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**Background:** Clinical and experimental observations in animal models indicate that intestinal commensal bacteria are involved in the initiation and amplification of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD). No paediatric reports are available on intestinal endogenous microflora in IBD.

**Aims:** To investigate and characterise the predominant composition of the mucosa-associated intestinal microflora in colonoscopic biopsy specimens of paediatric patients with newly diagnosed IBD.

**Methods:** Mucosa-associated bacteria were quantified and isolated from biopsy specimens of the ileum, caecum and rectum obtained at colonoscopy in 12 patients with Crohn’s disease, 7 with ulcerative colitis, 6 with indeterminate colitis, 10 with lymphonodular hyperplasia of the distal ileum and in 7 controls.

Isolation and characterisation were carried out by conventional culture techniques for aerobic and facultative-anaerobic microorganisms, and molecular analysis (16S rRNA-based amplification and real-time polymerase chain reaction assays) for the detection of anaerobic bacterial groups or species.

**Results:** A higher number of mucosa-associated aerobic and facultative-anaerobic bacteria were found in biopsy specimens of children with IBD than in controls. An overall decrease in some bacterial species or groups belonging to the normal anaerobic intestinal flora was suggested by molecular approaches; in particular, occurrence of *Bacteroides vulgatus* was low in Crohn’s disease, ulcerative colitis and indeterminate colitis specimens.

**Conclusion:** This is the first paediatric report investigating the intestinal mucosa-associated microflora in patients of the IBD spectrum. These results, although limited by the sample size, allow a better understanding of changes in mucosa-associated bacterial flora in these patients, showing either a predominance of some potentially harmful bacterial groups or a decrease in beneficial bacterial species. These data underline the central role of mucosa-adherent bacteria in IBD.

---

The gastrointestinal microbiota is usually described as a postnatally acquired organ, including a large variety of bacteria, the composition and activity of which can affect both systemic and intestinal physiology. Major functions of the intestinal microbiota include metabolic activities leading to saving of energy and absorbable nutrients, trophic effects on the intestinal epithelium, promotion of gut maturation and integrity, maintenance of intestinal immune homeostasis and defence against pathogenic bacteria. Modern microbiological analyses have documented that this complex microbial community varies in composition along the length of the gut (with an increased gradient from the stomach to the colon) and includes both rapidly transiting and relatively persisting organisms.

The relationship between the immune system and the commensal flora is precarious, and its change may result in an inflammatory disease of the gut. In inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), an inappropriate response towards resident luminal bacteria induces devastating consequences if not promptly restrained. However, the pathogenesis of IBD is a complex interplay among different interacting elements, such as host susceptibility, which is partly genetically determined, mucosal immunity and the intestinal milieu. Experimental evidence indicates that the loss of tolerance to commensal bacteria can be underlined by different factors, such as defects in regulatory T cell function, excessive stimulation of mucosal dendritic cells and changes in the receptorial pattern. Recent studies on the critical constituents in the innate immune response, such as pattern recognition receptors (ie, toll-like receptors and non-obese diabetic isoforms) also suggest that indigenous commensal microflora may contribute to intestinal inflammation in genetically susceptible hosts.

Indeed, to date, no specific bacterial agents have been identified as potential factors triggering intestinal inflammation, although the involvement of pathogenic bacteria cannot be excluded. Many studies have shown that bacterial flora differ between patients with IBD and healthy people. Patients with IBD have higher amounts of bacteria attached to their intestinal epithelial surface, even in the non-inflamed mucosa, than healthy controls. Studies have shown that bacteria are from diverse genera and some of them, especially *Bacteroides* spp, were identified in the epithelial layer, in some instances intracellularly. Indeed, the role of *Bacteroides* spp in IBD is still unclear: these anaerobic bacteria have been shown to exhibit proinflammatory properties in several IBD animal models, but a protective role and even a decrease in the relative proportion of the phylogenetic group have been postulated in other studies. Moreover, distinct adherent or invasive strains of *Escherichia coli* have been identified in the ileal mucosa of patients with Crohn’s disease and the involvement of a new potentially pathogenic group of adherent invasive *E coli* has been suggested. Recently, a breakdown in the balance between putative species of “protective” versus “harmful” intestinal bacteria, a concept referred to as “dysbiosis”, has been postulated.

