Barrett’s oesophagus: from metaplasia to dysplasia and cancer
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Barrett’s oesophagus is a premalignant condition that predisposes to the development of oesophageal adenocarcinoma. It is detected on endoscopy and confirmed histologically by the presence in the lower oesophagus of a metaplastic mucosa, the so-called specialised epithelium, which resembles incomplete intestinal metaplasia in the stomach. These similarities with incomplete intestinal metaplasia are present on histology, mucin histochemistry, and immunohistochemistry with various differentiation markers (cytokeratins and MUC antigens). On morphology, the carcinogenetic process of Barrett’s mucosa progresses through increasing grades of epithelial dysplasia. Dysplasia, a synonym of intraepithelial neoplasia, is the only marker that can be used at the present time to delineate a population of patients at high risk of cancer. Among the numerous molecular events that have been shown to play a role in the neoplastic transformation of Barrett’s mucosa, only changes in DNA ploidy, increased proliferation, and alterations of the p53 gene have been suggested to be of potential help in the surveillance of patients.
between gastric mucous cells and intestinal absorptive cells. Together with the characteristic intestinal mucosa, two other types of mucosa can be present in Barrett’s oesophagus, that is, cardiac type and fundic type mucosa. These mucosae usually show some inflammation and architectural changes. Except in children, intestinal type epithelium is the most common type encountered in Barrett’s oesophagus. However, its frequency on endoscopic biopsies varies upon the length of Barrett’s oesophagus and also with the number of endoscopic biopsy series performed. It was classically considered that the three types of mucosa had a zonal distribution from intestinal to cardiac to fundic mucosa joining the upper part of the stomach. However, some mapping studies have demonstrated in most Barrett’s oesophagus a patchwork of the three mucosal types, with a predominance of the intestinal mucosa. 

Mucin histochemistry
Both columnar mucus cells and goblet cells produce mucins that can be characterised using mucin histochemistry. The columnar cells may produce neutral mucins, similarly to gastric surface epithelial cells, and/or acidic mucins, typical of intestinal mucosa. Therefore, these cells can stain red (neutral mucins), blue (acidic mucins), or magenta (neutral and acidic mucins) on a combined PAS–alcian blue stain. Some authors have suggested that the presence of acidic mucins (blue on alcian blue) is a characteristic feature of Barrett’s oesophagus in the absence of typical goblet cells; however, this theory has been disputed by other studies that showed alcian blue positive columnar cells in gastric cardiac surface or neck cells in patients with metastatic adenocarcinoma of the oesophagus. Regarding Barrett’s oesophagus, it has been proposed that there is a unique pattern of CK7–CK20 expression of Barrett’s intestinal metaplasia, with a strong CK7 staining both at the surface and in deep glands, and a weak superficial CK20 positivity. This sensitive and specific Barrett CK pattern has been observed in both long and short segment Barrett’s oesophagus, and even in ultrashort segment Barrett’s oesophagus. However, some groups did not obtain the same kind of results with these antibodies, and there is currently a debate regarding the contribution of CK immunohistochemistry in the diagnosis of Barrett’s mucosa. Regarding the pre-neoplastic significance of CK immunoreactivity, it seems unlikely that this pattern will be of great use, as it probably gives results very similar to those obtained with mucin histochemistry, with a lack of sensitivity for pre-neoplasia. Similar results have been obtained with antibodies reacting with intestinal goblet cells, such as Das1 antibody.

Other antibodies have also been used to characterise intestinal metaplasia of Barrett’s oesophagus, directed against MUC mucin gene products, especially MUC1 and MUC2 (an intestinal mucin). These studies have demonstrated aberrant expression of MUC2 in Barrett’s intestinal mucosa, lost when the cells become neoplastic. MUC1 was absent in metaplastic and dysplastic epithelium, but was expressed in carcinomas, which suggests that it could differentiate dysplasia from carcinoma in mucosal biopsies.

MORPHOLOGICAL MARKERS OF CANCER RISK IN BARRETT’S OESOPHAGUS
Because the major risk of patients with Barrett’s oesophagus is to develop an oesophageal adenocarcinoma, there has been considerable interest in defining a subgroup of high risk patients in whom an effective surveillance can be undertaken. At the present time, only morphological markers, especially epithelial dysplasia, are used to delineate this population.

