrointestinal symptoms; one sexual symptom; and one symptom suggestive of a neurological condition, none of which are feigned or can be explained adequately by the medical evaluation).†
- Endorsement of many symptoms on a review of systems checklist (≥15 on a comprehensive checklist exceeds the mean for functional gastrointestinal patients and has a high specificity for a functional diagnosis).‡

†Pseudoneurological symptoms include paralysis, loss of coordination, imbalance, localised weakness, etc. American Psychiatric Association.
‡See Brown and colleagues.
spectrum of unexplained somatic symptoms and syndromes, further supporting the initial trial with a TCA even in this situation. They also have an analgesic advantage that may have value. A moderately low daily dose of a TCA (25–50 mg per day) is the reasonable initiation step for patients in whom lower degrees of somatisation are evident. This approach is particularly warranted in subjects without any conspicuous symptoms of an active anxiety or depressive disorder.

The choice of initial antidepressant in the subset of patients exhibiting significant anxiety and depression symptoms remains debated. Because these symptoms may represent further manifestations of the neurophysiology behind functional gastrointestinal symptoms, as mentioned above, an initial trial with a TCA at low daily dosage (for example, escalated to 50–100 mg/day) is not unreasonable, as long as monitoring of psychiatric symptoms is included in the management plan. Alternatively, an SSRI or other contemporary antidepressant may be initiated primarily and outcome on the functional gastrointestinal symptoms monitored (fig 3).

An important clinical error is failure to escalate antidepressant dosages when patients respond inadequately to the initial intervention. Consensus recommendations for increasing dosages are unavailable, but most suggest TCA increments by 10–25 mg/day at 5–7 day intervals. Patients who tolerate the medications yet see limited initial benefits should be instructed to continue incrementing the dosage well into the psychiatric dosing range (table 2) before declaring the trial a failure. In most instances, SSRIs and other contemporary antidepressants are prescribed according to recommended psychiatric starting dosages from the outset, but daily dosages of these agents should also be escalated appropriately in non-responders. Unsatisfactory response after four weeks at the full psychiatric or maximum tolerated dose indicates a change in medication or approach.

**FACTORS AFFECTING OUTCOME**

Patients resisting psychopharmacological therapy, those who fail to take the prescribed medications, and subjects with intolerable side effects obviously do poorly. Acceptance is enhanced by educating the patient of potential side effects of antidepressants and acknowledging that their primary benefits often are independent of anxiolytic or antidepressant effects of the drugs. Offering plausible mechanistic explanations to the patient in the presence of a relative or significant other may also enhance treatment adherence. This technique can avoid unfounded assumptions when dialogues continue beyond the office visit that the physician simply suspects anxiety or depression. Comparing antidepressants with other medications that have benefits extending beyond their original indications can be helpful (for example, to aspirin when used for heart disease versus fever or arthritis), although most patients today are familiar with off label prescribing. Despite the long list of potential adverse effects from antidepressants, a high level of disclosure is recommended. Trust in the prescribing physician will increase, and the patient will be in a better position to compare advantages and disadvantages of therapy at follow up visits.

Who has a good outcome from antidepressants? This is not predicted easily from clinical characteristics, and presently no biological marker is used for selecting optimal candidates. With IBS patients, pain or diarrhoea predominance may predict a better response to TCAs compared with a constipation predominant pattern. Discriminating characteristics in other functional gastrointestinal disorders have not been identified. One might predict that subjects with more diffuse functional symptom presentations (that is, those with higher degrees of somatisation) might be better candidates because a greater central contribution to global impairment is suspected. Contrast this with the patient with little comorbidity and presumably a simpler form of gastrointestinal disorder. However, patients with higher degrees of somatisation are more sensitive to medication side effects, diminishing the efficacy of the intervention. The “good responder” typically can only be identified post hoc following the therapeutic trial.

