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ABSTRACT

Objective Interferon-γ-inducible protein-10 (IP-10 or CXCL10) plays a role in inflammatory cell migration and epithelial cell survival and migration. It is expressed in higher levels in the colonic tissue and plasma of patients with ulcerative colitis (UC). This phase II study assessed the efficacy and safety of BMS-936557, a fully human, monoclonal antibody to IP-10, in the treatment of moderately-to-severely active UC.

Design In this 8-week, phase II, double-blind, multicentre, randomised study, patients with active UC received placebo or BMS-936557 (10 mg/kg) intravenously every other week. The primary endpoint was the rate of clinical response at Day 57; clinical remission and mucosal healing rates were secondary endpoints. Post hoc analyses evaluated the drug exposure–response relationship and histological improvement.

Results 109 patients were included (BMS-936557: n=55; placebo: n=54). Prespecified primary and secondary endpoints were not met; clinical response rate at Day 57 was 52.7% versus 35.2% for BMS-936557 versus placebo (p=0.083), and clinical remission and mucosal healing rates were 18.2% versus 16.7% (p=1.00) and 41.8% versus 35.2% (p=0.556), respectively. However, higher BMS-936557 steady-state trough concentration (Cₘₚₑₙ) was associated with increased clinical response (87.5% vs 37.0% (p<0.001) for patients with Cₘₚₑₙ, 108–235 μg/ml vs placebo) and histological improvements (73.0% vs 41.0%; p=0.004). Infections occurred in 7 (12.7%) BMS-936557-treated patients and 3 (5.8%) placebo-treated patients. 2 (3.6%) BMS-936557 patients discontinued due to adverse events.

Conclusions Anti-IP-10 antibody, BMS-936557, is a potentially effective therapy for moderately-to-severely active UC. Higher drug exposure correlated with increasing clinical response and histological improvement. Further dose–response studies are warranted.

Clinical Trial Registration Number: ClinicalTrials.gov NCT00656890.

INTRODUCTION

Ulcerative colitis (UC) is a chronic disease characterised by relapsing–remitting inflammation of the colonic mucosa, believed to be multifactorial in disease pathophysiology, including an aberrant inflammatory response and modulate epithelial homeostasis. Further dose–response studies are required to establish optimal efficacy with an acceptable risk–benefit profile.
immune response. Conventional treatment for UC involves the use of aminosalicylates (ASA), corticosteroids, immunomodulators (azathioprine (AZA), 6-mercaptopurine (6-MP) and cyclosporine) and biological therapy targeting specific cytokines, such as the anti-tumour necrosis factor (anti-TNF) antibody, infliximab. However, not all patients will achieve remission, and corticosteroids, immunomodulators and anti-TNF therapy can be associated with toxicities, including infection and malignancy, rendering treatment inappropriate for some patients. As such, there is a need for new therapies with alternative mechanisms of action that offer a more favourable risk–benefit profile.

Interferon-γ-inducible protein-10 (IP-10), also referred to as CXCL10, is a chemokine that plays an important role in the integrin activation and migration of cells, including activated T cells, monocytes, eosinophils, natural killer, epithelial and endothelial cells. The receptor for IP-10 is chemokine (cys-x-cys motif) receptor 3 (CXCR3). Stimulation by IP-10 is directly and indirectly involved in the generation and recruitment of the pro-inflammatory cells that are responsible for inflammation and tissue destruction. IP-10 exerts its chemotactic activity on T helper (Th)1 and Th17 cells, and blockade of IP-10 may skew cytokine activity from a Th1 to a Th2 profile. Thus, this chemokine can regulate inflammation by both induction of cellular migration and promotion of a Th1/Th17 response. IP-10 also appears to modulate cellular functions independently of CXCR3, including epithelial cell proliferation and migration as well as endothelial cell proliferation via heparan sulfate. These additional mechanisms of action may also contribute to the potential role of IP-10 in driving intestinal inflammation.

Expression of IP-10 in colonic tissue and IP-10 plasma concentrations are greater in patients with UC compared with healthy controls. In experimental murine models, treatment with anti-IP-10 antibodies protected against epithelial ulceration in a dextran sodium sulfate injury/repair model, reduced inflammation in IL-10−/− mice, and reduced colitis by impairing Th1 induction and recruitment. These findings suggest that anti-IP-10 therapy may be a novel treatment option for UC.

