at a cost of £169. Mean (SE) costs from hospital discharge to 28 days were £293 (£22) per patient. The main cost driver post discharge was readmission to hospital; 12% of patients were readmitted within 28 days for a mean of 4.8 days. The mean cost associated with readmission across all patients was £127.

HRQoL was on average (SE) 0.68 (0.01) at 28 days. The mean cost up to 28 days for patients presenting with AUGIB is £2,207. At 28 days, the mean HRQoL in patients who have experienced an AUGIB is well below the average population level of 0.86. This is the first study to provide detailed estimates of the costs and HRQoL associated with AUGIB in the UK. These data can be used by healthcare providers and researchers to inform the design of subsequent cost-effectiveness analyses of interventions for AUGIB.
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**Introduction** Persistent GI symptoms and malnutrition have been associated with poorer quality of life in upper-GI cancer patients. This study aims to assess GI symptoms and nutritional status in patients undergoing modern treatment.

**Methods** Patients with newly diagnosed upper-GI cancer were prospectively reviewed at the time of diagnosis and at 3- and 12-months following radical treatment. Nutritional assessment was performed using the patient-generated subjective global assessment (PG-SGA), which is considered the ‘gold-standard’ for nutritional assessment and has been validated in the oncology setting (score ≥ 4 intervention needed; score ≥ 9 critical intervention needed). The gastrointestinal symptom rating scale (GSRS) was used to evaluate the presence/absence and severity of 22 GI symptoms using a 4-point response scale. Total scores range from 0–66, where 0 = all symptoms absent and 66 = all symptoms severe.

**Results** 61 males and 19 females, median age 66 (range 46–89) years were recruited (61% oesophageal, 33% gastric, 6% gastro-oesophageal junction tumours). Of these, 68 were reviewed at 3-months and 25 at 12-months. Mean (SD) body weight and body mass index (BMI) were 76.7 kg (17.4) and 26.7 kg/m² (4.7) at baseline, 74.4 kg (14.8) and 25.9 kg/m² (4.4) at 3-months and 72.1 kg (16.3) and 24.7 kg/m² (4.4) at 12-months. There was a significant mean difference in weight (-2.0 kg, p = 0.002) and patients. This study aims to assess GI symptoms and nutritional estimates of the costs and HRQoL associated with AUGIB in the UK. These data can be used by healthcare providers and researchers to inform the design of subsequent cost-effectiveness analyses of interventions for AUGIB.
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IA Murray*. Gastroenterology, Royal Cornwall Hospital, Truro, UK

10.1136/gutjnl-2014-307263.224

**Introduction** Eosinophilic oesophagitis (EO) is the underlying diagnosis in at least 10% of those with dysphagia. To make the diagnosis, oesophageal biopsies showing an eosinophil count >15 per hpf are required. It is most frequent in males under aged 50 years.

**Methods** All patients having a gastroscopy for dysphagia were identified retrospectively for 6 consecutive years from our endoscopy reporting system. Patient demographics, endoscopic findings and whether biopsies were taken were recorded together with histology results.

**Results** 3068 patients had a gastroscopy with an indication of dysphagia (1489 female, age 15–100 years, average 67.7 y). The number of patients varied little between years (486–550 patients/year). Common endoscopic diagnoses were normal (20.4%), benign stricture (12.6%), eosinophilitis (18.1%), Barrett’s (4.8%), dysmotility (3.7%) and hiatus hernia (10%). 1620 (52.8%) had oesophageal biopsies. 44 patients (1.5% of all patients) were diagnosed with EO, 32 of who were males. This equates to 2.8% of those who were biopsied and 4.7% of those biopsied without cancer, stricture or Barrett’s. Although only 13.3% of those with dysphagia were aged 50 years or under, they equated to 45.4% of those diagnosed with EO. Of those with EO, 6 had food bolus, 6 “typical” EO changes e.g., feline oesophagus, ridges etc, 4 an irritable oesophagus and 3 Schatzki rings.

**Conclusion** EO is a relatively common cause of dysphagia but is almost certainly under-recognised due to lack of oesophageal biopsies at endoscopy. Reliance on endoscopic changes of EO at endoscopy will miss the majority of cases. Although biopsying only those under 50 years would be more cost effective than biopsying all, it would also miss the majority of cases. It may be appropriate for the BSG to use frequency of oesophageal biopsies in dysphagic patients as a quality assurance measure for upper GI endoscopy.

**Disclosure of Interest** None Declared.
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**Introduction** Lymphocytic duodenitis (LD) is an early marker for coeliac disease (CD). However, the majority of cases are due to non-CD related conditions.