**Abbreviations:** ATCC, American Type Culture Collection; cfu, colony forming units; IBD, inflammatory bowel disease; LNH, lymphonodular hyperplasia; PCR, polymerase chain reaction
However, although in the past decade data have been generated from in vitro studies, animal models and clinical trials on adult populations, the precise role of intestinal bacteria in IBD remains to be clarified. We investigated the predominant bacterial composition of the mucosa-associated intestinal microflora in colonoscopic biopsy specimens from the ileum, caecum and rectum in paediatric patients with a recent diagnosis of IBD (Crohn’s disease, ulcerative colitis and indeterminate colitis) and in patients with lympho-nodular hyperplasia (LNH) in the terminal ileum.\(^{1,2}\) A predominant or exclusive pattern of LNH in the distal ileum is not uncommonly diagnosed by paediatric gastroenterologists dealing with children investigated for symptoms and signs suggesting an IBD: whether it represents an early IBD is still disputed and is the object of studies.\(^{4,4}\) For our study, conventional bacterial isolation and culture techniques for aerobic and facultative-anaerobic bacteria, 16S rRNA-based amplification analysis for the detection of 14 anaerobic bacterial groups or species, and real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) for the quantitative determination of *Bacteroides vulgatus* have been used.

**PATIENTS AND METHODS**

**Patients**

In all, 42 patients (age range 2–16 years) referred to the Paediatric Gastroenterology Unit, University of Rome “La Sapienza”, Rome, Italy, for suspected IBD were studied: active Crohn’s disease was diagnosed in 12, active ulcerative colitis in 7, indeterminate colitis in 6 and a diagnosis of LNH of the terminal ileum in 10. The LNH group presented with recurrent abdominal symptoms and signs, suggesting an inflammatory condition of the gut. Seven patients with functional intestinal disorder and with normal results on colonoscopy and histology served as controls. In the patient groups, there was no strong evidence of a difference in age or disease duration. The median (range) ages were 14.0 (12–17) years for the Crohn’s disease group, 10.0 (8–13) years for the ulcerative colitis group, 10.6 (9–13) years for the indeterminate colitis group, 11.2 (8–14) years for the LNH group and 12.7 (9–16) years for the controls; median (range) disease duration were: 6 (2–12) weeks for the Crohn’s disease group, 8 (3–12) weeks for the ulcerative colitis group, 7 (2–12) weeks for the indeterminate colitis group, 6 (3–10) weeks for the LNH group and 8 (4–16) weeks for the controls. All children with Crohn’s disease showed an ileocolonic involvement, and all of them had a disease activity score in the moderate to severe range. All patients with ulcerative colitis had endoscopic evidence of pancolitis also showing a “backwash ileitis”, and in all of them the disease was classified as severe. In patients with indeterminate colitis, the caecum and the right colon were the most commonly affected sites. The diagnostic investigation was carried out according to widely agreed international protocols. Infectious and systemic diseases as well as structural abnormalities of the gastrointestinal tract were excluded in all patients. No patient had food allergy or malabsorption, and invasive organisms, parasites and ova were not found in the stools. *Clostridium difficile* or its toxins were not detected in the stool of patients included in the study. Children who had received antibiotics within 3 months as well as those who had received any corticosteroid within 4 weeks before the study were excluded. No patients had previous treatment with azathioprine/6-mercaptopurine, ciclosporin or other immunosuppressive agents at any time before the enrolment. Patients who had received sulfasalazine or mesalazine were eligible if the drugs had been taken within a stable regimen for >4 weeks before the beginning of the study. Patients who had received a colonic cleaning procedure at least 3 months before the study were not eligible. All patients underwent ileocolonoscopy after parental informed written consent. In all patients, the colonic cleaning procedure was carried out using the same oral polyethylene glycol solution. The solution was given through a nasogastric tube if patients were poorly compliant. Endoscopy was performed in all patients by the same endoscopist with a paediatric videocolonoscope (Pentax 3440FK, Hamburg, Germany) after conscious sedation with intravenous pethidine (1–2 mg/kg) and midazolam (0.1 mg/kg). Mucosa of the distal ileum and caecum was inspected for the presence of changes, such as lymphoid nodular hyperplasia, disappearance of vascular pattern, areas of erythema and oedema, erosions, ulcerations (small, large or serpiginous), cobblestoning and inflammatory polyps. Mucosal biopsy specimens were taken during endoscopy only from the macroscopically involved sites and examined for the presence of inflammatory features, such as increased cellularity of the lamina propria, basal lymphoid aggregates, crypt distortion or atrophy, cryptitis or crypt abscesses, goblet-cell depletion, epithelial abnormalities and granuloma in the lamina propria.

Ulcerative colitis was diagnosed when at least three of the following findings were present:

- history of diarrhoea, or blood or mucus in the stools;
- evidence of continuous macroscopic inflammation extending from the rectum to the proximal regions of the caecum;
- histological features typical of ulcerative colitis;
- exclusion of Crohn’s disease of the small bowel through radiology, endoscopy and histology.

Crohn’s disease was diagnosed when at least three of the following findings were present:

- typical clinical features, such as weight loss, abdominal pain and diarrhoea;
- typical features of macroscopic inflammation, such as discontinuous and patchy lesions, segmental inflammation, aphthoid ulcers, serpiginous or large ulcerations, cobblestoning or strictures;
- evidence of strictures on x rays at the level of the small bowel, evidence of segmental colitis or fistulae;
- histological evidence of deep focal or diffuse inflammatory infiltrate towards the lamina propria or epitheloid granuloma with giant cells.