Definition of dysplasia (intraepithelial neoplasia)
Dysplasia is a purely morphological term. Although it could be considered from an etymological point of view as an ambiguous and vague term, meaning malformation, it is used by gastrointestinal pathologists to design premalignant lesions. Dysplasia has been defined by Riddell et al as an unequivocal neoplastic epithelium strictly confined within the basement membrane of the gland from which it arises. Although this definition was initially proposed for
Dysplasia as a premalignant lesion is strictly synonymous to intraepithelial neoplasia, a term in use in most organs including the gynaecological tract, and that has been recommended in Barrett’s oesophagus by the World Health Organization and by two recent consensus reports. Dysplasia has to be distinguished on both ends of the morphological spectrum of changes, from regenerative non-neoplastic modifications, often called atypia, and from invasive cancer, especially in its early or superficial form with an invasion limited to the lamina propria.

**Diagnosis and classification of dysplasia**

As a morphological entity diagnosed in routine work, dysplasia has to be recognised on endoscopic biopsies with routinely stained sections. It comprises both architectural and cytological abnormalities. Architectural changes include glandular distortion and crowding. Papillary extensions may be present in gland lumen, and villiform configuration of the mucosal surface can be observed. Cytological changes include nuclear alterations such as variation in size and shape, as variation in nuclear and/or nucleolar enlargement, increased nuclear to cytoplasmic ratio, hyperchromatism, and increased number of abnormal mitoses. Most authors consider that these changes have to involve the mucosal surface to ascertain the diagnosis of dysplasia.

Based on the degree of the abnormalities present, dysplasia is classified into grades of increasing severity. Although a three tiered classification (mild–moderate–severe) is still in use in some centres, most pathologists use a two tiered system that distinguishes between low grade dysplasia (LGD) and high grade dysplasia (HGD) (fig 2). In this two grade system, LGD includes the mild and moderate categories of neoplastic modifications, often called atypia, and from invasive cancer, especially in its early or superficial form with an invasion limited to the lamina propria. The term carcinoma in situ (or intraepithelial carcinoma) is not used in the Riddell’s classification, as it is considered indistinguishable from LGD. The term carcinoma in situ (or intraepithelial carcinoma) is not used in the Riddell’s classification, as it is considered indistinguishable from LGD. In intramucosal carcinoma, neoplastic cells have penetrated through the basement membrane and infiltrate into the lamina propria, leading to a small risk of regional lymph node metastasis. The main morphological criteria for the diagnosis of dysplasia are presented in table 1.

**Diagnostic reproducibility of dysplasia—the Vienna classification**

It has been shown for a long time that there is intra and inter-observer variation in the diagnosis of dysplasia in Barrett’s oesophagus. Given the progressive and subtle changes that occur from non-dysplastic to LGD to HGD, it is not surprising that this variation exists. Among the various studies published in the literature, some series have enrolled expert senior pathologists, and others have implicated general pathologists. In a recently published “expert” study, the diagnoses made by 12 senior gastrointestinal pathologists on 125 biopsies were compared. When a four grade system was employed (non-dysplastic/indefinite and low grade/high grade/cancer), the kappa index was low (0.43). Kappa improved (0.66) when a simplified classification was used (non-dysplastic/indefinite and low grade/high grade and cancer). In a study involving 20 general pathologists in the USA, there was very large variation on diagnoses of non-dysplastic mucosa, LGD, and HGD. These results emphasise the need to obtain a second opinion on difficult cases, especially when a therapeutic decision has to be made.

The diagnostic differences are even more considerable when diagnoses made by Western pathologists and those made by Japanese pathologists are compared. This point is crucial when analysing the Japanese literature on early neoplastic lesions of the gastrointestinal tract. As Barrett’s oesophagus is rare in Japan, this problem may be less important for this lesion as for gastric and intestinal dysplasia. Nevertheless, in a study of 21 oesophageal lesions examined at the World Congress of Gastroenterology in Vienna, almost all lesions were classified as carcinoma by pathologists with a Japanese viewpoint, and only 10–67% of the same lesions by those with a Western viewpoint.

After reaching a consensus, this international panel of pathologists proposed a classification to minimise disagreement. This “Vienna classification” is presented in table 2. The main advantages of this five tier system may be to propose clear surveillance and therapeutic consequences for the various diagnostic categories. However, this classification still has to be tested prospectively in a large series of patients.

