Reduced $\alpha$-defensin expression is associated with inflammation and not NOD2 mutation status in ileal Crohn’s disease
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ABSTRACT
Background and aims: Reduced ileal Paneth cell $\alpha$-defensin expression has been reported to be associated with Crohn’s disease, especially in patients carrying NOD2 mutations. The aim of this study was to independently assess whether NOD2, $\alpha$-defensins and Crohn’s disease are linked.

Methods: Using quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR), we measured the mRNA expression levels of key Paneth cell antimicrobial peptides (DEFA5, DEFA6, LYZ, PLA2G2A), inflammatory cytokines [interleukin 6 (IL6) and IL8], and a marker of epithelial cell content, villin (VIL1) in 106 samples from both affected ileum (inflamed Crohn’s disease cases, n = 44) and unaffected ileum (non-infamed; Crohn’s disease cases, n = 51 and controls, n = 11). Anti-human defensin 5 (HD-5) and haematoxylin/eosin immunohistochemical staining was performed on parallel sections from NOD2 wild-type and NOD2 mutant ileal Crohn’s disease tissue.

Results: In Crohn’s disease patients, DEFA5 and DEFA6 mRNA expression levels were 1.9- and 2.2-fold lower, respectively, in histologically confirmed inflamed ileal mucosa after adjustment for confounders (DEFA5, $P<0.001$; DEFA6, $P = 0.001$). In contrast to previous studies, we found no significant association between $\alpha$-defensin expression and NOD2 genotype. HD-5 protein data supports these RNA findings. The reduction in HD-5 protein expression appears due to surface epithelial cell loss and reduced Paneth cell numbers as a consequence of tissue damage.

Conclusions: Reduction in $\alpha$-defensin expression is independent of NOD2 status and is due to loss of surface epithelium as a consequence of inflammatory changes rather than being the inciting event prior to inflammation in ileal Crohn’s disease.

Crohn’s disease is one of two major forms of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), and is a chronic, debilitating disorder with increasing incidence and prevalence globally.1–4 Inflammation in Crohn’s disease most commonly involves the terminal ileum with up to 75% of patients having ileal disease with or without colonic involvement.4 It is unclear as to why the ileum is so susceptible to Crohn’s disease. Relevant features include significantly higher numbers of bacteria compared to the more proximal small bowel,5 large amounts of lymphoid tissue including Peyer’s patches, and Paneth cells, which produce antimicrobial peptides.6

Paneth cells are specialised epithelial cells located at the base of small intestinal crypts. On exposure to bacteria and bacterial antigens, Paneth cells release antimicrobial peptides, including lysozyme (LYZ), secretory phospholipase A2 (PLA2G2A), and human $\alpha$-defensins 5 and 6 (DEFA5 and DEFA6) with DEFA5 and DEFA6 being the most abundant Paneth cell antimicrobials expressed in the small intestine.7 The release of these peptides into the crypt lumen is believed to prevent microbial invasion into the crypt microenvironment.8 Human DEFA5 is active against several bacterial species, including Listeria monocytogenes, Escherichia coli and Salmonella typhimurium, and against the fungus Candida albicans.9 10 Similarly, murine Paneth cell $\alpha$-defensins, also known as cryptdins, have microbicidal activity against E. coli, Staphylococcus aureus and S typhimurium. Murine cryptdins reach concentrations at least 1000 times higher than minimal bactericidal concentrations in vitro, indicating the potential for $\alpha$-defensins to maintain sterility in the crypt and protect the stem cells.11 DEF5 transgenic mice confer marked resistance to oral challenges with S typhimurium12 and mice deficient in the $\alpha$-defensin-processing enzyme, matrilysin, are highly susceptible to orally administered bacteria.13 Hence, in humans, lower concentrations of $\alpha$-defensins reaching the intestinal crypt could presumably render the crypt susceptible to colonisation by resident microflora.