BMS-936557 (previously termed MDX-1100) is a fully human monoclonal antibody that targets IP-10. BMS-936557 binds to IP-10 with high affinity (~1 nM) and specifically blocks its interaction with CXCR3. As a result, BMS-936557 is a potent inhibitor of IP-10-induced chemotaxis of activated T cells (EC50 ~0.8 nM). BMS-936557 does not bind to either Mig (CXCL9) or ITAC (CXCL11), the other ligands for CXCR3, and so is only expected to modulate IP-10-mediated functions. Phase I studies have evaluated the pharmacodynamic, pharmacokinetic and safety profile of BMS-936557 at doses of up to 10 mg/kg in healthy volunteers, in addition to demonstrating safety in patients with UC. A Phase II study subsequently demonstrated safety and potential efficacy of BMS-936557 (10 mg/kg) in patients with rheumatoid arthritis refractory to methotrexate therapy.

We conducted a phase II, 8-week induction study of BMS-936557 in patients with moderately-to-severely active UC.

METHODS

Study design and patient population

This was an 8-week, phase II, double-blind, placebo-controlled, randomised, multicentre study of BMS-936557 (trial identifier: NCT00656890; URL: http://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/NCT00 656890), conducted at 54 medical centres in eight countries (Ukraine, Hungary, Latvia, USA, Czech Republic, Romania, Canada and Russia) between 26 March 2008 and 14 September 2009. All patients gave written, informed consent and the study was approved by local ethics committees and conducted in accordance with Good Clinical Practice guidelines and the Declaration of Helsinki.

Eligible patients were those ≥18 years of age with an active UC disease flare while on stable doses of 5-ASA, corticosteroids, AZA and/or 6-MP. Disease flare was defined as a Mayo score of 6–10 with a Mayo endoscopic subscore ≥2 within 2 weeks before study drug administration. Excluded patients included those with Cushing’s disease, primary or secondary immunodeficiency, toxic megacolon or fulminant disease likely to require colectomy, acute or chronic infection, including Clostridium difficile, active tuberculosis, or Herpes zoster ≤3 months prior to study drug administration. The use of anti-TNF therapy or any monoclonal antibody or immunoglobulin-based fusion protein within 8 weeks prior to study treatment, or any experimental therapy within 4 weeks prior to study drug administration, was prohibited.

Randomisation, treatment administration and dosage

Patients were randomised in a 1:1 ratio to receive intravenous BMS-936557 (10 mg/kg), or placebo, at Weeks 0, 2, 4 and 6 (maximum dose 1000 mg). After this treatment period, patients were followed through Day 113. Randomisation was performed centrally using dynamic treatment allocation. Treatment assignment was blinded for personnel at the study sites and for patients; the study site pharmacist/designated nurse was unblinded for study drug preparation. Blinding was maintained throughout the study. Oral 5-ASA, prednisolone ≤20 mg/day, AZA and 6-MP were continued at stable doses during the study.

Efficacy assessments

Prespecified analyses

The primary efficacy endpoint was the rate of clinical response at Day 57, defined as a decrease from baseline in the Mayo total score ≥3 points and ≥30%, with an accompanying decrease in the subscore for rectal bleeding of ≥1 point or an absolute subscore for rectal bleeding of ≤1. Secondary endpoints were clinical remission rate (Mayo total score of ≤2 and no individual score >1) and mucosal healing rate (Mayo total endoscopy score ≤1). Endoscopy was performed at screening and at Day 57 (or at early withdrawal).

Electronic patient diaries were used for Mayo subscore calculation. Patients recorded stool frequency and rectal bleeding on a daily basis. Recording was not permitted outside of the 24-h window. For prespecified primary and secondary endpoints, mean stool frequency and rectal bleeding scores were derived from values recorded in the 7 days prior to the study visit. If fewer than 3 days’ values were available within 7 days (for either stool frequency or rectal bleeding), patients were defined as non-responders.

Post hoc analyses

In addition to the prespecified Mayo score analyses using the mean of 7 days of diary entries prior to the assessment date, post hoc analyses were also performed via the more conventional method of using the mean of 3 days of diary entries (diary scores were included if at least 1 day of diary entries were available) prior to assessment date for the stool frequency and rectal bleeding subscores.