Indeterminate colitis was diagnosed if there were no definite criteria for the diagnosis of Crohn’s disease or ulcerative colitis despite clear macroscopic or microscopic evidence of IBD.\(^ {13}\) LNH was diagnosed by endoscopy if clusters of lymphoid nodules were observed in the terminal ileum and in colonic areas after filling the area to be inspected with air.

**Treatment of biopsy specimens**

Biopsy specimens, taken from the ileum, caecum and rectum of the patients, were analysed for pathological evaluation of the inspected mucosa as well as for bacteriological study. For the bacteriological study, specimens were immediately processed in the Microbiology Laboratory, Public Health Department, University of Rome “La Sapienza”, Rome, Italy. Biopsy washing was carried out according to Swidsinski et al.\(^ {12}\) Briefly, two biopsy samples (15 mg each) were first washed in 500 μl of physiological saline with 0.016% diithioerythritol to remove the mucus and then washed three times in 500 μl of physiological saline by shaking for 30 s each time. After the fourth wash, the biopsy specimens were hypotonically lysed by vortexing for 30 min in 500 μl distilled water to analyse mucosal aerobic and facultative-anaerobic
bacteria, or were processed for DNA extraction for the molecular detection of anaerobic bacteria.

**Culture conditions for aerobic and facultative-anaerobic bacteria**

The cell debris left after hypotonic lysis (100 μl) was plated in tenfold dilution steps onto elective and differential media (Oxoid, Wiesbaden, Germany). For the isolation, Columbia blood agar for total microorganisms, selective Columbia blood agar with colistin-nalidixic acid supplement for Gram-positive microorganisms, MacConkey agar without supplements for Enterobacteriaceae, Enterococcus-selective bile–ascorbic acid agar for Enterococcus spp, Schiemann Cefsulodin, Irgasan, Novobiocin medium for Veirania spp, Skirrow selective medium for Campylobacter spp, Oxford agar for Listeria spp and Sabouraud medium for yeasts were used. Single colonies were chosen for further investigations. Biochemical identification was determined by api 32 ID, api NE, api Strep, api Staph (bio-Mérieux-Italia, Rome, Italy) according to the manufacturer’s procedure.

**Bacterial strains**

The strains listed below were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) and used to evaluate the specificity of the PCR primer set: *Bifidobacterium adolescentis* ATCC 15703, *Bifidobacterium longum* ATCC 15707, *Eubacterium biiforme* ATCC 27806, *Fusobacterium prausnitzii* ATCC 27766, *Peptostreptococcus productus* ATCC 27340, *Lactobacillus acidophilus* ATCC 11975, *Bacteroides fragilis* ATCC 23745, *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron* ATCC 29148, *Bacteroides vulgatus* ATCC 8482, *Bacteroides distasonis* ATCC 8503, *Clostridium clostridiforme* ATCC 25537. *Lactobacillus acidophilus* was grown in lactobacilli de Man, Rogosa and Sharpe broth (Oxoid); all other microorganisms were cultured on anaerobe basal plates or broth (Oxoid) and incubated in an anaerobic chamber (in an atmosphere of 80% nitrogen, 10% carbon dioxide and 10% hydrogen) at 37°C.

**DNA extraction**

After the fourth washing, the biopsy specimens were incubated with 180 μl ATL buffer and 20 μl proteinase K (Sigma–Aldrich, St Louis, Missouri, USA) at 55°C for 2 h and then with 20 μl lysozyme for a further 2 h at 37°C. DNA was extracted with the DNeasy Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. DNA concentration was determined using an Eppendorf biophotometer at 260 nm. In the amplification assays, 50 ng DNA were used.

**PCR amplification assays**

The suitability of DNA for analysis was checked by amplification of the β-globin gene sequence. Aliquots of each DNA sample (50 ng) were amplified with specific primers: forward primer, 5’-CAAGTTCCATCCTCAGGTTAC-3; reverse primer, 5’-GAGAGGCGAGGCGGATC-3’. Amplification reactions were carried out in a 50-μl volume containing 1× PCR buffer II (Applied Biosystems, Roche, California, USA), 3 mM magnesium chloride, 200 μM each deoxynucleoside triphosphate, 50 pmol each primer and 5 U/μl AmpliTaq Gold polymerase (Applied Biosystems). The PCR was carried out under the following conditions: 1 cycle of 95°C for 7 min, 35 cycles of 94°C for 1 min, 55°C for 1 min and 72°C for 1 min and 1 cycle of 72°C for 7 min.