Paneth cells also express NOD2, an intracellular receptor for muramyl dipeptide, which is derived from the bacterial cell wall component peptidoglycan.14 The recognition of bacterial products by NOD2 activates signal transduction pathways via nuclear factor kappa B (NF-kB) as part of the innate immune response.15 16 Mutations in the NOD2 gene (R702W, G908R and 1007fs) are strongly associated with ileal location and contribute towards approximately 25% of Crohn’s disease overall and 50% of pure ileal disease.4 17

It has been reported that patients with ileal Crohn’s disease have reduced mRNA expressions of DEFA5 and DEFA6 in affected (inflamed) ileum, compared to unaffected ileum and ileum from healthy controls. This reported reduction in $\alpha$-defensin expression was significantly greater in patients with NOD2 mutations and independent of the degree of inflammation.18 19 In separate studies investigating interleukin 8 (IL8) responses in Crohn’s disease, peripheral blood mononuclear cells from patients with NOD2 mutations show a diminished response to muramyl dipeptide stimulation.20 21 Here, by carefully sampling inflamed and non-infamed mucosa, we show that the reduction in DEFA5 and DEFA6 expression in mucosal biopsies from patients with ileal Crohn’s disease is not associated with NOD2 status and is
dependent on inflammatory state. We propose that the decrease in α-defensin expression is due to loss of epithelium, secondary to inflammatory changes, rather than being the inciting event prior to inflammation in ileal Crohn’s disease.

**METHODS**

**Patient samples**

Sixty-five Crohn’s disease patients and 11 healthy controls were recruited for the study (table 1). These were made up of 21 resection specimens obtained from 15 Crohn’s disease patients, and 85 sets of colonicoscopic biopsy specimens from a consecutive unselected series of 52 Crohn’s disease patients and 11 healthy control individuals. We used tissue samples based on inflammatory status and histology as the experimental unit, since duplicate non-inflamed and inflamed samples could only be obtained from a subgroup of patients. Colonoscopy was performed by a single gastroenterologist (G.R.-S.) at the Royal Brisbane and Women’s Hospital on patients with a diagnosis of ileal Crohn’s disease and from healthy controls undergoing colorectal cancer family history screening. The diagnosis of Crohn’s disease was based on standard criteria.21 Patients with pure colonic Crohn’s disease were excluded from this study. All subjects gave written informed consent. Where possible, from within a 2-cm² area, five pinch biopsy samples were retrieved for tissue sampling as was as follows: no medical therapy, n = 37; monotherapy, n = 13; immunosuppression, n = 10; steroids, n = 2; 5-aminosalicylic acid (5-ASA), n = 1; combination therapy, n = 15 (immunosuppression, steroids, 5-ASA). None of the patients were receiving antibiotic therapy.

**NOD2 genotyping by high-resolution melt curve analysis**

Genotyping of the common disease-predisposing NOD2 variants (R702W, G908R and 1007FS),23 24 and a marker of the NOD2 haplotype (IVS8 = 158), P268S,25 was performed by high-resolution melt analysis on a Rotor-Gene 6000 (Corbett Life Science, Sydney, Australia). Briefly, 25 ng of genomic DNA isolated from either peripheral blood or ileal biopsy tissue was amplified using the following primers: P268S, forward 5'-TACCTATGATGGACAGAGACCT-3', reverse 5'-TGAAGACTGTTGCTTGCG-3'; R702W, forward 5'-GCTGAGTGCTAGGACAGACAT-3', reverse 5'-GATGGAAGGTGAGGTCTTGC-3'; G908R, 5'-AGAGGGAGGACTGTGTGTGTTT-3', reverse 5'-ATCACCAAGGCGTTTTCGAGC-3'; 1007FS, 5'-TTTCCGAGTGGTCAAATAACTGCTAC-3', reverse 5'-GGGCTTACCAGACTTTCCAGG-3'. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was carried out in a 15-μl reaction volume containing 1 μl genomic DNA (25 ng), 0.8× Platinum PCR SuperMix (Invitrogen, California, USA), 500 nmol/l each primer, 1.25 μmol/l Syto9 green fluorescent nucleic acid dye (Invitrogen) and H2O. The cycling conditions were one cycle at 95°C for 3 min, 40 cycles at 95°C for 30 s, 57°C for 15 s, 72°C for 20 s, followed by one cycle at 72°C for 3 min, one cycle at 50°C for 30 s and a high-resolution melt cycle rising by 0.1°C each step and ramping from 81 to 91°C for P268S, 84 to 94°C for R702W, 78 to 88°C for G908R and 77 to 87°C for 1007FS. All samples were amplified in duplicate and each run included DNA from sequence-verified wild-type, heterozygous and homozygous genotypes for each of the variants. High-resolution melt curve data were analysed using the manufacturer’s software. All raw data were normalised by selecting linear regions before and after the melting transition, and the resulting melt curve profiles were compared to melting profiles from known positive control samples included in the same run.