Post hoc analyses were also performed for drug exposure–response analyses. All patients in the intent-to-treat (ITT) population who had steady-state trough concentration (Cmin) values of BMS-93557 on Study Day 57 were stratified based on their
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C_{minss} values: low (26.4–78.6 μg/ml), mid (79.2–105 μg/ml) and top (108–235 μg/ml) tertiles. Clinical response, clinical remission and mucosal healing rates were calculated for each C_{minss} tertile, using the mean of 3 days of diary entries; 95% CIs were provided using a Fisher’s exact test. Logistic regression was used to study the drug exposure–response relationship between the C_{minss} and each of the efficacy measures. ORs associated with a doubling of the drug exposure were calculated along with their 95% CIs; p values were also reported without multiplicity adjustment.

Histology analyses were also performed post hoc. Formalin-fixed biopsy samples were obtained at baseline and Day 57 (or at early withdrawal) from the section of the colon with the most endoscopically severe disease. Histology assessment was performed by one central pathologist (KG), blinded to study treatment; endoscopies were not recorded and there was no central endoscopy reader. Histology was scored using the Geboes Index, a six-grade classification system for inflammatory bowel disease, 0, structural change only; 1, chronic inflammatory infiltrate; 2, lamina propria neutrophils; 3, neutrophils in epithelium; 4, crypt destruction; and 5, erosions or ulcers.\(^{23}\)

Grading was based on the sample demonstrating the most histologically severe lesions. All patients who were biopsied during endoscopy at baseline and Day 57 and had two or more biopsy samples available for analysis at both time points are included in the histological analyses. Histological remission was assessed at Day 57, defined as a Geboes Index score of <2.0 (standard)\(^{23}\) or <1.0 (stringent),\(^{20\, 24\, 25}\) and is reported with 95% CIs. Spidergram graphs were created for histological categories of C_{minss} and each of the efficacy measures. ORs associated with a possible, probable or definite relationship were determined for each treatment group.

**Statistical analyses**

The incidence and severity of adverse events (AEs) were monitored throughout the study and within 70 days after last study drug administration, including those which had worsened relative to pretreatment state and any treatment-related AE regardless of timing.

Related AEs were defined as those possibly, probably or definitely related to the study drug, with missing relationships presumed related. Peri-infusional events were defined as any AEs that could potentially constitute a reaction to infusion and occurred on the same day or the day after infusion. No prophylactic premedication was administered, unless indicated by previous infusion reaction experience in an individual patient. Vital sign monitoring, clinical laboratory tests, physical examinations, chest radiography and ECG were also performed.

Immunogenicity was assessed on Days 1, 29, 57 and 85 (42 days post last dose) using a validated electrochemiluminescent bridging immunoassay in human serum, using the Meso-Scale Discovery platform (Gaithersburg, Maryland, USA).

**Pharmacokinetics assessment**

Serum concentrations of BMS-936557 were assessed on Days 1, 8, 15, 29, 43, 57 and 85 using a validated ELISA.

**Safety assessments**

The incidence and severity of adverse events (AEs) were monitored throughout the study and within 70 days after last study drug administration, including those which had worsened relative to pretreatment state and any treatment-related AE regardless of timing.

Related AEs were defined as those possibly, probably or definitely related to the study drug, with missing relationships presumed related. Peri-infusional events were defined as any AEs that could potentially constitute a reaction to infusion and occurred on the same day or the day after infusion. No prophylactic premedication was administered, unless indicated by previous infusion reaction experience in an individual patient. Vital sign monitoring, clinical laboratory tests, physical examinations, chest radiography and ECG were also performed.

Immunogenicity was assessed on Days 1, 29, 57 and 85 (42 days post last dose) using a validated electrochemiluminescent bridging immunoassay in human serum, using the Meso-Scale Discovery platform (Gaithersburg, Maryland, USA).
RESULTS

Patient population and baseline characteristics

In total, 109 patients were randomised to BMS-936557 (n=55) or placebo (n=54). Patient disposition is shown in figure 1. A total of 11 (10.1%) patients discontinued prior to study completion at Day 57 (ITT analysis; six patients from the BMS-936557 group and five patients from the placebo group). Two (3.6%) patients in the BMS-936557 group discontinued due to AEs; there were no discontinuations due to lack of efficacy in this group. No patients in the placebo group discontinued due to AEs; 1 (1.9%) patient discontinued due to lack of efficacy (figure 1).