The 16S rRNA gene-targeted primers used for the detection of anaerobic bacterial species or groups were synthesised by M-Medical Genencor, according to the sequences already published by Wang *et al* [6] and Matsuki *et al* [7] (table 1). Amplification reactions were carried out in a 50-μl volume containing 1×PCR buffer II, 50 pmol of each primer and 5 U/μl of AmpliTaq Gold polymerase. The amplification programme for *Prevotella* spp, *Bifidobacterium* spp (that includes *B. adolescentis, B. breve, B. catenulatum and B. longum*), *Clostridium cocoides* group (that includes *C. clostridiforme, Ruminococcus gnatus and C. cocoides*) and *Bacteroides fragilis* group (that includes *B. caccae, B. fragilis, B. ovatus and B. thetaiotaomicron*) was 1 cycle of 95°C for 5 min, 40 cycles of 94°C for 20 s, 55°C or 50°C for 20 s, 72°C for 30 s and 1 cycle of 72°C for 5 min. The PCR for *Clostridium clostridiforme, Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron, Bacteroides distasonis, Bacteroides vulgatus, Bifidobacterium adolescentis, Bifidobacterium longum, Eubacterium biiforme, Fusobacterium prausnitzii, Lactobacillus acidophilus and Peptostreptococcus productus* was carried out under the following conditions: 1 cycle of 95°C for 7 min, 35 cycles of 94°C for 3 s, 55°C or 50°C for 10 s, and 74°C for 35 s, 1 cycle of 74°C for 2 min and 45°C for 2 s. The amplification products were subjected to gel electrophoresis in 2% agarose.

**Real-time PCR assays**

The primers and probes for *Bacteroides vulgatus* were based on 16S rDNA gene sequences available from GenBank. The GenBank programme BLAST was used to ensure that the proposed primers were complementary with the target species but not with other species. The primers and probe were selected from these specific sequences with Primer Express software V2.0, provided with the ABI Prism 7300 sequence detector, and were obtained from Applied Biosystems. For *Bacteroides vulgatus*, the forward primer sequence was 5’-CAGTTGAGGCAGCGGGAATT-3’; the reverse primer sequence was 5’-CTCCCAACACCGGCTTCT-3’ and the sequence of the probe was 5’-CGTTGTTAGCCGGTAAGATC-3’. The fluororescent reporter dye at the 5’ end of the probe was 6-carboxyfluorescein; the quencher at the 3’ end was 6-carboxy-N, N, N, N-tetramethylrhodamine. The real-time PCR amplifications were carried out in 25 μl reaction volumes containing 2× TaqMan Universal Master Mix (Applied Biosystems), each primer at a concentration of 300 nM, fluorescent-labelled probe at a concentration of 200 nM, and 4 μl (50 ng) of DNA extracted from biopsy specimens. All reactions were performed in duplicate, and at amplification and detection, an ABI PRISM 7300 sequence detection system was used. The amplification parameters used were as follows: 50°C for 2 min and 95°C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles, each of which comprised 95°C for 15 s, 55°C for 20 s, 72°C for 30 s, 1 cycle of 72°C for 2 min and 45°C for 2 s. The amplification curves were obtained using Sequence Detection Systems software (Becton-Dickinson).

A reference curve for *Bacteroides vulgatus* ATCC 8482 was generated as follows: the bacteria were grown anaerobically in 10 ml of anaerobe basal broth (Oxoid) at 37°C for 24 h. These cultures were diluted with physiological saline until they reached a McFarland 0.5 standard, representing 10⁸ microorganisms/ml, as determined by plating 100 μl of tenfold serial dilutions of these cultures onto anaerobe basal agar plates (Oxoid); these dilutions were used for DNA extraction with UltraClean (MO BIO Laboratories, Solana Beach, California, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Starting from a DNA concentration of 50 ng, tenfold dilutions were prepared to obtain different cell concentrations (concentration range 0.005–50 000 pg). The assay was run twice for each dilution, with Ct values ranging between 12.8 and 33.6. Equivalent copy number and Ct were automatically generated by the instrument for two replicate sets of controls. The reference curve was used to extrapolate the equivalent number of colony-forming units (cfu) of *Bacteroidesvulgatus* in the biopsy specimens.
LNH and the other IBD subgroups, a generalised increase in Gram-positive bacteria were increased. In table 2, in both whole bacterial concentration and that of Gram-negative and controls. In the ileal mucosa of the patients with LNH, the difference was not significantly different from with IBD, the difference was not significantly different from significant to imply strong evidence of a difference.

### RESULTS

#### Mucosa-associated aerobic and facultative-anaerobic bacterial concentrations in different gut locations

The concentration of mucosa-associated bacteria after the fourth wash and hypotonic lysis from ileum, caecum and rectum, coupled with a lower colonisation in the caecum, showed a higher number of bacterial counts in the ileum of bacterial concentration in the different gut locations total mucosa-associated bacteria was observed in the other locations analysed in the gut (caecum and rectum). The trend of bacterial concentration in the different gut locations showed a higher number of bacterial counts in the ileum and rectum, coupled with a lower colonisation in the caecum, whereas in controls the bacterial colonisation was lower in all the segments examined.

