CRD do not satisfy criteria for syndromes such as HNPCC, and fall into a “moderate risk” category. The reported polyp burden in this group is varied, and the optimum screening regimen is controversial. Our aims were (1) to evaluate the polyp yield at screening colonoscopy in a “moderate risk” group (above average, non-HNPCC) in the setting of a family-screening clinic, (2) to compare polyp yield on 2nd screening colonoscopy between patients with and without adenomas on 1st screening colonoscopy, (3) to evaluate the potential for longer screening intervals for patients with no adenomas on 1st screening colonoscopy.

Methods

Family cancer history questionnaires were used to generate family pedigrees and identify “moderate risk” individuals using defined criteria. Adenoma yield on initial colonoscopy was evaluated, and comparisons were made between males & females, and subjects older & younger than 50 yrs. Advanced adenomas (AA) were defined as adenomas ≥10 mm, with high-grade dysplasia, or with a villous component. In patients who had >1 colonoscopy, adenoma yield on 2nd colonoscopy was compared between patients with and without adenomas on initial colonoscopy.

Results

From a cohort of 2008 individuals in a high-risk family-screening clinic, 971 (45%) have been assigned a “moderate risk” category. Complete data were available for screening colonoscopies in 256 of these; 99 male, 157 female. On initial screening colonoscopy, 17/256 (7%) had AA, and a further 57/256 (16%) had simple adenomas (SA), (total polyp yield 23%). Polyp yield was higher in males (8% AA, 18% SA) vs females (7% AA, 14% SA), and in the >50 yrs (13% AA, 20% SA) vs <50 yrs (3% AA, 13% SA). More than 1 screening colonoscopy was carried out in 127/256 (54%). Of the 30/127 (24%) who had an adenoma on initial colonoscopy, 4/30 (13%) had AA, and a further 7/30 (23%) had SA on 2nd colonoscopy (mean interval to 2nd colonoscopy was >6 months). In the cohort without adenomas at initial screening; 97/127 (76%), only 1/97 (1%) had an AA, and 10/97 (10%) had SA on 2nd colonoscopy (mean interval 4.6 yrs).

Conclusion

In this moderate risk group the polyp yield is highest in males, and those >50 yrs. Adenoma at initial colonoscopy was predictive of adenoma detection at 2nd colonoscopy. In contrast, for individuals without adenomas at initial screening, a very low adenoma yield was observed at follow-up screening. Consequently, within this “moderate risk” cohort, the data supports the adoption of differing screening protocols depending on age, gender, and adenoma yield on initial colonoscopy.
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Introduction

Capsule endoscopy (CE) is a time consuming procedure. The RAPID 7 Access reading software (Given Imaging Ltd) has three patterns of view modes (VM) (one view, VM1; double views, VM2; quadruple views, VM4) and an adjustable frame rate (AFR) from 5 to 40 fps. The appropriate settings for VM and AFR depend on capsule endoscopist’s experience, and a consensus has not been achieved yet. The aim of this study was to investigate how different VM’s and AFR’s could influence diagnostic accuracy.

Methods

An entire capsule endoscopy procedure consisting of 27 small bowel angioectasias was selected from our database. This was read by a single expert capsule endoscopist repeatedly using 11 different randomised combinations of VM and AFR (1, 2 and 4 VM × 10, 15, 25 and 40 fps). Reading times and number of angioectasias detected for each combination were recorded and then compared.

Results

The small bowel transit time was 321 min. Mean reading times (all VM’s) at 10, 15, 25 and 40 fps respectively were 54, 22, 14 and 10 min. Considering 10 fps as the gold standard for reading, the reduction in reading time at 15, 25 and 40 fps was 33%, 60% and 70% respectively. No significant differences were noticed in reading times between VM’s at the same AFR. A mean of 25, 16, 7 and 6 angioectasias were detected at 10, 15, 25 and 40 fps respectively (all VM’s combined). Diagnostic accuracy at 25 and 40 fps was significantly lower than 10 fps (p=0.04, 0.01). The mean numbers of detected angioectasias according to VM were 14, 17 and 16 for VM1, VM2 and VM4 respectively. The lowest number of angioectasias (5) was detected using VM2 × 40 fps. The highest number of angioectasias (25) was detected using VM2 × 10 fps and VM4 × 10 fps. Using VM2 × 15 fps, 18 angioectasias were detected, meaning that diagnostic accuracy was reduced to 72% (compared with VM2 × 10 fps), although the reading time decreased by 55%.

Conclusion

Our findings suggest that the highest diagnostic accuracy was achieved with VM2 × 10 fps or VM4 × 10 fps. The AFR influences both diagnostic accuracy and reading time. As the AFR increases, reading times are reduced but this is associated with a reduction in diagnostic accuracy and a concomitant increase in miss rates. Capsule endoscopists need to be aware of this phenomenon.
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