Abstract PTU-178 Figure 1 Cross-sectional area and distensibility at 30 ml EndoFLIP balloon volume in healthy volunteer and patient groups.

**Conclusion** EndoFLIP® is not useful for GERD diagnosis as EGJ CSA and distensibility do not distinguish between HVs and GERD patients defined by clinical presentation or pH measurement. This unexpected result may be due to an important interaction of obesity with EndoFLIP® measurements.
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**Introduction** The identification of Barrett’s neoplasia using acetic acid has relied upon the examination of surface patterns, which involves subjective judgement. When sprayed onto Barrett’s tissue acetic acid causes an acetowhitening reaction. We have observed that neoplastic tissue loses this whitening more quickly than non-neoplastic Barrett’s. This study aims to quantify the acetowhitenig time and develop an objective tool for the diagnosis of Barrett’s neoplasia.

**Methods** Patients referred for suspected Barrett’s neoplasia and for routine Barrett’s surveillance were assessed. A 50 ml mucolytic drink of 10% N-acetyl cysteine and 5 ml of simethicone was taken prior to the procedure. Fujinon gastrosopes with EPX 4400 processor were used. 20 ml of 2.5% acetic acid was applied to the Barrett’s mucosa via a spray catheter. Timing was recorded with a stopwatch and started after the oesophagus had been coated with acetic acid and the excess dye sucked away. Disappearance of the acetowhitenig was defined as the appearance of erythema within the Barrett’s epithelium. After a maximum of 5 min the Barrett’s epithelium was washed using 20 ml of water and targeted biopsies of any neoplasia and quadrantic 2 cm biopsies of the residual Barrett’s was taken. The histology was correlated to the acetowhitenig disappearance time. ROC curves were produced to identify threshold timings for optimum sensitivity and specificity for high risk neoplasia and invasive cancer within Barrett’s.

**Results** Data from 146 areas of Barrett’s was collected from 121 patients. 84% were male. Mean age 69.72/86 metaplasia, 6/14 LGD, 26/27 HGD, 15/15 IMC and 12/12 areas of invasive cancer were recognised correctly by the endoscopist. A ROC curve was produced for the identification of high risk neoplasia (HGD, IMC and invasive cancer) using the acetowhitenig timings. The area under the curve was 0.93 (95% CI 0.89 to 0.97), with an asymptomatic significance of p=0.000. Using a threshold of 142 s a sensitivity for neoplasia of 98% (95% CI 98% to 100%) and specificity of 84% (95% CI 74% to 91%) was achieved. A further ROC curve was produced for HGD + IMC vs invasive cancer. The area under the curve was 0.726 (61 to 96). Using a cut off of 20 s, a sensitivity for invasive cancer of 67% (95% CI 53% to 90%) and specificity of 85% (95% CI 69% to 95%) could be achieved.

**Conclusion** The acetowhitenig reaction can be exploited for the diagnosis of neoplasia within Barrett’s. This provides the endoscopist with an objective and simple numerical tool for predicting whether an area is neoplastic, and to predict the presence of sub-mucosally invasive disease. This has important implications for the treatment of neoplasia within Barrett’s, and could prevent inappropriate attempts at endoscopic resection of invasive cancer.
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**Introduction** EUS+/FNA has been regarded as a standard investigation for T and N staging of oesophageal and oesophagogastric junctional (OGJ) cancer. The increased availability of PET-CT has led to many centres reducing their use of EUS and relying more on non-invasive assessment of lymph node involvement. The aim of this study was to retrospectively analyse the outcomes from EUS following the introduction of PET-CT into a single regional unit.

**Methods** The computerised records of all patients diagnosed with oesophageal or OGJ cancer and discussed at a regional MDM between March 2009 and February 2011 were analysed. Patients felt to be suitable for radical treatment based upon initial endoscopy, CT scan and review of referral letter underwent a combination of PET-CT +/- EUS. The final staging pathway and management of this group of patients were analysed retrospectively.

**Results** 593 patients were diagnosed and presented to the regional MDM. 412 (69%) were directed towards palliative treatment following initial assessment. Of the remaining 181 (31%), PET-CT was undertaken in 180 and EUS in 99 (55%). FNA was undertaken in 31 (51%) of those undergoing EUS. One patient (1%) had a perforation related to dilatation prior to planned EUS. A covered stent was inserted and he was discharged from hospital. The findings on PET-CT directly changed management to a palliative approach in 50 patients (17%). A further 79 patients (42%) required further investigations based upon PET-CT including EUS (n=52), colonoscopy (n=9), review by other specialities (n=6), lymph node excision biopsy (n=2), radiological guided FNA/core biopsy (n=5) and MRI liver (n=2). EUS was performed to investigate nodal status in 51 (52%), to confirm the presence or depth of tumour invasion in 21 (21%) and to investigate other organ involvement in 5 (5%). 24 EUS procedures were performed routinely due to protocols used at that time. EUS+/FNA directed patients to a palliative approach in 22 (22%). Management was directed to a radical approach in 72 (75%), and to endoscopic treatment (EMR/PDT) in 9 (5%). In the 98 patients who had both PET-CT and EUS, there was concordance of lymph node status in 79 (85%). Ten (11%) patients with negative nodes on PET-CT had positive nodes on EUS (of which 5 were suspicious at the time of staging CT), and 4 (4%) with positive nodes on PET-CT had negative nodes on EUS. Five had incomplete EUS due to strictureing.

**Conclusion** These results demonstrate that EUS has a complementary role in the staging process, with EUS playing an essential role in 11% of patients where confirmation of lymph node status, not identified on PET-CT, guided appropriate management.
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