Figure 1 shows the percentage of patients with different concentration ranges of ileal mucosal bacteria (undetectable–1000, 1000–10 000, 10 000–100 000 cfu/ml). Up to 90% of controls and 65% of patients with LNH showed concentrations of bacteria <1000 cfu/ml, whereas higher bacterial concentrations were prevalent in biopsy specimens of patients with IBD, reaching values >100 000 cfu/ml in 22%, 33% and 40% of patients with Crohn’s disease, ulcerative colitis and indeterminate colitis, respectively.

#### Distribution of bacterial isolates from aerobic and facultative-anaerobic culture of ileum mucosa

Identification of the bacteria from ileal mucosa in all patient groups showed that 54 of 104 (52%) cultured isolates were Gram-negative, whereas the remaining were Gram-positive. Among Gram-negative organisms, only bacteria belonging to the Enterobacteriaceae family were found: 38 of 54 (74%) were identified as *E. coli*, 13 (24%) as *Klebsiella* spp and 3 (6%) as *Proteus* spp, whereas in control samples *E. coli* was the only Gram-negative species found. All of these species were

### Table 1 Polymerase chain reaction primers used

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target bacteria</th>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>Sequence (5’→3’)</th>
<th>Product size (bp)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Prevotella</em> spp</td>
<td>g-Prevo-F</td>
<td>CACGTAACGGATGATGCCC</td>
<td>527–529</td>
<td>Matsuki et al²⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>g-Prevo-R</td>
<td>GCTCGGGITGGAACGCC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Bifidobacterium</em> spp</td>
<td>g-Bifid-F</td>
<td>CTCCTGGAACGGGTGG</td>
<td>549–563</td>
<td>Matsuki et al²⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>g-Bifid-R</td>
<td>GTGTTGTCCTGCCGATATCTACA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Clostridium</em> cocoides</td>
<td>g-Coc-F</td>
<td>AAATAGCGGTTACGGCTAATA</td>
<td>438–441</td>
<td>Matsuki et al²⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>g-Coc-R</td>
<td>CTGCTGATAGAGTGTCGAAA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Clostridium</em> cadiatreforme</td>
<td>CC-1</td>
<td>CGCATGCGATGTTGGA</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>Wong et al²⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CC-2</td>
<td>CTGCGATGAGTGTCGAAA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Bacteroides</em> fragilis</td>
<td>g-BfraF</td>
<td>ATAGCCCTTGGAAAGAAGAGAT</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>Matsuki et al²⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>g-BfraR</td>
<td>CCAGTATCAAACCTGCAAATTITA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Bacteroides</em> thetaiotaomicron</td>
<td>BT-1</td>
<td>GGCACCATTTCACTGCTGTTG</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>Wang et al²⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BT-2</td>
<td>GGTCATACAAATAACCAGCACCA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Bacteroides</em> vulgatus</td>
<td>BV-1</td>
<td>GCATCATAGTGCCCGATGTC</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>Wang et al²⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BV-2</td>
<td>TCCATACCGCTATTTCTCCTT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Bacteroides</em> distasonis</td>
<td>BD-1</td>
<td>GTCCGACTAATACCCCGATAA</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>Wang et al²⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BD-2</td>
<td>TTAGCATCATAGACAATTICTAT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Bifidobacterium</em> adolescens</td>
<td>BIA-1</td>
<td>GGAAGAGATCTATCGCGATG</td>
<td>244</td>
<td>Matsuki et al²⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BIA-2</td>
<td>CTCCACATCAAAGCGCGGT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Bifidobacterium</em> longum</td>
<td>BIL-1</td>
<td>GTCCCCGACGGCTCGTAGAG</td>
<td>153</td>
<td>Wang et al²⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>BIL-2</td>
<td>GTAGGTCGGCAGGACATGGA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Eubacterium</em> biforme</td>
<td>EBI-1</td>
<td>GCTACGGGCATAAGATGGA</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>Wang et al²⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EBI-2</td>
<td>GGCCTACCTGCCTGTTCT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Fusobacterium</em> prausnitzii</td>
<td>FPR-1</td>
<td>AGATGGGCTGCGCTCGGA</td>
<td>199</td>
<td>Wang et al²⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>FPR-2</td>
<td>CCGAAAGACCTGCCTGCC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Peptostreptococcus</em> productus</td>
<td>PSP-1</td>
<td>AATCAGGGCTGTATCGAGTA</td>
<td>268</td>
<td>Wang et al²⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PSP-2</td>
<td>GGGGCTCTGAGTCCAGTA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Lactobacillus</em> acidophilus</td>
<td>LAA-1</td>
<td>CATCCAGGTGCAAACCTAAGAG</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>Wang et al²⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LAA-2</td>
<td>GATCGCTCITGCGCTGGCA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Statistical analysis

All significance tests carried out were non-parametric. For comparisons between two groups the Mann–Whitney U test was used and to compare more than two groups the Kruskall–Wallace method, analogous to one-way analysis of variance, was used. The levels of significance reported are not adjusted to take account of multiple comparisons. As these are multiple comparisons, p values <1% were considered significant to imply strong evidence of a difference.
represented in mucosal samples of patients with Crohn’s disease and in an average of almost two in the other pathological samples examined. The Gram-positive population was represented by *Streptococcus* spp, *Enterococcus* spp and *Staphylococcus* spp, accounting for 18 (36%), 27 (54%) and 10 (20%) of 50 Gram-positive isolates, respectively.