**Natural history of dysplasia**

When patients included in surveillance cohorts are considered, it has been well established that the presence of dysplasia indicates an increased risk of carcinoma. However, the natural history of this lesion is still very difficult to predict for one individual patient.

**High grade dysplasia**

HGD is the nearest precursor of adenocarcinoma, as shown by its presence around the cancer on surgical specimens, and before the cancer in surveillance programmes. It must be remembered that dysplasia detected on endoscopic biopsies...
is also frequently a marker of synchronous carcinoma, as in most surgical series up to 40% of Barrett’s oesophagus resected for HGD have an occult adenocarcinoma.43 44 The frequency of these unsuspected cancers varied upon the endoscopic and biopsic protocol, with very few cancers detected when patients were followed using the “Seattle” protocol (four quadrant biopsies at 1 cm intervals) at closely timed intervals.45

The natural history of HGD is still a matter of debate. In two large series that included 145 patients with HGD, although the risk of malignant transformation was relatively high, the majority of patients did not progress to adenocarcinoma after several years of follow up46 47: among patients without carcinoma after 1 year of searching after the initial diagnosis of HGD, 25% and 16% of patients developed carcinoma after a mean surveillance period of 2.5 years and 7.3 years, respectively. When considering these series, it can be concluded that HGD does not progress to adenocarcinoma in the majority of patients within some years, and that nonsurgical procedures (surveillance and endoscopic treatments) can be considered as reasonable options in those patients, a statement that is still very much debated in the literature.39 It is interesting to note that in one of these two series, there was an unusually high proportion of Barrett’s oesophagus patients with LGD (737 of 1099, 67%), and the histological diagnoses were made during a period of 20 years by one experienced pathologist.39

Recently, the distinction between unifocal and multifocal HGD has been emphasised by some authors, with a high rate of progression from unifocal to multifocal HGD or invasive carcinoma (8 of 15 patients within a mean follow up period of 37 months),48 a result confirmed by another study that demonstrated a risk of malignant progression increased by 3.7 when diffuse HGD was present,49 but recently challenged by Dar et al.50 It has also been shown that the presence of endoscopic polyoid lesions (an equivalent of DALM (Dysplasia Associated Lesion or Mass) in inflammatory bowel disease) was an indicator of high risk of cancer.48 51

### Low grade dysplasia

The natural history of LGD is even less known. This could be at least partially due to the poor diagnostic reproducibility of this lesion. It was considered traditionally that LGD was a very slowly progressing lesion in most cases. In most series, there was even a high rate of apparent regression from LGD to non-dysplastic mucosa. This last phenomenon has several potential explanations: initial overdiagnosis of LGD, due to the difficulty in differentiating reactive from dysplastic changes; sampling variability; or real neoplastic regression. However, this general opinion about the benign course of LGD has been challenged by some recent studies. In a study based on multicentre pathological recruitment among 26 cases with a diagnosis of LGD, 4 patients (15%) developed HGD and 4 (15%) an adenocarcinoma, 2–65 months after the initial diagnosis of LGD.1 In another study, 7 patients (28%) developed HGD (5 patients) or an adenocarcinoma (2 patients) after a mean follow up of 26 months (range 2–43 months) after the diagnosis of LGD.52 Very interestingly, in this latter study all cases were reviewed blindly by 3 gastrointestinal pathologists. When all 3 pathologists agreed on the initial diagnosis of LGD, 4 of 5 patients progressed to a more severe lesion, when none of the 8 patients with no agreement for the initial diagnosis progressed.

### Molecular pathology of neoplastic transformation of Barrett’s mucosa

In addition and parallel to the morphological sequence of events leading from metaplasia to carcinoma in Barrett’s mucosa, chromosomal changes and accompanying genetic alterations occur, with ensuing abnormalities in gene expression and cell cycle regulation. Although the frequency and timing of these alterations are not as well established as in colorectal carcinogenesis, some authors have proposed a molecular cancer progression scheme of Barrett’s oesophagus.4 5 16 53 Some of these changes may be used as criteria for recognising Barrett’s oesophagus patients with a high risk for developing cancer.