**RNA purification and cDNA preparation**

A total of 11 samples from 11 non-disease controls and 95 samples from 65 Crohn’s disease patients were subjected to RNA and DNA isolation. Total RNA was isolated from two to three mucosal biopsy specimens (per sample) using AllPrep DNA/RNA Mini kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. All extractions included an on-column RNase-free DNase I digestion (Qiagen) step. As poor RNA quality can affect real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) measurements, RNA integrity was assessed by Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, California, USA) and only samples with an RNA integrity number (RIN) ≥5 were used for quantitative RT-PCR.26 First-strand cDNA synthesis was performed using SuperScript III First-strand cDNA Synthesis SuperMix for qRT-PCR (Invitrogen). Each reaction contained 500 ng total RNA as determined by the Bioanalyzer. The cDNA samples were then diluted 1:20 for use in quantitative RT-PCR.

**Real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction analysis**

Primers were designed using PrimerQuest (Integrated DNA Technologies, Iowa, USA; http://www.idtdna.com/Scitools/Applications/Primerquest) (table 2). The primer concentrations...
were optimised so that the minimum concentrations for each primer pair gave the lowest threshold cycle (Ct) and greatest signal magnitude (delta Rn) with minimal non-specific amplification. RT-PCR amplification was performed using platinum SYBR Green qPCR SuperMix UDG (Invitrogen) in a total volume of 15 μl containing 4 μl cDNA (10 ng), 2× qPCR SuperMix, 500 nmol/l each primer and H2O. Reactions were amplified using Rotor-Gene 6000 (Corbett Life Science) and quantified using the manufacturer’s software. The thermocycling conditions comprised 5 min at 95°C and then 40 cycles at 95°C for 15 s, 59°C for 15 s, and 72°C for 20 s, followed by a standard melt curve analysis to validate the specificity of the PCR products. All samples were amplified in triplicate from the same RNA preparation. A calibrator sample was included in each run. RT-PCR efficiencies were determined for each gene and relative expression stability results from previously published studies. 29–31 The experimental unit tested was gene expression as quantified via RT-PCR and normalised by the Pfaffl method. 23 Expression data, each target gene was normalised separately to two different normalisation genes.

**Normalisation gene selection**

We evaluated six housekeeping genes for suitability for normalising quantitative RT-PCR data from ileal Crohn’s disease tissue using the BestKeeper software tool. 22 Raw input data (average C_{T} and RT-PCR efficiency values) for each gene were obtained. Normalisation gene candidates were assessed for their expression stability in tissue from the ileum and right colon, and at various stages of disease and inflammation as assessed by a pathologist. The biopsy tissue panel included two non-disease control samples, five non-inflamed Crohn’s disease ileum, three mildly inflamed Crohn’s disease ileum, three extensively inflamed ileum and two samples from non-inflamed Crohn’s disease right colon. The normalisation gene candidates were chosen based on C_{T} value and RNA transcription profile stability results from previously published studies. 29–32 The genes were β2-microglobulin (B2M; Accession No. NM_000408), RNA polymerase II largest subunit (POLR2A; Accession No. NM_000957), Ribosomal Protein Large P0 (RPLP0; Accession No. NM_001009), Tata-Box binding protein (TBP; Accession No. NM_003194), Ubiquitin C (UBC; Accession No. NM_021009), and Ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme E2D2 (UBE2D2; Accession No. NM_181838).

**Immunohistochemistry**

HD-5 (DEFA5) immunohistochemistry and haematoxylin and eosin (H&E) histological staining was performed on parallel sections of ileal Crohn’s disease resection tissue. For HD-5 immunohistochemistry, paraffin sections from non-inflamed and inflamed ileal tissue (4 μm) were affixed to Super Frost Plus adhesive slides (Menzel-Gläser; Braunschweig, Germany) and air-dried overnight at 45°C. After dewaxing in xylol and rehydration through descending graded alcohols to distilled H2O, sections were transferred to Target Retrieval Solution (Biocare Medical) for 6–8 min. Then sections were washed in running tap water, lightly counterstained in Mayer’s haematoxylin, dehydrated through ascending graded alcohols, cleared with xylene and mounted with Permount (National Diagnostics). The experimental unit tested was gene expression as quantified via RT-PCR and normalised by the Pfaffl method. 23 Expression

