Abstract OC-013 Table 1 The number of hospital visits occurring within 14 days of outpatient colonoscopies and the associated healthcare costs

	Total number of visits to the accident and emergency (A&E) department within 14 days of colonoscopy	Number of visits to the A&E department within 14 days attributable to colonoscopy (% of total)	Number of visits to the A&E department within 14 days attributable to colonoscopy, requiring admission	Total additional cost of hospital attendances attributable to colonoscopy (£)
	22	14 (1.25)	5	
Average cost per attendance (£)	145	145	3338	
Total additional cost (£)	3190	2030	16 690	18 720

increasingly used as both a diagnostic and therapeutic tool. It is deemed a relatively safe procedure with the overall complication rate being reported at approximately 0.1%. However, it was recently reported in a study from the USA that procedure related hospital attendances within 14 days of colonoscopy were as high as 0.84%.¹

Methods The aim of this study was to identify the true morbidity and related healthcare costs after outpatient colonoscopy in a UK population. We performed an observational study of hospital visits occurring within 14 days of colonoscopy at West Middlesex University Hospital, London. We collected data from 2011, using the hospitals' electronic records system, enterpriseCAMIS®. Cases meeting the predetermined criteria were analysed to assess whether presentations could be attributed to the colonoscopy, and overall healthcare costs were determined.

Results Over the 12-month period, 1115 outpatient colonoscopies were performed; the Abstract OC-013 table 1 below summarises the number of hospital visits occurring within 14 days and associated healthcare costs. Of the 1115 colonoscopies performed, there were 22 visits to the A&E department within 14 days; 14 of these visits were procedure-related, with five requiring admission. Abdominal pain was the commonest reason for emergency attendance, with bleeding, perforation, post-polypectomy syndrome, sedation and other gastro-intestinal causes accounting for the remaining. The average cost for patients attending A&E was £145; £3338 for those requiring admission; working out as a total cost of £18 720 over the 12-month period.

Conclusion Outpatient colonoscopy is an essential diagnostic and therapeutic tool. However, data on the overall morbidity burden is limited. With a 1.25% incidence of emergency attendances within 14 days of colonoscopy and significant associated healthcare costs, this study supports recently published data from the USA and highlights the importance of recognising these complications within a UK population.

Competing interests None declared.

REFERENCE

1. Leffler, *et al.* The incidence and cost of unexpected hospital use after scheduled outpatient endoscopy. *Arch Intern Med* 2010;**170**:1752–7.

OC-014 HIGH DEFINITION COLONOSCOPES CAUSE MORE PAIN AND REQUIRE MORE SEDATION THAN THE STANDARD VIDEO ENDOSCOPE

doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2012-302514a.14

Y C Lim,* G D Corbett, E Cameron, M Parkes. *Department of Gastroenterology, Addenbrookes Hospital, Cambridge, UK*

Introduction It has been anecdotally observed in this centre, a tertiary teaching hospital, that high definition colonoscopes (HDC) seem to be more difficult to manoeuvre and cause more pain to the patient. Although studies have been reported that HDC may have a higher polyp detection rate compared to standard video colonoscopy¹ (SVC) there is very little data on the tolerability and comfort of HDC. To our knowledge this is the first study to assess the tolerability of HDC against SVC. The colonoscopy score used in this

centre is a five point score which is documented by the assisting nurse and used to assess patient experience.

1. No pain
2. 1 or 2 Episodes of mild discomfort
3. 2 or More episodes of discomfort
4. Significant discomfort
5. Extreme discomfort.

Methods All colonoscopies between 1 April 2010 and 31 March 2011 were identified from the endoscopy database and the reports were retrieved and reviewed for quality indicators (sedation dosing, caecal intubation and polyp detection). Separate pain score records documented by the endoscopy nurses were also retrieved. When analysing the pain score, it was divided into two groups (1 and 2 vs 3, 4 and 5) as a score of 3 and above describes markedly worse pain for the patient. These results were analysed for significance using appropriate statistical tests (Fishers and Mann–Whitney U).