Table 3 gives the occurrence of aerobic and facultative-anaerobic Gram-negative and Gram-positive species in ileal mucosa biopsy specimens, reported as number and percentage of isolates found in relation to the total Gram-negative or Gram-positive isolates detected in each patient group. Data analysis showed a generalised similar distribution of bacterial species isolated among all patient groups, with the species most markedly represented in specimens from ileum belonging to *Escherichia* and *Enterococcus* genera. *Listeria*, *Yersinia*, *Campylobacter* and yeasts were never cultured.

When the occurrence of bacterial species in each patient group was analysed, *E coli* was detected in 9 of the 12 (75%) patients with Crohn’s disease, in all (100%) patients with ulcerative colitis, in 3 of the 6 (50%) patients with indeterminate colitis, in 8 of the 11 (72%) patients with LNH and in 2 of the 8 (25%) controls. *Enterococcus* spp was detected in 6 of the 12 (50%) patients with Crohn’s disease, in 5 of the 7 (71%) patients with ulcerative colitis, in 4 of the 6 (67%) patients with indeterminate colitis, in 3 of the 11 (23%) patients with LNH and in 3 of the 8 (37%) controls. *Klebsiella* spp were found only in biopsy specimens from patients with IBD and, in particular, its detection was markedly increased in patients with indeterminate colitis (83%).

A similar trend was found for the occurrence of Gram-negative and Gram-positive species from cultures of biopsy specimens.
specimens derived from the caecum and rectum (data not shown).

**Percentage of patients with positive PCR for anaerobic bacterial groups or species**

The biodiversity of mucosa-associated anaerobic flora from ileum, caecum and rectum specimens was assessed by PCR. The choice of the anaerobic bacterial groups and species was based on previous reports that treated the relative frequency of bacterial species found in the human intestinal tract associated with the mucosa of healthy people and patients with IBD, and detectable in the normal faecal flora. Table 4 shows that, given the small sample sizes, in patients with IBD and LNH, the occurrence of Bifidobacterium spp, B adolescentis, B longum, E faecalis, P productus, L acidophilus, Bacteroides fragilis group, B distasonis, Clostridium cocoides group and C coccoidiforme do not differ markedly compared with controls. Conversely, the detection rate of B thetaotaomicron seems to be lower in ileal mucosa of patients with Crohn’s disease and was undetectable in the caecum and rectum mucosa of patients with indeterminate colitis. However, the pattern of incidence of B vulgatus seems to be the most different, the occurrence of PCR positivity for this anaerobe being lower in patients with Crohn’s disease and ulcerative colitis, as compared with controls, whereas all patients with indeterminate colitis were negative. The occurrence of both of these Bacteroides spp was almost never associated in each patient group studied. The detection rate of Prevotella spp in rectal biopsy specimens from patients with ulcerative colitis was higher than in controls.

**Quantitative analysis of bacterial DNA from Bacteroides vulgatus**

Real-time PCR was carried out for a quantitative analysis of Bacteroides vulgatus in ileum, caecum and rectum samples. The mean Ct values were used to generate the reference curve for each predicted number of DNA copy of Bacteroides vulgatus ATCC 8482. The concentration range 0.05–50,000 pg/tube corresponded to 1×10⁵–1×10⁷ cells/tube. In the reference curve, used to extrapolate the equivalent number of CFU of B vulgatus in the biopsy specimens, R = 0.999 and the slope was −3.43.

Figure 2 reports the results as the percentage of patients with different concentrations of Bacteroides vulgatus adherent to ileal mucosa calculated from cfu values (0–100, 100–1000 and 1000–10,000 cfu). Data obtained with the real-time PCR technique confirm a significant reduction (p<0.05%) of the percentage of samples positive for Bacteroides vulgatus in patients with IBD compared with controls. Up to 62.5% of controls and 42% of patients with LNH showed high concentrations of bacteria (1000–10,000 and >10,000 cfu), whereas lower concentrations (0–100 cfu) were found in biopsy specimens of patients with IBD, reaching 67% in patients with Crohn’s disease, 57% in patients with ulcerative colitis and 75% in patients with indeterminate colitis. The trend in the quantitative analysis of Bacteroides vulgatus carried out in caecum and rectum biopsy specimens showed only slight variations in the number of cfu in comparison with that observed in ileum specimens (data not reported).