Little information is available regarding the long term outcome in patients with functional gastrointestinal disorders who initially are managed successfully with antidepressants. Open label TCA treatment of patients with functional chest pain who were unresponsive to antireflux therapy, 81% had at least a moderate initial response to a TCA. Of these, more than two thirds had sustained improvement (defined as at least six months of treatment satisfaction). During maintenance therapy, 41% were successfully treated continuously or for symptom relapses over an average of 2.6 years; 29% discontinued successful treatment after >0.5 years with sustained benefits; and the remaining 29% eventually discontinued successful treatment for side effects or uncertain reasons. Other maintenance treatment data are lacking. Recommending at least six months of antidepressants for the successfully managed patient seems prudent, and very long treatment courses are common.

Who responds poorly to antidepressants? Poorer outcomes are predicted for patients with objective delays in gastrointestinal motility or either specific gastrointestinal symptoms or comorbid medical conditions that could be exacerbated by antidepressant side effects, although therapeutic trials are not necessarily contraindicated. Of the antidepressants, TCAs are most likely to interact negatively. Patients with multiple medication sensitivities and other features of somatisation disorder also tolerate antidepressants poorly, even in very low dosages. Substituting non-pharmacological interventions (for example, psychotherapy, other psychological and behavioural therapies) for the psychopharmacological approach should be considered earlier in this group.

Active depression symptoms were shown in early TCA trials and confirmed in the more recent desipramine study of women with functional bowel disorders to reduce antidepressant efficacy. This irony can be explained away through several mechanisms. Firstly, the daily dosages used for treating functional gastrointestinal symptoms, particularly with TCAs, often fall below the usual recommendations for depression management. Escalating dosages into the psychiatric therapeutic range when depression symptoms are evident may re-establish the efficacy of antidepressants, although the benefits of this approach have not been documented. The manoeuvre seems reasonable, if only to reduce the diffuse effects comorbid depression has on medical illnesses in general. A second possibility is that depression symptom reporting heralds a more significant degree of central dysfunction common across functional disorders, a theme reiterated throughout this review, and thereby predicts greater resistance to antidepressants. To this
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point, North et al found that a recent history of depression (current or within the past one year) was one of the best clinical predictors of somatisation disorder in a study of IBS patients attending a university clinic. Thus the presence of depression symptoms requires more careful monitoring of treatment response, as suggested in the initiation algorithm of fig 3.

SIDE EFFECTS OF ANTIDEPRESSANT THERAPY FOR FUNCTIONAL GASTROINTESTINAL DISORDERS

Side effects of antidepressants are significant and common, emphasising the importance of sufficient morbidity from the functional gastrointestinal disorder to establish patient candidacy. The NNT for serious side effects from TCA treatment of neuropathic pain has been calculated at 22 and for minor side effects at 3–4. Rates of side effects may be even higher when TCAs are used for functional gastrointestinal disorders, despite low daily dosages. Clinicians using antidepressants should become very familiar with side effect profiles of the one or two TCA and non-TCA antidepressants that they choose to prescribe. Although some side effects are peculiar to specific antidepressants, typical side effects and their relative frequencies by antidepressant class are shown in table 4.

Early side effects most commonly interfering with successful TCA treatment include sedation, other CNS side effects (sleep disturbance, nervousness, agitation, nightmares), and anticholinergic side effects (xerostomia, tachycardia, palpitations, urinary dysfunction, visual disturbances). Weight gain and sexual dysfunction are common patient complaints when antidepressants are continued for maintenance therapy. A host of other potential adverse effects are possible, and medication interactions are significant considerations. TCAs are metabolised by the cytochrome P450 (2D6) system. Medications that interfere with their metabolism, such as SSRIs, can induce supra-therapeutic TCA blood levels even when low dose TCA regimens are used. Combinations antidepressant regimens also increase the risk of the uncommon serotonin syndrome.

Recent observations that SSRIs can precipitate hostile behaviours and possibly suicide in adolescents and young adults are relevant. The phenomenon may have generalisation across antidepressant classes and can occur in adults. Details of recent US Food and Drug Administration releases on this topic can be found at http://www.fda.gov/cder/drug/ antidepressants/default.htm. Increased awareness of withdrawal syndromes, including the potential for suicide, is also occurring. Gradual introduction and withdrawal of antidepressants is recommended with forewarning of these potential adverse affects, especially in young subjects. Although gradual introduction is already commonplace in antidepressant management of functional gastrointestinal disorders, many clinicians are unaware of the importance of tapered withdrawal over two to four weeks depending on the antidepressant and daily dose at the time the decision for discontinuation is made.