It has been proposed by Hanahan and Weinberg55 that there are six major changes for a cell to become malignant: cell provides growth signals, ignores growth inhibitory signals, avoids apoptosis, replicates without limit, sustains angiogenesis, and invades and proliferates. Morales et al. illustrated recently that these alterations are present during the carcinogenesis of Barrett’s oesophagus.51

Table 3 summarises the main molecular events that have been shown to play a role in the neoplastic transformation of Barrett’s mucosa. Only those changes that have been

---

### Table 1 Histological criteria for grading dysplasia in Barrett’s oesophagus

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Negative for dysplasia</td>
<td>Architecture within normal limits. No nuclear abnormalities, except focal nuclear strafatification. Greater nuclear alterations acceptable when associated with inflammation, erosion, or ulceration.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indefinite</td>
<td>Architecture may be moderately distorted. Nuclear abnormalities less marked than those seen in dysplasia. Changes too marked for negative but not sufficient for the diagnosis of dysplasia.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive for dysplasia</td>
<td>Architectural and cytological changes severe enough to suggest neoplastic transformation. Diagnosis of high grade or low grade based on the severity of changes; high grade dysplasia is diagnosed if either architectural and/or cytological abnormalities are sufficiently prominent. Alterations are especially noteworthy if they involve the mucosal surface.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intramucosal carcinoma</td>
<td>Carcinoma has penetrated through the basement membrane of the glands into the lamina propria but not yet invaded the submucosa.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Adapted from Geboes and Van Eyken, Riddell et al., Montgomery et al.

---

### Table 2 Vienna classification of epithelial neoplasia of the digestive tract

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Classification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Negative for neoplasia/dysplasia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Indefinite for neoplasia/dysplasia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Non-invasive low grade neoplasia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Low grade adenoma/dysplasia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>High grade adenoma/dysplasia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>Non-invasive high grade neoplasia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>Non-invasive carcinoma (carcinoma in situ)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>Suspicion of invasive carcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Invasive neoplasia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>Intramucosal carcinoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>Submucosal carcinoma or beyond</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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suggested to be of potential help in the surveillance of patients will be presented more in detail, that is changes in DNA ploidy, increased proliferation, and alterations of p53 gene and protein.

Changes in DNA content: DNA aneuploidy

Cells that contain any other formulation of chromosomes than 2N (diploid) and 4N (tetraploid) are said to be aneuploid. These cells can be detected by flow cytometry, which shows in some tumours and dysplastic tissues DNA aneuploid clones. The technique is based on staining a suspension of single cells with quantitative fluorescent DNA dye, with a detection system that shows an amount of fluorescence proportional to the amount of DNA in each cell.

Aneuploidy does not correlate with any single mutation of the genes listed in table 3, but reflects large DNA changes due to genomic instability. Over 90% of HGD and adenocarcinomas developed in Barrett’s oesophagus are DNA aneuploid, and there is a significant relation between the presence of DNA aneuploid population and the progression from nondysplastic Barrett’s intestinal mucosa to dysplasia and adenocarcinoma. As flow cytometry is able to detect a subset of patients with unremarkable biopsies (non-dysplastic or indefinite for dysplasia) but who have DNA content abnormalities identical to those observed in HGD and carcinoma, it has been suggested that this technique may be useful in screening patients with Barrett’s oesophagus. This theoretical interest is maximal in patients with LGD, a lesion with an undefined natural history. The Seattle group has shown in prospective studies that patients with DNA aneuploid cells or increased DNA tetraploid populations have an increased risk of developing HGD or carcinoma. In a more recent study, the same group showed that among patients with non-dysplastic, indefinite for dysplasia, or LGD mucosa, the risk of cancer was strongly related to the presence of DNA aneuploid or increased 4N populations. However, although some studies have confirmed that DNA aneuploidy is a prognostic factor for malignant transformation in Barrett’s oesophagus, other groups have reported frequent discordance between histology and DNA ploidy, which may be due to technical issues. This could partially explain why this technique is still not widely diffused in clinical routine practice.

Increased proliferation

Most genetic changes that occur during the carcinogenesis of Barrett’s oesophagus affect genes involved in the regulation of cell cycle (table 3), with an ensuing increased proliferation. Initially, this hyperproliferative state was demonstrated by studies with tritiated thymidine, and later with BrDU. These studies showed an increased S phase in Barrett’s metaplastic mucosa, especially when dysplasia was present. DNA flow cytometry also allows us to study the cell cycle, and a number of studies have shown that the number of cells into the S phase and into G2M phases (DNA tetraploid cells) was a predictor of dysplasia.