**Table 2** Primer sequences and product sizes for real-time polymerase chain reaction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Forward primer</th>
<th>Reverse primer</th>
<th>Product size (bp)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B2M</td>
<td>AGGCATCCACGCTATCCCAAGA</td>
<td>CCGATGGAGAAACCCGACACAT</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POLR2A</td>
<td>GTTGTTCATGAAAGAACCCTGG</td>
<td>TCTGATCAAGGGAGGCTGCAAAG</td>
<td>147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPLP0</td>
<td>GGGCCACTTGGAAATCTTGGTGA</td>
<td>CATTTGCAACACTTGGTTAGTGA</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TBP</td>
<td>CTCGAAACGCCTAATATCCCA</td>
<td>GTTGCCTACTTGGTGGCTCTGGG</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UBC</td>
<td>GCATCTCTCCCTTGGTGATGGCA</td>
<td>TGGTACTTCCCTTCCAGGTCG</td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UBE2D2</td>
<td>TGGCTGCTGAAAGAATCCACAAGG</td>
<td>TCCACCTCGATAGGGACTTGTG</td>
<td>134</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLA2G2A</td>
<td>GAAAGAAAGAAGCGCGACTCATTTAT</td>
<td>CATACCCGAGCTTTGCAGGTT</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VILI</td>
<td>CATTTCTTCCAGGGCAAGCCTGCTGAAAA</td>
<td>CCACCGCAAGATCTCCTCAATGGA</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL6</td>
<td>CATGTCTGAAAGAAGCAGAAAGGCC</td>
<td>CAGCGAGAACTTCCAGATGGA</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL8</td>
<td>CTTCGTCACGGCTCCTCTGATTTCT</td>
<td>GGGTGGAAAGTGTGGATGTGTAATCT</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2** Primer sequences and product sizes for real-time polymerase chain reaction

29, 30 B2M, β2-microglobulin; POLR2A, polymerase (RNA) II (DNA directed) polyprotein A, 220 kDa; RPLP0, ribosomal protein, large, P0; TBP, TATA box binding protein; UBC, ubiquitin C; UBE2D2, ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme E2D2; DEFA5, α-defensin 5, Paneth cell-specific; DEFA6, β-defensin 6, Paneth cell-specific; PLA2G2A, phospholipase A2, group IIA (platelets, synovial fluid); LYZ, lysozyme (renal amyloidosis); VILI, villin 1; IL6, interleukin 6 (interferon-specific); DEFA6, α-defensin 5, Paneth cell-specific; IL8, interleukin 8.
data were analysed using the parametric independent samples t-test and analysis of covariance (ANCOVA) after log transformation. Expression data from IL6 and IL8 did not fit the parametric assumptions; hence the non-parametric Mann–Whitney U test was performed here. Pearson’s correlation analysis was utilised to quantify the expression associations between the genes of interest. p-Values, 0.05 were considered statistically significant. All statistical analysis was performed using SPSS for Windows (version 15.0).

RESULTS

NOD2 genotyping

NOD2 genotyping was performed via high-resolution melt curve analysis. Of the 11 non-disease controls in our study, three carried a NOD2 variant (one heterozygote for R702W, one heterozygote for G908R, and one compound heterozygote for R702W and G908R). Of the 65 Crohn’s disease patients, 27 (42%) possessed a NOD2 variant (nine were heterozygous for R702W, four were heterozygous for G908R, one was heterozygous for 1007fs, seven

Figure 1  Ileal mRNA expression of Paneth cell antimicrobial molecules (A) α-defensin 5 (DEFA5), (B) lysozyme (LYZ), (C) α-defensin 6 (DEFA6), (D) phospholipase-A2 (PLA2G2A), (E) the epithelial cell marker, villin; and the pro-inflammatory cytokines (F) interleukin 6 (IL6) and (G) interleukin 8 (IL8) in healthy non-disease controls (Con), and Crohn’s disease patients with non-inflamed (NI) and inflamed (I) ileum with respect to NOD2 mutation status. Data normalised to B2M. Wt, NOD2 wild-type; Mut, NOD2 mutation. **p<0.001, *p<0.05, {p}>0.1.
were compound heterozygotes (five for R702W/1007fs and two for G908R/1007fs) and six were homozygous mutants (three for R702W and three for 1007fs). We also investigated the frequency of the P268S variant in our control and case populations. The allele frequencies of this variant were as follows: controls – wild-type, two; heterozygous, eight; homozygous, one; and, Crohn’s disease – wild-type, 25 (39%); heterozygous, 23 (35%), homozygous, 17 (26%). In all cases, patients who were wild-type for the P268S variant were wild-type for R702W, G908R and 1007fs. Furthermore, all six patients homozygous for either R702W or 1007fs also possessed a homozygous P268S variant. These data indicate that the P268S variant can be a useful predictor for the common NOD2 genotype; wild-type P268S genotype indicates a wild-type genotype result for R702W, G908R and 1007fs; and a homozygous P268S variant flags individuals homozygous for R702W, G908R or 1007fs. These findings in the ileal tissue patient