Results A total of 4401 colonoscopies were performed by 33 endoscopists during the study period. 611 of these were excluded due to incomplete data. Of the remaining 3790 procedures, 902 procedures were performed with HDC and 2888 with SVC. There were no demographic differences between the two groups. 5% more patients had a pain score of 3 or more when using the HDC ($p=0.01$). Their use was also associated with a marginal but significant increase in the dose of midazolam and fentanyl ($p<0.001$ and $p=0.04$ respectively). Polyp detection was significantly lower in the HDC group ($p=0.01$). The results are shown in Abstract OC-014 table 1.

Abstract OC-014 Table 1 Differences between HDC and SVC

Variable	HDC	SVC	p Value
Comfort score 1 or 2	665 (73.7%)	2255 (78.1%)	0.01
Comfort score 3, 4 or 5	237 (26.3%)	663 (21.9%)	
Midazolam mg (mean)	3.57	3.37	<0.001
Fentanyl µg (mean)	62.83	61.13	0.04
Caecal intubation rate	864 (95.79%)	2784 (96.4%)	0.42
Polyp detection rate	299 (33%)	1096 (38%)	0.01

Conclusion This is the first study evaluating tolerability of HDC. In this large cohort of patients performed by a large number of endoscopists, tolerability and polyp detection were worse with HDC.

Competing interests None declared.

REFERENCE

1. Subramanian V, Mannath J, Hawkey CJ, *et al.* High definition colonoscopy vs standard video endoscopy for the detection of colonic polyps: a meta-analysis. *Endoscopy* 2011;**43**:499–505.

OC-015 PROGNOSTIC INDICATORS FOR SHORT AND LONG-TERM OUTCOMES OF COLORECTAL ENDOSCOPIC MUCOSAL RESECTION (EMR): A MULTI-CENTRE (CERT-N) STUDY

doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2012-302514a.15

- ¹J Geraghty,* ²K Bodger, ²S Alam, ³W Jafar, ¹M Gillon, ⁴C Babbs, ³J Ramesh, ⁵R Willert, ⁴S Lal, ¹S Sarkar. *Department of Gastroenterology, Royal Liverpool*

University Hospital, UK; ²Department of Gastroenterology, Digestive Diseases Centre, University Hospital Aintree NHS Trust, Liverpool, UK; ³Department of Gastroenterology, University Hospital South Manchester, Manchester, UK; ⁴Department of Gastroenterology, Salford Royal Foundation Trust, Salford, UK; ⁵Central Manchester University, Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester, UK

Introduction EMR is an established treatment for large colorectal polyps, yet the data regarding efficacy and outcome are principally limited to single centre experience. We present a multicentre study to determine prognostic factors of short-term (3 month) and long-term (1 year) outcomes following an index (intention to treat (ITT)) EMR for large sessile colorectal polyps.

Methods Endoscopy databases & hospital coding records identified patients that had an ITT EMR for colonic polyps 2 cm or greater between 2005 and 2010 in five North-West teaching hospitals. Patients were audited longitudinally for 1 year. Multivariate analysis (Logistic Regression Model) determined significant prognostic factors (OR [95% CI]; $p < 0.05$ as significant).