Baseline disease characteristics were generally similar between the two treatment groups (table 1). Although the mean disease duration was slightly longer in the BMS-936557 treatment group than in the placebo group, median disease duration was comparable in the two treatment groups (4.4 and 4.6 years for the BMS-936557 and placebo groups, respectively). During the study, the majority of patients in the BMS-936557 treatment group (85.5%) and the placebo group (92.3%) received all four planned doses of assigned treatment.

Table 1  Baseline demographics and disease characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>BMS-936557 n=55</th>
<th>Placebo n=54</th>
<th>Total N=109</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (SD)</td>
<td>44.7 (12.8)</td>
<td>41.8 (14.2)</td>
<td>43.2 (13.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean weight, kg (SD)</td>
<td>81.9 (16.1)</td>
<td>74.5 (18.1)</td>
<td>78.2 (17.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male, n (%)</td>
<td>37 (67.3)</td>
<td>31 (57.4)</td>
<td>68 (62.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race, % Caucasian</td>
<td>53 (96.4)</td>
<td>54 (100)</td>
<td>107 (98.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country of enrolment, n (%)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>11 (10.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean duration of UC, years (SD)</td>
<td>6.7 (7.8)</td>
<td>5.5 (4.4)</td>
<td>6.1 (6.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extent of disease, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proctosigmoiditis</td>
<td>15 (27.3)</td>
<td>13 (24.1)</td>
<td>28 (25.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left-sided</td>
<td>24 (43.6)</td>
<td>22 (40.7)</td>
<td>46 (42.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extensive</td>
<td>16 (29.1)</td>
<td>19 (35.2)</td>
<td>35 (32.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Mayo score (SD)</td>
<td>7.9 (1.3)</td>
<td>7.9 (1.1)</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean CRP, mg/dl (SD)</td>
<td>1.3 (2.0)</td>
<td>1.2 (1.4)</td>
<td>1.3 (1.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prior inadequate response/intolerance, n (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunosuppressants</td>
<td>10 (5.5)</td>
<td>7 (4.7)</td>
<td>14 (12.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-TNF</td>
<td>3 (5.5)</td>
<td>3 (5.6)</td>
<td>6 (5.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concomitant immunomodulator*, n (%)</td>
<td>6 (10.9)</td>
<td>10 (18.5)</td>
<td>16 (14.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concomitant corticosteroids†, n (%)</td>
<td>30 (54.5)</td>
<td>27 (50.0)</td>
<td>57 (52.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Azathioprine or 6-mercaptopurine.
†Mean prednisolone equivalent dose=17.2 mg/day.
CRP, C-reactive protein; NA, not available; TNF, tumour necrosis factor; UC, ulcerative colitis.

Efficacy

Prespecified analyses

The prespecified primary and secondary endpoints were not met in this study. At Day 57, the proportion of patients who achieved clinical response (primary endpoint) was numerically greater for the BMS-936557-treated group (32.7%) versus the placebo-treated group (35.2%) (figure 2) but this difference was not statistically significant (treatment difference (95% CI) was 17.5% (−0.8 to 35.9)). There were also no significant differences between the BMS-936557- and placebo-treated groups for clinical remission (18.2% vs 16.7%) and mucosal healing (41.8% vs 35.2%) (figure 2); treatment differences (95% CI) were 1.5% (−12.7 to 15.8) and 6.6% (−11.6 to 24.9), respectively.

Post hoc analyses

In the post hoc analysis (mean of 3 days of diary entries) of the primary and secondary endpoints, Day 57 clinical response rates were significantly higher in the BMS-936557 (n=55) versus placebo (n=54) group (60.0 vs 37.0%), with a treatment difference (95% CI) of 23.0% (4.7, 41.2; Fisher’s exact test: p=0.022). Remission and mucosal healing rates were numerically but not statistically higher in the BMS-936557 versus placebo group; 23.6% versus 18.5% (treatment difference (95% CI) of 5.1% (−10.2 to 20.4), Fischer’s exact test, p=0.64) and 41.8% versus 35.2% (treatment difference (95% CI) of 6.6% (−11.6 to 24.9), Fischer’s exact test, p=0.56), respectively.