Figure 2 Three-dimensional plots showing the effects of inflammation (defined according to histology) and NOD2 mutation status on mRNA expressions for the genes (A) α-defensin 5 (DEFAS5), (B) α-defensin 6 (DEFAS6), and (C) vilin (VIL1).

Figure 3 Representative haematoxylin and eosin (H&E)-stained and anti-HD-5 (α-defensin 5, DEFAS5)-stained non-inflamed (A–H) and inflamed (I–P) ileal tissue sections from a NOD2 wild-type and a NOD2-mutant Crohn’s disease (CD) patient. Images were scanned at ×40 magnification using an automated imaging analysis system (ScanScope T2 System, Aperio Technologies, Vista, California, USA). ×1 and ×8 digital zooms were applied for the images presented (×1 zoom: A, C, E, G, I, K, M, O; and ×8 zoom: B, D, F, H, J, L, N, P). The solid arrows point to Paneth cells present in the base of the epithelial crypt cells, visualised by H&E staining (red) and anti-HD-5 staining (dark brown). Note the low density of Paneth cells in inflamed mucosa in both NOD2-wild-type and NOD2-mutant Crohn’s disease ileum (M and O, ×1 digital zoom). In some segments, there is a complete absence of both epithelial cells and Paneth cells (white arrow heads).
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Selection of normalisation gene candidates

Prior to performing mRNA expression analysis of our target genes in ileal control and Crohn’s disease biopsy tissue, we evaluated the stability of expression of six housekeeping genes across a range of conditions and disease states (location, ileum/right colon; inflammation, no inflammation/mild inflammation/active or extensive inflammation; and disease states, non-disease control/ileal Crohn’s disease) using BestKeeper. Ranked from the most stable to the least stable were B2M, RPLP0, TBP, UDE2D2, UBC and POLR2A. We selected B2M and RPLP0 as the normalising genes in this study.

DEFA5 and DEFA6 expression correlates with inflammatory state and not NOD2 mutation status

In Crohn’s disease patients, we detected a significant reduction in DEFA5 and DEFA6 mRNA expression in histologically confirmed inflamed mucosa (n = 44) compared to non-inflamed ileal mucosa (n = 51) (p < 0.001 and p < 0.05, respectively) (fig 1A,C). The associations remained significant after adjustment for confounders including drug treatment (treatment vs no treatment), sample type (resection vs biopsy), and NOD2 status (wild-type vs mutant) (DEFA5, p < 0.001; DEFA6, p < 0.05). No association was found between NOD2 status (R702W, G908R and 1007fs), and the mRNA expression levels of DEFA5 and DEFA6, nor could we find a significant association between the variant P268S, a marker of NOD2 haplotype, and α-defensin expression (data not shown).

In our study, mRNA expression of VIL1, a marker of epithelial cell content, was significantly decreased in inflamed ileal mucosa (p < 0.001, after adjustment for confounders). We noticed a marked similarity between the results shown in fig 1A,C and those in fig 1E, representing mRNA expressions for DEFA5, DEFA6 and VIL1, respectively, across all sample groups (controls and Crohn’s disease patients with and without NOD2 stratification). To further explore the relationship between α-defensin expression and VIL1 mRNA expression in Crohn’s disease ileum, we generated three-dimensional plots comparing DEFA5, DEFA6 and VIL1 mRNA expression levels (grouped by sample type; biopsy, resection) with NOD2 status and inflammation (as defined histologically) (fig 2). Together, fig 1A,C,E and fig 2 demonstrate that, regardless of sample type, inflammation is the primary factor influencing expression, and not NOD2 mutation status.