Detailed assessment of side effect reporting when antidepressants are used for functional gastrointestinal disorders has fuelled further the interest in central processes potentially underlying these disorders. Many side effects eventually attributed to the antidepressant and leading to its discontinuation are present at baseline, before the antidepressant is initiated. This observation has been made previously in some patients with major depression but the dropout rate with antidepressant therapy in functional gastrointestinal disorders appears to exceed that seen in depression, even in the face of medical illness.

In functional gastrointestinal disorders, higher degrees of somatisation predict worsening of existent symptoms or new side effects and subsequent antidepressant intolerance. Thus the same neurophysiological processes suspected as explaining the comorbidities associated with functional gastrointestinal disorders, underlying many of the physiological phenomena that characterise these disorders, and responsible for a large portion of the associated global distress, may also define the propensity for side effects from psychopharmacological interventions.

Awareness of this is helpful in management. Very gradual introduction of the antidepressant and use of agents with lowest side effect profiles is recommended for patients with multiple functional comorbidities, diffusely positive system review, complicated histories of symptoms poorly explained by the degree of objective findings, histories of multiple medication sensitivities, or other indicators of high degrees of somatisation. Within the TCA class, the secondary amines may be better tolerated (for example, nortriptyline, desipramine).

### Table 4 Relative occurrence of common side effects of selected antidepressants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug class or drug</th>
<th>Anticholinergic†</th>
<th>Central nervous system‡</th>
<th>Cardiovascular</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Gastrointestinal distress</th>
<th>Weight gain (≥ 6 kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TCA仙</td>
<td>Tertiary amines</td>
<td>3–4</td>
<td>3–4</td>
<td>0–1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Secondary amines</td>
<td>1–2</td>
<td>1–2</td>
<td>0–1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0–1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSRIs</td>
<td>0–2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Relative occurrence of side effects among agents listed: ranked from 0 (absent or rare) to 4 (relatively common).
†A reduction of seizure threshold can occur with all antidepressants and is most pronounced with bupropion.
‡Includes dry mouth, blurred vision, urinary hesitancy, constipation.
Counselling the patient regarding the distinction between favourable effects and side effects, your intention to slowly increment the dose to limit side effects while beneficial effects take hold, and your sensitivity to the patient’s side effect predisposition may be helpful. Likewise, describing the gradual benefits that might occur over four weeks or more of treatment may improve adherence. If suspicions regarding mechanisms of side effects in many patients with functional gastrointestinal disorders are correct, then this is one unusual situation in which a medication has the therapeutic potential to negate its own initial side effects.74

NEW DIRECTIONS IN PSYCHOPHARMACOLOGICAL TREATMENT OF FUNCTIONAL GASTROINTESTINAL DISORDERS

Optimal use of psychopharmacological agents in functional gastrointestinal disorders undoubtedly has not been realised. Continued definition of the response mechanism is required and should enhance development or testing of new agents targeted more specifically to these disorders. Early attempts are being made to use functional brain imaging for this purpose.75 In the interim, better identification of response predictors should improve initial candidate selection and reduce dependence on therapeutic trials. Some interest in studying combination therapy with both TCAs and other antidepressants is surfacing, especially in the subset with active anxiety or depression symptoms.21 Preliminary data suggest that addition of a low dose TCA to a daily SSRI regimen in patients being managed with the latter for psychiatric symptoms may improve functional gastrointestinal symptoms, the TCA response being predicated by the degree of psychiatric symptom control.76 Overall, investigation of psychopharmacological agents for functional gastrointestinal disorders will likely increase, as they may take a more primary position in management algorithms.30 For the reasons outlined in this review, it is unlikely that concerns about limited direct effects of antidepressants on functional gastrointestinal symptoms will deter this effort.
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