The geometric mean trough concentration (C_{min}) of BMS-936557 increased from 4.22 μg/ml on Day 15 to 91.3 μg/ml at steady-state on Day 57. Higher trough concentration on Day 57 (C_{min}) was associated with an increase in the clinical response rates (figure 3A). Significantly higher clinical response rates (87.5%) were observed for the patients in the highest C_{min} tertile subgroup (trough serum concentration at Day 57: 108−235 μg/ml) compared with the placebo group (37.0%); p<0.001, treatment difference (95% CI) of 50.5% (29.8 to 71.2). Logistic regression analysis also showed that an increase in C_{min} resulted in an increase in clinical response (figure 3B), remission (figure 3C) and mucosal healing (data not shown). Population pharmacokinetic models have demonstrated...
that among gender, body weight and baseline Mayo score, body weight was the only covariate identified to be a significant predictor of drug concentration (data not shown).

For the histology analyses, a total of 32 BMS-936557- and 32 placebo-treated patients had both baseline and Day 57 biopsy samples available and were included in the assessment. Baseline (SD) Geboes scores were 3.2 (1.7) and 2.6 (1.8) for the MDX1100-treated and placebo groups, respectively; mean changes (SE) from baseline at Day 57 were −1.25 (0.414) and −0.28 (0.346). The mean change from baseline at Day 57 for the MDX1100-treated group was statistically significant (p=0.005). Of the 32 BMS-936557-treated patients, 15 and 17 had Cminss ≥100 μg/ml and Cminss <100 μg/ml, respectively. A significantly greater proportion of patients with BMS-936557 Cminss ≥100 μg/ml were in histological remission, as defined by Geboes Index score <2, compared with placebo (figure 4A; 73% vs 41%, respectively, Fisher’s exact test, p=0.004). The proportion of patients with Cminss <100 μg/ml in histological remission was 35%, similar to placebo. A similar pattern was observed when histological remission was defined as Geboes Index score <1 (figure 4B). The correlation between endoscopic score and Geboes index score at Day 57 for the pooled BMS-936557 and placebo groups was determined to confirm the histology findings with a complementary endoscopy outcome. We found that the correlation coefficient was 0.49 (p<0.0001), demonstrating significant correlation.

Among BMS-936557-treated patients with Cminss ≥100 μg/ml, improvements were observed across all histological categories (figure 4C). For placebo-treated patients (figure 4D) as well as BMS-936557-treated patients with Cminss <100 μg/ml (data not shown), minimal improvements were observed across all categories. A representative example of histological improvement after 8 weeks of treatment with BMS-936557 in patients who achieved a target concentration of Cminss ≥100 μg/ml and significant clinical improvement is presented in figure 4E. Similar histological improvement was also observed in some patients (Cminss ≥100 μg/ml) who did not demonstrate significant clinical improvement (figure 4F). A box plot analysis, which was performed to further characterise the relationship between endoscopic healing and change in histology score, found that for patients treated with BMS-936557, there was a trend towards a decreased histology score regardless of whether patients achieve endoscopic remission (see online supplementary figure 1). Conversely, for placebo-treated patients, only those who achieve endoscopic remission appear to demonstrate histological improvement.

Safety

The serious adverse events (SAEs) in the BMS-936557 group were anaemia, localised infection, perforated appendicitis/appendiceal abscess and diverticulitis in one patient each. In the placebo group, an SAE of β-hemolytic streptococcal infection was reported in one patient. All SAEs resolved without residual effects and were considered unlikely to be related, or unrelated, to study drug. There were no deaths reported during this study (table 2).

The BMS-936557-treated patient with the perforated appendicitis/appendiceal abscess discontinued treatment, as did another BMS-936557-treated patient with an event of vasculitis of moderate intensity, not considered an SAE. The patient with vasculitis presented with pain in the leg, accompanied by swelling of the left ankle following the first infusion of study medication. The event resolved within 2 weeks without residual effects.

For peri-infusional events, rash was reported in two patients in the BMS-936557 group; all other peri-infusional events (chest discomfort, feeling hot, pyrexia, tachycardia, headache, back pain, dyspnoea and flushing) were reported once. For placebo-treated patients, peri-infusional events included arthralgia, musculoskeletal pain and nausea. All peri-infusional events were mild-to-moderate in intensity, and none led to discontinuation. The majority of events were associated with the first infusion without recurrence at subsequent infusions.