In support of this observed association between inflammation and α-defensin expression, we identified a positive correlation between the mRNA levels of DEFA5 and VIL1, in that as VIL1 mRNA expression levels increased, DEFA5 mRNA expression levels also increased (p < 0.0001).

Anti-HD-5 and H&E immunohistochemical staining was performed on parallel sections from non-inflamed and inflamed NOD2 wild-type and NOD2 mutant ileal Crohn’s disease tissue. Representative anti-HD-5 immunostaining is presented in fig 3. Regardless of NOD2 genotype, HD-5 protein expression was reduced in inflamed ileal tissue. This specific reduction of HD-5 protein expression in inflamed ileum appears due to the loss of surface epithelial cells and reduced Paneth cell numbers (visible by H&E staining (red), I–L, and anti-HD-5 staining (dark brown), M–P), as a result of inflammatory changes. Of note, HD-5 staining intensity is similar between NOD2 wild-type and NOD2 mutant cases in both non-inflamed and inflamed tissue sections.

In the small intestine, PLA2G2A is specifically expressed by Paneth cells and hence PLA2G2A expression levels reflect Paneth cell numbers. As further confirmation of the link between Paneth cell density and α-defensin expression, we performed a simple correlation analysis of the data (fig 4). For all samples (n = 106; controls, non-inflamed and inflamed samples) irrespective of NOD2 status, there was a strong positive relationship between DEFA5 and PLA2G2A mRNA levels (r = 0.77, p < 0.001) and between DEFA6 and PLA2G2A mRNA levels (r = 0.76, p < 0.001). The strongest correlations were seen in the non-inflamed sample group (solid line in fig 4, panels A and B) (DEFA5 and PLA2G2A, r = 0.91; DEFA6 and PLA2G2A, r = 0.97).

As a small proportion of patients contributed two biopsies for gene expression analysis, we tested the within-individual and between-individual variance to rule out any potential sample bias. We found no difference in gene expression dependent upon whether the samples were from those patients who contributed one biopsy compared to those who contributed more than one.

LYZ and PLA2G2A mRNA expression is increased in Crohn’s disease mucosa

There was no significant difference in mRNA expression levels for LYZ or PLA2G2A in inflamed (n = 21) and non-inflamed

---

**Figure 4** Fitted line plots comparing (A) α-defensin 5 (DEFA5) and phospholipase-A₂ (PLA2G2A) mRNA levels and (B) α-defensin 6 (DEFA6) and PLA2G2A mRNA levels.
Crohn’s disease mucosa (n = 24), nor was the expression of these Paneth cell antimicrobials influenced by NOD2 genotype. We did, however, detect a significant increase in LYZ and PLA2G2A mRNA expression levels in non-inflamed Crohn’s disease mucosa compared to controls (p = 0.024 and p = 0.022, respectively) (fig 1B,D). As no significant association was detected between LYZ and PLA2G2A expression, inflammation and NOD2 status in a subgroup of ileal Crohn’s disease tissue samples (non-inflamed n = 24; inflamed n = 21), the expressions of these genes were not evaluated further in a second set of ileal Crohn’s disease samples (non-inflamed, n = 27; inflamed, n = 23).

IL6 and IL8 mRNA expression is associated with inflammatory state and is influenced by NOD2 mutation status (R702W, G908R, 1007fs)

Expression levels of the inflammatory cytokines IL6 and IL8 were significantly increased in inflamed ileal mucosa (p<0.001 for both) (fig 1F,G). Consistent with these data, we detected negative correlations between the expression levels of VIL1, a marker of epithelial cell content, and both inflammatory cytokines (p<0.01, data not shown). The expression of both cytokines in non-inflamed ileum, but not inflamed ileum, was decreased in a NOD2 variant background (p = 0.033 and p = 0.078, respectively). There was a small but significant increase in IL8 expression levels in non-inflamed ileal mucosa from Crohn’s disease patients versus controls (p = 0.017).