Results Demographics: 313 patients (mean [SD] age 69.7[10.4] years, 65.5% male, 86% ASA grade 1–2) underwent EMR for mean polyp size of 33.5 [11.5] mm. Morphologically; 63.8% were flat lesions and 21.7% were located in the right colon. Procedure: 26% were performed by inexperienced endoscopists (Outcomes: Cancer diagnosis was in 9.5% (6.3% invasive & 3.2% intra-mucosal). Complications; Perforation rate 0.5%, bleeding rate 0.5% & all cause 30-day mortality 0.6%, with no procedure related mortality. Recurrence rate was 26% & treated endoscopically in 64.6%, & surgically in 8.5%. Overall, surgery was required in 7.3%, of which 8.7% were emergencies (to treat perforation) and 56% was for cancer. ITT short-term success rate was 68% and 1-year success rate was 82.4%, with an adjusted rate of 87.2%. Prognostic Factors: Predictors of recurrence were cancer histology (OR 9 [95% CI 4 to 22] $p < 0.05$), piecemeal resection (OR 4 [95% CI 1.5 to 11] $p < 0.005$) and EMR session > 1 (OR 22 [95% CI 10 to 50] $p < 0.005$). Poor prognostic indicators for long-term success were cancer (OR 11 [95% CI 4.5 to 28] $p < 0.005$) and EMR session > 1 (OR 3.6 [95% CI 1.5 to 8.4] $p = 0.003$). While endoscopist inexperience, increasing polyp size, no adjuvant APC were poor prognostic factors univariately, on multivariate analysis they were insignificant. Gender, age, ASA, Training, site, morphology and complications were not significant factors.

Conclusion While recurrence rates in EMR for large colonic lesions were high ($> 1/4$), long-term outcomes were good (cure rate 87.2%) with complications similar to previous series. Most important poor prognostic factors were cancer histology and requirement of more than 1 session.

Competing interests J Geraghty grant/research support from: Cook Medical, K Bodger: None declared, S Alam: None declared, W Jafar: None declared, M Gillon: None declared, C Babbs: None declared, J Ramesh: None declared, R Willert: None declared, S Lal: None declared, S Sarkar: None declared.

Neoplasia free papers

OC-016 HUMAN COLORECTAL ADENOMA GROWTH IS CHARACTERISED BY PERIODS OF QUIESCENCE AND RAPID CLONAL EXPANSION

doi:10.1136/gutjnl-2012-302514a.16

¹A Humphries, ²T A Graham, ³B Cereser, ³S A McDonald, ³N A Wright. ¹Department of Gastroenterology, St Mark's Hospital, London, UK; ²UCSF Medical Centre, San Francisco, USA; ³Centre for Digestive Diseases, Barts and The London School of Medicine and Dentistry, London, UK

Introduction Very little is known about the dynamics and rates of adenoma growth in the human colon. Longitudinal barium and endoscopic studies demonstrate most smaller adenomas to be static over many years, with a significant proportion even regressing.^{1,2} We have recently shown that methylation patterns at CpG loci

within non-expressed genes can be used to infer the dynamics of clonal expansion in the normal human colon.³ By combining clonal mutational analysis with sampling of these methylation patterns for crypts within human adenomas, we aimed to learn more about how these important pre-malignant epithelial lesions grow.

Methods Fresh frozen human adenomas were screened for common tumourigenic mutations known to occur in adenoma progression. Multiple individual crypts spaced across the whole lesion were then laser-capture micro-dissected, the mutation(s) detected at screening confirmed and methylation tags at CpG islands of non-expressed genes sampled in order to infer dynamics and patterns of growth.

Results Crypts within adenomas clonal for APC and KRAS mutations demonstrated significantly less methylation pattern diversity than those from adenomas that were clonal for an APC mutation only, suggesting there had been a recent, rapid clonal expansion in the APC/KRAS mutated lesions. Genetically distinct sub-clones could be identified within adenomas, and analysis of methylation patterns showed them to be expanding rapidly. There was no correlation between the spatial separation of crypts and their respective epigenetic distances—as measured by comparisons of crypt methylation patterns—within the adenomas studied. Therefore, in those lesions that had not undergone a recent clonal expansion, there had been a sufficient time period of little or no growth to allow the methylation patterns of neighbouring crypts to diverge.

Conclusion The data collected here suggests that human adenomas display punctuated growth, characterised by periods of relative quiescence and rapid clonal expansion. Further data collection and analysis should allow the relative growth rates of genetically distinct lesions and sub-clones within adenomas to be estimated, and may help better inform our management and follow-up of patients with these lesions.

Abstract OC-016 Figure 1

Competing interests None declared.