During the past 15 years, numerous studies have used two markers of cell proliferation that can be evaluated by immunohistochemistry on routinely processed oesophageal biopsies (review in43); proliferating cell nuclear antigen (PCNA) and Ki67 (usually stained with the monoclonal antibody MIB1). PCNA is an indicator of cell cycle progression at the G1/S transition, and Ki67 is expressed in proliferating cells (G1, S, G2, and M phases). Numerous studies have shown an increased proportion of cells stained by both antibodies parallel to the progression and histological changes from metaplasia to increasing grades of dysplasia. Interestingly, the proliferative compartment stained by Ki67 increases in size and expands from the base of the crypts towards the surface epithelium. However, due to large overlaps of Ki67 stainings between groups defined along the severity of histological lesions, this marker is not really used in clinical routine practice.

The mechanisms of increased proliferation in Barrett’s mucosa and the possibilities of therapeutic control are issues of great importance. Several studies have shown both ex vivo and in vitro that acid plays a major role in this increased proliferation. The impact of effective acid suppression on the development of dysplasia and cancer requires prospective information.

Alterations of p53

Mutations of the p53 gene are the most common genetic alteration in human cancer. The p53 gene encodes p53 protein, a major transcription factor that facilitates cell cycle arrest, DNA repair, and apoptosis. It has been shown by molecular biology that p53 gene mutations are occasionally
found in metaplastic non-dysplastic mucosa and in LGD, and that the frequency of mutations increases dramatically in HGD and adenocarcinoma, reaching 80% of cases in some series, with an even higher frequency of loss of heterozygosity at the p53 locus. Moreover, these allelic losses at 17p usually occur before the loss of 5q (bearing the APC locus), a result that suggests that p53 mutation is a relatively early event in Barrett carcinogenesis. The prolonged half life of the mutant p53 protein with an ensuing increase cellular p53 concentration make indirect visualisation of p53 gene mutation by immunohistochemistry possible. Numerous immunohistochemical studies have shown a very low percentage of p53 over expression in non-dysplastic mucosa (5%), increasing to 10–20% in LGD, and to more than 60% in HGD and carcinoma (reviewed in1). Most of these studies have been performed retrospectively, making it very difficult to determine the interest of p53 immunohistochemistry in the surveillance of patients with Barrett’s oesophagus. However, some prospective studies have been published recently. In two studies involving 97 patients, 9 patients developed HGD or malignancy, including 8 patients among 13 patients with at least one biopsy p53 positive, and only one patient among the 84 patients without p53 over expression.23,24 Recently, Weston et al confirmed these result and showed in patients with LGD an increased risk of progression to HGD or cancer in case of p53 over expression.25 These results suggest that the study of p53 expression by immunohistochemistry is of interest in the surveillance of patients with Barrett’s oesophagus, especially in those patients with a mucosa indefinite for dysplasia or with LGD.

CONCLUSIONS

Barrett’s oesophagus is now clearly recognised as a pre-neoplastic condition, which is diagnosed endoscopically with an histological confirmation, showing in most cases incomplete intestinal metaplasia, the so-called specialised mucosa. A diagnosis of dysplasia in Barrett’s oesophagus has major clinical and therapeutic consequences, although numerous studies have demonstrated that it is not perfectly reproducible. Numerous markers that have been proposed in complement, most often issued from the improved knowledge of genetic and molecular processes involved in the carcinogenesis of Barrett’s oesophagus. At the present time, only immunohistochemistry with antibodies directed against p53 protein and proliferation markers, and DNA flow cytometry, can be of some help. It is probable that in the near future techniques such as global gene expression, cytometry, can be of some help. It is probable that in the near future techniques such as global gene expression, cytometry, can be of some help. In the future techniques such as global gene expression, cytometry, can be of some help. It is probable that in the near future techniques such as global gene expression, cytometry, can be of some help. It is probable that in the near future techniques such as global gene expression, cytometry, can be of some help. It is probable that in the near future techniques such as global gene expression, cytometry, can be of some help.