DISCUSSION

α-Defensins are potent antimicrobial peptides produced by Paneth cells and have a major role in the innate immunity of the small intestine. Two studies by Wehkamp et al.18 19 report a decrease in α-defensin expression in inflamed ileal mucosa from Crohn’s disease patients, with a more pronounced decrease in Crohn’s disease patients carrying NOD2 mutations. In agreement with Wehkamp et al.,18 we find DEFA5 and DEFA6 expression is reduced in inflamed ileal mucosa compared to non-inflamed ileal mucosa whereas the expressions of antimicrobials, LYZ and PLA2G2A, does not differ significantly between Crohn’s disease sample groups. These associations did not change after adjustment for confounders such as medical treatment, type of sample and NOD2 status. However, the association between reduced α-defensin expression and NOD2 mutation status could not be reproduced in our study. Instead, we find that α-defensin expression is dependent on the inflammatory state of the sample (defined according to VIL1 mRNA expression level and histological examination) and not NOD2 genotype (R702W, G908R, 1007fs). Our HD-5 immunochemical studies of ileal Crohn’s disease tissue complement results obtained from these RNA data. We could also find no association between α-defensin expression and P268S variant status. It is worth noting, however, that neither of the earlier studies18 19 examined α-defensin levels in samples from unaffected (non-inflamed) ileal mucosa from Crohn’s disease patients carrying a NOD2 mutation. Hence, the association between defensin expression and NOD2 status in both these studies can only be said to occur in affected (inflamed or Crohn’s disease ileitis) tissue. The discrepancy between our study and the studies by Wehkamp et al.18 19 may be due to differences in study design. For example, our data are based on a larger sample size, our tissue cohort included non-inflamed samples from Crohn’s disease patients carrying a NOD2 mutation, and all ileal Crohn’s disease tissue samples were classified as either non-inflamed or inflamed according to histological findings and not macroscopic classification. The key observations in our study come from the non-inflamed samples, where there is no significant tissue damage and associated secondary effects due to the inflammation itself. We postulate that if a defect in α-defensin production is the underlying/primary cause of ileal Crohn’s disease, abnormal α-defensin levels would be evident in non-inflamed ileal mucosa prior to the development of inflammatory lesions. Our results suggest that low α-defensin mRNA levels in ileal tissue from Crohn’s disease patients, irrespective of NOD2 genotype, is most likely due to the loss of epithelium as a consequence of inflammatory changes. Underpinning this hypothesis is our demonstration of a strong positive relationship between mRNA levels of PLA2G2A, a marker of Paneth cell mass, and the α-defensins. The present study data lend support to the importance of mucosal healing in Crohn’s disease patients. Mucosal healing in Crohn’s disease is associated with a reduction in the inflammation,34 and we speculate a corresponding increase or restoration of α-defensin production due to regeneration of epithelial cells in the intestinal mucosa. In support of this hypothesis, we find a positive correlation between VIL1 and DEFA5 mRNA expression levels, and similar levels of α-defensins in non-inflamed ileal samples from Crohn’s disease patients (NOD2 wild-type and NOD2 mutant) and in non-disease controls. Wehkamp et al.18 also detected comparable α-defensin levels in non-inflamed ileal samples from healthy controls and NOD2 wild-type Crohn’s disease patients.

In the present study, we detected significant increases in the mRNA expression levels of the Paneth cell antimicrobials LYZ and PLA2G2A in non-inflamed Crohn’s disease mucosa compared to non-inflamed control mucosa. We recognise, however, that these data may be subject to error due to the relatively small sample size of the control group (n = 11) and/or by the contribution made by other cell types of the small intestine to LYZ and PLA2G2A expression.6 33

Consistent with previous observations in peripheral blood mononuclear cells,50 21 56 we detected reduced IL8 mRNA expression in those patients carrying variant NOD2, supporting a defect in innate immune responses mediated via pattern recognition receptors in Crohn’s disease. Additionally, we report for the first time, reduced IL6 expression in ileal mucosa in Crohn’s disease patients carrying a NOD2 mutation, suggesting that this primary genetic abnormality may be associated with a more generalised defect in the mucosal immune response to the local microbial flora.

CONCLUSION

The view that the primary factor in ileal Crohn’s disease pathogenesis is due to low α-defensin production16 19 is not supported by our study findings. Rather, we find that the reduction in α-defensin expression is dependent on the inflammatory state of the tissue and is therefore likely to be due to loss of epithelium as a consequence of secondary changes rather than being the inciting event prior to inflammation. Once the disease is manifested, the small intestinal crypts would presumably be susceptible to bacterial invasion due to low levels of antimicrobial peptides, including the α-defensins, as a result of epithelial cell loss. The invading bacteria would then be set to provoke further inflammatory responses in the small intestinal mucosa.
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