Endoscopists when using MEI and VSC than without. The total CIR for all colonoscopies was greater using VSC with MEI than without (89.9% vs 87.1%, p=0.0153). There was no significant difference in TI intubation rate, polyp detection rate or sedation used.

**Conclusion** Although most endoscopists prefer to use MEI and VSC when performing colonoscopy, our data suggests that the difference in caecal intubation rates with experienced endoscopists is small. However, our observed difference in CIR of 2.8% would equate to about 168 colonoscopies in our unit completed, per year that would be otherwise incomplete.
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**PMO-005 BRIEF INTERVENTION REDUCES FUTURE HOSPITAL ATTENDANCE IN HAZARDOUS ALCOHOL DRINKERS**
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**Introduction** Excessive alcohol consumption is a major health burden facing the NHS. The Fast Alcohol Screening Tool (FAST) is a useful, simple tool to screen for hazardous/harmful drinking. Hazardous drinking is associated with a high risk of psychological or physical problems in the future. In this study, we assess the FAST score in patients attending the Emergency Department (ED) of our hospital. We then evaluate whether brief alcohol intervention on high scorers (hazardous drinkers), in the form of consultation and advice on alcohol related habits, results in fewer future ED attendances.

**Methods** All those, 18 years and over, attending the ED of Chesterfield Royal Hospital over a 24 h period were asked to take part in this study. All participants were formally consented. Patient demographics were taken, and reason for admission noted. Number of prior ED attendances were noted from hospital records and patient recollection. FAST questionnaires were then filled, followed by a brief intervention [typically lasting 10 min] given to those who scored ≥3 or above. Investigators had prior training on brief intervention. Frequency of hospital attendance following this episode was then taken from hospital records.

**Results** 140 patients attended ED, but 25 were excluded (declined participation, life-threatening illness). Therefore, 115 patients participated in the study (60 (52%) female and 55 (48%) male; median age 47). FAST score was 0 in 60 (52%), 1 in 15 (11%), 2 in 19 (17%) and ≥3 in 25 (20%) patients. Brief alcohol intervention was carried out in 19 out of 23 patients who scored ≥3 (not possible in four who were intoxicated with alcohol). These included 9 (48%) female and 10 (52%) male with median age of 39. In this subgroup of patients, 15 had no previous ED attendances, one attended once, one attended twice and two attended three times each in the prior 6 months. Therefore, four out of 19 (21%) of these patients attended ED on a total of nine occasions over that 6 months. 20 out of 92 patients (22%) of those with FAST score ≥3 had prior admissions over the same period with a total of 25 attendances. Two out of 19 (10%) patients with FAST score ≥3, who were given brief intervention, re-attended over the following 6 months, on one occasion each (total two attendances). 13 out of 92 (14%) patients with FAST score ≥3 re-attended over this time period, with total 17 attendances. Brief intervention therefore appeared to reduce future hospital attendance in hazardous alcohol drinkers.

**Conclusion** Hazardous alcohol drinkers (with FAST scores ≥3) make up a large proportion of those attending ED. Brief alcohol intervention for these reduces their re-attendance. We therefore recommend front-line ED staff to be trained in brief intervention.
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**PMO-006 OUT OF HOURS GASTROINTESTINAL BLEED SERVICE IN THE UK: INTER-HOSPITAL TRANSFER OF PATIENTS FOR ENDOSCOPY IS SAFE**
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**Introduction** Acute Upper Gastrointestinal Bleeding (AUCIB) results in 25 000 in-patient admissions annually in the UK (1). Patients admitted at weekends with AUCIB have significantly increased mortality (2). Current guidelines advise availability of out-of-hours
Routinely elective weekend endoscopy has reduced waiting times wishing to set up OOH endoscopy services (3). We present data from our service in the UK involving inter-hospital transfer of patients.

**Methods** We pooled resources of two neighboring general hospitals, just North of London: Emergency endoscopy is performed at start of the list followed by elective endoscopy in the endoscopy unit on Saturday and Sunday morning. From Friday evening until Sunday morning, patients admitted to Queen Elizabeth II (QEI) Hospital are medically stabilised and transferred to Lister Hospital by ambulance (13 miles apart, fast freeway).

**Results** A total of 240 endoscopies were performed OOH from December 2007 to March 2011. Of these, 54 patients were transferred: nine had emergency endoscopy at QEII as they were medically unstable; eight of the patients transferred required therapeutic intervention for active bleeding. The mean pre-endoscopy Rockall score of those transferred was 2.5 (range 0–6). We examined the medical records of 51 (of 54) of the patients transferred. There were three deaths within 30 days of endoscopy, but these were not associated with the transfer process. A total of 19 (37%) of patients had reduced hospitalisation after having their endoscopy at the weekend, as opposed to waiting for endoscopy on Monday.

**Conclusion** The introduction of the OOH endoscopy service has had multiple benefits.

- Patients consistently receive timely emergency endoscopy.
- Patients may be discharged earlier once they have had the endoscopy.
- There is significantly reduced disruption to emergency operating theatres.
- Participation of endoscopy nurses ensures a better and safer experience for the patients, and better endoscopy decontamination.
- Routine elective weekend endoscopy has reduced waiting lists and generated revenue for the hospitals, justifying the cost of setting up the service.

We suggest that our model is safe and it is feasible for other small units wishing to set up their own OOH endoscopy service to adopt.

# Percentage of patients discharged early

Abstract PMO-006 Figure 1

Abstract PMO-006 Table 1 Breakdown of endoscopies performed December 2007—March 2011

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>QEII</th>
<th>Lister</th>
<th>Transfer from QEII</th>
<th>Admitted to Lister</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Saturday</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sunday</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>87</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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**PMO-007 IS PRE-ASSESSMENT PRIOR TO COLONOSCOPY USEFUL?**
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**Introduction** In 2009, the National Patient Safety Agency issued a Rapid Response Report alerting healthcare providers to the potential risk of harm from using oral bowel cleansing agents (OBCA). Our Trust decided the most robust method of protecting patients was for nurses to see patients in clinic to fully pre-assess them.

**Methods** Prospective data were collected from the pre-assessment records. The information was then collated and tabulated. The time period covered is from July to the end of December 2011.

**Results**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>July</th>
<th>August</th>
<th>September</th>
<th>October</th>
<th>November</th>
<th>December</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>515</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Did not attend</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eGFR/U&amp;Es abnormal*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extra prep†</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TCI‡</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Declined</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stop medication</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cons. review§</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Miscellaneous findings at pre-assessment included:

- Patients with pacemakers (4)
- A wish to be referred to Help2quit (4)
- Requirement to refer back to GP for review (not to do with colonoscopy) (3)
- Able to cancel a TCI as not needed (2)
- Postponed procedure due to other issues (3)

**Conclusion**

During the time period under review 507 patients were pre-assessed.

- 6.31% had an abnormal eGFR or urea and electrolytes (u & e).
- 5.33% required further OBCA to be prescribed.
- 6.31% needed to come in for their bowel preparation.
- 3.02% required additional OBCA because factors have been revealed that influence its effectiveness.
- TCI means “to come in” to hospital prior to the procedure for bowel preparation.
- The patient is prescribed additional OBCA because factors have been revealed that influence its effectiveness.
- The patient has been referred back to their own consultant for various issues found at pre-assessment.

**REFERENCES**