**DISCUSSION**

In humans, the composition of the flora is individual but stable, and differs between the stomach and upper bowel, lower small bowel, right colon and rectum. Moreover, the flora recovered from faeces is also different from mucosa-associated or intraepithelial flora. The resident microbiota has a critical role in modulating the immune response of the gut as well as in the initiation and perpetuation of IBD. In the normal host, the protective cell-mediated and humoral immune responses to enteropathogenic microorganisms are allowed to proceed, whereas responses to microorganisms of the indigenous flora are prevented. Different, under conditions of chronic intestinal inflammation, this homeostasis seems to be disrupted, and the commensal flora seem to act as a surrogate bacterial pathogen: the lifelong inflammation in chronic IBD occurs because the host response in unable to eliminate the flora. Several lines of evidence in adults and in various animal models emphasise the role of the endogenous normal intestinal microflora in the aetiology of IBD. This study represents the first report investigating the intestinal microflora in paediatric patients with IBD as well as in children with symptoms suggesting IBD but exhibiting a predominant pattern of ileal LNH. We chose to analyse the mucosa-associated microflora, as it is strictly joined to epithelial and immune cells and could have a central role in the pathogenesis of IBD. Using a simple and reliable protocol of biopsy treatment, in which the overlying mucus layer was removed, we found a higher number of mucosa-associated facultative-anaerobic and aerobic bacteria in the ileum, caecum and rectum of children with IBD and INH than in controls, with the highest numbers found in patients with indeterminate colitis and Crohn’s disease. However, in some instances, we found a great individual variability in the concentrations of mucosa-associated bacteria, in particular, Gram-positive bacteria, within the different groups of patients examined. As the age of our patients was far beyond the first 12 months, we could exclude any effect of age on the composition of the luminal microflora. Indeed, it is widely known that in the infant the establishment of the microflora is paralleled by maturing intestinal anatomy and physiology, with birth and weaning representing key stages at which bacteria colonise and species are established.

Our findings are in agreement with those of other authors who showed that in adults, the mucosal surface of controls was essentially sterile and that the concentrations of mucosa-associated bacteria were increased in IBD, although some previous microbiological studies had reported no or little...
difference in bacterial concentrations between patients with IBD and controls. This discrepancy could be attributed to the different experimental conditions used in the studies, including transport and washing, handling conditions, biopsy sampling and detection procedures, as well as molecular probes used, and to the fact that location and grade of mucosa inflammation might also have influenced the bacterial concentration.

Data analysis of the different mucosa-associated bacterial species detected showed a generalised similar distribution of bacterial isolates among all patient groups, even though the highest heterogeneity of species was found in the ileal mucosa of patients with Crohn’s disease. Interestingly, the detection of bacterial species among all patient groups showed that *E. coli* was isolated in patients with IBD and in controls, but its loading associated with intestinal mucosa in patients with IBD resulted in a noticeable increase (data not shown). As already postulated for some adherent–invasive *E. coli* strains, the role of *Klebsiella* spp in the pathogenesis of IBD and LNH disorders should be further investigated. Alternatively, mucosal glycosylation in IBD could modify the interactions between the mucosa and microbial adhesins, thus selecting particular bacterial strains from the commensal microbiota.

As in previous reports, we were unable to isolate pathogenic bacteria, such as *Yersinia* spp, *Campylobacter* spp or *L. monocytogenes* species and yeasts, supporting the finding that no specific pathogen is generally involved in IBD.

By using DNA-based molecular techniques, marked differences were seen in the occurrence of anaerobic species in ileum, caecum and rectum biopsy specimens from patients with IBD only; qualitative and quantitative detection of *Bacteroides vulgatus* showed a reduction of this microorganism in samples from patients with Crohn’s disease and indeterminate colitis. An intriguing result was the marked increase in *Prevotella* spp only in the rectum of patients with ulcerative colitis, in accordance with the prevailing inflammatory involvement of the rectum in this disorder.

Although some cultures as well as culture-independent studies have shown a possible increase in *Bacteroides* spp in adult patients with Crohn’s disease, other reports have shown that IBD is associated with loss of some anaerobic bacteria related to the intestinal mucosa. The lack of detection of *Bacteroides vulgatus* from all locations of the mucosa in patients with indeterminate colitis is in agreement with the experimental observations of Waidmann et al., who suggested a protective role of this species against *E. coli*-induced colitis, supporting the importance of this bacterium in the “physiological inflammation”. Our overall data analysis showed that in patients with indeterminate colitis, the total absence of *Bacteroides vulgatus* and *Bacteroides thetaiotaomicron* or their decrease in concentration were almost always coupled with the occurrence of *Klebsiella* spp. The low recovery of the *Bacteroides* group in patients with Crohn’s disease and the associated-presence of *E. coli* strains may represent an interesting finding that should be further investigated. These findings strongly support the hypothesis that in patients with IBD a change in the microbial ecosystem, consisting of an altered colonisation of the gut mucosa by aerobic and anaerobic species, may occur.