The infections reported in the BMS-936557-treated group included cystitis, upper respiratory tract infection, appendiceal abscess/perforated appendicitis, diverticulitis, localised infection and oral herpes. No single type of infection occurred in more than two patients. In the placebo group, β-hemolytic streptococcal infection, nasopharyngitis and viral infection occurred in one patient each.

Analysis of the subgroup of patients with BMS-936557 trough concentrations ≥100 μg/ml showed similar safety results as described for the entire BMS-936557 safety population. The number of patients experiencing at least one AE in the Cminss ≥100 μg/ml subgroup was comparable with that in the
placebo group. No AEs in the C_{minss} ≥100 μg/ml subgroup resulted in discontinuation from treatment. No immunogenicity was detected in any patient up to 42 days post last study dose.

**DISCUSSION**

Anti-IP-10 therapy with BMS-936557 demonstrated a modest effect at inducing a response in patients with moderate-to-severe UC in this proof-of-concept study. Although the prespecified primary and secondary endpoints were not met, post hoc subanalyses demonstrated a robust drug exposure–response relationship between the steady-state trough serum concentrations and efficacy: trough levels ≥100 μg/ml were found to result in significantly higher rates of clinical response, clinical remission and mucosal healing.

The original analysis of the Mayo score to assess the primary endpoint resulted in seven patients being imputed as non-responders because they did not have the required number of days of diary records (≥3 out of a possible 7) available for analysis. However, in the post hoc analysis (which analysed the data for patients with one or two records), clinical response rates were significantly higher in the BMS-936557 versus placebo group.

In addition to traditional endoscopy, histological analysis of biopsies can facilitate an additional assessment of disease activity, particularly in endoscopically non-inflamed mucosa. In this study, significant histological improvements were observed in patients with C_{minss} ≥100 μg/ml, even in some patients who did not demonstrate significant clinical improvement. Furthermore, significantly more patients receiving BMS-936557 (with C_{minss} ≥100 μg/ml), versus placebo, achieved a Geboes score <2 at Day 57 (73% vs 41%, respectively), with improvements observed across all histological categories. Results were similar using a lower Geboes score cut-off of <1 (structural change only), with 47% versus 18% of BMS-936557 (with C_{minss} ≥100 μg/ml) patients versus placebo patients achieving remission. In the Active Ulcerative Colitis 1 (ACT 1) study of infliximab, 35% of infliximab-treated patients achieved this outcome compared with 24% of placebo-treated patients following 8 weeks of treatment.

Although not yet validated, the Geboes index has demonstrated good reproducibility and modest agreement with the endoscopic grading system, and represents a histological activity system of great potential value in both the clinical trial and clinical practice settings.

Data from histological analyses complement the clinical data, and are of particular interest, as they offer insight into the mechanism of action of BMS-936557. IP-10 is directly and indirectly involved in the activation and/or recruitment of pro-inflammatory cells responsible for inflammation and tissue destruction, and treatment with BMS-936557 resulted in a marked reduction in overall inflammatory infiltrate, and specifically in the level of acute inflammatory infiltrates (particularly in patients with BMS-936557 exposure levels ≥100 μg/ml). Furthermore, IP-10 has also been shown to play a role in the modulation of proliferation and migration of epithelial cells, and treatment with BMS-936557 (above the target exposure) was associated with a marked decrease in erosion, ulceration and crypt destruction. These observations suggest that BMS-936557 inhibits IP-10-induced epithelial cell dysfunctions, either directly or indirectly, resulting in increased barrier integrity. The impact of BMS-936557 on histological remission rate suggests that BMS-936557 induces histological healing, which can only be achieved by targeting histological inflammation and associated tissue damage.