The results of this study contribute to the understanding of modifications in the bacterial flora among patients with IBD and controls. Indeed, these data support the hypothesis that the global composition of the intestinal microflora rather than the presence of single pathogens can be relevant for the aetiology and pathogenesis of paediatric IBD. It is

### Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>CD</th>
<th>UC</th>
<th>IC</th>
<th>LNH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Bifidobacterium</em> spp</td>
<td>8 5 8 6 7</td>
<td>10 10 7 6 4</td>
<td>10 10 7 6 4</td>
<td>12 5 7 6 4</td>
<td>12 5 7 6 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>B. adolescentis</em></td>
<td>2 0 3 3</td>
<td>3 4 3 4</td>
<td>3 4 3 4</td>
<td>3 4 3 4</td>
<td>3 4 3 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>B. longum</em></td>
<td>3 3 3 3</td>
<td>3 3 3 3</td>
<td>3 3 3 3</td>
<td>3 3 3 3</td>
<td>3 3 3 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Prevotella</em> spp</td>
<td>2 0 2 2</td>
<td>2 2 2 2</td>
<td>2 2 2 2</td>
<td>2 2 2 2</td>
<td>2 2 2 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Clostridium</em> coccoidei group</td>
<td>8 8</td>
<td>4 4 4 4</td>
<td>4 4 4 4</td>
<td>4 4 4 4</td>
<td>4 4 4 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>C. clostridiforme</em></td>
<td>7 4 4 7</td>
<td>6 6 5 5</td>
<td>6 6 5 5</td>
<td>6 6 5 5</td>
<td>6 6 5 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Bacteroides</em> fragilis group</td>
<td>7 2 2</td>
<td>9 9 9 9</td>
<td>9 9 9 9</td>
<td>9 9 9 9</td>
<td>9 9 9 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>B. thetaiotaomicron</em></td>
<td>4 1 2 1</td>
<td>3 4 3 4</td>
<td>3 4 3 4</td>
<td>3 4 3 4</td>
<td>3 4 3 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>B. distasonis</em></td>
<td>1 0 1 0</td>
<td>2 0 2 0</td>
<td>2 0 2 0</td>
<td>2 0 2 0</td>
<td>2 0 2 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>B. vulgatus</em></td>
<td>7 6 6 3</td>
<td>3 3 3 3</td>
<td>3 3 3 3</td>
<td>3 3 3 3</td>
<td>3 3 3 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>E. coli</em></td>
<td>0 0 1</td>
<td>0 0 1</td>
<td>0 0 1</td>
<td>0 0 1</td>
<td>0 0 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>F. prausnitzii</em></td>
<td>3 4 4 7</td>
<td>5 5 5 5</td>
<td>5 5 5 5</td>
<td>5 5 5 5</td>
<td>5 5 5 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. productus</em></td>
<td>6 4 5 7</td>
<td>6 5 6 5</td>
<td>6 5 6 5</td>
<td>6 5 6 5</td>
<td>6 5 6 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>L. acidophilus</em></td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
<td>0 0 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C, caecum; CD, Crohn’s disease; I, ileum; IC, indeterminate colitis; PCR, polymerase chain reaction; R, rectum; UC, ulcerative colitis.

Data are expressed as the number of patients with positive PCR for each target bacterium to the total number of patients in each group.

---

**Figure 2** Percentage of patients with different concentrations of *Bacteroides vulgatus* adherent to ileal mucosa biopsy specimens. CD, Crohn’s disease; cfu, colony forming units; IC, indeterminate colitis; LNH, lymphonodular hyperplasia; UC, ulcerative colitis.
conceivable that changes in the glycoprotein components of the intestinal mucosa, which characterise IBD, could determine loss or unmasking of receptors leading to a different selection of microbiorganisms present in the intestinal ecosystem. Hence, the decrease in Bacteroides vulgatus could be attributed to a lower association of this bacterium to gut mucosa, thus hindering its putative protective role, whereas the enhanced presence of E. coli in patients with IBD could be the result of the availability of new binding receptors. Moreover, knowledge of the distribution of microbiorganisms isolated from the gut of patients with IBD could be helpful not only to identify antibiotic targets, but also to obtain a therapeutic manipulation of the gut flora by probiotic or prebiotic strategies. However, this study is based on a small sample of patients and many comparisons, and thus significance tests, have been made. The statistical significance of these results should be viewed with caution. Some apparently significant results could be due to the large number of tests performed, whereas some statistically non-significant results may be due to the small sample sizes. Nevertheless, these results show that further studies are required to investigate the role of bacterial species found in paediatric patients with IBD, as well as putative differences in their virulence potential.
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