![Figure 3](http://gut.bmj.com/)
**Figure 4** Histological analyses at Day 57. (A) Proportion of patients in histological remission (Geboes Index score <2) at Day 57. (B) Proportion of patients in histological remission (Geboes Index score <1) at Day 57. (C) Improvement across histological categories for BMS-936557-treated patients with $\text{C}_{\text{min,ss}} \geq 100 \mu\text{g/mL}$ ($n=15$); $\text{C}_{\text{min,ss}} =$steady-state trough serum concentration; Error bars represent 95% CI. (D) Improvement across histological categories for placebo-treated patients ($n=32$). (E) Histological improvement in the presence of clinical improvement in a patient who achieved BMS-936557 $\text{C}_{\text{min,ss}} \geq 100 \mu\text{g/mL}$. Baseline Mayo score was 8 and endoscopy subscore was 3; Day 57 Mayo score was 2 and endoscopy subscore was 1. Baseline Geboes Index score was 5, and H&E staining shows mucosa of the colon with moderate architectural abnormalities: crypts are shortened, not reaching the muscularis mucosae; variable intercryptal distance. Epithelial cells are not well differentiated. The cellular infiltrate in the lamina propria is severely increased with basal accumulation; composition is mixed, with mild cryptitis. Day 57 Geboes Index score was 0, and H&E staining shows mucosa of the colon with moderate architectural abnormalities; variable intercryptal distance. Epithelial cells are well differentiated, surface loss is due to artefact and cellular infiltrate in the lamina propria is within normal limits. (F) Histological improvement in the absence of clinical improvement in a patient who achieved BMS-936557 $\text{C}_{\text{min,ss}} \geq 100 \mu\text{g/mL}$. Baseline Mayo score was 8 and endoscopy subscore was 2; Day 57 Mayo score was 7 and endoscopy subscore was 2. Baseline Geboes Index score was 5. H&E staining shows mucosa of the colon with moderate architectural abnormalities: crypts are shortened, not reaching the muscularis mucosae; variable intercryptal distance. Epithelial cells are less well differentiated – surface epithelial cells are flattened and partially lost at the edge of the loss, the cells are flattened indicating restitution (part of the healing process). The cellular infiltrate in the lamina propria is severely increased with basal accumulation; composition is mixed, with mild cryptitis. At Day 57, Geboes Index score was 0. H&E staining shows colon with normal architecture: surface is smooth and crypts run parallel. Internal diameter of crypts is constant and distance between crypts is normal and constant. Mucin secretion is well preserved and the lamina propria infiltrate is borderline normal in distribution and intensity. Epithelial cells are well differentiated. In the $\times 100$ magnification, there is some lifting of surface epithelial cells, which can be explained as an artefact as the cells are well differentiated. All analyses were performed post hoc. Access the article online to view this figure in colour.

BMS-936557 was generally safe and well tolerated. There appeared to be a numerically higher frequency of infections, including serious infections in the BMS-936557 group compared with placebo. In a previous phase II study of BMS-936557 in patients with rheumatoid arthritis, the frequency of infections was similar in BMS-936557- and placebo-treated patients. While all peri-infusional reactions were mild to moderate and none led to early discontinuation, the frequency of events appeared to be higher in the BMS-936557 group compared with placebo. No immunogenicity was detected in any patient (up to 42 days post last study dose).

As highlighted above, the drug exposure–response results demonstrated that higher trough serum levels at Day 57 were associated with higher rates of clinical response, clinical remission, histological remission and mucosal healing, whereas the safety profile observed in patients with higher trough levels was comparable with the overall study population. Collectively, these findings suggest that it may be beneficial to investigate doses of BMS-936557 greater than 10 mg/kg in future trials.

The data presented here should be interpreted within the context of the trial’s limitations. The primary endpoint was not met in the overall population; although robust efficacy was demonstrated in the subgroup of patients with higher BMS-936557 exposure, the trial was not originally powered to detect statistical differences between active and control treatments in groups of this size. Subgroup and as-observed histology assessments were performed post hoc and should be interpreted with caution owing to the known limitations of such analyses. In addition, histology assessments were carried out for all patients with sufficient available samples, but biopsies were not performed on every patient. Therefore, the possibility of sample selection bias must be considered. It should be noted that the baseline disease severity of this study population was milder compared with other recent studies in moderately-to-severely active UC,26–28 patients with a baseline Mayo score of greater than 10 were excluded and only 14% of patients were refractory to immunosuppressants and 6% to anti-TNF agents. The placebo response/remission rate observed in this study is comparable with other studies with biological therapies in moderately-to-severely active UC.28–29 Furthermore, evaluating study results for global studies may be complicated by variations in results between patients from different geographic locations, in particular Eastern Europe and North America. However, of the 109 patients randomised, only 11 were from North America, specifically the USA, and given this small sample size, post hoc analyses by geographic region were not conducted.

In conclusion, the anti-IP-10 antibody, BMS-936557, is a potentially effective therapy for moderately-to-severely active UC. Higher drug exposure was associated with increasing clinical response and histological improvement. Further dose–response studies are warranted.
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